### Assignment\_3

Tejasvini Mavuleti

3/6/2022

#### R Markdown

UniversalBank <- read.csv("C:/Users/mavul/OneDrive/Desktop/UniversalBank.csv") View(UniversalBank)

library(dplyr) library(reshape) library(reshape2) library(ggplot2) library(caret) library(ISLR) library(naivebayes) library(lattice)

UniversalBankPersonal.Loan < -as.factor(UniversalBankPersonal.Loan)UniversalBankOnline = as.factor(UniversalBankOnline) UniversalBankCreditCard = as.factor(UniversalBankCreditCard)

#### Parting the data as training 60% and testing 40%

set.seed(64060) Index <- createDataPartition(UniversalBank\$Income, p=0.6, list = FALSE) Train\_Data <- UniversalBank[Index,] Test\_Data <- UniversalBank[-Index,]

### A. Creating a pivot table

set.seed(64060) Melt\_Train <melt(Train\_Data,id=c("CreditCard","Personal.Loan"),variable= "Online") cast\_Train <dcast(Melt\_Train,CreditCard+Personal.Loan~Online) cast\_Train <-cast\_Train[c(1,2,14)] cast\_Train

### B. The probability that this customer will accept the loan offer

#P(Loan=1 | CC=1, Online=1) (85)/(811) 0.1048

#### C.Create two separate pivot tables for the training data

 $set.seed(64060) \ Melt_Train1 <- \ melt(Train_Data,id=c("Personal.Loan"),variable = "Online") \\ cast_Train1 <- \ dcast(Melt_Train1,Personal.Loan~Online) \\ cast_Train1 <- \\ cast_Train1[c(1,13)] \\ cast_Train1$ 

set.seed(64060) Melt\_Train2 <- melt(Train\_Data,id=c("CreditCard"),variable = "Online") cast\_Train2 <- dcast(Melt\_Train2,CreditCard~Online) cast\_Train2 <- cast\_Train2[c(1,14)] cast\_Train2

Train\_Data1 <- Train\_Data[c(13,10,14)] table(Train\_Data1[,c(3,2)]) table(Train\_Data1[,c(1,2)]) table(Train\_Data1[,c(2)])

#### **D.Compute the following quantities**

```
i. #P(CC = 1 | Loan = 1)
```

(85)/(85+169) 0.334

(152)/(152+102) 0.598

#### iii. #P(Loan = 1)

(254)/(2748+254) 0.084

iv. 
$$\#P(CC = 1 \mid Loan = 0)$$

(811)/(811+1937) 0.291

(1659)/(1659+1089) 0.603

### **vi.** #P(Loan = 0)

(2748)/(2748+254) 0.915

## E.Use the quantities computed above to compute the naive Bayes probability

((0.3340.5980.084)/((0.3340.5980.084)+(0.2910.6030.915))) 0.09460

# F.Compare this value with the one obtained from the pivot table in (B). Which is a more accurate estimate.

0.09460 are very similar to the 0.1048 the difference between the exact method and the naive-Bayes method is the exact method would need the exact same independent variable classifications to predict, where the naive bayes method does not.

### G .Examine the model output on training data

library(e1071)

set.seed(64060) naivebayes <- naiveBayes(Personal.Loan~.,data=Train\_Data1) naivebayes  $(((0.334)(0.598)(0.084))/((0.3340.5980.084)+(0.2950.6030.915))) \\ (0.3159)(0.5972)(0.097)/((0.3159)(0.5972)(0.097)+(0.2971)(0.6006)(0.902))$ 

– Values from the naive Bayes model probability 0.0934 is very similar to value of E that is 0.094.–