## MAT 473: Intermediate Real Analysis II

Trey Manuszak Arizona State University April 24, 2020 **Problem 45.** Recall that a function  $f: \mathbb{R} \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  is measurable (or Lebesgue measurable if for every Borel set E in  $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ , we have that  $f^{-1}(E)$  is a (Lebesgue) measurable set (in  $\mathbb{R}$ ).) We say that f is Borel measurable if for every Borel set  $E \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ ,  $f^{-1}(E)$  is a Borel set.

Let  $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  and  $g: \mathbb{R} \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ . Prove the following.

(a) If f and g are both Borel measurable, then  $g \circ f$  is Borel measurable.

*Proof.* Suppose  $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  and  $g: \mathbb{R} \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  are both Borel measurable. Note, that for every  $E \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  Borel,

$$(g \circ f)^{-1}(E) = f^{-1}(g^{-1}(E)).$$

Since E is borel and g is Borel measurable, then  $g^{-1}(E)$  is Borel. Since f is Borel measurable and  $g^{-1}(E)$  is Borel, then  $f^{-1}(g^{-1}(E))$  is Borel, which implies  $(g \circ f)^{-1}(E)$  is Borel. Therefore,  $g \circ f$  is Borel measurable.

(b) If f is measurable and g is Borel measurable, then  $g \circ f$  is measurable.

*Proof.* Suppose  $f: \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  is measurable and  $g: \mathbb{R} \to \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  is Borel measurable. Similarly, for every  $E \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{R}}$  Borel,

$$(g \circ f)^{-1}(E) = f^{-1}(g^{-1}(E)).$$

Since g is Borel measurable and E is Borel, then  $g^{-1}(E)$  is Borel. Since f is measurable and  $g^{-1}(E)$  is Borel, then  $f^{-1}(g^{-1}(E))$  is measurable, which implies  $(g \circ f)^{-1}(E)$  is measurable. Therefore,  $g \circ f$  is measurable.

(It is a fact that there exists examples of measurable functions f and g such that  $g \circ f$  is not measurable.)

**Problem 46.** Let f be a nonnegative simple function. Define a function  $\mu: \mathcal{L} \to [0, \infty]$  by  $\mu(E) = \int (f \cdot \chi_E)$ . Prove that  $\mu$  is *countably additive*: if  $E_1, E_2, \ldots$  are pairwise disjoint measurable sets, then  $\mu(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mu(E_i)$ .

Proof. For each N > 0,  $\bigcup_{i=1}^N E_i \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^\infty E_i$ , which implies  $\mu\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^N E_i\right) \leq \mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^\infty E_i\right)$ . So, since each  $E_i$  is disjoint and by finite subadditivity, we have  $\sum_{i=1}^N \mu(E_i) \leq \mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^\infty E_i\right)$ . Then, since N does not determine the inequality, we have  $\lim_{N\to\infty} \sum_{i=1}^N \mu(E_i) = \sum_{i=1}^\infty \mu(E_i) \leq \mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^\infty E_i\right)$ . Since countable subadditivity give the other direction of the inequality, we must have  $\sum_{i=1}^\infty \mu(E_i) = \mu\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^\infty E_i\right)$ , which implies  $\mu$  is countably additive.  $\square$ 

**Problem 47.** Let f be a nonnegative simple function. Prove that the following conditions are equivalent:

- (a)  $\int f = 0$
- (b) f = 0 a.e.

(c) Let  $f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \chi_{A_i}$  be any representation of f with  $a_i \geq 0$  for all i. For each i, if  $a_i > 0$ , then  $m(A_i) = 0$ .

*Proof.* (a) $\Longrightarrow$ (b): Suppose for some  $X \in \text{dom}(f)$ ,  $\int_X f = 0$ . Let  $\{x \in X : f(x) > 0\} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \{x \in X : f(x) > \frac{1}{n}\}$ . Then,

$$m(\{x \in X : f(x) > \frac{1}{n}\}) = \int_{\{x \in X : f(x) > \frac{1}{n}\}} 1$$

$$= n \int_{\{x \in X : f(x) > \frac{1}{n}\}} \frac{1}{n}$$

$$\leq n \int_{\{x \in X : f(x) > \frac{1}{n}\}} f$$

$$\leq n \int_{X} f$$

$$= 0.$$

Therefore,  $m(\lbrace x \in X : f(x) > \frac{1}{n}\rbrace) = 0$ , which implies f = 0 a.e.

(b) $\Longrightarrow$ (a): Let  $A = \{x : f(x) = 0\}$  and  $m(A^c) = 0$ . Then, for  $X \in \text{dom}(f)$ ,

$$\int_{X} f = \int_{X} f \cdot (\chi_{A} + \chi_{A^{c}})$$

$$= \int_{X} f \cdot \chi_{A} + \int_{X} f \cdot \chi_{A^{c}}$$

$$= \int_{A} f + \int_{A^{c}} f$$

$$= 0.$$
(Since  $A \cap A^{c} = \emptyset$ )

(a) $\Longrightarrow$ (c): Suppose that  $\int_A f = 0$  where A is a collection of disjoint sets. Thus, since  $\int_E f = \int a_1 \chi_{A_1} + \cdots = 0$ , then each term must be zero. That means that if  $a_i > 0$  for some i, then  $\chi_{A_i} = 0$ , which implies  $m(A_i) = 0$ .

(c) $\Longrightarrow$ (a): Suppose  $f = \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \chi_{A_i}$  be any representation of f with  $a_i \geq 0$  for all i. For each i, if  $a_i > 0$ , then  $m(A_i) = 0$ . Then, each term  $a_i \chi_{A_i} = 0$  in the expansion of f, which implies  $\int f = 0$ .

**Problem 48.** For  $f, g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  the *join* of f and g is the function  $f \vee g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  defined by

$$(f\vee g)(x)=\max\{f(x),g(x)\}$$

(i.e. the pointwise maximum of the two functions). The meet is defined by

$$(f \wedge g)(x) = \min\{f(x), g(x)\}.$$

The positive and negative parts of f are defined by

$$f_{+} = f \vee 0, \quad f_{-} = -(f \wedge 0).$$

Prove the following.

(i) If f and g are measurable then so are  $f \vee g$  and  $f \wedge g$ .

*Proof.* Note,  $\{x: (f \vee g)(x) > c\} = \{x: f(x) > c\} \cup \{x: g(x) > c\}$  and  $\{x: (f \wedge g)(x) > c\} = \{x: f(x) > c\} \cap \{x: g(x) > c\}$ . So, since the join and meet are a countable collection of union and intersected measurable sets, then the join and meet must also be measurable functions.

(ii)  $f_+ \ge 0$ ,  $f_- \ge 0$ , and  $f_+ f_- = 0$ .

*Proof.* There are the three following cases,

$$f > 0 \longrightarrow f_{+} > 0$$
 and  $f_{-} = 0 \Longrightarrow f_{+}f_{-} = 0$ ,  
 $f < 0 \Longrightarrow f_{+} = 0$  and  $f_{-} > 0 \Longrightarrow f_{+}f_{-} = 0$ ,  
 $f = 0 \Longrightarrow f_{+} = 0$  and  $f_{-} = 0 \Longrightarrow f_{+}f_{-} = 0$ .

Therefore, in all cases,  $f_{+} \geq 0$ ,  $f_{-} \geq 0$ , and  $f_{+}f_{-} = 0$ .

(iii)  $f = f_+ - f_-$  and  $|f| = f_+ + f_-$ .

*Proof.* Focusing on the first part, if f > 0, then  $f_+ - f_- = f - 0 = f$ . If f < 0, then  $f_+ - f_- = 0 - (-f) = f$ . If f = 0, then  $f_+ - f_- = 0 - 0 = 0 = f$ . Therefore,  $f = f_+ - f_-$ .

Now, on the second part, if f > 0, then  $f_+ + f_- = f + 0 = f = |f|$ . If f < 0, then  $f_+ + f_- = 0 - f = |f|$ . If f = 0, then  $f_+ + f_- = 0 + 0 = 0$ . Therefore,  $|f| = f_+ + f_-$ .

(iv) If  $g, h \ge 0$  and f = g - h, then  $g \ge f_+$  and  $h \ge f_-$ . Also,  $g = f_+$  if and only if  $h = f_-$ , and this happens if and only if gh = 0.

*Proof.* From (iii), we know  $f = f_+ - f_-$ . Suppose f = g - h and  $g, h \ge 0$ . So,  $g - h = f_+ - f_-$ . There are three cases.

One, if f > 0, then either g > h, which implies the difference g - h and  $f_+ - f_-$  must be the same, which implies  $g > f_+$  and  $h > f_-$  and gh > 0, or the differences are the same, which implies  $g = f_+$  and  $h = f_-$  and gh = 0.

Two, if f < 0 implies either g < h, which implies  $g > f_+$  and  $h > f_-$  to make up for the difference, which implies gh > 0, or, as in the last case, the differences are the same, which implies  $g = f_+$  and  $h = f_-$  and gh = 0.

Lastly, if f = 0, then g = h = 0 and  $f_+ = f_- = 0$ , which implies  $g = f_+$  and  $h = f_-$ , which implies gh = 0.

Therefore, we have if  $g, h \ge 0$  and f = g - h, then  $g \ge f_+$  and  $h \ge f_-$ . Also,  $g = f_+$  if and only if  $h = f_-$ , and this happens if and only if gh = 0.