

Billiards

Tom Spencer

Wednesday 21st September, 2022

1 Introduction

We are interested in modelling a two dimensional gas by modelling motions of individual particles that experience elastic collisions. We then hope to extract some interesting physics from such a simulation, such as showing speed distribution is a Maxwell-Boltzmann, computing the heat capacity and show it follows the ideal gas equation. We will first consider a model using non-rotating discs, though we may relax this later.

There are two approaches to such a simulation. A time driven approach is the most simplest, where we advance the simulation forward by a small time increment dt and then check for and perform any collisions that took place during dt . This approach is simple to understand and implement but has some drawbacks, namely:

- If we choose too large a time step, fast moving particles can skip over and avoid collisions with other particles
- This means a small step must be selected which is then computationally expensive, especially considering most particles aren't colliding

However, unlike many N-body simulation, each particle only experiences a force during a collisions, meaning they travel in straight lines in between collisions. This motivates the event-driven approach, where we have a variable time step, jumping from one collision to the next. It can be summarised as:

- Determine the next particle to experience a collision and the time t_1 it occurs, current time is t_0
- Advance all particles to the time t_1
- Perform the collision on the particle (e.g. flip perpendicular component of velocity)
- Store particle ID, time of collision, new position and velocity as an event
- Repeat

This approach has several advantages, we cannot have issues with fast moving particles skipping collisions and we don't have to perform many iterations where no collisions occurred where one larger jump is sufficient. It can also deal with simple forces acting on particles such that their trajectory between collisions is known beforehand, e.g. constant gravity. However it is more complex to implement and cannot deal with more complicated forces, e.g. Newtonian gravity.

We will implement the event-driven approach, first using an inefficient $\mathcal{O}(N^2)$ approach and then seek a more efficient solution.

2 Theory

In the following, a particle starts at position \vec{r}_0 and travels with velocity \vec{v} and in an external, uniform gravitational field. Its position is therefore given by,

$$\vec{r}_p(t) = \vec{r}_0 + \vec{v}t + \frac{1}{2}\vec{g}t^2, \quad (1)$$

where \vec{g} is the acceleration due to gravity. There are three types of collisions we must consider:

- Disc-disc collisions
- Disc-wall collisions
- Disc-boundary collisions

We first derive how to test for such collisions and then derive the physics of the collisions.

2.1 Testing for collisions

2.1.1 Testing for Disc-wall collisions

A wall that starts at a point \vec{A} and ends at \vec{B} can be parameterised as,

$$\vec{r}_w(s) = \vec{A} + (\vec{B} - \vec{A})s, \quad (2)$$

with $0 \leq s \leq 1$. There are two ways a particle can collide with a wall. It can either collide with the ends at \vec{A} or \vec{B} , or alternatively it can collide with main body of the wall, corresponding to $0 < s < 1$ in the above parametrisation. Initially, we only consider particles in a rectangular box. As such, particles cannot collide with the ends and so we initially only test for the second scenario.

When a particle of radius R collides with the wall, the perpendicular distance between the wall and the centre of the particle is precisely R . The collision takes place when,

$$(\vec{r}_p(t) - \vec{r}_w(s))^2 = R^2, \quad (3)$$

and occurs at,

$$s = \frac{(\vec{r}_p(t) - \vec{A}) \cdot (\vec{B} - \vec{A})}{|\vec{B} - \vec{A}|^2}. \quad (4)$$

Substituting in for s , we can express $\vec{r}_p(t) - \vec{r}_w(s)$ at the moment of collision as,

$$\vec{r}_p(t) - \vec{r}_w(s) = (\vec{r}_0 - \vec{A}) - \vec{\gamma} \left((\vec{r}_0 - \vec{A}) \cdot \vec{\gamma} + t(\vec{v} \cdot \vec{\gamma}) \right) + \frac{t^2}{2} (\vec{g} - (\vec{g} \cdot \vec{\gamma})\vec{\gamma}), \quad (5)$$

$$= \vec{n} \cdot (\vec{r}_0 - \vec{A}) \vec{n} + t(\vec{v} \cdot \vec{n})\vec{n} + \frac{t^2}{2} (\vec{g} \cdot \vec{n})\vec{n}, \quad (6)$$

where $\vec{\gamma}$ is the unit vector along the wall from \vec{A} to \vec{B} ,

$$\vec{\gamma} = \frac{\vec{B} - \vec{A}}{|\vec{B} - \vec{A}|}, \quad (7)$$

and \vec{n} is a unit vector normal to the wall and orthogonal to $\vec{\gamma}$. Substituting back into Eq 3 and simplifying produces two quadratics in t ,

$$\frac{\vec{g} \cdot \vec{n}}{2} t^2 + (\vec{v} \cdot \vec{n})t + (\vec{r}_0 - \vec{A}) \cdot \vec{n} \pm R = 0. \quad (8)$$

We first consider the case $\vec{g} \cdot \vec{n} = 0$. The solution for t becomes,

$$t = \frac{(\vec{A} - \vec{r}_0) \cdot \vec{n} \pm R}{\vec{v} \cdot \vec{n}}. \quad (9)$$

If we choose \vec{n} such that $(\vec{A} - \vec{r}_0) \cdot \vec{n} > 0$, then only the negative solution can possibly be physical as the disc collides with the wall on the same side the disc currently resides. We therefore find t as,

$$t = \frac{(\vec{A} - \vec{r}_0) \cdot \vec{n} - R}{\vec{v} \cdot \vec{n}}. \quad (10)$$

We must be careful if a disc-wall collision has just occurred. As the disc will just be touching the wall, t will be close to 0. This is easily resolved since the component of \vec{v} perpendicular to the wall should point away from the wall after the collision. We therefore reject any collision where $\vec{v} \cdot \vec{n} \leq 0$.

For the case $\vec{g} \cdot \vec{n} \neq 0$, applying the standard quadratic formula produces,

$$t = \frac{-\vec{v} \cdot \vec{n} \pm \sqrt{(\vec{v} \cdot \vec{n})^2 + 2(\vec{g} \cdot \vec{n})((\vec{A} - \vec{r}_0) \cdot \vec{n} \pm R)}}{\vec{g} \cdot \vec{n}}. \quad (11)$$

Again, if we choose \vec{n} such that $(\vec{A} - \vec{r}_0) \cdot \vec{n} > 0$ we need only consider the negative solution for the second \pm . By considering the sign of $\vec{g} \cdot \vec{n}$, we see for a collision in the future, we will almost always want the positive solution for the first sign,

$$t = \frac{-\vec{v} \cdot \vec{n} + \sqrt{(\vec{v} \cdot \vec{n})^2 + 2(\vec{g} \cdot \vec{n})((\vec{A} - \vec{r}_0) \cdot \vec{n} \pm R)}}{\vec{g} \cdot \vec{n}}. \quad (12)$$

The exception is when we have just processed a disc-wall collision. If we are ‘above’ the wall ($\vec{g} \cdot \vec{n} > 0$) then Eq 12 will produce the correct, later time of next collision since after the collision $\vec{v} \cdot \vec{n} < 0$.

However, if we are ‘below’ the wall ($\vec{g} \cdot \vec{n} < 0$) the disc will not collide with the wall again and Eq 12 will give a spurious solution. We therefore set $t = \infty$ if both $\vec{v} \cdot \vec{n} < 0$ and $\vec{g} \cdot \vec{n} < 0$.

Finally we note we have assumed the disc can only collide with the wall on the same side it currently resides. This is true for $\vec{g} \cdot \vec{n} = 0$ or if both of the wall’s end points are outside the bounds of the simulation. In future we plan to allow walls with end points within the bounds of the simulation. When we do, we will need to consider both sides.

2.1.2 Testing for Disc-boundary collisions

Boundaries are used to split the simulation area into rectangular sectors in order to improve efficiency. They are straight lines and are described in the same manner as walls. However, a disc-boundary collision occurs when the centre of a disc intersects with the boundary. We can find the collision time from Eq 10 if $\vec{g} \cdot \vec{n} = 0$ and Eq 12 otherwise by setting $R = 0$. For $\vec{g} \cdot \vec{n} = 0$ we get,

$$t = \frac{(\vec{A} - \vec{r}_0) \cdot \vec{n}}{\vec{v} \cdot \vec{n}}, \quad (13)$$

and for $\vec{g} \cdot \vec{n} \neq 0$ we get,

$$t = \frac{-\vec{v} \cdot \vec{n} + \sqrt{(\vec{v} \cdot \vec{n})^2 + 2(\vec{g} \cdot \vec{n})(\vec{A} - \vec{r}_0) \cdot \vec{n}}}{\vec{g} \cdot \vec{n}}. \quad (14)$$

We must again be careful if we have just processed a disc-boundary collision and are currently sitting on the boundary. Finite numerical precision means the centre of the disc may not be exactly on the boundary but to either side. This could leave us stuck in a loop where we endlessly process the same boundary collision. Previously we solved this by not testing a boundary if the disc's last event was a collision with said boundary. However gravity makes this a little more complicated as a disc may interact with the same boundary sequentially. That is, it may interact with the same boundary twice with no intervening disc-disc or disc-wall events.

We resolve this by noting if the disc-boundary collision has not been processed, the disc's velocity at the point of collision is such it is leaving the sector currently listed as its sector ID. By contrast, if the disc-boundary collision has been processed, it will be entering the sector listed as its sector ID. We do this by constructing a vector normal to the boundary and orientated such that it points 'towards' the centre of the sector. A disc is leaving the sector it is in if this normal vector dotted with the disc's velocity at the time of collision is less than zero.

Therefore for the case $\vec{g} \cdot \vec{n} = 0$, we only need to process a disc-boundary collision if the disc is leaving its current sector. For the case $\vec{g} \cdot \vec{n} \neq 0$, we compute the disc's velocity at the solution given by Eq 14 and check if the disc is leaving its current sector. If it is, this time is the time to the next boundary. Otherwise we perform the same computation for the second solution. If this solution also 'not leaving', we return the time to next collision as infinity.

We lastly note t must be positive for a collision to occur and that since the boundary endpoints are placed outside the simulation bounds, there is no need to ensure $0 \leq s \leq 1$.

2.1.3 Testing for disc-disc collisions

Consider two discs i, j with radii R_i, R_j respectively. Denoting the position of each particle as $\vec{r}_i(t)$ and $\vec{r}_j(t)$, a collision occurs when,

$$(\vec{r}_i(t) - \vec{r}_j(t))^2 = (R_i + R_j)^2. \quad (15)$$

We can rewrite this as,

$$(t\vec{\alpha} + \vec{\beta})^2 = R^2, \quad (16)$$

with,

$$\vec{\alpha} = \vec{v}_i - \vec{v}_j, \quad \vec{\beta} = \vec{r}_{i0} - \vec{r}_{j0}, \quad R = R_i + R_j. \quad (17)$$

Here \vec{v}_i, \vec{v}_j and $\vec{r}_{i0}, \vec{r}_{j0}$ are the velocity and initial position of each respective disc. Applying the standard quadratic formula produces,

$$t = \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \left(-\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{\beta} \pm \sqrt{(\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{\beta})^2 + \alpha^2(R^2 - \beta^2)} \right). \quad (18)$$

We may assume $t \geq 0$ for both solutions, if there are real solutions, since discs may not overlap. Of two solutions, the negative corresponds to the true collision, the positive to the case of one disc passing through the other and coming out the other side. We are therefore only interested in the negative of the two solutions.

However, the above has issues when the discs are very close after performing a collision. We therefore use the approach described in [1] to solve the quadratic $ax^2 + bx + c = 0$ as,

$$x_1 = \frac{q}{a}, \quad x_2 = \frac{c}{q}, \quad q = -\frac{1}{2} \left(b + \text{sign}(b) \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac} \right), \quad (19)$$

where we have,

$$a = \alpha^2, \quad b = 2\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{\beta}, \quad c = \beta^2 - R^2. \quad (20)$$

Noting $\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{\beta}$ must be less than zero for a collision to occur, we find t as,

$$t = \frac{c}{-b + \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}. \quad (21)$$

This only holds so long as $\vec{\alpha} \cdot \vec{\beta} < 0$, if it is not this will not produce the correct time and we should return $t = \infty$.

2.2 Processing collisions

We now discuss the implemented physics of disc-disc and disc-wall collisions. Note that no processing is required for disc-boundary collisions, at least in terms of the physics. Since we model instantaneous collisions, we neglect the effects of gravity.

2.2.1 Processing disc-disc collisions

We model the collision using constant coefficients of normal restitution, ϵ_n , and tangential restitution, ϵ_t , as described in [2]. This approach defines the behaviour of the collision in terms of the relative velocity of the point on each disk that touches the other during the collision, \vec{g}_{ij} . The resulting states of discs i and j are then determined by,

$$\left(\vec{g}'_{ij} \cdot \hat{\sigma}_{ij}\right) \hat{\sigma}_{ij} = -\epsilon_n \left(\vec{g}_{ij} \cdot \hat{\sigma}_{ij}\right) \hat{\sigma}_{ij}, \quad \left(\vec{g}'_{ij} \times \hat{\sigma}_{ij}\right) \times \hat{\sigma}_{ij} = -\epsilon_t \left(\vec{g}_{ij} \times \hat{\sigma}_{ij}\right) \times \hat{\sigma}_{ij}, \quad (22)$$

where $\hat{\sigma}_{ij}$ is a unit vector pointing from the centre of disk i to the centre of disk j at the moment of collision,

$$\hat{\sigma}_{ij} = \frac{\vec{r}_j - \vec{r}_i}{|\vec{r}_j - \vec{r}_i|}. \quad (23)$$

We note the sign convention in Eq 22 varies but the use here is consistent with [2].

We now broadly reproduce the derivation of [2] for the velocities and angular velocities after the collision in a little more detail and extend it to particles with differing masses, moments of inertia and radii. We denote the moment of inertia of each disc I_i , I_j and angular velocity $\vec{\omega}_i$, $\vec{\omega}_j$. We will again work in the centre of mass frame where the total linear momentum is zero.

If particles i and j are colliding, and as a result of the collision particle i experiences an impulse \vec{Q} , the new momenta of the particles are trivially,

$$\vec{P}'_i = \vec{P}_i + \vec{Q}, \quad \vec{P}'_j = \vec{P}_j - \vec{Q}. \quad (24)$$

For the new angular velocities, we note particle i experiences a force acting on the surface of the point of collision. This force provides disc i with the impulse \vec{Q} , but it also produces a torque and therefore an angular impulse,

$$R_i \hat{\sigma}_{ij} \times \vec{Q}, \quad (25)$$

This torque acts solely to change $\vec{\omega}_i$. Hence we may express the new angular velocities as,

$$\vec{\omega}'_i = \vec{\omega}_i + \frac{R_i}{I_i} \hat{\sigma}_{ij} \times \vec{Q}, \quad \vec{\omega}'_j = \vec{\omega}_j + \frac{R_j}{I_j} \hat{\sigma}_{ij} \times \vec{Q}, \quad (26)$$

where we have used $\hat{\sigma}_{ji} = -\hat{\sigma}_{ij}$ for $\vec{\omega}'_j$.

As a sanity check, we may check momentum and angular momentum are indeed conserved. Momentum is clearly conserved. The angular momentum is then,

$$\vec{r}_i \times \vec{P}_i + \vec{r}_j \times \vec{P}_j + I_i \vec{\omega}_i + I_j \vec{\omega}_j = \vec{r}_i \times (\vec{P}_i + \vec{Q}) + \vec{r}_j \times (\vec{P}_j - \vec{Q}) + \quad (27)$$

$$I_i \left(\vec{\omega}_i + \frac{R_i}{I_i} \hat{\sigma}_{ij} \times \vec{Q} \right) + I_j \left(\vec{\omega}_j + \frac{R_j}{I_j} \hat{\sigma}_{ij} \times \vec{Q} \right). \quad (28)$$

Simplifying yields,

$$(\vec{r}_j - \vec{r}_i) \times \vec{Q} = (R_i + R_j) \hat{\sigma}_{ij} \times \vec{Q}, \quad (29)$$

which is identical by the definition of $\hat{\sigma}_{ij}$.

The relative velocity at the point of collision can be written as,

$$\vec{g}_{ij} = \vec{v}_i - \vec{v}_j + (R_i \vec{\omega}_i + R_j \vec{\omega}_j) \times \hat{\sigma}_{ij}, \quad (30)$$

$$= \frac{m_i + m_j}{m_i m_j} \vec{P}_i + (R_i \vec{\omega}_i + R_j \vec{\omega}_j) \times \hat{\sigma}_{ij}, \quad (31)$$

where we have used the fact we are working in the centre of mass frame to write $\vec{P}_j = -\vec{P}_i$. Using the expressions for the final momenta and angular velocities in Eq 24 and Eq 26, we can write the relative velocity at the point of collision after the collision as,

$$\vec{g}'_{ij} = \frac{m_i + m_j}{m_i m_j} \vec{P}'_i + (R_i \vec{\omega}'_i + R_j \vec{\omega}'_j) \times \hat{\sigma}_{ij}, \quad (32)$$

$$= \frac{m_i + m_j}{m_i m_j} (\vec{P}_i + \vec{Q}) + \left(R_i \left[\vec{\omega}_i + \frac{R_i}{I_i} \hat{\sigma}_{ij} \times \vec{Q} \right] + R_j \left[\vec{\omega}_j + \frac{R_j}{I_j} \hat{\sigma}_{ij} \times \vec{Q} \right] \right) \times \hat{\sigma}_{ij}, \quad (33)$$

$$= \vec{g}_{ij} + \frac{m_i + m_j}{m_i m_j} \vec{Q} + \left(\frac{R_i^2}{I_i} + \frac{R_j^2}{I_j} \right) (\hat{\sigma}_{ij} \times \vec{Q}) \times \hat{\sigma}_{ij} \quad (34)$$

We now seek to find an expression for \vec{Q} . Substituting \vec{g}'_{ij} into the first relation of Eq 22 gives,

$$\vec{Q} \cdot \hat{\sigma}_{ij} = -(1 + \epsilon_n) \frac{m_i m_j}{m_i + m_j} \vec{g}_{ij} \cdot \hat{\sigma}_{ij}. \quad (35)$$

From the second relation of Eq 22 and using that for some vector \vec{A} we have $(\vec{A} \times \hat{\sigma}_{ij}) \times \hat{\sigma}_{ij} = (\vec{A} \cdot \hat{\sigma}_{ij}) \hat{\sigma}_{ij} - \vec{A}$, we have,

$$-\epsilon_t (\vec{g}_{ij} \times \hat{\sigma}_{ij}) \times \hat{\sigma}_{ij} = (\vec{g}_{ij} \times \hat{\sigma}_{ij}) \times \hat{\sigma}_{ij} + \frac{m_i + m_j}{m_i m_j} (\vec{Q} \times \hat{\sigma}_{ij}) \times \hat{\sigma}_{ij} \quad (36)$$

$$+ \left(\frac{R_i^2}{I_i} + \frac{R_j^2}{I_j} \right) (\vec{Q} \times \hat{\sigma}_{ij}) \times \hat{\sigma}_{ij}, \quad (37)$$

which leads to,

$$\vec{Q} - (\vec{Q} \cdot \hat{\sigma}_{ij}) \hat{\sigma}_{ij} = -(1 + \epsilon_t) \left[\frac{m_i + m_j}{m_i m_j} + \frac{R_i^2}{I_i} + \frac{R_j^2}{I_j} \right]^{-1} \left(\vec{g}_{ij} - (\vec{g}_{ij} \cdot \hat{\sigma}_{ij}) \hat{\sigma}_{ij} \right) \quad (38)$$

Combining this with Eq 35 produces an expression for \vec{Q} ,

$$\vec{Q} = -(1 + \epsilon_n) \frac{m_i m_j}{m_i + m_j} (\vec{g}_{ij} \cdot \hat{\sigma}_{ij}) \hat{\sigma}_{ij} - (1 + \epsilon_t) \left[\frac{m_i + m_j}{m_i m_j} + \frac{R_i^2}{I_i} + \frac{R_j^2}{I_j} \right]^{-1} \left(\vec{g}_{ij} - (\vec{g}_{ij} \cdot \hat{\sigma}_{ij}) \hat{\sigma}_{ij} \right). \quad (39)$$

Although we derived this expression for \vec{Q} in the centre of mass frame, since \vec{g}_{ij} and $\hat{\sigma}_{ij}$ only depend on the relative velocity and position, it will be the same in all inertial frames. Similarly, the expressions in Eq 24 and Eq 26 will also be the same in all frames. We therefore do not need to transform into the centre of mass frame and back to use it.

The ranges of ϵ_n and ϵ_t are $0 \leq \epsilon_n \leq 1$ and $-1 \leq \epsilon_t \leq 1$. $\epsilon_t = -1$ corresponds to so called perfectly smooth discs whereas $\epsilon_t = 1$ to so called perfectly rough. In the case where $\epsilon_n = 1$ and $\epsilon_t = \pm 1$ it can be shown energy is conserved during the collision. \vec{Q} reduces to an expression consistent with perfectly smooth discs in the case $\epsilon_n = 1$, $\epsilon_t = -1$ as expected. It also reduces to an expression consistent with Eq 2.7 of [2] in the case of disks with identical masses, radii and moments of inertia.

2.2.2 Processing disc-wall collisions

A disc-wall collision can be modelled as a disc-disc collision where the disc that represents the wall has infinite mass/moment of inertia and zero velocity/angular velocity. In this limit, Eq 39 becomes (dropping subscript i, j),

$$\vec{Q} = -(1 + \epsilon_n) m (\vec{g} \cdot \hat{\sigma}) \hat{\sigma} - (1 + \epsilon_t) \left[\frac{1}{m} + \frac{R^2}{I} \right]^{-1} \left(\vec{g} - (\vec{g} \cdot \hat{\sigma}) \hat{\sigma} \right), \quad (40)$$

where $\vec{g} = \vec{v} + R\vec{\omega} \times \hat{\sigma}$ and $\hat{\sigma}$ is a unit vector pointing from the centre of the disc to the point on it's surface colliding with the wall.

3 Insights from statistical mechanics

Here we discuss some of the macroscopic physics of a system of billiards. We leave a discussion of the effects of gravity for later.

The partition function for a N-body system can be written as,

$$Z_N = \frac{1}{h^{3N} N!} \int d^2 \vec{q}_1 \dots d^2 \vec{q}_N d^2 \vec{p}_1 \dots d^2 \vec{p}_N \exp(-\beta \mathcal{H}(\vec{q}_1, \dots, \vec{q}_N, \vec{p}_1, \dots, \vec{p}_N)), \quad (41)$$

where $\beta = \frac{1}{k_B T}$. The Hamiltonian can be written as,

$$\mathcal{H}(\vec{q}_1, \dots, \vec{q}_N, \vec{p}_1, \dots, \vec{p}_N) = \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{p_j^2}{2m} + U(\vec{q}_1, \dots, \vec{q}_N), \quad (42)$$

where $U(\vec{q}_1, \dots, \vec{q}_N)$ is,

$$U(\vec{q}_1, \dots, \vec{q}_N) = \begin{cases} \infty & \text{if any } |\vec{q}_i - \vec{q}_j| < 2R \\ \infty & \text{if any disc is penetrating a wall .} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \quad (43)$$

The partition function can then be rewritten as,

$$Z_N = \frac{V^N Q}{h^{3N} N!} \left(\int d^2 \vec{p} \exp \left(-\frac{\beta p^2}{2m} \right) \right)^N, \quad (44)$$

$$= \frac{V^N Q}{h^{3N} N!} \left(\frac{2\pi m}{\beta} \right)^N \quad (45)$$

where Q is the configuration integral,

$$\frac{1}{V^N} \int d^2 \vec{q}_1 \dots d^2 \vec{q}_N \exp(-\beta U(\vec{q}_1, \dots, \vec{q}_N)), \quad (46)$$

which is taken over the container the gas is in.

3.1 Equation of state

Evaluating Q is highly non-trivial, so we approximate the equation of state at low densities using the virial expansion,

$$\frac{pV}{Nk_B T} = 1 + \sum_{k=2}^{\infty} B_k(T) \left(\frac{N}{V} \right)^{k-1}. \quad (47)$$

In two dimensions, the first virial coefficients for hard disks of area A are [3],

$$B_2(T) = 2A, \quad B_3(T) = \left(\frac{16}{3} - \frac{4\sqrt{3}}{\pi} \right) A^2, \quad B_4(T) = \left(16 - \frac{36\sqrt{3}}{\pi} + \frac{80}{\pi^2} \right) A^3. \quad (48)$$

3.2 Speed distribution

The probability of the system occupying a given state $(\vec{q}_1, \dots, \vec{q}_N, \vec{p}_1, \dots, \vec{p}_N)$ is,

$$P(\vec{q}_1, \dots, \vec{q}_N, \vec{p}_1, \dots, \vec{p}_N) d\Phi = \frac{\exp(-\beta \mathcal{H}(\vec{q}_1, \dots, \vec{q}_N, \vec{p}_1, \dots, \vec{p}_N))}{Z_N} d\Phi, \quad (49)$$

where $d\Phi = d^2 \vec{q}_1 \dots d^2 \vec{q}_N d^2 \vec{p}_1 \dots d^2 \vec{p}_N$. We can determine the momentum distribution by integrating over all $\vec{q}_1, \dots, \vec{q}_N$ and $\vec{p}_2, \dots, \vec{p}_N$ and then integrating out the angular dependence using $d^2 \vec{p} = dp d\theta p$. Dropping the subscript 1 produces,

$$P(p) dp = \left(\frac{\beta}{2\pi m} \right) dp \int d\theta p \exp \left(-\frac{\beta p^2}{2m} \right), \quad (50)$$

$$= \frac{\beta p}{m} \exp \left(-\frac{\beta p^2}{2m} \right) dp. \quad (51)$$

The expected speed distribution is then,

$$P(v) dv = \beta m v \exp \left(-\frac{\beta p^2}{2m} \right) dv. \quad (52)$$

4 Program structure

Function for solving collision detection i.e. Eq. 10 etc.

- A **Vec2D** class to represent a 2 dimensional vector.
 - Include methods for magnitude, magnitude squared and dot product
- A **Disc** class to represent a disc
 - Stores position, velocity, mass and radius
 - If rotation is implemented, it will also need to include angular velocity and moment of inertia
- A **Wall** class to represent a straight wall a particle can bounce off
 - Stores start and end points, \vec{A} and \vec{B} of the wall
 - Stores a unit vector pointing from the start point to the wall's end point
- An **Event** class to represent either a disc-wall or disc-disc collision
 - Store index of the disc in the simulation's vector of discs, along with time of event, current position and new velocity
- A **Sim** class to represent a simulation
 - Vector to store the discs that are in the simulation, both in their current state and their initial state
 - Vector to store the walls in the simulation
 - Vector to store the events that occur during the simulation, if desired
 - Current time of the simulation
 - A method to advance the simulation by either a given number of events or duration, whichever is reached sooner, should have a member variable to set whether the events should be stored or only the current state should be stored

We also intend to produce a Cython wrapper to run and analyse the results from a simulation in Python. Initially, we'll implement a simple but inefficient algorithm for checking collisions but later we'll do something more advanced.

4.1 Cython wrapper

Here we detail the structure of the Cython wrapper and interface to Python.

- Cython needs to be told about **Vec2D**, but it doesn't need a wrapper provided to Python
- **PyDisc** wrapper for **Disc**. It does not own the memory, that is owned by the C++ **Sim**.
 - Exposes the member variables of a **Disc** such as mass, radius etc. as properties
 - Position and velocity should be exposed as **numpy** arrays with shape (2,)
 - Wraps the position method to compute position at time t

- **PyWall** wrapper for **Wall**. It does not own the memory, that is owned by the C++ **Sim**.
 - Exposes the start and end member variables of a **Wall** as properties, specifically as **numpy** arrays with shape (2,)
- **PyEvent** wraps **Event**. It does not own the memory, that is owned by the C++ **Sim**.
 - Exposes the member variables of an **Event** such as mass, radius etc. as properties
 - New position and velocity should be exposed as **numpy** arrays with shape (2,)
 - Factory static method for creation from a **PySim** instance and index of event
- **PySim** wrapper for **Sim**.
 - Should expose member variables as properties
 - Method **advance()** to advance the simulation by either a given number of collisions or duration, whichever is reached first.
 - Methods **add_disc()** and **add_wall()** for adding discs/walls to the simulation individually
 - Method **add_random_discs()** for adding discs in bulk randomly in a box, all with the given parameters e.g. mass, radius etc.
 - Method **add_box_walls()** for adding four walls in the shape of a box, defined by the position of the bottom-left and top-right corners.
 - Although we expose discs as a python list, for analysis it may be more useful to access properties as **numpy** arrays. So we'll have a method that accepts certain parameters and returns a dictionary of **numpy** arrays corresponding to those parameters.
 - Property **initial_state** to return the initial state of the system as a "state dictionary." Returns a dictionary where the keys correspond to names of disc properties (position, velocity, mass, etc.) and values are those properties as **numpy** arrays.
 - Property **current_state** that behaves the same as **initial_state** but returns a state dictionary for the current state of the simulation.
 - Two generators for replaying the simulation
 - * These start at the beginning of the simulation and yield the same state dictionary of **numpy** arrays the properties for **initial_state** and **current_state** do
 - * The arrays in this dictionary are successively updated by reference
 - * **replay_by_event()** for moving forward from one event to the next
 - * **replay_by_time()** for moving forward at constant time intervals, useful for making an animation

4.2 Initial algorithm

Initially we'll implement an inefficient $\mathcal{O}(N^2)$ algorithm as follows:

1. Store a list of the last event that occurred and any others that occurred at the same time. This could be due to a particle colliding with the corner of a box and colliding with two walls at the same time
2. Iterate over all possible disc-wall and disc-disc collisions. Find the one with the smallest positive time till collision.

3. Now we know the next collision, advance all particles (if necessary) to the time of collision
4. Perform the appropriate type of collision on the disc or discs
5. Repeat until the maximum number of collisions is reached or maximum duration is reached.

The above doesn't account for what to do if a disc undergoes two collisions at the same time, but it'll be enough to check the basic physics of checking for collisions and performing collisions are done correctly.

4.3 A more efficient approach

A more efficient approach is described in [4]. Roughly, for each particle the algorithm keeps track of two events, The last collision the particle experienced and the next scheduled collision in the future. The algorithm iterates by:

- Determining the particle with the next scheduled event
- Making the scheduled event the most recent event the particle underwent
- Determining the next collision of the particle and scheduling it
- If the next collision is disc-disc, appropriately scheduling the partner's new collision

The main computational effort is spent in determining the next collision and the next scheduled event. Determining the next time can be found in $\mathcal{O}(\log N)$ using a heap as suggested in [4], whereas determining the next collision can be improved partitioning space into sectors.

4.4 Dividing the space into sectors

In this method, the space the particles occupy is split into sectors, each of which should be large enough to fully contain the disc. Only the sector the disc is currently in and those adjacent to it (8 if using squares) need to be checked to determine the next possible disc-disc collision. Similarly, only sectors adjacent to a wall need to check for disc-wall interactions.

References

- [1] W. H. Press, S. A. Teukolsky, W. T. Vetterling, and B. P. Flannery, *Numerical Recipes 3rd Edition: The Art of Scientific Computing*. USA: Cambridge University Press, 3 ed., 2007.
- [2] G. M. Kremer, A. Santos, and V. Garzó, "Transport coefficients of a granular gas of inelastic rough hard spheres," *Phys. Rev. E*, vol. 90, p. 022205, Aug 2014.
- [3] J. Rowlinson, "The virial expansion in two dimensions," *Molecular Physics*, vol. 7, no. 6, pp. 593–594, 1964.
- [4] B. D. Lubachevsky, "How to simulate billiards and similar systems," *Journal of Computational Physics*, vol. 94, pp. 255–283, Jun 1991.