

A Mechanized Theory of Communication Analysis in CML

March 4, 2019

Concurrent ML

- ▶ extension of Standard ML
- ▶ concurrency and synchronization
- ▶ synchronized communication over channels: send event, receive event
- ▶ composition of events: choose event, wrap event ...

Concurrent ML

```
type thread_id
val spawn : (unit -> unit) -> thread_id

type 'a chan
val channel : unit -> 'a chan

type 'a event
val sync: 'a event -> 'a

val recvEvt: 'a chan -> 'a event
val sendEvt: 'a channel * 'a -> unit event

val send: 'a chan * 'a -> unit
fun send (ch, v) = sync (sendEvt (ch, v))

val recv: 'a chan -> 'a
fun recv ch = sync (recvEvt ch)
```

Concurrent ML

```
structure Serv : SERV =  
struct  
  datatype serv = S of (int * int chan)  
    chan  
  
  fun make () =  
  let  
    val reqCh = channel ()  
    fun loop state =  
    let  
      val (v, replCh) = recv reqCh  
      val () = send (replCh, state)  
    in  
      loop v  
    end  
    val () = spawn (fn () => loop 0)  
  in  
    S reqCh  
  end
```

```
  fun call (server, v) =  
  let  
    val S reqCh = server  
    val replCh = channel ()  
    val () = send (reqCh, (v, replCh))  
  in  
    recv replCh  
  end  
end  
  
signature SERV =  
sig  
  type serv  
  val make : unit -> serv  
  val call : serv * int -> int  
end
```

Isabelle/HOL

- ▶ interactive theorem proving assistant; proof assistant
- ▶ unification and rewriting
- ▶ simply typed terms
- ▶ propositions as boolean typed terms
- ▶ higher order terms
- ▶ computable functions
- ▶ inductive data
- ▶ inductive reasoning
- ▶ tactics and composition

Isabelle/HOL

```
⊢ P1 ∨ P2 → Q
proof
  assume P1 ∨ P2:
    case P1:
      have ⊢ P1 → Q by A
      have ⊢ Q by modus ponens
    case P2:
      have ⊢ P2 → Q by B
      have ⊢ Q by modus ponens
  have P1 ⊢ Q, P2 ⊢ Q
  have ⊢ Q by disjunction elimination
have P1 ∨ P2 ⊢ Q
have ⊢ P1 ∨ P2 → Q
  by implication introduction
qed
```

```
⊢ P1 ∨ P2 → Q
apply (rule impI)
  P1 ∨ P2 ⊢ Q
apply (erule disjE)
  P1 ⊢ Q
* P2 ⊢ Q
apply (insert A)
  P1, P1 → Q ⊢ Q
* P2 ⊢ Q
apply (erule mp)
  P1 ⊢ P1
* P2 ⊢ Q
apply assumption
  P2 ⊢ Q
apply (insert B)
  P2, P2 → Q ⊢ Q
apply (erule mp)
  P2 ⊢ P2
apply assumption
done
```

Isabelle/HOL

```
datatype nat = Z | S nat
```

```
predicate lte: nat -> nat -> bool where
```

```
  eq: n .
```

```
   $\vdash$  lte n n
```

```
* lt: n1 n2 .
```

```
  lte n1 n2
```

```
   $\vdash$  lte n1 (S n2)
```

```
datatype 'a list =  
  Nil  
| Cons 'a ('a list)
```

```
predicate sorted:
```

```
  ('a -> 'a -> bool) -> 'a list -> bool
```

```
where
```

```
  nil: r .
```

```
   $\vdash$  sorted r Nil
```

```
* uni: r x .
```

```
   $\vdash$  sorted r (Cons x Nil)
```

```
* cons: r x y ys .
```

```
  r x y,
```

```
  sorted r (Cons y ys)
```

```
   $\vdash$  sorted r (Cons x (Cons y ys))
```

Isabelle/HOL

```
⊢ sorted lte (Cons (Z) (Cons (S Z) (Cons (S Z) (Cons (S (S (S Z))) Nil))))  
apply (rule cons)  
  ⊢ lte Z (S Z)  
* ⊢ sorted lte (Cons (S Z) (Cons (S Z) (Cons (S (S (S Z))) Nil)))  
apply (rule lt)  
  ⊢ lte Z Z  
* ⊢ sorted lte (Cons (S Z) (Cons (S Z) (Cons (S (S (S Z))) Nil)))  
apply (rule eq)  
  ⊢ sorted lte (Cons (S Z) (Cons (S Z) (Cons (S (S (S Z))) Nil)))  
apply (rule cons)  
  ⊢ lte (S Z) (S Z)  
* ⊢ sorted lte (Cons (S Z) (Cons (S (S (S Z))) Nil))  
apply (rule eq)  
  ⊢ sorted lte (Cons (S Z) (Cons (S (S (S Z))) Nil))  
apply (rule cons)  
  ⊢ lte (S Z) (S (S (S Z)))  
* ⊢ sorted lte (Cons (S (S (S Z))) Nil)  
apply (rule lt)  
  ⊢ lte (S Z) (S (S Z))  
* ⊢ sorted lte (Cons (S (S (S Z))) Nil)  
apply (rule lt)  
  ⊢ lte (S Z) (S Z)  
* ⊢ sorted lte (Cons (S (S (S Z))) Nil)  
apply (rule eq)  
  ⊢ sorted lte (Cons (S (S (S Z))) Nil)  
apply (rule uni)  
done
```


Analysis

- ▶ communication classification: one-shot, one-to-many, many-to-one, many-to-many
- ▶ control flow analysis
- ▶ channel liveness
- ▶ algorithm vs constraints
- ▶ structural recursion vs fixpoint accumulation
- ▶ performance improvements
- ▶ safety

Synchronization

- ▶ uniprocessor; dispatch scheduling
- ▶ multiprocessor; mutex and compare-and-swap
- ▶ synchronization state
- ▶ sender and receiver thread containers
- ▶ message containers

Syntax

```
datatype name = Nm string
```

```
datatype term =  
  Bind name complex term  
| Rslt name
```

```
and complex =  
  Unt  
| MkChn  
| Atom atom  
| Spwn term  
| Sync name  
| Fst name  
| Snd name  
| Case name name term name term  
| App name name
```

```
and atom =  
  SendEvt name name  
| RecvEvt name  
| Pair name name  
| Lft name  
| Rht name  
| Fun name name term
```

Dynamic Semantics

```
datatype dynamic_step =
```

```
  DSeq name  
| DSpwn name  
| DCll name  
| DRtn name
```

```
type dynamic_path =
```

```
  dynamic_step list
```

```
datatype chan =
```

```
  Chan dynamic_path name
```

```
datatype dynamic_value =
```

```
  VUnt  
| VChn chan  
| VAtm atom (name -> dynamic_value option)
```

```
type environment =
```

```
  name -> dynamic_value option
```

Dynamic Semantics

predicate seqEval: complex -> environment -> dynamic_value -> bool **where**

unit: env .

⊢ seqEval Unt env VUnt

* atom: a env .

⊢ seqEval (Atom a) env (VAtm a env)

* first: env n_p n_1 n_2 env $_p$ v .

env n_p = Some (VAtm (Pair n_1 n_2) env $_p$),

env $_p$ n_1 = Some v

⊢ seqEval (Fst n_p) env v

* second: env n_p n_1 n_2 env $_p$ v .

env n_p = Some (VAtm (Pair n_1 n_2) env $_p$),

env $_p$ n_2 = Some v

⊢ seqEval (Snd n_p) env v

Dynamic Semantics

predicate callEval: complex -> env -> term -> env -> bool **where**

distincLeft: env n_S n_C env_S v n_l t_l n_r t_r .

env n_S = Some (VAtm (Lft n_C) env_S),

env_S n_C = Some v

⊢ callEval (Case n_S n_l t_l n_r t_r) env t_l (env(n_l -> v))

* distincRight: env n_S n_C env_S v n_l t_l n_r t_r .

env n_S = Some (VAtm (Rht n_C) env_S),

env_S n_C = Some v

⊢ callEval (Case n_S n_l t_l n_r t_r) env t_r (env(n_r -> v))

* application: env n_f n_f' n_p t_b env_f n_a v .

env n_f = Some (VAtm (Fun n_f' n_p t_b) env_f),

env n_a = Some v

⊢ callEval

(App n_f n_a) env t_b

(env_f(

n_f' -> (VAtm (Fun n_f' n_p t_b) env_f),

n_p -> v

))

Dynamic Semantics

```
datatype contin = Ctn name tm env

type stack = contin list

datatype state =
  Stt program env stack

type pool =
  dynamic_path -> state option

predicate leaf: pool -> dynamic_path -> bool where
  intro: pool path stt .
    pool path = Some stt,
    ( $\nexists$  path' stt' .
      pool path' = Some stt',
      strictPrefix path path'
    )
   $\vdash$  leaf pool path

type corresp = dynamic_path * chan * dynamic_path

type communication = corresp set
```

Dynamic Semantics

predicate dynamicEval:

pool -> communication -> pool -> communication -> bool

where

return: pool path n env n_k t_k env_k stack' v comm .

leaf pool path,

pool path = Some (Stt (Rslt n) env ((Ctn n_k t_k env_k) # stack')),

env n = Some v

⊢ dynamicEval

pool comm

(pool(

path @ [DRtn n] ->

(Stt t_k env_k(n_k -> v) stack')

))

comm

* seq: pool path n c t' env stack v .

leaf pool path,

pool path = Some (Stt (Bind n c t') env stack),

seqEval c env v

⊢ dynamicEval

pool comm

(pool(

path @ [DSeq n] -> (Stt t' (env(n -> v)) stack)

))

comm

Dynamic Semantics

```
* call: pool path n c t' env stack tc envc comm .
  leaf pool path,
  pool path = Some (Stt (Bind n c t') env stack),
  callEval c env tc envc
  ⊢ dynamicEval
    pool comm
    (pool(
      path @ [DCll n] -> (Stt tc envc ((Ctn n t' env) # stack))
    )) comm

* makeChan: pool path n t' env stack .
  leaf pool path,
  pool path = Some (Stt (Bind n MkChn t') env stack)
  ⊢ dynamicEval pool comm
    (pool(
      path @ [DSeq n] ->
        (Stt t' (env(n -> (VChn (Chan path n)))) stack)
    )) comm

* spawn: pool path n tc t' env stack comm .
  leaf pool path,
  pool path = Some (Stt (Bind n (Spwn tc) t') env stack)
  ⊢ dynamicEval pool comm
    (pool(
      path @ [DSeq n] -> (Stt t' (env(n -> VUnt)) stack),
      path @ [DSpwn n] -> (Stt tc env [])
    )) comm
```

Dynamic Semantics

```
* sync: pool pathS nS nse tS envS stackS nsc nm
  envse pathR nR nre tR envR stackR nrc envre chan comm .
  leaf pool pathS,
  pool pathS = Some
    (Stt (Bind nS (Sync nse) tS) envS stackS),
  envS nse = Some
    (VAtm (SendEvt nsc nm) envse),
  leaf pool pathR,
  pool pathR = Some
    (Stt (Bind nR (Sync nre) tR) envR stackR),
  envR nre = Some
    (VAtm (RecvEvt nrc) envre),
  envse nsc = Some (VChn chan),
  envre nrc = Some (VChn chan),
  envse nm = Some vm
⊢ dynamicEval
  pool comm
  (pool(
    pathS @ [DSeq nS] -> (Stt tS (envS(nS -> VUnt)) stackS),
    pathR @ [DSeq nR] -> (Stt tR (envR(nR -> vm)) stackR)
  ))
  (comm ∪ {(pathS, chan, pathR)})
```