MORE ON OVERLOADING

CS A250 – C++ Programming II

OPERATOR OVERLOADING

- We have seen how to overload operators as
 - member functions
 - non-member functions
 - **friend** functions
- Operator overloading (known also as syntactic sugar) improves code readability

OPERATOR OVERLOADING

- We will look at **overloading operators** that require a somewhat more complex implementation:
 - Increment and decrement operators
 - Assignment operator
 - Including the DArray class

OVERLOADING PREFIX AND POSTFIX

OVERLOADING ++ AND --

- The **prefix** and **postfix** versions of the **increment** and **decrement** operators can all be overloaded.
 - Each overloaded operator has a distinct **signature**, so that the compiler is able to determine whether it is a **prefix** of a **postfix**.

THE PREFIX OPERATOR

• With the class **Pair** in mind, we want to be able to use a function call such as:

```
Pair p(4,7);
++p; // prefix
```

that will change \mathbf{p} to (5, 8).

THE PREFIX OPERATOR (CONT.)

 Overloading the <u>prefix</u> increment (or decrement) operator as a member function:

THE POSTFIX OPERATOR

• With the class **Pair** in mind, we want to be able to use a function call such as:

```
Pair p(5,7);
p++; // postfix
```

that will change \mathbf{p} to (6, 8).

THE POSTFIX OPERATOR (CONT.)

- Overloading the postfix increment (or decrement) operator as a member function:
 - Need to let the compiler know it is a *postfix*
 - We add a "dummy parameter"

THE POSTFIX INCREMENT OPERATOR (CONT.)

NOTE ON EFFICIENCY

- The additional object that is created by the postfix increment (or decrement) operator can result in a significant performance problem (especially in a loop)
- You should use the **postfix increment** (or **decrement**) operator only when the logic of the program requires post-incrementing (or post-decrementing).

EXAMPLE

• Project: Pair class

THE DARRAY CLASS

A Pointer as a Member Variable

- Having a pointer as a member variable means that the pointer will be pointing at a <u>dynamic</u> variable that is stored in the <u>heap</u>
 - The dynamic variable is **not** *physically* part of the object
 - BUT the pointer is part of the object

• We have already seen this when implementing linked lists.

A Pointer as a Member Variable (cont.)

- The class **DArray** creates objects that contain three variables:
 - An **int** to store the **capacity** of the array
 - An **int** to store the **number of elements** in the array
 - A pointer that will point to an array of integers

CLASS DARRAY

```
// default constructor
const int CAP = 100;
                              DArray::DArray()
class DArray
                                  capacity = CAP;
                                  noOfElem = 0;
{
public:
                                  a = new int[capacity];
    DArray();
    // other functions
    ~DArray();
private:
    int *a;  //will point to an array of integers
    int capacity;
    int noOfElem;
};
```

CLASS DARRAY (CONT.)

Object of the class DArray

```
int * a = [array address]
int capacity = 10
int noOfElem = 6
```

[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]
35	67	91	42	73	15				

[array]

CLASS DARRAY (CONT.)

Object of the class DArray

```
int * a = [array address]
int capacity = 10
int noOfElem = 6
```

What does that mean?

It means that you need to think carefully when adding a **const** modifier to a **member function** of the class **Darray**.

[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]
35	67	91	42	73	15				

[array]

THE CONST MODIFIER ON FUNCTIONS

• Recall:

• You add a **const** to a **member function** when the member variables of the class will **not** be modified.

Object of the class DArray

```
int * a = [array address]
int capacity = 10
int noOfElem = 6
```

Should a member function that replaces one element in the array be **const**?

[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]
35	67	91	42 81	73	15				

[array]

Object of the class DArray

```
int * a = [array address]
int capacity = 10
int noOfElem = 6
```

Should a member function that replaces one element in the array be **const**?

Yes! The member variables of the object will not be modified.

[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]
35	67	91	42 81	7 3	15				

[array]

42 was simply replaced by 81.

Object of the class DArray

```
int * a = [array address]
int capacity = 10
int noOfElem = 6
```

Should a member function that deletes an element in the array be **const**?

[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]
35	67	91	81	73	15				

[array]

Object of the class DArray

```
int * a = [array address]
int capacity = 10
int noOfElem = 6 5
```

Should a member function that deletes an element in the array be **const**?

No. The number of elements will be decremented, modifying the member variable of the class.

[0]	[1]	[2]	[3]	[4]	[5]	[6]	[7]	[8]	[9]
35	67	91	81 73	73 15	15				

[array]

81 was deleted by shifting all elements to the right of 81. The number of elements in the array is now 5.

Object of the class DArray

```
int * a = [address of array]
int capacity = 10
int noOfElem = 6
Do not forget that a is a pointer!
```

THE DARRAY CLASS

• Project: DArray class

ASSIGNMENT OPERATOR

- A default overloaded assignment operator is available, **BUT**
 - If **dynamic variables** are used, you should overload the assignment operator.
- o Overloading the assignment operator also allows for some specialized uses
 - Example:

$$object1 = object2 = object3;$$

- Function must be a member of the class
 - Cannot be a non-member and cannot be a friend.

PREVENTING SELF ASSIGNMENT

- It is important to *prevent* self assignment
 - This is to avoid that the **operator=** deletes the dynamic memory associated with the object before the assignment is completed.
 - This would lead to "fatal runtime errors".

```
DArray& DArray::operator=(const DArray& rightSide)
     if (&rightSide != this) //avoid self assignment
             if (capacity != rightSide.capacity)
                 delete [ ] a; //release space
                 a = new int[rightSide.capacity]; //re-create array
                 capacity = rightSide.capacity;
             for (int i = 0; i < rightSide.noOfElem; ++i) //copy</pre>
                  a[i] = rightSide.a[i];
             noOfElem = rightSide.noOfElem ;
     else
             cerr << "Attempted assignment to itself.";</pre>
     return *this;
                               Let's look at this in detail...
```

```
DArray& DArray::operator=(const DArray& rightSide)
                                   id self assignment
          We return a reference
          to the object.
                                   Side.capacity)
                 delete [ ] a; //release space
                 a = new int[rightSide.capacity]; //re-create array
                 capacity = rightSide.capacity;
             for (int i = 0; i < rightSide.noOfElem; ++i) //copy
                  a[i] = rightSide.a[i];
             noOfElem = rightSide.noOfElem;
     else
             cerr << "Attempted assignment to itself.";</pre>
```

return *this;}

```
DArray& DArray::operator=(const DArray& rightSide)
     if (&rightSide != this) //avoid self assignment
             if (capacity !=
                               Make sure the calling
                 delete [ ] a object and the
                 a = new int[ parameter are not the
                                                         reate array
                 capacity = ri same array.
             for (int i = 0; i < rightSide.noOfElem; ++i) //copy
                  a[i] = rightSide.a[i];
             noOfElem = rightSide.noOfElem;
    else
             cerr << "Attempted assignment to itself.";</pre>
    return *this;
```

```
DArray& DArray::operator=(const DArray& rightSide)
     if (&rightSide != this) //avoid self assignment
             if (capacity != rightSide.capacity)
                 delete [ ] a; //release space
                 a = new int[rightSide.capacity]; //re-create array
                 capacity = rightSide.capacity;
             for (int i
                         The array parameter needs to have the
                  a[i]
                         same capacity of the calling object.
             noOfElem =
                         If not, clear the memory that holds the
     else
                         array parameter and re-create a new
             cerr << "A
                         array with the same capacity of the
    return *this;
                         calling object.
```

```
DArray& DArray::operator=(const DArray& rightSide)
     if (&rightSide != this) //avoid self assignment
             if (capacity != rightSide.capacity)
                 delete [ ] a;
                  a = new int[righ
                                                                 array
                                    Start copying elements...
                 capacity = right
             for (int i = 0; i < rightSide.noOfElem; ++i) //copy</pre>
                  a[i] = rightSide.a[i];
             noOfElem= rightSide.noOfElem;
     else
             cerr << "Attempted assignment to itself.";</pre>
     return *this;
```

```
DArray& DArray::operator=(const DArray& rightSide)
    if (&rightSide != this) //avoid self assignment
             if (capacity != rightSide.capacity)
                 delete [ ] a; //release space
                 a = new int[rightSide.capacity]; //re-create array
                 capacity = rightSide.capacity;
             for (int i = 0; i < rightSide.noOfElem; ++i) //copy
                  a[i] = rightSide.a[i];
             noOfElem = rightSide.noOfElem;
    else
                         Update the number of elements for
             cerr << "At
                          the array parameter.
```

return *this;

```
DArray& DArray::operator=(const DArray& rightSide)
     if (&rightSide != this) //avoid self assignment
             if (capacity != rightSide.capacity)
                 delete [ ] a; //release space
                 a = new int[rightSide.capacity]; //re-create array
                 capacity = rightSide.capacity;
             for (int i = 0; i < rightSide.noOfElem; ++i) //copy</pre>
                  a[i] = rightSide.a[i];
             noOfElem = rightSide.noOfElem;
     else
             cerr << "Attempted assignment to itself.";</pre>
     return *this;
```

CAUTION!

• The overloaded assignment operator works only if the object is declared as a separate statement:

```
Darray a1;
// insert elements in a1
Darray a2;
a2 = a1;
```

• This is because the object **a2** needs to be constructed first.

More on Overloading (end)