

MIS 64018 - Assignment 3

Tejasvini Mavuleti

2022-10-15

The Transportation Model

Say the decision variables are as follows - X_{pw} is the total number of AEDs shipped from plant (p) to warehouse (w)

So, (p = A,B) and (w = 1,2,3)

1 - Objective function

$$Z = 622(XA1) + 614(XA2) + 630(XA3) + 641(XB1) + 645(XB2) + 649(XB3)$$

And the constraints are

$XA1 + XA2 + XA3 \leq 100$ $XB1 + XB2 + XB3 \leq 120$ $XA1 + XB1 = 80$ $XA2 + XB2 = 60$ $XA3 + XB3 = 70$
and $X_{pw} \geq 0$

Use the lpSolveAPI to formulate this problem

```
library(lpSolve)

## Warning: package 'lpSolve' was built under R version 4.2.1

library(lpSolveAPI)

## Warning: package 'lpSolveAPI' was built under R version 4.2.1
```

This linear programming problem has 5 constraints, 6 decision variables and the goal is to minimize the function

```
lprec <- make.lp(5,6)

# Set the objective function for the problem
set.objfn(lprec, c(622,614,630,641,645,649))

# set the direction towards minimum
lp.control(lprec, sense = "min")

## $anti.degen
## [1] "fixedvars" "stalling"
##
## $basis.crash
## [1] "none"
```

```

##
## $bb.depthlimit
## [1] -50
##
## $bb.floorfirst
## [1] "automatic"
##
## $bb.rule
## [1] "pseudononint" "greedy"          "dynamic"          "rcostfixing"
##
## $break.at.first
## [1] FALSE
##
## $break.at.value
## [1] -1e+30
##
## $epsilon
##      epsb      epsd      epsel      epsint  epsperturb  epspivot
##      1e-10      1e-09      1e-12      1e-07      1e-05      2e-07
##
## $improve
## [1] "dualfeas" "thetagap"
##
## $infinite
## [1] 1e+30
##
## $maxpivot
## [1] 250
##
## $mip.gap
## absolute relative
##      1e-11      1e-11
##
## $negrange
## [1] -1e+06
##
## $obj.in.basis
## [1] TRUE
##
## $pivoting
## [1] "devex"      "adaptive"
##
## $presolve
## [1] "none"
##
## $scalelimit
## [1] 5
##
## $scaling
## [1] "geometric"  "equilibrate" "integers"

```

```
##
## $sense
## [1] "minimize"
##
## $simplextype
## [1] "dual"    "primal"
##
## $timeout
## [1] 0
##
## $verbose
## [1] "neutral"
```

Now make sure you add all the constraints to solve the problem

```
# Adding the constraint values to both the plants

# Set the production capacity constraints
set.row(lprec, 1, c(1,1,1), indices = c(1,2,3))
set.row(lprec, 2, c(1,1,1), indices = c(4,5,6))

# Set the warehouse demand constraints
set.row(lprec, 3, c(1,1), indices = c(1,4))
set.row(lprec, 4, c(1,1), indices = c(2,5))
set.row(lprec, 5, c(1,1), indices = c(3,6))

# Set the right hand side values ro the function
rhs <- c(100,120,80,60,70)
set.rhs(lprec, rhs)

# Set the constraint type
set.constr.type(lprec, c("<=", "<=", "=", "=", "="))
```

Make sure all the values are greater than 0 to minimize the problem correctly

```
# Add the boundary conditions to the decision variables
set.bounds(lprec, lower = rep(0, 6))

# Naming all the rows (constraints) and columns (decision variables) for the problem

lp.rownames <- c("Plant A Capacity", "Plant B Capacity", "W1 Demand", "W2 Dem
and", "W3 Demand")
lp.colnames <- c("PlantA to W1", "PlantA to W2", "PlantA to W3", "PlantB to W
1", "PlantB to W2", "PlantB to W3")

dimnames(lprec) <- list(lp.rownames, lp.colnames)

# Now re-check the values of the problem
lprec
```

```

## Model name:
##
PlantA to W1  PlantA to W2  PlantA to W3  PlantB to W1
PlantB to W2  PlantB to W3
## Minimize      622      614      630      641
645      649
## Plant A Capacity      1      1      1      0
0      0 <= 100
## Plant B Capacity      0      0      0      1
1      1 <= 120
## W1 Demand      1      0      0      1
0      0 = 80
## W2 Demand      0      1      0      0
1      0 = 60
## W3 Demand      0      0      1      0
0      1 = 70
## Kind      Std      Std      Std      Std
Std      Std
## Type      Real      Real      Real      Real
Real      Real
## Upper      Inf      Inf      Inf      Inf
Inf      Inf
## Lower      0      0      0      0
0      0

```

Now formulate the linear programming problem to find the optimal solution for both the plants A and B. If the result says 0, then it is the optimal solution.

```

# Solve the Linear program
solve(lprec)

## [1] 0

```

The model returned a “0”, so it has found an optimal solution to the problem.

Now we fix a minimum value to the objective function

```

# The objective function value is
get.objective(lprec)

## [1] 132790

```

The minimum shipping and production costs is \$132,790 with all the constraints fixed

Now we add the decision variables to find the number of units that can be produced and shipped from both plants A and B.

```
# Get the optimum decision variable values
```

```
get.variables(lprec)
```

```
## [1] 0 60 40 80 0 30
```

Results

Plant A ships 0 units to Warehouse 1, Plant A ships 60 units to Warehouse 2, Plant A ships 40 units to Warehouse 3, Plant B ships 80 units to Warehouse 1, Plant B ships 0 units to Warehouse 2, Plant B ships 30 units to Warehouse 3.

This distribution can help minimize cost and maximize production of all the 210 units out of both the plants A and B.

2 - Formulating the dual for this problem

$VA = P_i^d - P_i^0$ Max $VA = (80p_1^d + 60p_2^d + 40p_3^d) - (100p_1^0 + 120p_2^0)$ Say for Plant A $p_1^d - p_1^0 \geq 22$ $p_2^d - p_1^0 \geq 14$ $p_3^d - p_1^0 \geq 30$

For Plant B $p_1^d - p_2^0 \geq 16$ $p_2^d - p_2^0 \geq 20$ $p_3^d - p_2^0 \geq 24$

And for all non-negative variables we need $p^w \geq 0$

3 - Economic interpretation

```
# Switch the matrix to calculate the dual
```

```
costs <- matrix(c(622,614,630,0,  
641,645,649,0), ncol=4, byrow=TRUE)
```

```
row.signs <- rep("<=",2)
```

```
row.rhs <- c(100,120)
```

```
col.signs <- rep(">=",4)
```

```
col.rhs <- c(80,60,70,10)
```

```
lptrans <- lp.transport(costs, "min", row.signs, row.rhs, col.signs, col.rhs)  
lptrans$duals
```

```
##      [,1] [,2] [,3] [,4]  
## [1,] 0    0    0    0  
## [2,] 0    0    0    0
```

The economic interpretation of the dual problem is there is no solution. Here, we see that the shadow price is primal. The dual solution of this transportation model indicates that all the prices for the primal problem is "0". This says that there might be no scope of increasing the profit or decreasing the cost of reallocating the resources in plant A and b.

Therefore, the feasible solution is that the marginal cost is the same as the marginal revenue.

$$MR = MC$$

And, the shadow price = 0 : No reallocation of resources.