

# Climate Risk Hedging

Thomas Lorans

June 11, 2024



# Contents

<b>Introduction</b>	<b>v</b>
<b>1 ESG Taste and Climate Risk Premia</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 ESG Preferences . . . . .	1
1.2 Climate Risk . . . . .	5
<b>2 Sources of ESG Factor and Climate Risk</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1 ESG Factor Risk . . . . .	7
2.2 Climate Risk . . . . .	7



# Introduction



# Chapter 1

## ESG Taste and Climate Risk Premia

### 1.1 ESG Preferences

#### 1.1.1 Expected Utility and Optimal Portfolio

Let's assume a single period model, from  $t = 0$  to  $t = 1$ . We have  $N$  stocks.

The investor  $i$  has an exponential CARA utility function, with  $\tilde{W}_{1,i}$  the wealth at period 1, and  $X_i$  the  $N \times 1$  vector of portfolio weights.

$$V(\tilde{W}_{1,i}, X_i) = -\exp(-A_i \tilde{W}_{1,i} - b_i^T X_i) \quad (1.1)$$

with  $A_i$  agent's absolute risk aversion,  $b_i$  an  $N \times 1$  vector of nonpecuniary benefits.

$$b_i = d_i g \quad (1.2)$$

with  $g$  an  $N \times 1$  vector and  $d_i \geq 0$  a scalar measuring the agent's taste for the nonpecuniary benefits.

The expectation of agent  $i$ 's in period 0 are:

$$E_0(V(\tilde{W}_{1,i}, X_i)) = E_0(-\exp(-A_i \tilde{W}_{1,i} - b_i^T X_i)) \quad (1.3)$$

We can replace  $\tilde{W}_{1,i}$  by the relation  $\tilde{W}_{1,i} = W_{0,i}(1 + r_f + X_i^T \tilde{r}_1)$  and define  $a_i := A_i W_{0,i}$ . The idea is to make out from the expectation the terms that we know about (in period 0), and reexpress the terms within the expectation

as a function of the portfolio weights  $X_i$ . The last two steps use the fact that  $\tilde{r}_1 \sim N(\mu, \Sigma)$ .

$$\begin{aligned}
E_0(V(\tilde{W}_{1,i}, X_i)) &= E_0(-\exp(-A_i W_{0,i}(1 + r_f + X_i^T \tilde{r}_1) - b_i^T X_i)) \\
&= E_0(-\exp(-a_i(1 + r_f + X_i^T \tilde{r}_1) - b_i^T X_i)) \\
&= E_0(-\exp(-a_i(1 + r_f) - a_i X_i^T \tilde{r}_1 - b_i^T X_i)) \\
&= -\exp(-a_i(1 + r_f)) E_0(-\exp(-a_i X_i^T \tilde{r}_1 - b_i^T X_i)) \\
&= -\exp(-a_i(1 + r_f)) E_0(-\exp(-a_i X_i^T (\tilde{r}_1 + \frac{b_i}{a_i}))) \quad (1.4) \\
&= -\exp(-a_i(1 + r_f)) \exp(-a_i X_i^T (E_0(\tilde{r}_1) + \frac{b_i}{a_i}) + \frac{1}{2} a_i^2 X_i^T \text{Var}(\tilde{r}_1) X_i) \\
&= -\exp(-a_i(1 + r_f)) \exp(-a_i X_i^T (\mu + \frac{b_i}{a_i}) + \frac{1}{2} a_i^2 X_i^T \Sigma X_i)
\end{aligned}$$

The investors choose their optimal portfolios at time 0. The optimal portfolio  $X_i$  is the one that maximizes the expected utility. To find it, we differentiate the expected utility with respect to  $X_i$  and set it to zero, to obtain the first-order condition.

We are going to do it step by step:

1. Combine the Exponential Terms:

$$E_0(V(\tilde{W}_{1,i}, X_i)) = -\exp(-a_i(1 + r_f) - a_i X_i^T (\mu + \frac{b_i}{a_i}) + \frac{1}{2} a_i^2 X_i^T \Sigma X_i) \quad (1.5)$$

and let  $f(X_i)$  be the exponent:

$$E_0(V(\tilde{W}_{1,i}, X_i)) = -\exp f(X_i) \quad (1.6)$$

2. Differentiate  $f(X_i)$  with respect to  $X_i$ . We have the chain rule:

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial X_i} = \frac{\partial h}{\partial f} \frac{\partial f}{\partial X_i} \quad (1.7)$$

If  $h = -\exp(f)$ , then  $\frac{\partial h}{\partial f} = -\exp(f)$ . Therefore we have:

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial X_i} = -\exp(f) \frac{\partial f}{\partial X_i} \quad (1.8)$$



To tackle the derivative of  $f(X_i)$ , we use two rules. First  $\frac{\partial x^T b}{\partial x} = b$  and  $\frac{\partial x^T A x}{\partial x} = 2Ax$  if  $A$  is symmetric. We have:

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial X_i} = -a_i(\mu + \frac{b_i}{a_i}) + a_i^2 \Sigma X_i \quad (1.9)$$

Combining:

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial X_i} = -\exp(f)(-a_i(\mu + \frac{b_i}{a_i}) + a_i^2 \Sigma X_i) \quad (1.10)$$

3. Set the derivative to zero:

$$\begin{aligned} -\exp(f)(-a_i(\mu + \frac{b_i}{a_i}) + a_i^2 \Sigma X_i) &= 0 \\ -a_i(\mu + \frac{b_i}{a_i}) + a_i^2 \Sigma X_i &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (1.11)$$

where the exponential term is always positive, so we can drop it.

4. Rearrange and solve for  $X_i$ :

$$\begin{aligned} a_i^2 \Sigma X_i &= a_i(\mu + \frac{b_i}{a_i}) \\ a_i \Sigma X_i &= \mu + \frac{b_i}{a_i} \\ \Sigma X_i &= \frac{1}{a_i}(\mu + \frac{b_i}{a_i}) \\ X_i &= \frac{1}{a_i} \Sigma^{-1}(\mu + \frac{b_i}{a_i}) \end{aligned} \quad (1.12)$$

For the sake of simplicity, we assume that  $a_i = a$  for all investors. We now have:

$$\begin{aligned} X_i &= \frac{1}{a} \Sigma^{-1}(\mu + \frac{b_i}{a}) \\ &= \frac{1}{a} \Sigma^{-1}(\mu + \frac{d_i}{a} g) \end{aligned} \quad (1.13)$$

Therefore, the optimal portfolio differs across investors due to the ESG characteristics  $g$  of the stocks and the investors' taste for nonpecuniary benefits  $d_i$ .

## PLACEHOLDER

Figure 1.1: Efficient Frontier with ESG Preferences

**1.1.2 Heterogeneous Investors and Expected Returns**

The  $n$ th element of investor  $i$ 's portfolio weight vector  $X_i$  is:

$$X_{i,n} = \frac{W_{0,i,n}}{W_{0,i}} \quad (1.14)$$

with  $W_{0,i,n}$  the wealth invested in stock  $n$  by investor  $i$  at time 0.

The total wealth invested in stock  $n$  at time 0 is:

$$W_{0,n} := \int_i W_{0,i,n} di \quad (1.15)$$

The  $n$ th element of the market-weight vector  $w_m$  is:

$$w_{m,n} = \frac{W_{0,n}}{W_0} \quad (1.16)$$

We can now express  $W_{0,n}$  in terms of individual investors' wealths by using the definition of  $W_{0,n}$ :

$$w_{m,n} = \frac{1}{W_0} \int_i W_{0,i,n} di \quad (1.17)$$

We now that  $W_{0,i,n} = W_{0,i} X_{i,n}$ , so we can rewrite the equation:

$$w_{m,n} = \frac{1}{W_0} \int_i W_{0,i} X_{i,n} di \quad (1.18)$$

Defining  $\omega_i = \frac{W_{0,i}}{W_0}$ , we have:

$$\begin{aligned} w_{m,n} &= \int_i \frac{W_{0,i}}{W_0} X_{i,n} di \\ &= \int_i \omega_i X_{i,n} di \end{aligned} \quad (1.19)$$

We can now plug in  $X_i$  to obtain  $w_m$  the vector of market weights:

$$\begin{aligned}
w_m &= \int_i \omega_i X_i di \\
&= \int_i \omega_i \frac{1}{a} \Sigma^{-1} \left( \mu + \frac{d_i}{a} g \right) di \\
&= \frac{1}{a} \Sigma^{-1} \mu \left( \int_i \omega_i di \right) + \frac{1}{a^2} \Sigma^{-1} g \left( \int_i \omega_i d_i di \right)
\end{aligned} \tag{1.20}$$

We have  $\int_i \omega_i di = 1$  and we define  $\bar{d} := \int_i d_i di \geq 0$ , the wealth-weighted mean of ESG tastes  $d_i$  across agents. Therefore:

$$w_m = \frac{1}{a} \Sigma^{-1} \mu + \frac{1}{a^2} \Sigma^{-1} g \bar{d} \tag{1.21}$$

## 1.2 Climate Risk



## Chapter 2

# Sources of ESG Factor and Climate Risk

### 2.1 ESG Factor Risk

### 2.2 Climate Risk

