

## 0.1 Heavy Hitters

The algorithm:

let  $m = \log(1/\eta); k = 2/\epsilon;$

let  $Count[1..m][1..k] = 0;$

Select  $m$  independent hash functions from a 2-universal family:  $h_1, h_2, \dots, h_m : [n] \rightarrow [k]$

for  $i : 1 \rightarrow m:$

for  $j : 1 \rightarrow k:$

$Count[i][h_i(j)] = Count[i][h_i(j)] + 1/\theta;$

end

end

Return  $f_q = \max_{1 \leq i \leq m} Count[i][h(q)],$  on query  $q.$

$Count[\cdot][\cdot]$  spend  $\log(1/\eta) \cdot \log(2/\epsilon)$  space, while  $m$  hash functions spend  $\log(1/\eta)$  space. Thus the space complexity of this algorithm is  $O(1/\epsilon \cdot \log(1/\eta)).$

## 0.2 Counting In Small Space

Let  $X(m)$  denote random counter after  $m$ -th arrival, initialize  $X(0)=0$ ; increment with probability  $p_X = 2^{-X}$

(A)

$$\begin{aligned}
 E[Y] = E[2^{X(m)}] &= \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} Pr[X(m-1) = j] * E[2^{X(m)} | X(m-1) = j] \\
 &= \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} Pr[X(m-1) = j] * (p_j * 2^{j+1} + (1 - p_j) * 2^j) \\
 &= \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} Pr[X(m-1) = j] * (2^j + 1) \\
 &= E[2^{X(m-1)}] + 1 \\
 &= E[2^{X(0)}] + m \\
 &= 1 + m
 \end{aligned}$$

(B)

$$\begin{aligned}
E[2^{2X(m)}] &= \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} Pr[X(m-1) = j] * E[2^{2X(m)} | X(m-1) = j] \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} Pr[X(m-1) = j] * (p_j * 2^{2j+2} + (1 - p_j) * 2^{2j}) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} Pr[X(m-1) = j] * (2^{j+2} + 2^{2j} - 2^j) \\
&= \sum_{j=1}^{m-1} Pr[X(m-1) = j] * (3 * 2^j + 2^{2j}) \\
&= 3 * E[2^{X(m-1)}] + E[2^{2X(m-1)}] \\
&= 3m + E[2^{2X(m-1)}] \\
&= 3 \sum_{l=1}^m l + E[2^{2X(0)}] \\
&= \frac{3m(m+1)}{2} + 1 \\
Var[Y] = Var[2^{X(m)}] &= E[2^{2X(m)}] - E[2^{X(m)}]^2 \\
&= \left(\frac{3m(m+1)}{2} + 1\right) - (m+1)^2 \\
&= \frac{m(m-1)}{2}
\end{aligned}$$

(C) Let this process repeat  $k$  times. Denote  $Z = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^k (Y - 1)$ , we have  $Var[Z] = \frac{1}{k} Var[Y]$ . By Chebyshev's inequality:

$$\begin{aligned}
Pr[|Z - E[Z]| \geq \epsilon E[Z]] &\leq \frac{Var[Z]}{(\epsilon E[Z])^2} \\
&= \frac{1}{m^2 \epsilon^2 k} \left(\frac{1}{2} m^2 - \frac{1}{2}\right) \\
&\approx \frac{1}{2 \epsilon^2 k} \quad (\text{Since } m \text{ is much larger than } 1)
\end{aligned}$$

We want this probability to be  $\delta$ , so  $k = \frac{1}{2 \epsilon^2 \delta}$ . Since storing  $X$  takes  $\log(m)$  space, the total space would be  $\frac{1}{2 \epsilon^2 \delta} \log(m)$

### 0.3 Spanners

(A) For every  $u, v \in H$  that are adjacent vertices in  $G$ : If  $(u, v)$  is added to  $H$  by algorithm, then  $d_H(u, v) = d_G(u, v) = 1$ . Else, we know that  $d_G(u, v) = 1 \leq d_H(u, v) \leq t = t * d_G(u, v)$ .

For every  $u, v \in H$  that aren't adjacent in  $G$ : Let the shortest path from  $a$  to  $b$  in  $G/H$  be  $P_G(a, b)/P_H(a, b)$ , let its length be  $|P_G(a, b)|/|P_H(a, b)|$ . Assume  $P_G(u, v) := u - x_1 - x_2 - \dots - x_k - v$ .

Since  $(u, x_1), (x_i, x_{i+1}), (x_k, v)$  are adjacent in  $G$ , we will have:

$$1 \leq |P_H(u, x_1)| \leq t, 1 \leq |P_H(x_1, x_2)| \leq t, \dots, 1 \leq |P_H(x_k, v)| \leq t$$

Thus we can get  $k+1 = |P_G(u, v)| \leq |P_H(u, v)| \leq |P_H(u, x_1)| + |P_H(x_1, x_2)| + \dots + |P_H(x_k, v)| = (k+1)t$ , So,  $H$  is a  $t$ -spanner.

- (B) If  $H$  doesn't have a circle, then girth of  $H$  is  $\infty$ . Else, we pick a random circle  $C$  from  $H$ . Let  $(u, v)$  be the last edge that was added to  $H$  by the algorithm and let its length be  $L$ . Before the iteration we decide whether or not to add  $(u, v)$ , there is a path from  $u$  to  $v$  with length  $= L-1$  (the rest of the circle). So  $d_H(u, v) \leq L-1$ . And, since  $(u, v)$  is added to  $H$ , then before this iteration,  $t < d_H(u, v)$ . So we have  $t < L-1 \Rightarrow t+2 \leq L$  for any circle. Thus we can get  $g \geq t+2$ .

(C)

## 0.4 Counting Min Cuts

The denotations are as below:

$n$ : The vertex amount.

$m$ : The edge amount.

$k$ : The min cut,  $|C^*|$

$E_i$ : The event that at  $i$  iteration the ALG choose one of the edges from  $C$ . ( $i \geq 0$ )

- (A) At the start of  $i$  iteration, there hence are  $n-i$  vertices, and there are at least  $\frac{1}{2}k(n-i)$  edges. Hence,

$$P(E_i) \leq \frac{\alpha k}{\frac{1}{2}k(n-i)} = \frac{2\alpha}{n-i}$$

(B)

$$\begin{aligned} & P(\neg E_0 \cap \neg E_1 \cap \neg E_2 \cap \dots \cap \neg E_{end}) \\ &= P(\neg E_0) * P(\neg E_1 | \neg E_0) * P(\neg E_2 | \neg E_0 \cap \neg E_1) * \dots * P(\neg E_{end} | \neg E_0 \cap \neg E_1 \cap \dots \cap \neg E_{end-1}) \\ &\geq (1 - \frac{2\alpha}{n}) * (1 - \frac{2\alpha}{n-1}) * \dots * (1 - \frac{2\alpha}{2\alpha+1}) \\ &= (\frac{n-2\alpha}{n}) * (\frac{n-1-2\alpha}{n-1}) * \dots * (\frac{1}{2\alpha+1}) \\ &= \frac{2\alpha * (2\alpha-1) * \dots * 1}{n * (n-1) * \dots * (n-2\alpha+1)} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{n^{2\alpha}} \end{aligned}$$

The above derivation shows that when the graph is decreased to  $2\alpha + 1$  vertices, we should break the iteration and output the min cut among all  $2^{2\alpha+1}$  cuts.

(C) From (b), we can get the upper bound of distinct cuts fewer than  $\alpha|C^*|$  edges is  $n^{2\alpha}$ .

## 0.5 List Coloring

Let  $e = (u, v) \in E$  be an edge in  $G$ .

And let  $\varepsilon_e$  be the case that  $e$  is monochromatic.

When  $S(u) = S(v)$ , the probability that  $e$  is monochromatic is the highest, so we can get  $P[\varepsilon_e] \leq \frac{1}{k}$

Let  $\Gamma_e$  be the set of edges that intersect with  $e$ . Because  $u$  shares a color with at most  $k/10$  other vertices, so there can at most be  $\frac{k}{10} - 1$  other edges that  $e$  depends on. So we can get  $|\Gamma_e| \leq \frac{k}{10} - 1$

Because the edge can not be monochromatic if there are no shared colors, so all other edges not in  $\Gamma_e$  are independent of  $e$ . Because  $e^{\frac{1}{k}}(\frac{k}{10} - 1 + 1) = \frac{e}{10} < 1$ ,  $P[\bigcup_{e \in E} \varepsilon_e] < 1$ , thus we can apply the Lovasz Local Lemma to find that there always exists a proper list-coloring of  $G$ .