

FEATURE DETECTION

Slides adapted from Steve Seitz and Svetlana Lazeknik

Image matching



by [Diva Sian](#)



by [swashford](#)

Harder case



by [Diva Sian](#)





by [scgbt](#)


Even harder case



“How the Afghan Girl was Identified by Her Iris Patterns” Read the [story](#)




Harder still?




NASA Mars Rover images

Answer below (look for tiny colored squares...)



NASA Mars Rover images
with SIFT feature matches
Figure by Noah Snaveley

Features



All is Vanity, by C. Allan Gilbert, 1873-1929

Readings

- Szeliski, Ch 4.1
- (optional) K. Mikolajczyk, C. Schmid, A performance evaluation of local descriptors. In PAMI 27(10):1615-1630
 - http://www.robots.ox.ac.uk/~vgg/research/affine/det_eval_files/mikolajczyk

Image Matching








Image Matching



Invariant local features

Find features that are invariant to transformations

- geometric invariance: translation, rotation, scale
- photometric invariance: brightness, exposure, ...



Feature Descriptors

Advantages of local features

Locality

- features are local, so robust to occlusion and clutter

Distinctiveness:

- can differentiate a large database of objects

Quantity

- hundreds or thousands in a single image

Efficiency

- real-time performance achievable

Generality

- exploit different types of features in different situations

More motivation...

Feature points are used for:

- Image alignment (e.g., mosaics)
- 3D reconstruction
- Motion tracking
- Object recognition
- Indexing and database retrieval
- Robot navigation
- ... other

What makes a good feature?



Snoop demo

Want uniqueness

Look for image regions that are unusual




- Lead to unambiguous matches in other images

How to define “unusual”?

Local measures of uniqueness

Suppose we only consider a small window of pixels


- What defines whether a feature is a good or bad candidate?




Feature detection

Local measure of feature uniqueness


- How does the window change when you shift by a *small amount*?



“flat” region:
no change in all
directions



“edge”:
no change along
the edge direction




“corner”:
significant change
in all directions

Feature detection: the math

Consider shifting the window **W** by (u,v)

- how do the pixels in **W** change?
- compare each pixel before and after by Sum of the Squared Differences (SSD)
- this defines an SSD “error” $E(u,v)$:



$$E(u, v) = \sum_{(x,y) \in W} [I(x + u, y + v) - I(x, y)]^2$$

Small motion assumption

Taylor Series expansion of I:

$$I(x+u, y+v) = I(x, y) + \frac{\partial I}{\partial x}u + \frac{\partial I}{\partial y}v + \text{higher order terms}$$

If the motion (u,v) is small, then first order approx is good

$$I(x + u, y + v) \approx I(x, y) + \frac{\partial I}{\partial x}u + \frac{\partial I}{\partial y}v$$

$$\approx I(x, y) + [I_x \ I_y] \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix}$$


$$\text{shorthand: } I_x = \frac{\partial I}{\partial x}$$

Plugging this into the formula on the previous slide...

Feature detection: the math

Consider shifting the window W by (u,v)

- how do the pixels in W change?
- compare each pixel before and after by summing up the squared differences
- this defines an “error” of $E(u,v)$:



$$\begin{aligned} E(u, v) &= \sum_{(x,y) \in W} [I(x + u, y + v) - I(x, y)]^2 \\ &\approx \sum_{(x,y) \in W} \left[I(x, y) + [I_x \ I_y] \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix} - I(x, y) \right]^2 \\ &\approx \sum_{(x,y) \in W} \left[[I_x \ I_y] \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix} \right]^2 \end{aligned}$$

Feature detection: the math

This can be rewritten:

$$E(u, v) = \sum_{(x,y) \in W} [u \ v] \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} I_x^2 & I_x I_y \\ I_y I_x & I_y^2 \end{bmatrix}}_H \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix}$$

$$E(u, v) = \sum_{(x,y) \in W} [I(x + u, y + v) - I(x, y)]^2$$

For the example above

- You can move the center of the green window to anywhere on the blue unit circle
- Which directions will result in the largest and smallest E values?
- We can find these directions by looking at the eigenvectors of **H**

Quick eigenvalue/eigenvector review

The **eigenvectors** of a matrix **A** are the vectors **x** that satisfy:

$$Ax = \lambda x$$

The scalar λ is the **eigenvalue** corresponding to **x**

- The eigenvalues are found by solving:

$$\det(A - \lambda I) = 0$$

- In our case, **A** = **H** is a 2x2 matrix, so we have

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} h_{11} - \lambda & h_{12} \\ h_{21} & h_{22} - \lambda \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

- The solution:

$$\lambda_{\pm} = \frac{1}{2} \left[(h_{11} + h_{22}) \pm \sqrt{4h_{12}h_{21} + (h_{11} - h_{22})^2} \right]$$


Once you know λ , you find **x** by solving

$$\begin{bmatrix} h_{11} - \lambda & h_{12} \\ h_{21} & h_{22} - \lambda \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} = 0$$

Feature detection: the math

This can be rewritten:

$$E(u, v) = [u \ v] \underbrace{\left(\sum_{(x,y) \in W} \begin{bmatrix} I_x^2 & I_x I_y \\ I_y I_x & I_y^2 \end{bmatrix} \right)}_H \begin{bmatrix} u \\ v \end{bmatrix}$$



Eigenvalues and eigenvectors of H

- Define shifts with the smallest and largest change (E value)
- x_+ = direction of **largest** increase in E.
- λ_+ = amount of increase in direction x_+
- x_- = direction of **smallest** increase in E.
- λ_- = amount of increase in direction x_+

$$Hx_+ = \lambda_+ x_+$$

$$Hx_- = \lambda_- x_-$$

Feature detection: the math

How are λ_+ , \mathbf{x}_+ , λ_- , and \mathbf{x}_- relevant for feature detection?

- What's our feature scoring function?


Feature detection: the math

How are λ_+ , \mathbf{x}_+ , λ_- , and \mathbf{x}_- relevant for feature detection?


- What's our feature scoring function?

Want $E(u, v)$ to be **large** for small shifts in **all** directions


- the *minimum* of $E(u, v)$ should be large, over all unit vectors $[u \ v]$
- this minimum is given by the smaller eigenvalue (λ_-) of \mathbf{H}



I



λ_+




λ_-


Feature detection summary

Here's what you do


- Compute the gradient at each point in the image
- Create the ***H*** matrix from the entries in the gradient
- Compute the eigenvalues.
- Find points with large response ($\lambda_- > \text{threshold}$)
- Choose those points where λ_- is a local maximum as features



I



λ_+




λ_-

Feature detection summary

Here's what you do

- Compute the gradient at each point in the image
- Create the **H** matrix from the entries in the gradient
- Compute the eigenvalues.
- Find points with large response ($\lambda_- > \text{threshold}$)
- Choose those points where λ_- is a local maximum as features



λ_-

The Harris operator

λ_- is a variant of the “Harris operator” for feature detection


$$f = \frac{\lambda_- \lambda_+}{\lambda_- + \lambda_+}$$
$$= \frac{\text{determinant}(H)}{\text{trace}(H)}$$

- The *trace* is the sum of the diagonals, i.e., $\text{trace}(H) = h_{11} + h_{22}$
- Very similar to λ_- but less expensive (no square root)
- Called the “Harris Corner Detector” or “Harris Operator”
- Lots of other detectors, this is one of the most popular

The Harris operator

Harris
operator


λ_-




Harris detector example



f value (red high, blue low)



Threshold ($f > \text{value}$)



Find local maxima of f



Harris features (in red)



Invariance

Suppose you **rotate** the image by some angle

- Will you still pick up the same features?

What if you change the brightness?


Scale?

Invariance and covariance

- We want corner locations to be *invariant* to photometric transformations and *covariant* to geometric transformations
 - **Invariance:** image is transformed and corner locations do not change
 - **Covariance:** if we have two transformed versions of the same image, features should be detected in corresponding locations





Affine intensity change




$$I \rightarrow a I + b$$

- Only derivatives are used => invariance to intensity shift $I \rightarrow I + b$
- Intensity scaling: $I \rightarrow a I$



Partially invariant to affine intensity change


Image translation



- **Derivatives and window function are shift-invariant**

Corner location is covariant w.r.t. translation


Image rotation



Second moment ellipse rotates but its shape (i.e. eigenvalues) remains the same

Corner location is covariant w.r.t. rotation


Scaling



Corner location is not covariant to scaling!

Scale invariant detection

Suppose you're looking for corners




Key idea: find scale that gives local maximum of f

- f is a local maximum in both position and scale
- Common definition of f : Laplacian
(or difference between two Gaussian filtered images with different sigmas)

Automatic scale selection


Lindeberg et al., 1996



$$f(I_{l_1...l_m}(x, \sigma))$$


Slide from Tinne Tuytelaars

Automatic scale selection




$$f(I_{l_1...l_m}(x, \sigma))$$

Automatic scale selection




$$f(I_{l_1...l_m}(x, \sigma))$$

Automatic scale selection




$$f(I_{i_1 \dots i_m}(x, \sigma))$$

Automatic scale selection




$$f(I_{l_1 \dots l_m}(x, \sigma))$$

Automatic scale selection




$$f(I_{l_1 \dots l_m}(x, \sigma))$$

Automatic scale selection




$$f(I_{i_1 \dots i_m}(x, \sigma))$$



$$f(I_{i_1 \dots i_m}(x', \sigma'))$$

Automatic scale selection


Normalize: rescale to fixed size



Feature descriptors

We know how to detect good points


Next question: **How to match them?**



Feature descriptors

We know how to detect good points

Next question: **How to match them?**



Lots of possibilities (this is a popular research area)

- Simple option: match square windows around the point
- State of the art approach: SIFT
 - David Lowe, UBC <http://www.cs.ubc.ca/~lowe/keypoints/>

Invariance

Suppose we are comparing two images I_1 and I_2

- I_2 may be a transformed version of I_1
- What kinds of transformations are we likely to encounter in practice?

Invariance

Suppose we are comparing two images I_1 and I_2

- I_2 may be a transformed version of I_1
- What kinds of transformations are we likely to encounter in practice?

We'd like to find the same features regardless of the transformation

- This is called transformational ***invariance***
- Most feature methods are designed to be invariant to
 - Translation, 2D rotation, scale
- They can usually also handle
 - Limited 3D rotations (SIFT works up to about 60 degrees)
 - Limited affine transformations (some are fully affine invariant)
 - Limited illumination/contrast changes

How to achieve invariance

Need both of the following:

1. Make sure your detector is invariant

- Harris is invariant to translation and rotation
- Scale is trickier
 - SIFT uses automatic scale selection (previous slides)
 - simpler approach is to detect features at many scales using a Gaussian pyramid (e.g., MOPS) and add them all to database

2. Design an invariant feature *descriptor*

- A descriptor captures the information in a region around the detected feature point
- The simplest descriptor: a square window of pixels
 - What's this invariant to?
- Let's look at some better approaches...

Rotation invariance for feature descriptors

Find dominant orientation of the image window

- This is given by \mathbf{x}_+ , the eigenvector of \mathbf{H} corresponding to λ_+
 - λ_+ is the *larger* eigenvalue
- Rotate the window according to this angle




Figure by Matthew Brown

Multiscale Oriented PatcheS descriptor

Take 40x40 square window around detected feature

- Scale to 1/5 size (using prefiltering)
- Rotate to horizontal
- Sample 8x8 square window centered at feature
- Intensity normalize the window by subtracting the mean, dividing by the standard deviation in the window



Adapted from slide by Matthew Brown

Detections at multiple scales





Figure 1. Multi-scale Oriented Patches (MOPS) extracted at five pyramid levels from one of the Matier images. The boxes show the feature orientation and the region from which the descriptor vector is sampled.

Scale Invariant Feature Transform

Basic idea:

- Take 16x16 square window around detected feature
- Compute edge orientation (angle of the gradient - 90°) for each pixel
- Throw out weak edges (threshold gradient magnitude)
- Create histogram of surviving edge orientations




Adapted from slide by David Lowe

SIFT descriptor

Full version


- Divide the 16x16 window into a 4x4 grid of cells (2x2 case shown below)
- Compute an orientation histogram for each cell
- 16 cells * 8 orientations = 128 dimensional descriptor



Properties of SIFT

Extraordinarily robust matching technique

- Can handle changes in viewpoint
 - Up to about 60 degree out of plane rotation
- Can handle significant changes in illumination
 - Sometimes even day vs. night (below)
- Fast and efficient—can run in real time
- Lots of code available
 - http://people.csail.mit.edu/albert/ladypack/wiki/index.php/Known_implementations_of_SIFT



Maximally Stable Extremal Regions

J.Matas et.al. “Distinguished Regions for Wide-baseline Stereo”. BMVC 2002.

- Maximally Stable Extremal Regions
 - *Threshold* image intensities: $I > thresh$ for several increasing values of thresh
 - Extract *connected components* (“Extremal Regions”)
 - Find a threshold when region is “Maximally Stable”, i.e. *local minimum* of the relative growth
 - Approximate each region with an *ellipse*



Feature matching


Given a feature in I_1 , how to find the best match in I_2 ?

1. Define distance function that compares two descriptors
2. Test all the features in I_2 , find the one with min distance


Feature distance

How to define the difference between two features f_1, f_2 ?

- Simple approach is $\text{SSD}(f_1, f_2)$
 - sum of square differences between entries of the two descriptors
 - can give good scores to very ambiguous (bad) matches



I_1




I_2


Feature distance

How to define the difference between two features f_1, f_2 ?

- Better approach: ratio distance = $\text{SSD}(f_1, f_2) / \text{SSD}(f_1, f_2')$
 - f_2 is best SSD match to f_1 in I_2
 - f_2' is 2nd best SSD match to f_1 in I_2
 - gives large values (~ 1) for ambiguous matches




I_1



I_2


Eliminating bad matches



Throw out features with distance $>$ threshold

- How to choose the threshold?

True/false positives




The distance threshold affects performance

- True positives = # of detected matches that are correct
 - Suppose we want to maximize these—how to choose threshold?
- False positives = # of detected matches that are incorrect
 - Suppose we want to minimize these—how to choose threshold?


Evaluating the results

How can we measure the performance of a feature matcher?



Evaluating the results

How can we measure the performance of a feature matcher?



ROC Curves

- Generated by counting # current/incorrect matches, for different thresholds
- Want to maximize area under the curve (AUC)
- Useful for comparing different feature matching methods
- For more info: http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Receiver_operating_characteristic

More on feature detection/description



Publications

Region detectors

- *Harris-Affine & Hessian Affine*: [K. Mikolajczyk](#) and [C. Schmid](#), Scale and Affine invariant interest point detectors. In IJCV 1(60):63-86, 2004. [PDF](#)
- *MSER*: [J. Matas](#), [O. Chum](#), [M. Urban](#), and [T. Pajdla](#), Robust wide baseline stereo from maximally stable extremal regions. In BMVC p. 384-393, 2002. [PDF](#)
- *IBR & EBR*: [T. Tuytelaars](#) and [L. Van Gool](#), Matching widely separated views based on affine invariant regions. In IJCV 1(59):61-85, 2004. [PDF](#)
- *Salient regions*: [T. Kadir](#), [A. Zisserman](#), and [M. Brady](#), An affine invariant salient region detector. In ECCV p. 404-416, 2004. [PDF](#)

Region descriptors

- *SIFT*: [D. Lowe](#), Distinctive image features from scale invariant keypoints. In IJCV 2(60):91-110, 2004. [PDF](#)

Performance evaluation


- [K. Mikolajczyk](#), [T. Tuytelaars](#), [C. Schmid](#), [A. Zisserman](#), [J. Matas](#), [F. Schaffalitzky](#), [T. Kadir](#) and [L. Van Gool](#), A comparison of affine region detectors. Technical Report, accepted to IJCV. [PDF](#)
- [K. Mikolajczyk](#), [C. Schmid](#), A performance evaluation of local descriptors. Technical Report, accepted to PAMI. [PDF](#)

Lots of applications

Features are used for:

- Image alignment (e.g., mosaics)
- 3D reconstruction
- Motion tracking
- Object recognition
- Indexing and database retrieval
- Robot navigation
- ... other

Object recognition (David Lowe)



Sony Aibo

SIFT usage:

- Recognize charging station
- Communicate with visual cards
- Teach object recognition

AIBO® Entertainment Robot

Official U.S. Resources and Online Destinations

