Climate Risk Hedging

Thomas Lorans

May 31, 2024

Contents

In	trod	uction	\mathbf{V}				
1	Fac	tor Mimicking Portfolios	1				
	1.1	Risk is Innovation	1				
	1.2	Innovation and Unexpected Returns	1				
	1.3	Linear Factor Model	2				
	1.4	Factor Mimicking	2				
	1.5	Risk Premia	3				
	1.6	Conclusion	3				
2	Uncovering Climate Risk with Climate News						
	2.1	Climate Risk	5				
	2.2	Uncovering Long-Run Climate Risk with Changes in Expectation	6				
	2.3	Implications about Climate Risk Pricing	7				
	2.4	Conclusion	8				
3	Mea	asuring Climate News	9				
	3.1	Representing Text as Data	9				
	3.2	Dictionary-based Mapping	10				
	3.3	Further Reading	12				
4	Clir	nate Mimicking Porfolio	13				
	4.1	Portfolio Optimization	13				
	4.2	Climate Efficient Mimicking Portfolio	15				
	4.3	Further Reading	15				
5	Cor	nclusion	17				

iv CONTENTS

Introduction

Factor Mimicking Portfolios

1.1 Risk is Innovation

We have a vector of K factors of risks F_{t+h} , with h the forecast horizon. Investors form expectations of these factors at time t-1 and adjust their expectations at time t based on new information. The change in expectations is given by:

$$\tilde{F}_{t+h} = F_{t+h} - \phi \tag{1.1}$$

where \tilde{F}_{t+h} is the *innovation* in the factors of risks.

1.2 Innovation and Unexpected Returns

On the other hand, we have the unexpected returns \tilde{R}_t :

$$\tilde{R}_t = R_t - \mu \tag{1.2}$$

The main assumption behind factor mimicking portfolios is that the innovation \tilde{F}_{t+h} is reflected in the unexpected returns \tilde{R}_t :

$$\tilde{R}_t = B\tilde{F}_{t+h} + \varepsilon_t \tag{1.3}$$

where B is a $N \times K$ matrix of factor loadings, ε_t is a $N \times 1$ vector of mean zero disturbances.

It means that investors reprice assets (unexpected returns \tilde{R}_t) based on the arrival of new information on the factors of risks (innovation \tilde{F}_{t+h}).

1.3 Linear Factor Model

If:

$$R_t = \mu + \tilde{R}_t \tag{1.4}$$

Then, substituting \tilde{R}_t , we have the following factor model:

$$R_t = \mu + B\tilde{F}_{t+h} + \varepsilon_t \tag{1.5}$$

with R_t a $N \times 1$ vector of asset returns, μ a $N \times 1$ vector of expected returns, B a $N \times K$ matrix of factor loadings, F_{t+h} a $K \times 1$ vector of factor innovations and ε_t a $N \times 1$ vector of mean zero disturbances.

1.4 Factor Mimicking

The vector of weights w_k is the solution to the following optimization problem:

$$\min_{w_k} \frac{1}{2} w_k^T \Sigma w_k
\text{subject to } B^T w_k = \beta_k$$
(1.6)

where B is the $N \times K$ matrix of factor loadings, β_k is the $K \times 1$ vector of factor exposures, with the k-th element equal to 1 and the other elements equal to $\beta_{k,l}$, and Σ is the $N \times N$ covariance matrix of asset returns.

We can form the Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L}(w_k, \lambda) = \frac{1}{2} w_k^T \Sigma w_k - \lambda_k^T (B^T w_k - \beta_k)$$
 (1.7)

where λ_k is the $K \times 1$ vector of Lagrange multipliers.

The first order condition is:

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial w_k} = \Sigma w_k - B\lambda = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow w_k = \Sigma^{-1} B\lambda_k$$
(1.8)

3

1.5 Risk Premia

1.6 Conclusion

In what follows, we will focus on the case of climate risk factors. First stage is to identify how to measure climate risk factors.

Uncovering Climate Risk with Climate News

Because of the long-term nature of climate risk, standard futures or insurance contracts in which one party agrees to pay the other in the event of a climate disaster are difficult to implement. Rather than buying a security that pays off in the event of a climate disaster, you can construct portfolio whose short-term returns hedge *news* about climate risk. By hedging, period by period, the innovations in news about long-run climate risk, an investor can ultimately hedge her long-run exposure to climate risk.

2.1 Climate Risk

Climate risk is a long-run risk. It is usually separated into two components:

- Physical risk: the risk that climate change will have direct effects on the value of assets. For example, a rise in sea levels could affect the value of real estate.
- Transition risk: the risk that the transition to a low-carbon economy will affect the value of assets. For example, a carbon tax could affect the value of fossil fuel companies.

While global warming already has physical effects, most of the potential damages are still in the future. The transition to a low-carbon economy is also a long-run process, with a lot of uncertainty about the timing and the nature of the transition.

CLIMATE SCENARIOS.

 $CC_{t+\tau}$ is the climate risk at time $t+\tau$.

2.2 Uncovering Long-Run Climate Risk with Changes in Expectation

We are facing a strong uncertainty about $CC_{t+\tau}$, the climate risk at time $t + \tau$. Climate risk is not a tradable asset.

We can draw inspiration from the literature on factor mimicking, following Lamont (2001) [?]. We first explain how can we mimic the behavior of a non-tradable factor signal y (think about industrial production, inflation, etc.) with a portfolio of tradable assets.

2.2.1 Portfolio Mimicking of Non-Tradable Assets

The first core idea is to replace some variables with a linear combination of other variables. More specifically, **some variable of interest can be written as a portfolio of** *tradable assets*. It can be used to proxy economic variable that are not directly observable with tradable assets. We can construct, from financial assets, a "matching portfolio" of some economic factor that is not directly tradable.

Say you want to estimate current (this is nowcasting) GDP or inflation. You can construct the portfolio of assets that best mimics the movements of GDP or inflation. Once you've run your regression, you can use your estimate of returns to predict the macro variable. Let's say we don't have individual stock returns and we want to estimate the market return. All what we have is the return of K industry portfolios. We can estimate the market return as a linear combination of the industry returns:

$$R_{m,t} = \beta_{\text{energy}} R_{\text{energy},t} + \beta_{\text{financials}} R_{\text{financials},t} + \dots + \beta_k R_{k,t} + \epsilon_t$$
 (2.1)

It looks very much like a portfolio, with the estimated β as the weights of the assets.

2.2.2 Unexpected Returns and Changes in Expectations

The second core idea is that we can uncover expectations about any variable correlated with future cash flows and discount rates by looking at the unexpected returns of tradable assets.

For climate risk, let's start by defining the current expectation of $CC_{t+\tau}$ as:

$$E_t(CC_{t+\tau}) = E_{t-1}(CC_{t+\tau}) + \Delta E_t(CC_{t+\tau})$$
 (2.2)

That is, the current expectation is the previous expectation plus the news or "surprise" about the climate risk. On a similar vein, we can define realized returns as:

$$R_t = E_{t-1}(R_t) + \tilde{R}_t \tag{2.3}$$

with \tilde{R}_t the unexpected returns. It's simply the difference between the expected returns and the actual returns $\tilde{R}_t = R_t - E_{t-1}(R_t)$.

Our key assumption now is that innovations in returns (unexpected returns) reflect innovations in expectations about the climate risk, such that:

$$\Delta E_t(CC_{t+\tau}) = \beta^T \tilde{R}_t + \epsilon_t \tag{2.4}$$

If the climate risk $CC_{t+\tau}$ is correlated with future cash flows and discount rates, then we may find something in the β , relating news reflected in the unexpected returns. This is based on the assumption that the unexpected returns reflect news about the future cash flows and discount rates (*i.e.* about $\Delta E_t(CC_{t+\tau})$).

2.3 Implications about Climate Risk Pricing

We should be able to estimate the risk premium of climate risk as the average of the excess returns of the mimicking portfolio. However, given the fact that we have a short-time serie, the resulting risk premium will be noisy. Indeed, investors attention to climate risk is a relatively recent phenomenon.

Unexpected rturns vs. realized returns from Dissecting Green returns: do no draw conclusions about the expected returns of green assets from cumulative unexpected returns due to climate change news.

2.4 Conclusion

Climate risk is a non-tradable asset, with a long-run horizon. Portfolio mimicking allows for replicating the behavior of non-tradable assets with tradable assets. Using the unexpected returns of tradable assets, we can uncover expectations about non-tradable assets. We can use this framework to hedge climate risk by constructing a portfolio that hedges the changes in expectations about climate risk.

But what are changes in expectations about climate risk? This is the topic of the next chapter.

Measuring Climate News

A key challenge in implementing a dynamic hedging strategy for climate risk is to construct a time series that captures news about long-term climate risk.

We can start from the observation that when there are events that plausibly contains information about changes in climate risk, this will likely leads to newspaper coverage of these events. Newspapers may even be the direct source that investors use to update their beliefs about climate risk.

3.1 Representing Text as Data

Text is high dimensional. Suppose we have a bunch of documents, each of which is w words long. Each word is drawn from a vocabulary of p possible words. The unique representation of these documents has dimension p^w .

Analysis can summarized in three steps:

- 1. Represent raw text D as a numerical array C
- 2. Map C to predicted values \hat{V} of unknown outcomes V
- 3. Use \hat{V} in subsequent analysis

The first step in constructing C is to divide the raw text D into individual documents D_i . The way to divide the raw text is dictated by the value of interest V. If V is daily stock price, it might makes sense to divide the raw text into daily news articles.

To begin with the transformation from raw text D to a numerical array C, we can first count the number of times each word appears in each document,

 $c_{i,j}$. It results into a matrix C of size $n \times p$ where n is the number of documents and p is the number of unique words in the vocabulary. Each row of C refers to a document i, and each column refers to a word j.

Example X.1.

$$D_1$$
 | a rose is still a rose
 D_2 | there is no there there
 D_3 | rose is a rose is a rose is a rose

Table 3.1: Examples of individual documents D_i

$i \setminus j$	a	rose	is	still	there	no
1	2	2	1	1	0	0
2	0	0	1	0	3	1
3	3	4	3	0	0	0

Table 3.2: Term frequency matrix C

3.2 Dictionary-based Mapping

Dictionary-based methods are used to map the counts c_i to outcomes v_i . It specify $\hat{v}_i = f(c_i)$ where f is a function pre-specified. Dictionary-based methods heavily rely on prior information about the function mapping c_i to outcomes v_i . They are more appropriate when prior information is strong and reliable and where information in the data is weak. An example is a case where the outcomes v_i are not observed for any i, so there is no training data available.

Example X.1. Suppose we have a dictionary-based method that maps the counts c_i of to outcomes v_i . The dictionary is a list of words for a specific category.

Category	Dictionary
Positive	good, great, excellent
Negative	bad, terrible, awful

Table 3.3: Example of a dictionary-based method

We have the following documents D_i :

$$\begin{array}{c|c}
D_1 & \text{good is great} \\
D_2 & \text{bad is terrible} \\
D_3 & \text{good is bad}
\end{array}$$

Table 3.4: Example of documents D_i

The matrix C is:

$i \setminus j$	good	great	bad	terrible	is	
1	1	1	0	0	1	
2	0	0	1	1	1	
3	1	0	1	0	1	

Table 3.5: Term frequency matrix C

Mapped to the dictionary, it becomes:

$i \setminus k$	Positive	Negative		
1	2	0		
2	0	2		
3	1	1		

Table 3.6: Mapped matrix C

We define the function $f(c_i)$ as:

$$f(c_i) = \begin{cases} \text{Positive} & \text{if } \sum_j c_{i,j} \in \text{Positive} \\ \text{Negative} & \text{if } \sum_j c_{i,j} \in \text{Negative} \\ \text{Neutral} & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$
(3.1)

3.3 Further Reading

Use of text: Text as Data by Gentzkow et al. (2019) [?] Narrative Asset

Pricing by Bybee et al. (2023) [?]

Climate series: Engle et al. (2020) [?] Apel et al. (2023) [?]

Climate Mimicking Porfolio

We now want to improve upon this first portfolio by including more sophisticated optimized mimicking portfolio construction, by **taking into account** the information on the covariance between the assets. Indeed, even though the mimicking portfolio we have seen in the previous chapter is very intuitive, it can be suboptimal depending on the risk appetite of the investor.

4.1 Portfolio Optimization

Let's consider an universe of N assets. We have $w = \begin{bmatrix} w_1 & w_2 & \dots & w_N \end{bmatrix}^T$ the vector of weights in the portfolio. We suppose the portfolio is fully invested, i.e. $w^T \mathbf{1} = 1$. We have $R = \begin{bmatrix} R_1 & R_2 & \dots & R_N \end{bmatrix}^T$ the vector of returns of the assets.

The return of the portfolio is given by:

$$R_p = \sum_{i=1}^{N} w_i R_i = w^T R (4.1)$$

The vector of expected asset returns is denoted by $\mu = E(R)$. The covariance matrix of the asset returns is denoted by Σ :

$$\Sigma = E[(R - \mu)(R - \mu)^T] \tag{4.2}$$

The variance of the portfolio is given by:

$$\sigma_{p}^{2} = E[(R_{p} - E(R_{p}))^{2}]$$

$$= E[(w^{T}R - w^{T}\mu)^{2}]$$

$$= E[(w^{T}(R - \mu))^{2}]$$

$$= E[w^{T}(R - \mu)(R - \mu)^{T}w]$$

$$= w^{T}E[(R - \mu)(R - \mu)^{T}]w$$

$$= w^{T}\Sigma w$$
(4.3)

Example X.1. illutrates to show why it is quadratic

The problem of the investor can be formulated as:

- 1. Maximize the expected return of the portfolio under a volatility constraint $(\sigma_p \leq \sigma^*)$
- 2. Minimize the volatility of the portfolio under a return constraint $(\mu_p \ge R^*)$

The key idea of Markowitz (1956) is to combine the two objectives into a single quadratic optimization problem:

$$\min_{w} \frac{1}{2} w^{T} \Sigma w - \lambda w^{T} \mu$$
subject to $w^{T} \mathbf{1} = 1$ (4.4)

where λ is a parameter that allows to trade-off between the two objectives (this is the risk appetite in this case).

If we set $\lambda = 0$, we are minimizing the volatility of the portfolio and obtain the minimum variance portfolio. If we set $\lambda = \infty$, we are maximizing the return of the portfolio without taking into account the volatility of the portfolio.

The exact value of λ depends on the risk preference of the investor: the higher λ , the more higher the risk appetite.

Example X.1. illustrates with various values of λ

4.2 Climate Efficient Mimicking Portfolio

We can use the derived signal b to compute a constrained long-only portfolio $(w_i \ge 0)$ and fully invested $(w^T \mathbf{1} = 1)$ mimicking portfolio. We are now using the covariance matrix Σ of the assets to construct the *efficient mimicking* portfolio, along the signal b.

The optimization problem is:

$$\min_{w} \frac{1}{2} w^{T} \Sigma w - \lambda w^{T} b$$
subject to $w^{T} \mathbf{1} = 1$

$$w_{i} > 0$$

$$(4.5)$$

For the sake of simplicity, let's define $\Sigma = I$ (the identity matrix) and $\lambda = 2$. The minimization function becomes $w^Tw - 2w^Tb$. The solution of this problem is given by:

$$2w - 2b = 0 \Rightarrow w^* = b \tag{4.6}$$

This case corresponds to the intuitive weighting scheme we have seen in the previous chapter, with the weights defined as the signal b. Therefore, our previous case is a special case of the efficient mimicking portfolio when the covariance matrix is the identity matrix. In other words, the signal b is an optimal weighting scheme only if the assets are uncorrelated (*i.e.* the covariance matrix is the identity matrix).

Another interesting case is when $\lambda = 0$ (and $\Sigma = I$). In that case, the solution becomes $w^* = 1/N$. Therefore, if the investor has absolutely no risk appetite, the equal-weighted portfolio is the optimal portfolio, regardless of the signal b.

Example X.1. illutrates with various values for λ

4.3 Further Reading

Portfolio optimization: Introduction to Risk Parity and Budgeting by Roncalli (2013) [?]

Climate Efficient: Factor-Mimicking Portfolios for Climate Risk by De Nard et al. (2024) [?]

Conclusion

More generally can be applied to other ESG risks. See biodiversity risk from Giglio et al. $\,$