Energy-Aware Resource Scheduling with Fault-Tolerance in Edge Computing

Yanfen Xue, Guisheng Fan, Huiqun Yu, Huaiying Sun

Energy-Aware Resource Scheduling with Fault-Tolerance in Edge Computing

Yanfen Xue[1,2], Guisheng Fan[1✉], Huiqun Yu[1], and Huaiying Sun[1]

[1] Department of Computer Science and Engineering, East China University of
Science and Technology, Shanghai, China
{gsfan,yhq}@ecust.edu.cn
[2] Shanghai Key Laboratory of Computer Software Evaluating and Testing, Shanghai,
China

Abstract. Edge computing extends computation and storage resources
to the edge of the network, which largely improve the performance prob-
lem of cloud computing incurred by the bandwidth limitation. And it still
needs to address the challenges of energy and reliability. In this paper,
we propose an energy-aware fault-tolerant resource scheduling algorithm
to improve system reliability while minimizing the energy consumption.
We allocate resources by reliability and energy-aware resource schedul-
ing method for tasks firstly. Then, CPU temperature prediction and time
between failures (TBF) prediction are used to trigger proactive fault tol-
erance mechanism (VM migration). The experimental results show that
the reliability is greatly improved and energy consumption generated by
VM migration is not very large compared to other methods.

Keywords: Edge computing · Fault tolerance · Energy consumption ·
Resource scheduling.

1 Introduction

Recently, edge computing is seen as an effective solution to the problem of more
larger data, which has the advantages of shorter response time and service qual-
ity [1]. However, the problems of reliability are still urgent to be solved. The
existing fault-tolerant methods can be divided into two categories: reactive and
proactive methods. It is well known that reactive schemes will produce low av-
erage utilization of resources when the application behavior is highly dynamic.
Instead of a reactive scheme, the proactive scheme that adopts a scheme of fault
prediction [2–5] can effectively improve the utilization of resources. However,
they only consider a single factor when predicting failures, which greatly affects
the accuracy of the prediction results.

In this paper, we jointly consider the CPU temperature and time between fail-
ures (TBF) of the host to achieve fault prediction and propose an energy-aware
fault-tolerant resource scheduling algorithm to improve the reliability while re-
ducing the energy consumption. Specifically, we use the reliability and energy-
aware resource scheduling [2] to allocate resources for tasks firstly. During the
tasks execution, the fault tolerance mechanism (VM migration) will be triggered
once the temperature reaches the upper threshold or the predicted failure time.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. The system model is presented
in Section 2 and follow is the resource scheduling algorithm. The simulation
experiments are conducted in Section 4. Section 5 summarizes the paper.

2   Fault-Tolerance Resource Scheduling Model

As shown in Fig. 1, the system is mainly divided into two layers. The Users Layer is the producer and consumer of data. The Edge Cloud Layer is the data processing layer that consists of physical resources. Users submit their application to Edge Cloud layer. Then, the physical resources are allocated to tasks by resource management system(RSM). And in order to improve the reliability of system, the system can migrate the running VM from the deteriorating host to other host by RSM.

In this paper, we use the Bag-of-Task (BoT) application which consists of a set of independent tasks. The tasks in each BoT are defined as $T = \{task_i|1 \le i \le n\}$. l_i is the length of the task $task_i$, which directly affects the execution time, T_i^{ex}. Each task $task_i$ is allocated to a virtual machine $vm_j \in VM$. Each virtual machine vm_j run a set of tasks $T_j \in T$. In addition, $N = \{node_k|1 \le k \le x\}$ denotes the set of the physical hosts on the edge cloud.


Fig. 1. The System Architecture

2.1 Failure Prediction Model

CPU Temperature Prediction: we use the simulation prediction function model of CPU temperature [3] as one of the methods to predict the host failure time as follow:

$$f(t|A,\omega,t_i,t_{i+1}) = \begin{cases} e^t & 0 \le t \le t_i \\ e^{t_i} & t_i \le t \le t_{i+1} \\ A\sin(\omega t - \omega t_{i+1}) + e^{t_i} & t_{i+1} \le t \le t_{i+2} \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

where i is the positive integer set; t_i is a fixed value calculated by $e^{t_i} = 35$; e^{t_i} is the temperature when CPU is idle, which is always $35°C$; t_{i+1} is a random value; t_{i+2} is calculated by $t_{i+2} = \pi/\omega + t_{i+1}$; A is the amplitude(lower than $68°C$); ω represents the duration of the CPU execution load.

Time Between Failures Prediction: In addition to the CPU temperature prediction, the method called exponential smoothing [2] is used to predict the TBF. Suppose there is a set of TBFs for the host $node_k$, $TBF_k = \{tbf_t|1 \le t \le n\}$.

Algorithm 1 Reliability and Energy-aware Resource Scheduling Algorithm

---

Input: Bag of Tasks, B

Output: The result of tasks allocation

1: Sorting R by the ratio of the mean time between failures to the power
2: **for** $j = 1$ to $|V|$ **do**
3:   get the number of CPU cores required of the VM, VM_{cores_j}
4:   **for** $k = 1$ to $|R|$ **do**
5:     **if** $R_k.predictedtoFail()! = true$ and $Cores_k \geq VM_{cores_j}$ **then**
6:       Allocate VM vm_j to the host R_k
7:       $Cores_k = Cores_k - VM_{cores_j}$
8:     EndIF
9:   EndFor
10: EndFor

Then, the prediction corresponding to tbf_{t+1} can be calculated as :

$$(tbf_k)'_{t+1} = \begin{cases} \alpha \times (tbf_k)_t + ((1-\alpha) \times (tbf_k)'_t), & n > 1 \\ (tbf_k)'_t & otherwise \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

where $(tbf_k)_t$ is the actual value of the TBF, $(tbf_k)'_t$ is the predicted value of the TBF at time t. α is the smoothing constant.

2.2 Energy Consumption Model

Let vm_j be the VM running on $node_k$ with utilization u_j. Then the energy consumption of the task $task_i$ running on vm_j can be calculated as

$$E_{ij} = (P_k(u_j) \times T_{ij}^{ex}) + E_{extra_{ij}} \tag{3}$$

where $E_{extra_{ij}}$ is the energy generated by VM migration, which can be calculated by the VM migration overhead model in [2], Similar to [6], $P_k(u_j)$ can be calculated by ,

$$P_k(u_j) = P_{min_k} + (P_{max_k} - P_{min_k}) \times u_j \tag{4}$$

where P_{min} and P_{max} is the power of node at minimum utilization and maximum utilization, respectively. The utilization u_j of the VM vm_j is the sum of the tasks utilization u_i which is calculated by normalizing the task length l_i with the maximum length l_{max} in B.

3 Energy-Aware Fault-Tolerant Resource Scheduling Algorithm

Given the set of tasks BoT B and the resource configurations of data center. Algorithm 1 is used to configure resources for tasks. Firstly, the Best Fit Bin Packing algorithm [2] is used to allocate the tasks to the VM. Then, the reliability and energy-aware strategy is used to configure physical resources for VMs(lines 1-10).During task execution, once the temperature of the node reaches the upper threshold or the predicted fault time, the VM migration will be triggered. The

VM running on deteriorating node selects another node through Algorithm 1 to implement the migration.

4 Performance Evaluation

We do the simulation experiments by extending the simulator 'CloudSim' [3] and download the Grid5000 failure dataset from Fault Tracking Archive (FTA) [2] and select the clusters, G1/site1/c1, as the edge cloud data center. Parameter configuration model in [2] is used to match the configuration for each node and generation the BoTs workload which consist of tasks between 2000 and 3000. In order to evaluate the performance of the proposed algorithm(Tem/Tbf), we compare our method with other fault-tolerant strategies. Specifically, we denote 'NoFT' as the method with no fault tolerance mechanism. 'Restr','Pre-Tem', 'Pre-Tbf', 'Tem/Tbf' as the method with resubmission, CPU temperature, TBF, CPU temperature and TBF prediction as the fault tolerant strategy, respectively.


(a) Task Completion Rate

(b) Number of Failed Tasks

Fig. 2. The task completion rate under different fault-tolerant strategies

(a) Total Energy Consumption

(b) Extra Energy by using Fault-Tolerant strategies

Fig. 3. The energy consumption under different fault-tolerant strategies

4.1   Experimental Results

Fig. 2 shows the task completion rate and the energy consumption is given in Fig. 3. We can see that the task completion rate and energy consumption is the highest when using Restr method. And among using fault prediction as the fault-tolerant strategy, the extra energy by using Tem/Tbf prediction is only 30Kwh higher than the other two cases. If using task completion rate to measure the reliability of the system, it is the most reliable by using Restr method, but the excessive energy which will greatly influence interests of operators. And when using Tem/Tbf method, the reliability is much higher than the other two proactive strategies and the increased energy is not large. Therefore, the method we proposed(Tem/Tbf) is more effective.

5   Conclusions

In this paper, we study how to improve the reliability of the edge cloud system while reducing energy consumption as much as possible. We use the reliability and energy-aware resource scheduling algorithm to allocate physical resources for tasks firstly. Then, CPU temperature prediction and time between failures prediction are used to achieve fault tolerance. Comparison with other fault-tolerant strategies, the method we proposed is more effective.

References

1. M. Mukherjee, L. Shu, D. Wang, et al: Survey of Fog Computing: Fundamental, Network Applications, and Research Challenges. IEEE Communications Surveys & Tutorials 20(3), 1826-1857(2018).
2. Y. Sharma, W. Si, D. Sun, et al.:Failure-aware energy-efficient VM consolidation in cloud computing systems. Future Generation Computer Systems 94, 620-633 (2019)
3. J. Liu, S. Wang, A. Zhou, et al.: Using Proactive Fault-Tolerance Approach to Enhance Cloud Service Reliability. IEEE Transactions on Cloud Computing 6(4), 1191-1202 (2018)
4. T. J. Charity, G. C. Hua: Resource reliability using fault tolerance in cloud computing. In: 2016 2nd International Conference on Next Generation Computing Technologies (NGCT), pp. 65-71, Dehradun (2016).
5. J. Liu, S. Wang, A. Zhou, et al.: PFT-CCKP: A proactive fault tolerance mechanism for data center network. In: 2015 IEEE 23rd International Symposium on Quality of Service (IWQoS), pp. 79-80, Portland (2015).
6. A. Beloglazov, J. Abawajy, R. Buyya: Energy-aware resource allocation heuristics for efficient management of data centers for Cloud computing. Future Generation Computer Systems 28(5), 755-768 (2012)