

SCALE FOR PROJECT TOKENIZEART (/PROJECTS/TOKENIZEART)

You should evaluate 1 student in this team



Git repository

`git@vogsphere.42prague.com:vogsphere/intra-uuid-25c16492-ea3c-4`

Introduction

- Remain polite, courteous, respectful and constructive throughout the evaluation process. The well-being of the community depends on it.
- Identify with the person (or the group) being evaluated any potential dysfunctions of the work. Take the time to discuss and debate the problems you have identified.
- You must consider that there might be some differences in how your peers might have understood the project's instructions and the scope of its functionalities. Always keep an open mind and grade him/her as honestly as possible. The pedagogy is valid only and only if peer-evaluation is conducted seriously.

Guidelines

- Only grade the work that is in the student or group's GiT repository.
- Double-check that the GiT repository belongs to the student or the group. Ensure that the work is for the relevant project and also check that "git clone" is used in an empty folder.
- Check carefully that no malicious aliases were used to fool you and make you evaluate something other than the content of the official repository.
- To avoid any surprises, carefully check that both the evaluating and the evaluated students have reviewed the possible scripts used to facilitate the grading.
- If the evaluating student has not completed that particular project yet, it is mandatory for this student to read the entire subject prior to starting the defence.
- Use the flags available on this scale to signal an empty repository, non-functioning program, a norm error, cheating etc. In these cases, the grading is over and the final grade is 0 (or -42 in case of cheating). However, with the exception of cheating, you are encouraged to continue to discuss your work (even if you have not finished it) in order to identify any issues that may have caused this failure and avoid repeating the same mistake in the future.
- Remember that during the defense, no segfault (if relevant), no other unexpected, premature, uncontrolled or unexpected termination of the program, otherwise the final grade is 0. Use the appropriate flag.
You should never have to edit any file except the configuration file if it exists. If you want to edit a file, take the time to explain the reasons with the evaluated student and make sure both of you are okay with this.

Attachments

 subject.pdf (<https://cdn.intra.42.fr/pdf/pdf/144427/en.subject.pdf>)

Preliminaries

If cheating is suspected, the evaluation stops here. Use the "Cheat" flag to report it. Take this decision wisely, and please, use this button with caution.

Preliminary tests

- There is in the repository:
 - A README.md file in the root.
 - A non-empty folder with the name "code".
 - A non-empty folder with the name "documentation".
 - A last non-empty folder used for the deployment part.
 - A last non-empty folder used for the mint part.
 - No video should be available on the repository. One link is allowed.
 - If no work has been submitted (or wrong files, wrong directory, or wrong filenames), the evaluation process ends.

 Yes

 No

Mandatory Part

visual of the NFT (non-fungible token)

The student is able to show you the NFT in his wallet or in a marketplace that allows it. The NFT image contains a 42.

(if the answer is no, the evaluation stops here)

 Yes

 No

metadata of the NFT

The student is able to show you the metadata of his NFT, i.e. at least the name of the artist (which is the student login) and the name of the work, which must contain 42

(if the answer is no, the evaluation stops here)

 Yes

 No

NFT membership

The student is able to show you that the NFT belongs to him/her (for example using the ownerOf function if the project is made in solidity).

(if the answer is no, the evaluation stops here)

 Yes

 No

Readme.md

Inspect the contents of the readme.md file.

- This is a time to discuss the different platforms that can be used and so the person being evaluated clearly indicate the reason for his choice in this file.
- There is an indication on the choice of the language used.
- A short description to help understand the contents of the repository is not mandatory but highly recommended.

 Yes

 No

Documentation

Inspect the contents of the documentation folder.

Intra Projects TokenizeArt Edit

- You should be able to understand how the project works by using this documentation. You should be able to understand the actions possible with the created non-fungible token but also the way to mint this non-fungible token if needed.
- A demonstration video is possible to explain how this non-fungible token works.

 Yes

 No

Code review

Inspect the contents of the code folder.

- You have to find other files to create a non-fungible token. You must be careful to understand code with the comments as well as the choice of variable/function names.
- This is a moment of exchange to understand how the non-fungible token works. We are aware that the language will not necessarily be understood by the evaluator but it is a good moment to try to get the help of the evaluator!
- You must evaluate the quality of the code as well as the explanations of the person being evaluated.
- Be careful to find the functions indicated in the documentation.

 Yes

 No

Deployment review

Inspect the contents of the deployment folder.

- You must find tools that allow the deployment of this non-fungible token. It is of course forbidden to have an API key or a password in clear text used in this file. If you encounter a password/API key you must use an appropriate flag and the evaluation stop.
- A simple explanation of the evaluated person should help you understand how this part works. The documentation should also help you if needed.
- With the help of the person being evaluated, you must deploy this non-fungible token.

 Yes

 No

Mint review

Inspect the contents of the mint folder.

- You must find tools that allow minting of this non-fungible token. It is of course forbidden to have an API key or a password in clear text used in this file. If you encounter a password/API key you must use an appropriate flag and the evaluation stop.
- A simple explanation of the evaluated person should help you understand how this part works. The documentation should also help you if needed.
- With the help of the person being evaluated, you must mint this non-fungible token.

 Yes

 No

Bonus

Evaluate the bonus part if, and only if, the mandatory part has been entirely and perfectly done, and the management handles unexpected or bad usage. In case all the mandatory points were not passed during the defense, bonus points must be totally ignored.

Art of the NFT

Do you find the NFT beautiful? (this is purely subjective)
Is the image sharp? are the colours harmonious?

 Yes

 No

A website

The student made a website to mint his NFT with a graphical interface

 Yes

 No

NFT Inscriptions

The student manages Inscriptions, i.e. it stores NFT metadata and images directly on the blockchain


 Yes

 No


Ratings


Don't forget to check the flag corresponding to the defense

 Ok


 Outstanding project

 Empty work

 Incomplete work

 Invalid compilation

 Cheat

 Concerning situation

 Can't support / explain code

Conclusion

Leave a comment on this evaluation (2048 chars max)

Finish evaluation