CS787: Advanced Algorithms

Lecture 26: Algorithmic LLL Date: 05/02/2019

Scribe: Pan Wu / Sapan Gupta

# 26.1 Lovász local lemma and its algorithmic (constructive) version

#### 26.1.1 Symmetric LLL

Let  $\varepsilon_1, ..., \varepsilon_n$  be events with a dependency graph of degree at most d. Suppose  $\forall i, P[\varepsilon_i] \leq \rho$ , and  $e\rho(d+1) \leq 1$ , where e=2.71 Then,

$$P[\bigcup_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_i] \le 1 - \left(\frac{d}{d+1}\right)^n < 1$$

## 26.1.2 General LLL

Let  $\varepsilon_1, ..., \varepsilon_n$  be events and let  $\Gamma_i$  denote the neighbors of  $\varepsilon_i$  in their dependency graph. Suppose there exists numbers  $x_i \in (0,1)$  such that  $\forall i, P[\varepsilon_i] \leq x_i \prod_{j \in \Gamma_i} (1-x_j)$ Then,

$$p[\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} \tilde{\varepsilon_i}] \ge \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 - x_i) > 0$$

#### Dependency graph between events:

- n vertices
- Place an edge between i and j, if i depends on j For  $\Gamma_i = neighbors \ of \ i$ ,

 $1011_i = neignoors$ 

 $\forall i, \forall S \subseteq [n] \backslash \Gamma_i^+,$ 

 $\Gamma_i^+ = \Gamma_i \cup \{i\},$ 

$$P[\varepsilon_i|\bigcup_{j\in S}\varepsilon_j]=P[\varepsilon_i]$$

## **26.1.3** GLL => SLL

Set  $x_i = \frac{1}{d+1}, \forall i$ For any i,

$$x_i \prod_{j \in \Gamma_i} (1 - x_j) \ge \frac{1}{d+1} (1 - \frac{1}{d+1})^d \ge \frac{1}{d+1} \cdot \frac{1}{e} \ge p \ge P[\varepsilon_i]$$

Thus, SLLL is proved using GLLL.

## Special case:

Suppose that  $\forall i, \sum_{j \in \Gamma_i} P[\varepsilon_j] \leq \frac{1}{4}$ . Then,

$$P[\bigcap_{i=1}^{n} \tilde{\varepsilon_i}] \ge \prod_{i=1}^{n} (1 - p[\varepsilon_i]) > 0$$

Proof GLLL: Set  $x_i = 2P[\varepsilon_i]$ 

$$x_i \prod_{j \in \Gamma_i} (1 - x_j) \ge 2P[\varepsilon_i] (1 - \sum_{j \in \Gamma_i} x_j) \ge 2P[\varepsilon_i] (1 - \frac{1}{2}) = P[\varepsilon_i]$$

## 26.1.4 Proof of GLLL (Induction on size |S|)

Inductive hypothesis:

$$P[\bigcap_{i \in S} \tilde{\varepsilon_i}] \ge \prod_{i \in S} (1 - x_i) \quad and \quad P[\varepsilon_i | \bigcap_{j \in S} \tilde{\varepsilon_j}] \le x_j$$

$$\iff P[\varepsilon_i | \bigcap_{j \in S} \tilde{\varepsilon_j}] \ge 1 - x_i$$

Consider the set  $S = \{1, 2, ..., k, k + 1\}$ 

$$P[\bigcap_{i=1}^{k+1} \tilde{\varepsilon}_{i}] = P[\bigcap_{i=1}^{k} \tilde{\varepsilon}_{i}] \cdot P[\overline{\varepsilon}_{k+1} | \bigcap_{i=1}^{k} \tilde{\varepsilon}_{i}] \ge \prod_{i=1}^{k} (1 - x_{i})(1 - x_{k+1})$$

$$P[\varepsilon_{i} | \bigcap_{j \in S} \tilde{\varepsilon}_{j}] = \frac{P[\varepsilon \text{ and } \bigcap_{j \in \Gamma_{i} \cap S} \tilde{\varepsilon}_{j} | \bigcap_{j \in S \setminus \Gamma_{i}} \overline{\varepsilon}_{j}]}{P[\bigcap_{j \in \Gamma_{i} \cap S} \tilde{\varepsilon}_{j} | \bigcap_{j \in S \setminus \Gamma_{i}} \overline{\varepsilon}_{j}]}$$

$$\le \frac{P[\varepsilon_{i} | \bigcap_{j \in S \setminus \Gamma_{i}} \overline{\varepsilon}_{j}]}{P[\overline{\varepsilon}_{1} | -] P[\overline{\varepsilon}_{2} | \overline{\varepsilon}_{1} \cap -] P[\overline{\varepsilon}_{3} | \overline{\varepsilon}_{1} \cap \overline{\varepsilon}_{2} \cap -]}$$

$$\le \frac{P[\varepsilon_{i}]}{(1 - x_{1})(1 - x_{2})(1 - x_{3}) \dots}$$

$$\le \frac{x_{i} \prod_{j \in \Gamma_{i}} (1 - x_{j})}{\prod_{j \in \Gamma_{i}} \cap S} \le x_{i}$$

## 26.1.5 k-SAT

Given any k-CNF formula where every variable belongs to at most  $\frac{2^k}{ek}$  clauses, the formula is satisfiable. (every clause has exactly k distinct literals)

[Moser'09]

## Algorithm:

- Pick a uniform random assignment while  $\exists$  unsat clause C, FIX(C).

## FIX(C)

- Pick a uniform random assignment for variable in C.
- While  $\exists$  unsatisfied clause D that shares a variable with C, run FIX(D).

If degree of  $C \leq \frac{2^k}{e}$ , algorithm is going to terminate quickly. Every clause that is touched in the recursive FIX calls, becomes satisfied. Everything else remains untouched. If algorithm encounters loops, it may not terminate.