Economics 672: Econometric Analysis II Winter 2018

Matias Cattaneo
Department of Economics
University of Michigan
Authored collaboratively by PhD entering class of 2017

January 18, 2018

Introduction



Introduction

- Last lecture:
 - Intro to Least Squares
 - Gauss-Markov Theorem
- This lecture:
 - Finite sample results
 - Basic inference

Outline

2 Review of SLRM



Standard Linear Regression Model (SLRM)

- Consider $X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times d}$ and $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$
- The standard assumptions can be written as:

$$\mathbb{E}[y|X] = X\beta_0, \mathbb{V}[y|X] = \sigma_0^2 \mathbf{I}_n$$

• The least squares estimator of β_0 is then:

$$\hat{\beta} = \arg\min_{\beta} \|y - X\beta\|^2 = (X'X)^{-}X'y$$

- With associated predicted values $\hat{y} = P_X y$ and $\hat{\varepsilon} = (I P_X)y = M_X y$
 - Where $P_X = X(X'X)^-X'$, and $(\cdot)^-$ denotes the generalized inverse.

Estimating the Variance

• Note that we can write the sum of squared residuals as:

$$\|\hat{\varepsilon}\|^{2} = \left[\sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{\varepsilon}_{i}^{2}}\right]^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{\varepsilon}_{i}^{2} = \hat{\varepsilon}'\hat{\varepsilon} = (M_{X}y)'(M_{X}y)$$

$$= \left(M_{X}(X\hat{\beta} + \varepsilon)\right)'\left(M_{X}(X\hat{\beta} + \varepsilon)\right) = (M_{X}\varepsilon)'(M_{X}\varepsilon)$$

$$= \varepsilon'M'_{X}M_{X}\varepsilon = \varepsilon'M_{X}\varepsilon = \varepsilon'(I - P_{X})\varepsilon$$

• Here we used the fact that M_X is the orthogonal projection matrix (hence $M_X X = \mathbf{0}$) and is symmetric and idempotent.

Estimating the Variance

• Taking the expectation gives a useful result:

$$\mathbb{E}[\|\hat{\varepsilon}\|^{2}|X] = \mathbb{E}[\varepsilon'(I - P_{X})\varepsilon|X] = \mathbb{E}[tr(\varepsilon'(I - P_{X})\varepsilon)|X]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[tr((I - P_{X})\varepsilon\varepsilon')|X] = tr((I - P_{X})\mathbb{E}[\varepsilon\varepsilon'|X])$$

$$= tr(I - P_{X})\sigma_{0}^{2} = (n - d)\sigma_{0}^{2}$$

- Here we used the fact that the trace operator is order invariant and linear.
- ullet This result means that an unbiased estimator of σ_0^2 is given by:

$$s^{2} = \frac{\left\|\hat{\varepsilon}\right\|^{2}}{n-d} = \frac{1}{n-d} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{\varepsilon}_{i}^{2}$$

Outline

Introduction

Review of SLRN

Adding Normality

- Adding the assumption that $y|X \sim \mathcal{N}\left(X\beta_0, \sigma_0^2 \mathbf{I}_n\right)$ allows us to derive several useful distributional results.
- Using that $\mathbb{E}[\hat{y}|X] = X\beta_0$ and $\mathbb{V}[\hat{y}|X] = \sigma_0^2 P_X$, we can use the fact that \hat{y} is a linear combination of normally distributed random variables to deduce that:

$$\hat{y}|X \sim \mathcal{N}(X\beta_0, \sigma_0^2 P_X)$$

Similarly, we have:

$$\hat{\varepsilon}|X \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \sigma_0^2(I - P_X))$$



Adding Normality

• We can write the variance estimator as:

$$s^{2} = \frac{\sigma_{0}^{2}}{n - d} \frac{1}{\sigma_{0}} \varepsilon' (I - P_{X}) \varepsilon \frac{1}{\sigma_{0}}$$

- Since $I-P_X$ is symmetric, we can use a singluar value decomposition to write it as $I-P_X=U\Lambda U$, where Λ is an $n\times n$ diagonal matrix of eigenvalues (n-d) of which are 1 and d of which are 0) while U is an orthogonal matrix.
- This brings us to the following result:

$$s^{2} = \frac{\sigma_{0}^{2}}{n - d} \left[\frac{1}{\sigma_{0}} U' \varepsilon \right]' \Lambda \left[\frac{1}{\sigma_{0}} U' \varepsilon \right] \implies s^{2} | X \sim \frac{\sigma_{0}^{2}}{n - d} \chi_{n - d}^{2}$$

• Here, $\frac{1}{\sigma_0}U'\varepsilon \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0},\mathbf{I}_n)$, and the specific form of Λ means that we are summing n-d squares of standard normal random variables, yielding the Chi-square distribution (with corresponding d.o.f.)

#10 P | P | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P | E | P

Inference

Consider the following hypothesis:

$$H_0: A'\beta = a, A \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times r}$$

• And the following statistics:

$$T_1 = \frac{[A'\hat{\beta} - a]'(A'(X'X)^{-1}A)^{-1}[A'\hat{\beta} - a]}{\sigma_0^2} \sim \chi_r^2, T_2 = \frac{\hat{\varepsilon}'\hat{\varepsilon}}{\sigma_0^2} \sim \chi_{n-d}^2$$

- Normality allows us to conclude that $T_1 \perp \!\!\! \perp T_2$
- Then, taking the ratio of independent chi-square random variables gives another well known distribution:

$$F = \frac{T_1/r}{T_2/(n-d)} \sim \mathcal{F}_{r,n-d}$$

• In the case r = 1, we have $F = (T_{n-d})^2$

 4 □ ▷

Outline

Introduction

Review of SLRM

Next Class Topics and Readings

- Problem Set #
- Next topic: blah blah blah
- Readings:
 - books
 - more books