

Machine Learning Methods for Site-specific Input Management

Shunkei Kakimoto¹, Taro Mieno¹ and Takashi S. T. Tanaka²

¹Agricultural Economics, University of Nebraska Lincoln ²Applied Biological Sciences, Gifu University

September 1st 2021

Background

- The application of Machine Learning(ML) methods for site-specific economically optimal input rates (EOIR) (e.g., seed, fertilizer) have been getting more attention in recent years
 - Barbosa et al. (2020) applied Convolutional Neural Network
 - Krause et al. (2020) used Random Forest-based approaches
 - Grant Gardner (2021), Wang et al. (2021), Coulibali, Cambouris, and Parent (2020), etc.

Research Gap

- The conventional ML methods focus on predicting yield well rather than causal identification of input on yield
- The past studies have used predictive ability of yield for validity of their models.

note

- EOIR estimation should be based on the change in yields associated with the change in input levels *ceteris paribus*
- Having good yield prediction capability does not necessarily mean it is also capable of estimating EOIR well

New Trend of Causal Machine Learning Application

- Causal Machine Learning (CML) methods:
 - Unlike the conventional prediction-oriented ML methods, CML focuses on identifying causal impacts of an event (in our context, an increase or decrease in input rate for example)
- **Causal Forest (CF)** (Wager and Athey 2018; Athey and Imbens 2016):
 - CF estimates heterogeneous causal impacts of a treatment (a change in the input level) based on observed characteristics (e.g., organic matter)

Research Questions

- In terms of estimating EOIR, how do CF-based methods (CF-stepwise and CF-base) compare to other prediction-oriented ML methods: Random Forest (RF), Boosted Random Forest (BRF), and Convolutional Neural Network (CNN)?
- Is the predictive ability of yield a good indicator of the performance of EOIR estimation?

- Conduct one thousand rounds of Monte Carlo simulations under four different production scenarios
- Compare the performance in estimating economically optimal nitrogen rates (EONR) of CF-based methods (CF-base and CF-stepwise) to other methods: RF, BRF, and CNN
- For RF, BRF, and CNN, contrast their EONR performances against their yield prediction performances

Key Results: EONR estimation

Table 1: Mean R-squared of EONR Estimates by ML Methods and Modeling Scenarios

Model	RF	BRF	CNN	CF-stepwise	CF-base
Model 1	0.383	0.483	0.000	0.497	0.616
Model 2	0.344	0.485	0.000	0.478	0.600
Model 3	0.296	0.449	0.000	0.428	0.567
Model 4	0.271	0.455	0.000	0.434	0.555
Avg.	0.324	0.468	0.000	0.459	0.585

Key Results: EONR estimation and Yield Prediction

Table 2: Count table about the number of simulation rounds where an identical ML method showed the highest R-squared in both EONR estimation and yield prediction in that round

Model	RF	BRF	CNN	Total
Model 1	57 (363)	372 (432)	0 (205)	429
Model 2	45 (424)	374 (411)	0 (165)	419
Model 3	6 (85)	473 (532)	0 (383)	479
Model 4	11 (101)	527 (584)	0 (315)	538

The number in () indicates the number of rounds with the highest R-squared in yield prediction.

Key Findings

- The proposed CF-base method is capable of estimating site-specific EONR more accurately than other prediction-oriented ML methods
- The ability of predicting yield does not necessarily translate to good performance in estimating EONR.

References I

- Athey, Susan, and Guido Imbens. 2016. "Recursive Partitioning for Heterogeneous Causal Effects." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 113 (27): 7353–60.
- Barbosa, Alexandre, Rodrigo Trevisan, Naira Hovakimyan, and Nicolas F Martin. 2020. "Modeling Yield Response to Crop Management Using Convolutional Neural Networks." *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture* 170: 105197.
- Coulibali, Zonlehoua, Athyna Nancy Cambouris, and Serge-Étienne Parent. 2020. "Site-Specific Machine Learning Predictive Fertilization Models for Potato Crops in Eastern Canada." *PloS One* 15 (8): e0230888.
- Grant Gardner, David S Bullock, Taro Mieno. 2021. "An Economic Evaluation of Site-Specific Input Application r x Maps: Evaluation Framework and Case Study." *Precision Agriculture*.

References II

- Krause, M, Savanna Crossman, Todd DuMond, Rodman Lott, Jason Swede, Scott Arliss, Ron Robbins, Daniel Ochs, and Michael A Gore. 2020. "Random Forest Regression for Optimizing Variable Planting Rates for Corn and Soybean Using Topographical and Soil Data."
- Wager, Stefan, and Susan Athey. 2018. "Estimation and Inference of Heterogeneous Treatment Effects using Random Forests." *Journal of the American Statistical Association* 113 (523): 1228–42. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01621459.2017.1319839>.
- Wang, Xinbing, Yuxin Miao, Rui Dong, Hainie Zha, Tingting Xia, Zhichao Chen, Krzysztof Kusnierek, Guohua Mi, Hong Sun, and Minzan Li. 2021. "Machine learning-based in-season nitrogen status diagnosis and side-dress nitrogen recommendation for corn." *European Journal of Agronomy* 123 (May 2020): 126193. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eja.2020.126193>.