

# A Graph-based Framework for Coverage Analysis in Autonomous Driving

xxx

August 24, 2025

## 1 Abstract

## 2 Introduction

[13]  
[22]  
[3]

## 3 existing coverage and analysis approaches

add Master thesis Johannes

[1]  
[19]  
[16]  
[20]  
[14]  
[2]  
[10]  
[7]  
[21]  
[6]  
[9]  
[12]

## 4 Defining a traffic scene graph

[5]  
[15]  
[4]  
[18]  
[11]  
[17]

- 5   Analysing a traffic scene with agraph
- 6   Create subgraphs for coverage analysis
- 7   graph embeddings for coverage analysis
- 8   Application
- 8.1   Argoverse 2.0
  - [23]
- 8.2   Carla
  - [8]
- 9   Summary

## References

- [1] Pegasus method. Available online, 2019. Accessed: August 24, 2025.
- [2] Paul Ammann and Jeff Offutt. *Introduction to Software Testing*. Cambridge University Press, 2008.
- [3] Artem Arzamasov, Martin Lauer, and Klaus Bengler. Data-driven testing of autonomous driving systems: A survey. *Journal of Field Robotics*, 38(8):1063–1087, 2021.
- [4] Mojtaba Bagheri, Thomas Menzel, and Markus Maurer. Ontology-based scene creation for the development of automated vehicles. *CEAS Aeronautical Journal*, 11(3):661–673, 2020.
- [5] Felix Behr, Thomas Menzel, Gerrit Bagschik, and Markus Maurer. A graph-based model for representing and analyzing traffic scenarios. In *2021 IEEE International Intelligent Transportation Systems Conference (ITSC)*, pages 1458–1465. IEEE, 2021.
- [6] Christian Berger, Bernhard Rumpe, Julian Stier, et al. A survey on scenario-based verification and validation of autonomous vehicles. *IEEE Access*, 8:87456–87477, 2020.
- [7] Erwin de Gelder, Jan-Pieter Paardekooper, Arash Khabbaz Saberi, Hala Elrofai, Olaf Op den Camp, Steven Kraines, Jeroen Ploeg, and Bart De Schutter. Towards an ontology for scenario definition for the assessment of automated vehicles: An object-oriented framework. *IEEE Transactions on Intelligent Vehicles*, 7(2):300–314, 2022.

- [8] Alexey Dosovitskiy, German Ros, Felipe Codevilla, Antonio Lopez, and Vladlen Koltun. CARLA: An open urban driving simulator. In *Proceedings of the 1st Annual Conference on Robot Learning*, pages 1–16, 2017.
- [9] International Organization for Standardization. ISO 21448:2022 – Road Vehicles – Safety of the Intended Functionality, 2022. Standard document, ISO/TC 22/SC 32.
- [10] Foretellix. Av coverage and performance metrics. <https://blog.foretellix.com/2019/09/13/av-coverage-and-performance-metrics/>, September 2019. Accessed: 2025-04-04.
- [11] Daniel J. Fremont, Tommaso Dreossi, and Sanjit A. Seshia. Scenic: A language for scenario specification and scene generation. In *Proceedings of the 40th ACM SIGPLAN Conference on Programming Language Design and Implementation (PLDI)*, pages 118–132. ACM, 2020.
- [12] Underwriters Laboratories. *UL 4600: Standard for Evaluation of Autonomous Products*. UL Standards, 2020. Standard for autonomous vehicle safety and validation.
- [13] Thomas Menzel, Gerrit Bagschik, and Markus Maurer. Towards a comprehensive hazard identification for vehicles with higher automation. *Reliability Engineering & System Safety*, 210:107558, 2021.
- [14] Till Menzel, Gerrit Bagschik, and Markus Maurer. Scenarios for development, test and validation of automated vehicles. *CoRR*, abs/1801.08598, 2018.
- [15] M.E.J. Newman. *Networks, An Introduction*. Oxford University Press, 2010.
- [16] On-Road Automated Driving (ORAD) Committee. Taxonomy and definitions for terms related to driving automation systems for on-road motor vehicles. Standard J3016, SAE International, April 2021.
- [17] Carlos Pek, Gerrit Bagschik, Thomas Menzel, and Markus Maurer. Generating traffic scenario variants using ontologies and graph transformations. In *2019 IEEE Intelligent Vehicles Symposium (IV)*, pages 1394–1401. IEEE, 2019.
- [18] Stefan Riedmaier, Christian Bock, Anselm Stahl, and et al. Realistic modeling of traffic scenarios for the safety validation of automated vehicles. *Technische Sicherheit*, 2020. Technical report from PEGASUS and VVM projects.
- [19] Lennart Ries, Philipp Rigoll, Thilo Braun, Thomas Schulik, Johannes Daube, and Eric Sax. Trajectory-based clustering of real-world urban driving sequences with multiple traffic objects. In *2021 IEEE International*

- Intelligent Transportation Systems Conference (ITSC)*, pages 1251–1258, 2021.
- [20] Simon Ulbrich, Till Menzel, Andreas Reschka, Fabian Schuldt, and Markus Maurer. Defining and substantiating the terms scene, situation, and scenario for automated driving. In *2015 IEEE 18th International Conference on Intelligent Transportation Systems*, pages 982–988, 2015.
  - [21] Walther Wachenfeld and Hermann Winner. The release of autonomous vehicles: A safety argumentation and its validation. In *Proceedings of the 22nd ESV Conference*, 2016.
  - [22] Stephan Wagner, Thomas Menzel, Gerrit Bagschik, and Markus Maurer. Operational design domain verification and validation: Challenges and approaches. In *2022 IEEE Intelligent Vehicles Symposium (IV)*, pages 948–955. IEEE, 2022.
  - [23] Benjamin Wilson, William Qi, Tanmay Agarwal, John Lambert, Jagjeet Singh, Siddhesh Khandelwal, Bowen Pan, Ratnesh Kumar, Andrew Hartnett, Jhony Kaesemodel Pontes, Deva Ramanan, Peter Carr, and James Hays. Argoverse 2: Next generation datasets for self-driving perception and forecasting. In *Proceedings of the Neural Information Processing Systems Track on Datasets and Benchmarks (NeurIPS Datasets and Benchmarks 2021)*, 2021.