## Climate Risk Hedging

Thomas Lorans

June 11, 2024

# Contents

In	troduction	V
	ESG Taste and Climate Risk Premia  1.1 ESG Preferences	
2	Sources of ESG Factor and Climate Risk 2.1 ESG Factor Risk	<b>7</b>
	2.2 Climate Risk	7

iv CONTENTS

## Introduction

### Chapter 1

## ESG Taste and Climate Risk Premia

### 1.1 ESG Preferences

### 1.1.1 Expected Utility and Optimal Portfolio

### Setting the Investor's Expected Utility

Let's assume a single period model, from t=0 to t=1. We have N stocks. The investor i has an exponential CARA utility function, with  $\tilde{W}_{1,i}$  the wealth at period 1, and  $X_i$  the  $N \times 1$  vector of portfolio weights.

$$V(\tilde{W}_{1,i}, X_i) = -\exp(-A_i \tilde{W}_{1,i} - b_i^T X_i)$$
(1.1)

with  $A_i$  agent's absolute risk aversion,  $b_i$  an  $N \times 1$  vector of nonpecuniary benefits.

$$b_i = d_i q \tag{1.2}$$

with g an  $N \times 1$  vector and  $d_i \geq 0$  a scalar measuring the agent's taste for the nonpecuniary benefits.

The expectation of agent i's in period 0 are:

$$E_0(V(\tilde{W}_{1,i}, X_i)) = E_0(-\exp(-A_i \tilde{W}_{1,i} - b_i^T X_i))$$
(1.3)

We can replace  $\tilde{W}_{1,i}$  by the relation  $\tilde{W}_{1,i} = W_{0,i}(1 + r_f + X_i^T \tilde{r}_1)$  and define  $a_i := A_i W_{0,i}$ . The idea is to make out from the expectation the terms that

we know about (in period 0), and reexpress the terms within the expectation as a function of the portfolio weights  $X_i$ . The last two steps use the fact that  $\tilde{r}_1 \sim N(\mu, \Sigma)$ .

$$E_{0}(V(\tilde{W}_{1,i}, X_{i})) = E_{0}(-\exp(-A_{i}W_{0,i}(1 + r_{f} + X_{i}^{T}\tilde{r}_{1}) - b_{i}^{T}X_{i}))$$

$$= E_{0}(-\exp(-a_{i}(1 + r_{f} + X_{i}^{T}\tilde{r}_{1}) - b_{i}^{T}X_{i}))$$

$$= E_{0}(-\exp(-a_{i}(1 + r_{f}) - a_{i}X_{i}^{T}\tilde{r}_{1} - b_{i}^{T}X_{i}))$$

$$= -\exp(-a_{i}(1 + r_{f}))E_{0}(-\exp(-a_{i}X_{i}^{T}\tilde{r}_{1} - b_{i}^{T}X_{i}))$$

$$= -\exp(-a_{i}(1 + r_{f}))E_{0}(-\exp(-a_{i}X_{i}^{T}(\tilde{r}_{1} + \frac{b_{i}}{a_{i}})))$$

$$= -\exp(-a_{i}(1 + r_{f}))\exp(-a_{i}X_{i}^{T}(E_{0}(\tilde{r}_{1}) + \frac{b_{i}}{a_{i}}) + \frac{1}{2}a_{i}^{2}X_{i}^{T}\operatorname{Var}(\tilde{r}_{1})X_{i})$$

$$= -\exp(-a_{i}(1 + r_{f}))\exp(-a_{i}X_{i}^{T}(\mu + \frac{b_{i}}{a_{i}}) + \frac{1}{2}a_{i}^{2}X_{i}^{T}\Sigma X_{i})$$

#### Solving for the Investor's Optimal Portfolio

The investors choose their optimal portfolios at time 0. The optimal portfolio  $X_i$  is the one that maximizes the expected utility. To find it, we differentiate the expected utility with respect to  $X_i$  and set it to zero, to obtain the first-order condition.

We are going to do it step by step:

1. Combine the Exponential Terms:

$$E_0(V(\tilde{W}_{1,i}, X_i)) = -\exp\left(-a_i(1+r_f) - a_i X_i^T(\mu + \frac{b_i}{a_i}) + \frac{1}{2}a_i^2 X_i^T \Sigma X_i\right)$$
(1.5)

and let  $f(X_i)$  be the exponent:

$$E_0(V(\tilde{W}_{1,i}, X_i)) = -\exp f(X_i)$$
(1.6)

2. Differentiate  $f(X_i)$  with respect to  $X_i$ . We have the chain rule:

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial X_i} = \frac{\partial h}{\partial f} \frac{\partial f}{\partial X_i} \tag{1.7}$$

#### 1.1. ESG PREFERENCES

3

If  $h = -\exp(f)$ , then  $\frac{\partial h}{\partial f} = -\exp(f)$ . Therefore we have:

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial X_i} = -\exp\left(f\right) \frac{\partial f}{\partial X_i} \tag{1.8}$$

To tackle the derivative of  $f(X_i)$ , we use two rules. First  $\frac{\partial x^T b}{\partial x} = b$  and  $\frac{\partial x^T A x}{\partial x} = 2Ax$  if A is symmetric. We have:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial X_i} = -a_i(\mu + \frac{b_i}{a_i}) + a_i^2 \Sigma X_i \tag{1.9}$$

Combining:

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial X_i} = -\exp(f)(-a_i(\mu + \frac{b_i}{a_i}) + a_i^2 \Sigma X_i)$$
(1.10)

3. Set the derivative to zero:

$$-\exp(f)(-a_i(\mu + \frac{b_i}{a_i}) + a_i^2 \Sigma X_i) = 0$$

$$-a_i(\mu + \frac{b_i}{a_i}) + a_i^2 \Sigma X_i = 0$$
(1.11)

where the exponential term is always positive, so we can drop it.

4. Rearrange and solve for  $X_i$ :

$$a_i^2 \Sigma X_i = a_i \left(\mu + \frac{b_i}{a_i}\right)$$

$$a_i \Sigma X_i = \mu + \frac{b_i}{a_i}$$

$$\Sigma X_i = \frac{1}{a_i} \left(\mu + \frac{b_i}{a_i}\right)$$

$$X_i = \frac{1}{a_i} \Sigma^{-1} \left(\mu + \frac{b_i}{a_i}\right)$$

$$(1.12)$$

For the sake of simplicity, we assume that  $a_i=a$  for all investors. We now have:

$$X_{i} = \frac{1}{a} \Sigma^{-1} (\mu + \frac{b_{i}}{a})$$

$$= \frac{1}{a} \Sigma^{-1} (\mu + \frac{d_{i}}{a}g)$$
(1.13)

#### PLACEHOLDER

Figure 1.1: Efficient Frontier with ESG Preferences

Therefore, the optimal portfolio differs across investors due to the ESG characteristics g of the stocks and the investors' taste for nonpecuniary benefits  $d_i$ .

### 1.1.2 Heterogeneous Investors and Expected Returns

### Heterogeneous Market

The nth element of investor i's portfolio weight vector  $X_i$  is:

$$X_{i,n} = \frac{W_{0,i,n}}{W_{0,i}} \tag{1.14}$$

with  $W_{0,i,n}$  the wealth invested in stock n by investor i at time 0. The total wealth invested in stock n at time 0 is:

$$W_{0,n} := \int_{i} W_{0,i,n} di \tag{1.15}$$

The *n*th element of the market-weight vector  $w_m$  is:

$$w_{m,n} = \frac{W_{0,n}}{W_0} \tag{1.16}$$

We can now express  $W_{0,n}$  in terms of individual investors' wealths by using the definition of  $W_{0,n}$ :

$$w_{m,n} = \frac{1}{W_0} \int_i W_{0,i,n} di \tag{1.17}$$

We now that  $W_{0,i,n} = W_{0,i}X_{i,n}$ , so we can rewrite the equation:

$$w_{m,n} = \frac{1}{W_0} \int_i W_{0,i} X_{i,n} di \tag{1.18}$$

Defining  $\omega_i = \frac{W_{0,i}}{W_0}$ , we have:

$$w_{m,n} = \int_{i} \frac{W_{0,i}}{W_{0}} X_{i,n} di$$

$$= \int_{i} \omega_{i} X_{i,n} di$$
(1.19)

We can now plug in  $X_i$  to obtain  $w_m$  the vector of market weights:

$$w_{m} = \int_{i} \omega_{i} X_{i} di$$

$$= \int_{i} \omega_{i} \frac{1}{a} \Sigma^{-1} (\mu + \frac{d_{i}}{a} g)_{n} di$$

$$= \frac{1}{a} \sigma^{-1} \mu (\int_{i} \omega_{i} di) + \frac{1}{a^{2}} \Sigma^{-1} g (\int_{i} \omega_{i} d_{i} di)$$

$$(1.20)$$

We have  $\int_i \omega_i di = 1$  and we define  $\bar{d} := \int_i d_i di \ge 0$ , the wealth-weighted mean of ESG tastes  $d_i$  across agents. Therefore:

$$w_m = \frac{1}{a} \Sigma^{-1} \mu + \frac{1}{a^2} \Sigma^{-1} g \bar{d}$$
 (1.21)

#### **Expected Returns**

### 1.2 Climate Risk

## Chapter 2

## Sources of ESG Factor and Climate Risk

- 2.1 ESG Factor Risk
- 2.2 Climate Risk