

## 20.1 Setting and notation

1. Suppose  $n, m$  are two positive integer with  $n \leq m$ . Given universe  $U$ , where  $|U| = n$  and a stream  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_m$  with  $i_j \in U, \forall j \in [m]$ .
2. Algorithm can process each element  $i_j$  exactly once in sequence.
3. Only allowed to use very constrained space  $O(\text{polylog}(m, n))$ , in which " $\text{polylog}(\cdot)$ " stands for poly logarithmic.

Goal: for a quantity  $Q$ , an  $(\epsilon, \delta)$  approximation is an estimator  $X$  such that

$$\mathbb{P}[x \in (1 \pm \epsilon)Q \mid X = x] \geq 1 - \delta$$

Before proceeding, further denote the following quantities:

- $f(x)$ : For  $x \in U$ , denote  $f(x) = |\{t : i_t = x\}|$ . Thus,  $f(x)$  measures the number of occurrence of distinct element  $x \in U$  throughout the entire stream  $\{i_j\}_{j=1}^m$ .
- $F(x)$ : Given a fixed stream  $\{i_j\}_{j=1}^m$ ,  $F(x)$  measures the frequency of the element  $x \in U$  in this stream:  $F(x) := \frac{f(x)}{\sum_{y \in U} f(y)}$ .
- **majority**: An element  $x \in U$  is a majority  $\iff F(x) > \frac{1}{2}$ .

## 20.2 Heavy hitter

Here, we start the analysis with proposing an algorithm called HEAVY HITTERS and a associated claim regarding to identifying the majority of element in the stream.

---

**Algorithm 1:** Heavy Hitters

---

**Input:** Frequency threshold  $\theta$ , stream length  $m$ , a stream sequence  $\{i_t\}_{t=1}^m$

**Output:**  $k$  distinct candidates elements:  $\{x_j\}_{j=1}^k$

```
1  $k = \lceil \frac{1}{\theta} \rceil - 1$ ;
2 Init  $k$  pairs of tuples:  $Q := \{(id_j = \perp, count_j = 0)\}_{j=1}^k$ ;
3 Let  $ID = \mathbf{KEY}(Q)$ ;
4 for  $t = 1, \dots, m$  do
5   if  $i_t \in Q$  then
6      $count_{i_t} = count_{i_t} + 1$ ;
7   else if  $\exists j \in [k]; id_j = \perp$  then
8      $id_j = i_t$ ;
9      $count_j = 1$ ;
10  else
11    for  $j = 1, \dots, k$  do
12       $count_j = count_j - 1$ ;
13      if  $count_j = 0$  then
14         $id_j = \perp$ ;
15         $count_j = 0$ ;
16 return  $\mathbf{KEY}(Q)$ ;
```

---

### 20.2.1 Relation to selecting the majority element

1. the potential candidate finding the majority of the element is equivalent to output of HEAVY HITTER with  $\theta = \frac{1}{2}$ .
2.  $\exists$  a majority element  $x \in U$  then at the end,  $\mathbf{HEAVY\ HITTER}(\frac{1}{2}, m, \{i_t\}_{t=1}^m) = x$ .

The table below illustrates the algorithm for selecting the majority element:

$\{i_t\}_{t=1}^m$	a	b	c	c	a	a	b	c	b	b	d	b	c	d	b	b	c
major <sub>id</sub>	a	$\perp$	a	$\perp$	a	a	a	$\perp$	b	b	b	b	b	$\perp$	b	b	b
count	1	0	1	0	1	2	1	0	1	2	1	2	1	0	1	2	1

Table 1: HEAVY HITTER simulation for finding the majority

Note: the output  $b$  here is not the majority, since there is not a majority in the streaming.

## 20.3 Hashing

This algorithm is essentially the same algorithm as above, except that instead of mapping each streaming item  $i_t$  to a unique location, a hash function  $h$  is introduced so that  $j = h(i_t), j \in [k]$  to preserve the storage space. The algorithm is described in the following:

---

**Algorithm 2:** Hashing

---

**Input:** Frequency threshold  $\theta$ , stream length  $m$ , a stream sequence  $\{i_t\}_{t=1}^m$ , a hashing function  $h$

**Output:**  $k$  distinct candidates elements:  $\{x_j\}_{j=1}^k$

```
1  $k = \lceil \frac{1}{\theta} \rceil - 1$ ;  
2 Init  $k$  pairs of tuples:  $Q := \{(id_j = \perp, count_j = 0)\}_{j=1}^k$ ;  
3 Let  $ID = \mathbf{KEY}(Q)$ ;  
4 for  $t = 1, \dots, m$  do  
5   if  $h(i_t) \in Q$  then  
6      $count_{h(i_t)} = count_{h(i_t)} + 1$ ;  
7   else if  $\exists j \in [k]; id_j = \perp$  then  
8      $id_j = h(i_t)$ ;  
9      $count_j = 1$ ;  
10  else  
11    for  $j = 1, \dots, k$  do  
12       $count_j = count_j - 1$ ;  
13      if  $count_j = 0$  then  
14         $id_j = \perp$ ;  
15         $count_j = 0$ ;  
16 return  $\mathbf{KEY}(Q)$ ;
```

---

However, some hashing collisions would affect the performance. Thus, our next step is to analyze such effects on the performance of the algorithm.

First, treat the *count* associated with the output of hash function as an random variable. For a fixed element  $x \in U$  and its hashing value  $j = h(x)$ , we would like to analyze the property of the random variable of *count<sub>j</sub>*:

$$count_j = f(x) + \sum_{y \in U; y \neq x} \mathbb{1}(h(y) = j) * f(y)$$

Its expectation is:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[count_j] &= f(x) + \sum_{y \in U; y \neq x} f(y) * \mathbb{P}_h(h(y) = j) \\ &= f(x) + \frac{1}{k} \sum_{y \in U; y \neq x} f(y) \\ &\leq f(x) + \frac{1}{k} \underbrace{\sum_{y \in U} f(y)}_{= m} \\ &\stackrel{k = \frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{1}{\theta}}{\leq} f(x) + \epsilon \theta m \end{aligned}$$

### 20.3.1 COUNT-MIN SKETCH

Alternatively, instead of using single hash function  $h$ , we use a number of hash functions, with size  $P$  and for each element  $x \in U$ . Using  $\min_{p \in [P]}(h_p(x))$  as aggregation function to estimate the quantity  $f(x)$ . This algorithm is COUNT-MIN SKETCH algorithm, stated as follows (augmented on previous algorithm):

---

#### Algorithm 3: COUNT-MIN SKETCH

---

**Input:** Frequency threshold  $\theta$ , stream length  $m$ , a stream sequence  $\{i_t\}_{t=1}^m$ , a hashing function set  $\{h_p\}_{p=1}^P$   
**Output:**  $k$  distinct candidates elements:  $\{x_j\}_{j=1}^k$   
 /\* Updating the count at step  $t$  \*/  
 1 **for**  $p \in [P]$  **do**  
 2      $j = h_p(i_t)$ ;  
 3      $count_{j,p} = count_{j,p} + 1$ ;  
 /\* After the streaming terminates, for each element  $x \in U$ , we take the minimum count over  $P$  hash functions \*/  
 4 **for**  $x \in U$  **do**  
 5     **return**  $\min_p count_{h_p(x),p}$

---

Next, we analyze the estimator  $\min_p count_{h_p(x),p}$  for  $x \in U$  using probability bounding technique.

First set  $k = 2\frac{1}{\epsilon}\frac{1}{\theta}$ , Let  $X_p = count_{j,p} - f(x)$ ,  $\mathbb{E}[X_p] = \frac{1}{2}\epsilon\theta m$ .

By Markov theorem,  $\mathbb{P}[X_p \geq \epsilon\theta m] \leq \frac{1}{2}$  Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}\left(\min_p X_p \geq \epsilon\theta m\right) &= \prod_{p \in [P]} \mathbb{P}[X_p \geq \epsilon\theta m] \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2^P} := \delta \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, with probability  $1 - \delta$ ; for  $p \geq \log(\frac{1}{\delta})$ ;

$$f(x) \leq \left(\min_p X_p\right) \leq f(x) + \epsilon\theta m$$

Note the space complexity is  $O(kP) = O\left(\frac{1}{\epsilon}\frac{1}{\theta} \log \frac{1}{\delta}\right)$ .

### 20.3.2 COUNT SKETCH

Notice the algorithm introduced provides an estimator that is biased, the following augmentation using a randomized updating trick to help address this problem. Furthermore, we bound the variance of proposed estimator.

The augmented algorithm states as follows:

---

**Algorithm 4: COUNT SKETCH**


---

**Input:** Frequency threshold  $\theta$ , stream length  $m$ , a stream sequence  $\{i_t\}_{t=1}^m$ , a hashing function set  $\{h_p(x)\}_{p=1}^P$  with a associated random function  $\sigma_p(x) \in \{-1, 1\}$  with equal probability.

**Output:**  $k$  distinct candidates elements:  $\{x_j\}_{j=1}^k$

```

/* Updating the count at step t                                     */
1 for  $p \in [P]$  do
2    $j = h_p(i_t)$ ;
3    $count_{j,p} = count_{j,p} + \sigma_p(i_t)$ ;
/* After the streaming terminates, for each element  $x \in U$ , we aggregate the
   count over  $P$  hash functions by taking the empirical average instead */
4 for  $x \in U$  do
5   return  $average(\sigma_p(x) * count_{h_p(x),p})$ 

```

---

Therefore, we have:

$$\sigma_p(x)count_{j,p} = f(x) + \sum_{y \in U; y \neq x} \mathbb{1}(h(y) = j) * f(y)$$

$$\mathbb{E}[\sigma_p(x)count_{j,p}] = f(x) + \sum_{y \in U; y \neq x} f(y) * \mathbb{P}[h_p(y) = j] * \mathbb{E}[\sigma_p(x)\sigma_p(y)]$$

we assume pairwise independence for  $x, y \in U$

$$= f(x) + \sigma_p(x) \frac{1}{k} \sum_{y \in U; y \neq x} f(y) \mathbb{E}[\sigma_p(y)]$$

$$= f(x), \text{ as } \mathbb{E}[\sigma_p(y) = 0]$$

Thus, we showed that  $\sigma_p(x)count_{j,p}$ , or  $average(\sigma_p(x)count_{j,p})$  is an unbiased estimator of  $f(x)$ .

Further, we analyze its variance.

Let  $X_p = \sigma_p(x)count_{j,p} - f(x)$ , then  $\mathbb{E}[X_p] = 0$  and

$$Var[X_p] = \mathbb{E}[X^2] = \mathbb{E} \left[ \left( \sum_{y \in U; y \neq x} f(y) \sigma_p(x) \sigma_p(y) \mathbb{1}(h_p(y) = x) \right)^2 \right]$$

$$= \sum_{y \in U; y \neq x} f^2(y) \mathbb{P}(h_p(y) = x) + 2 \underbrace{\sum_{y, y' \in U; y \neq y'} \mathbb{E}[f(y)f(y') \sigma_p(y) \sigma_p(y') \mathbb{1}(h_p(y) = x) \mathbb{1}(h_p(y') = x)]}_0$$

$$= \frac{1}{k} \sum_{y \in U; y \neq x} f^2(y)$$

$$\leq \frac{m^2}{k}, \quad \text{since } \sum_{y \in U; y \neq x} f(y) \leq \sum_{y \in U} f(y) = m$$