

HW4

Hanying Jiang

October 2019

Contents

| | | |
|----------|---------------|----------|
| 1 | 3.3.12 | 1 |
| 2 | 3.3.13 | 1 |
| 3 | 3.3.15 | 2 |

1 3.3.12

1. (i) \Rightarrow (iii)

Assume x is the projection of y on C and there exists some $c \in C$ s.t. $\langle y - x, c - x \rangle > 0$. Now consider the point $x + \epsilon(c - x)$ where $\epsilon \in (0, 1)$ and thus it's in C . $\|x + \epsilon(c - x) - y\|^2 = \|x - y\|^2 + \epsilon^2\|c - x\|^2 + 2\epsilon\langle x - y, c - x \rangle$. Its derivative w.r.t ϵ is $2(\epsilon\|c - x\|^2 + \langle x - y, c - x \rangle) < 0$ when ϵ is closed to 0. So there exists *epsilon* s.t. $x + \epsilon(c - x)$ is closer to y than x and is also in C . This contradicts our assumption. So (iii) must holds.

2. (iii) \Rightarrow (i)

Assume (iii) holds. For any $c \in C$, we have $\|c - y\|^2 = \|c - x + x - y\|^2 = \|c - x\|^2 + \|y - x\|^2 - 2\langle y - x, c - x \rangle \geq \|x - y\|^2$. So (i) holds.

3. (ii) \Rightarrow (i)

Simply let τ be 1.

4. (i) \Rightarrow (ii)

By (i) \Leftrightarrow (iii), it's equivalent to show that $y - x \in N_C(x) \Rightarrow x + \tau(y - x) - x = \tau(y - x) \in N_C(x)$. This equation just follows by the definition of normal cone.

2 3.3.13

$$N_K(k) \subset \{k^* \in K^o \mid \langle k^*, k \rangle = 0\}$$

1. For any $k^* \in N_K(k)$, $\langle k^*, k \rangle = 0$.
By definition, we have $\langle k^*, c - k \rangle \leq 0$, $\forall c \in K$. Since K is a cone, we can let $c = 2k$ and $\frac{k}{2}$, then we have $\langle k^*, k \rangle = 0$.
2. For any $k^* \in N_K(k)$, $k^* \in K^o$.
For any $c \in K$, we have $\langle k^*, c - k \rangle \leq 0$. We already known that $\langle k^*, k \rangle = 0$. So $\langle k^*, c \rangle \leq 0 \leq 1$. So $k^* \in K^o$.

Thus $N_K(k) \subset \{k^* \in K^o \mid \langle k^*, k \rangle = 0\}$.

$$\{k^* \in K^o \mid \langle k^*, k \rangle = 0\} \subset N_K(k)$$

For any $k^* \in \{k^* \in K^o \mid \langle k^*, k \rangle = 0\}$ and any $c \in K$, we have $\langle k^*, c \rangle \leq 0$ by Proposition 3.1.2. Then we have $\langle k^*, c - k \rangle = \langle k^*, c \rangle \leq 0$. Thus $\{k^* \in K^o \mid \langle k^*, k \rangle = 0\} \subset N_K(k)$.

3 3.3.15

It's sufficient to show that $N_C(x) \subset N_C(y)$. Then by symmetry, we also have $N_C(y) \subset N_C(x)$. So they are equivalent.

Assume there exists $z \in N_C(x)$ but $z \notin N_C(y)$. Then there is some $c \in C$ and $\langle z, c - y \rangle > 0$.

Now let's consider a point of the form $x + \epsilon(x - y) + \sigma(c - y)$, where $\epsilon, \sigma > 0$.

Then $\langle z, (x + \epsilon(x - y) + \sigma(c - y)) - x \rangle$

$$= \langle z, \epsilon(x - y) + \sigma(c - y) \rangle$$

$$= -\epsilon \langle z, y - x \rangle + \sigma \langle z, c - y \rangle > 0.$$

Because $\langle z, y - x \rangle \leq 0$ by definition and $\langle z, c - y \rangle$ by assumption.

$$\begin{aligned} & x + \epsilon(x - y) + \sigma(c - y) \\ &= (1 - \sigma)x + (\epsilon + \sigma)(x - y) + \sigma c \\ &= (1 - \sigma)(x + \frac{\epsilon + \sigma}{1 - \sigma}(x - y)) + \sigma c \end{aligned}$$

Because $x, y \in S$ is relatively open and $x - y \in \text{par } S$, if we choose ϵ and σ small enough, $x + \frac{\epsilon + \sigma}{1 - \sigma}(x - y) \in S \subset C$. By the convexity of C , $(1 - \sigma)(x + \frac{\epsilon + \sigma}{1 - \sigma}(x - y)) + \sigma c \in C$.

This means that we found a point $c' \in C$ satisfying $\langle z, c' - x \rangle > 0$, which contradicts our assumption. So $N_C(x) \subset N_C(y)$. We are done.