

Why Most Published Research Findings Are False

John P. A. Ioannidis

False-Positive Psychology: Undisclosed Flexibility in Data Collection and Analysis Allows Presenting Anything as Significant

Joseph P. Simmons¹, Leif D. Nelson², and Uri Simonsohn¹

¹The Wharton School, University of Pennsylvania, and ²Haas School of Business, University of California, Berkeley

The Statistical Crisis in Science

Data-dependent analysis—a “garden of forking paths”—explains why many statistically significant comparisons don’t hold up.

Andrew Gelman and Eric Loken