

Observation: Shower covered in stronge

(ontrol: the hart of the stone or spranged with matter

IU: spraying of coconut Juice /treating the shurer with coconut Juice

OU: the presence of green slime
(@absence)

conclusion: coconut juice a not offective in removing oneen eline. Barney's claim is neglited.



Control 979: Gamp B that was not given the

IU: (drinking ) the special juice

DU: He productivity of workers

Conclusion: The special juice does not help in importants the motors! productivity. His hypothesis is rejected.

Improvement:
- different traves of tasks
etc.



1 X

Control: mice that are not exposed to radionaus

IU: exposure to radionals


DU: Strength of mice

conclusion: The difference re not evenificant, so Bortis hypothesis campt be proven.

Improvement

- longer exposure period (time)

- more mice (bisser sample group)
etc.




control: A-sprinkled with the original pounder

IU: (different) topes of itchy bonder

DV: length of time for itchiness

Conclusion: The new 1-tehing powder consess the Conclusion: itchiness to last 15 mmutes lurger, which is 507. The claim is proven.



IV: the application of Rogooti

DV: the speed of hair south

control: the group/member without Russoti (not applying)

only water is applied Jused