Dirac and Majorana Mass

Atul Singh Arora

Indian Institute of Science Education and Research Mohali

April 17, 2015

Overview of the Talk

Outline

Introduction

Prerequisites

Towards a quantum theory of fields

Dirac and Majorana Mass

Physical Relevance

Closing Remarks

Introduction

Inertia | Newton

- Inertia | Newton
- Special Relativity | $p^2 = m^2$

- Inertia | Newton
- Special Relativity | $p^2 = m^2$
- Particle Physics
 - QED (Quantum Electrodynamics)

- Inertia | Newton
- Special Relativity | $p^2 = m^2$
- Particle Physics
 - QED (Quantum Electrodynamics)
 - ullet Classical Electrodynamics gauge field: A^{μ}

- Inertia | Newton
- Special Relativity | $p^2 = m^2$
- Particle Physics
 - QED (Quantum Electrodynamics)
 - Classical Electrodynamics gauge field: A^{μ}
 - Quantize | Massless Gauge Field

- Inertia | Newton
- Special Relativity | $p^2 = m^2$
- Particle Physics
 - QED (Quantum Electrodynamics)
 - ullet Classical Electrodynamics gauge field: A^{μ}
 - Quantize | Massless Gauge Field
 - Standard Model
 - Massive Gauge fields | Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking

- Inertia | Newton
- Special Relativity | $p^2 = m^2$
- Particle Physics
 - QED (Quantum Electrodynamics)
 - Classical Electrodynamics gauge field: A^{μ}
 - Quantize | Massless Gauge Field
 - Standard Model
 - Massive Gauge fields | Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking
 - Scalar field | Higgs

- Inertia | Newton
- Special Relativity | $p^2 = m^2$
- Particle Physics
 - QED (Quantum Electrodynamics)
 - Classical Electrodynamics gauge field: A^{μ}
 - Quantize | Massless Gauge Field
 - Standard Model
 - Massive Gauge fields | Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking
 - Scalar field | Higgs
 - Beyond Standard Model
 - Neutrino Oscillations

- Inertia | Newton
- Special Relativity | $p^2 = m^2$
- Particle Physics
 - QED (Quantum Electrodynamics)
 - Classical Electrodynamics gauge field: A^{μ}
 - Quantize | Massless Gauge Field
 - Standard Model
 - Massive Gauge fields | Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking
 - Scalar field | Higgs
 - Beyond Standard Model
 - Neutrino Oscillations
 - Neutrino Mass

- Inertia | Newton
- Special Relativity $| p^2 = m^2$
- Particle Physics
 - QED (Quantum Electrodynamics)
 - Classical Electrodynamics gauge field: A^{μ}
 - Quantize | Massless Gauge Field
 - Standard Model
 - Massive Gauge fields | Spontaneous Symmetry Breaking
 - Scalar field | Higgs
 - Beyond Standard Model
 - Neutrino Oscillations
 - Neutrino Mass

- From CM, recall
 - Lagrangian Formalism

- From CM, recall
 - Lagrangian Formalism
 - Euler Lagrange equations

- From CM, recall
 - Lagrangian Formalism
 - Euler Lagrange equations
 - Noether's Theorem relating conserved quantities and continuous symmetries

- From CM, recall
 - Lagrangian Formalism
 - Euler Lagrange equations
 - Noether's Theorem relating conserved quantities and continuous symmetries
- From STR, I'll use
 - $\eta^{\mu\nu} = \operatorname{diag}(1, -\vec{1}) \; (\mathsf{NB} \colon \eta^{\mathsf{T}} = \eta^{-1} = \eta)$

- From CM, recall
 - Lagrangian Formalism
 - Euler Lagrange equations
 - Noether's Theorem relating conserved quantities and continuous symmetries
- From STR, I'll use
 - $\eta^{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(1, -\vec{1}) \text{ (NB: } \eta^T = \eta^{-1} = \eta)$
 - $c = 1, \hbar = 1$

- From CM, recall
 - Lagrangian Formalism
 - Euler Lagrange equations
 - Noether's Theorem relating conserved quantities and continuous symmetries
- From STR, I'll use
 - $\eta^{\mu\nu} = \text{diag}(1, -\vec{1}) \; (\text{NB: } \eta^T = \eta^{-1} = \eta)$
 - $c = 1, \hbar = 1$
 - indices
 - *i*, *j*, *k*, *l* etc. run from 1 to 3

- From CM, recall
 - Lagrangian Formalism
 - Euler Lagrange equations
 - Noether's Theorem relating conserved quantities and continuous symmetries
- From STR, I'll use
 - $\eta^{\mu\nu} = \mathsf{diag}(1, -\vec{1}) \; (\mathsf{NB} \colon \eta^{\mathsf{T}} = \eta^{-1} = \eta)$
 - $c = 1, \hbar = 1$
 - indices
 - *i*, *j*, *k*, *l* etc. run from 1 to 3
 - α, β, γ etc. run from 0 to 3

- From CM, recall
 - Lagrangian Formalism
 - Euler Lagrange equations
 - Noether's Theorem relating conserved quantities and continuous symmetries
- From STR, I'll use
 - $\eta^{\mu\nu} = \mathsf{diag}(1, -\vec{1}) \; (\mathsf{NB} \colon \eta^{\mathsf{T}} = \eta^{-1} = \eta)$
 - $c = 1, \hbar = 1$
 - indices
 - *i*, *j*, *k*, *l* etc. run from 1 to 3
 - α, β, γ etc. run from 0 to 3

- I'll need the 4 vector notation. Recall
 - Summation Convention $A^{lpha}B_{lpha}=\sum_{lpha=0}^4A^{lpha}B_{lpha}$

- I'll need the 4 vector notation. Recall
 - Summation Convention $A^{\alpha}B_{\alpha}=\sum_{\alpha=0}^{4}A^{\alpha}B_{\alpha}$
 - if $A^{\alpha}=(A^0,\vec{A})$, then $A_{\alpha}\equiv\eta_{\alpha\beta}A^{\overline{\beta}}=(A^0,-\vec{A})$

- I'll need the 4 vector notation. Recall
 - Summation Convention $A^{\alpha}B_{\alpha}=\sum_{\alpha=0}^{4}A^{\alpha}B_{\alpha}$
 - if $A^{\alpha}=(A^0,\vec{A})$, then $A_{\alpha}\equiv\eta_{\alpha\beta}A^{\beta}=(A^0,-\vec{A})$
 - λ^{α}_{β} , $A^{\alpha} \rightarrow A'^{\alpha} = \lambda^{\alpha}_{\beta} A^{\beta}$

- I'll need the 4 vector notation. Recall
 - Summation Convention $A^{\alpha}B_{\alpha}=\sum_{\alpha=0}^{4}A^{\alpha}B_{\alpha}$
 - if $A^{\alpha}=(A^0,\vec{A})$, then $A_{\alpha}\equiv\eta_{\alpha\beta}A^{\beta}=(A^0,-\vec{A})$
 - λ^{α}_{β} , $A^{\alpha} \rightarrow A'^{\alpha} = \lambda^{\alpha}_{\beta} A^{\beta}$
 - contracted indices don't transform (NB: $\lambda^T \eta \lambda = \eta$)

- I'll need the 4 vector notation. Recall
 - Summation Convention $A^{\alpha}B_{\alpha}=\sum_{\alpha=0}^{4}A^{\alpha}B_{\alpha}$
 - if $A^{\alpha}=(A^0,\vec{A})$, then $A_{\alpha}\equiv\eta_{\alpha\beta}A^{\beta}=(A^0,-\vec{A})$
 - λ^{α}_{β} , $A^{\alpha} \rightarrow A'^{\alpha} = \lambda^{\alpha}_{\beta} A^{\beta}$
 - contracted indices don't transform (NB: $\lambda^T \eta \lambda = \eta$)
- From QM, I'll need the following. Recall
 - State: $|\psi\rangle$ (or $\psi(x)=\langle x|\psi\rangle$)

- I'll need the 4 vector notation. Recall
 - Summation Convention $A^{\alpha}B_{\alpha}=\sum_{\alpha=0}^{4}A^{\alpha}B_{\alpha}$
 - if $A^{\alpha}=(A^0,\vec{A})$, then $A_{\alpha}\equiv\eta_{\alpha\beta}A^{\beta}=(A^0,-\vec{A})$
 - λ^{α}_{β} , $A^{\alpha} \rightarrow A'^{\alpha} = \lambda^{\alpha}_{\beta} A^{\beta}$
 - contracted indices don't transform (NB: $\lambda^T \eta \lambda = \eta$)
- From QM, I'll need the following. Recall
 - State: $|\psi\rangle$ (or $\psi(x) = \langle x|\psi\rangle$)
 - Time Evolution: For H (st. $H^{\dagger}=H$; where $H^{\dagger}\equiv H^{*T}$) we have

$$H\ket{\psi}=-i\hbarrac{\partial}{\partial t}\ket{\psi}$$

- I'll need the 4 vector notation. Recall
 - Summation Convention $A^{\alpha}B_{\alpha} = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{4} A^{\alpha}B_{\alpha}$
 - if $A^{\alpha}=(A^0,\vec{A})$, then $A_{\alpha}\equiv\eta_{\alpha\beta}A^{\beta}=(A^0,-\vec{A})$
 - λ^{α}_{β} , $A^{\alpha} \rightarrow A'^{\alpha} = \lambda^{\alpha}_{\beta} A^{\beta}$
 - contracted indices don't transform (NB: $\lambda^T \eta \lambda = \eta$)
- From QM, I'll need the following. Recall
 - State: $|\psi\rangle$ (or $\psi(x)=\langle x|\psi\rangle$)
 - Time Evolution: For H (st. $H^{\dagger}=H$; where $H^{\dagger}\equiv H^{*T}$) we have

$$H\ket{\psi}=-i\hbarrac{\partial}{\partial t}\ket{\psi}$$

and

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = e^{(-i\hbar)^{-1}Ht} |\psi(0)\rangle$$

NB: $U \equiv e^{(-i\hbar)^{-1}Ht}$ is unitary, viz. $U^\dagger = U^{-1}$

- I'll need the 4 vector notation. Recall
 - Summation Convention $A^{\alpha}B_{\alpha}=\sum_{\alpha=0}^{4}A^{\alpha}B_{\alpha}$
 - if $A^{\alpha}=(A^0,\vec{A})$, then $A_{\alpha}\equiv\eta_{\alpha\beta}A^{\beta}=(A^0,-\vec{A})$
 - λ^{α}_{β} , $A^{\alpha} \rightarrow A'^{\alpha} = \lambda^{\alpha}_{\beta} A^{\beta}$
 - contracted indices don't transform (NB: $\lambda^T \eta \lambda = \eta$)
- From QM, I'll need the following. Recall
 - State: $|\psi\rangle$ (or $\psi(x)=\langle x|\psi\rangle$)
 - Time Evolution: For H (st. $H^{\dagger}=H$; where $H^{\dagger}\equiv H^{*T}$) we have

$$H\ket{\psi}=-i\hbarrac{\partial}{\partial t}\ket{\psi}$$

and

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = e^{(-i\hbar)^{-1}Ht} |\psi(0)\rangle$$

NB: $U \equiv e^{(-i\hbar)^{-1}Ht}$ is unitary, viz. $U^{\dagger} = U^{-1}$

- Measurement/Observables
 - Collapse into eigenstate of operator corresponding to the measurement

- I'll need the 4 vector notation. Recall
 - Summation Convention $A^{\alpha}B_{\alpha} = \sum_{\alpha=0}^{4} A^{\alpha}B_{\alpha}$
 - if $A^{\alpha}=(A^0,\vec{A})$, then $A_{\alpha}\equiv\eta_{\alpha\beta}A^{\beta}=(A^0,-\vec{A})$
 - λ^{α}_{β} , $A^{\alpha} \rightarrow A'^{\alpha} = \lambda^{\alpha}_{\beta} A^{\beta}$
 - contracted indices don't transform (NB: $\lambda^T \eta \lambda = \eta$)
- From QM, I'll need the following. Recall
 - State: $|\psi\rangle$ (or $\psi(x) = \langle x|\psi\rangle$)
 - Time Evolution: For H (st. $H^{\dagger}=H$; where $H^{\dagger}\equiv H^{*T}$) we have

$$H\ket{\psi}=-i\hbarrac{\partial}{\partial t}\ket{\psi}$$

and

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = e^{(-i\hbar)^{-1}Ht} |\psi(0)\rangle$$

NB: $U \equiv e^{(-i\hbar)^{-1}Ht}$ is unitary, viz. $U^{\dagger} = U^{-1}$

- Measurement/Observables
 - Collapse into eigenstate of operator corresponding to the measurement
 - Collapse to state $|n\rangle$ with probability $|\langle n|\psi\rangle|^2$



- I'll need the 4 vector notation. Recall
 - Summation Convention $A^{\alpha}B_{\alpha}=\sum_{\alpha=0}^{4}A^{\alpha}B_{\alpha}$
 - if $A^{\alpha}=(A^{0},\vec{A})$, then $A_{\alpha}\equiv\eta_{\alpha\beta}A^{\overline{\beta}}=(A^{0},-\vec{A})$
 - λ^{α}_{β} , $A^{\alpha} \rightarrow A'^{\alpha} = \lambda^{\alpha}_{\beta} A^{\beta}$
- contracted indices don't transform (NB: $\lambda^T \eta \lambda = \eta$)
- From QM, I'll need the following. Recall
 - State: $|\psi\rangle$ (or $\psi(x) = \langle x|\psi\rangle$)
 - Time Evolution: For H (st. $H^{\dagger}=H$; where $H^{\dagger}\equiv H^{*T}$) we have

$$H\ket{\psi}=-i\hbarrac{\partial}{\partial t}\ket{\psi}$$

and

$$|\psi(t)\rangle = e^{(-i\hbar)^{-1}Ht} |\psi(0)\rangle$$

NB: $U \equiv e^{(-i\hbar)^{-1}Ht}$ is unitary, viz. $U^{\dagger} = U^{-1}$

- Measurement/Observables
 - Collapse into eigenstate of operator corresponding to the measurement
 - Collapse to state $|n\rangle$ with probability $|\langle n|\psi\rangle|^2$
- Basics of quantum harmonic oscillator using a at 1 > 1 > 1 > 1

• I'll use the following pauli matrices

$$\sigma^1=egin{pmatrix}0&1\\1&0\end{pmatrix}$$
 • I'll use the following pauli matrices $\sigma^2=egin{pmatrix}0&-i\\i&0\end{pmatrix}$ $\sigma^3=egin{pmatrix}1&0\\0&-1\end{pmatrix}$

$$\sigma^1=egin{pmatrix}0&1\\1&0\end{pmatrix}$$
 • I'll use the following pauli matrices $\sigma^2=egin{pmatrix}0&-i\\i&0\end{pmatrix}$ $\sigma^3=egin{pmatrix}1&0\\0&-1\end{pmatrix}$

Terminology from particle physics

$$\sigma^1=egin{pmatrix}0&1\\1&0\end{pmatrix}$$
 • I'll use the following pauli matrices $\sigma^2=egin{pmatrix}0&-i\\i&0\end{pmatrix}$

$$\sigma^3 = egin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Terminology from particle physics
 - Leptons: Eg. Electron, Electron Neutrino

Prerequisites

$$\sigma^1=egin{pmatrix} 0&1\1&0 \end{pmatrix}$$
 'Il use the following pauli matrices $\sigma^2=egin{pmatrix} 0&-i\ i&2 \end{pmatrix}$

• I'll use the following pauli matrices $\sigma^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$

$$\sigma^3 = \left(egin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \ 0 & -1 \end{array}
ight)$$

- Terminology from particle physics
 - Leptons: Eg. Electron, Electron Neutrino (beta decay, massless, rather inert)

Prerequisites

$$\sigma^1 = \left(egin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \ 1 & 0 \end{array}
ight)$$

• I'll use the following pauli matrices $\sigma^2 = \left(egin{array}{cc} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{array} \right)$

$$\sigma^3 = egin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

- Terminology from particle physics
 - Leptons: Eg. Electron, Electron Neutrino (beta decay, massless, rather inert)
 - Quarks

Towards a quantum theory of fields

• All electrons are identical

- All electrons are identical
- Unification of QM and STR

- All electrons are identical
- Unification of QM and STR
- Crisis: Can't predict the result of collision of particles

- All electrons are identical
- Unification of QM and STR
- Crisis: Can't predict the result of collision of particles

Targets of the new theory

Creation and destruction

- All electrons are identical
- Unification of QM and STR
- Crisis: Can't predict the result of collision of particles

Targets of the new theory

- Creation and destruction
- Consistent with STR (high energy)

- All electrons are identical
- Unification of QM and STR
- Crisis: Can't predict the result of collision of particles

Targets of the new theory

- Creation and destruction
- Consistent with STR (high energy)
- Predict probablities

$$\bullet \ (E^2 - \vec{p}^2) \, \psi = m^2 \psi$$

•
$$(E^2 - \vec{p}^2) \, \psi = m^2 \psi$$

and put $E \to -i \, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \, \vec{p} \to i \, \vec{\nabla}$ to get

•
$$(E^2-\vec{p}^2)\,\psi=m^2\psi$$
 and put $E o-irac{\partial}{\partial t},\, \vec{p} o i\vec{
abla}$ to get
$$(rac{\partial}{\partial t}^2-\vec{
abla}^2)\psi=m^2\psi$$

• $(E^2 - \vec{p}^2) \, \psi = m^2 \psi$ and put $E \to -i \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \, \vec{p} \to i \vec{\nabla}$ to get

$$(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}^2 - \vec{\nabla}^2)\psi = m^2\psi$$

Causality

• $(E^2 - \vec{p}^2) \, \psi = m^2 \psi$ and put $E \to -i \, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \, \vec{p} \to i \, \vec{\nabla}$ to get

$$(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}^2 - \vec{\nabla}^2)\psi = m^2\psi$$

- Causality
- Negative Energies (no stable ground state)

• $(E^2 - \vec{p}^2) \, \psi = m^2 \psi$ and put $E \to -i \, \frac{\partial}{\partial t}, \, \vec{p} \to i \, \vec{\nabla}$ to get

$$(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}^2 - \vec{\nabla}^2)\psi = m^2\psi$$

- Causality
- Negative Energies (no stable ground state)
- Expected: t parameter, \vec{x} operator

• One field for each type of particle

One field for each type of particle (Wheeler's idea)

- One field for each type of particle (Wheeler's idea)
- creates and destroys particles

- One field for each type of particle (Wheeler's idea)
- creates and destroys particles
- Interacting fields, interacting particles

- One field for each type of particle (Wheeler's idea)
- creates and destroys particles
- Interacting fields, interacting particles

• Classical field | real scalar (number at every space time point)

- Classical field | real scalar (number at every space time point)
- Demand Klien Gordan, then

$$\mathcal{L}=rac{1}{2}\left(\partial^{\mu}\phi\partial_{\mu}\phi+m^{2}\phi
ight)$$

- Classical field | real scalar (number at every space time point)
- Demand Klien Gordan, then

$$\mathcal{L}=rac{1}{2}\left(\partial^{\mu}\phi\partial_{\mu}\phi+m^{2}\phi
ight)$$

• $\phi = \phi(t, \vec{x})$ which I assume I can write as

- Classical field | real scalar (number at every space time point)
- Demand Klien Gordan, then

$$\mathcal{L}=rac{1}{2}\left(\partial^{\mu}\phi\partial_{\mu}\phi+m^{2}\phi
ight)$$

• $\phi = \phi(t, \vec{x})$ which I assume I can write as

$$\phi = \int rac{d^3p}{\left(2\pi\right)^3\sqrt{2\omega_p}}\left(ae^{i\mathbf{p}\mathbf{x}}+a^\dagger e^{-i\mathbf{p}\mathbf{x}}
ight)$$

where
$$a = a(\vec{p})$$

- Classical field | real scalar (number at every space time point)
- Demand Klien Gordan, then

$$\mathcal{L}=rac{1}{2}\left(\partial^{\mu}\phi\partial_{\mu}\phi+m^{2}\phi
ight)$$

• $\phi = \phi(t, \vec{x})$ which I assume I can write as

$$\phi = \int rac{d^3p}{\left(2\pi\right)^3\sqrt{2\omega_p}}\left(ae^{i\mathbf{p}\mathbf{x}}+a^\dagger e^{-i\mathbf{p}\mathbf{x}}
ight)$$

where
$$a = a(\vec{p})$$

• π from \mathcal{L} .

- Classical field | real scalar (number at every space time point)
- Demand Klien Gordan, then

$$\mathcal{L}=rac{1}{2}\left(\partial^{\mu}\phi\partial_{\mu}\phi+m^{2}\phi
ight)$$

• $\phi = \phi(t, \vec{x})$ which I assume I can write as

$$\phi = \int rac{d^3p}{\left(2\pi\right)^3\sqrt{2\omega_p}}\left(ae^{i\mathbf{p}\mathbf{x}}+a^\dagger e^{-i\mathbf{p}\mathbf{x}}
ight)$$

where $a = a(\vec{p})$

- π from \mathcal{L} .
- Quantum Field $| [\phi(t, \mathbf{x}), \pi(t, \mathbf{x}')] = i\delta(\mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}')$

•
$$[a(\mathbf{p}), a^{\dagger}(\mathbf{p}')] \sim \delta(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}')$$

•
$$[a(\mathbf{p}), a^{\dagger}(\mathbf{p}')] \sim \delta(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}')$$

$$H \sim a^{\dagger}a + rac{1}{2}[a(\mathbf{p}), a^{\dagger}(\mathbf{p})]$$

•
$$[a(\mathbf{p}), a^{\dagger}(\mathbf{p}')] \sim \delta(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}')$$

$$H\sim a^{\dagger}a+rac{1}{2}[a(\mathbf{p}),a^{\dagger}(\mathbf{p})]$$

• Similarity with Quantum Harmonic Oscillator

•
$$[a(\mathbf{p}), a^{\dagger}(\mathbf{p}')] \sim \delta(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}')$$

$$H \sim a^{\dagger} a + rac{1}{2} [a(\mathbf{p}), a^{\dagger}(\mathbf{p})]$$

- Similarity with Quantum Harmonic Oscillator
- $a^{\dagger}(\vec{p}) | \text{vacuum} \rangle$

•
$$[a(\mathbf{p}), a^{\dagger}(\mathbf{p}')] \sim \delta(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}')$$

$$H\sim a^{\dagger}a+rac{1}{2}[a(\mathbf{p}),a^{\dagger}(\mathbf{p})]$$

- Similarity with Quantum Harmonic Oscillator
- $a^{\dagger}(\vec{p}) | \text{vacuum} \rangle$
- Noether's theorem + Space-time invariance of $\mathcal{L} o$ physical momentum and energy operators

•
$$[a(\mathbf{p}), a^{\dagger}(\mathbf{p}')] \sim \delta(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}')$$

$$H\sim a^{\dagger}a+rac{1}{2}[a(\mathbf{p}),a^{\dagger}(\mathbf{p})]$$

- Similarity with Quantum Harmonic Oscillator
- $a^{\dagger}(\vec{p}) | \text{vacuum} \rangle$
- Noether's theorem + Space-time invariance of $\mathcal{L} o$ physical momentum and energy operators
- To be a particle, it must satisfy $E^2 \vec{p}^2 = m^2$

• $[a(\mathbf{p}), a^{\dagger}(\mathbf{p}')] \sim \delta(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}')$

$$H \sim a^{\dagger}a + \frac{1}{2}[a(\mathbf{p}), a^{\dagger}(\mathbf{p})]$$

- Similarity with Quantum Harmonic Oscillator
- $a^{\dagger}(\vec{p}) | \text{vacuum} \rangle$
- Noether's theorem + Space-time invariance of $\mathcal{L} o$ physical momentum and energy operators
- To be a particle, it must satisfy $E^2 \vec{p}^2 = m^2$ and it does

• $[a(\mathbf{p}), a^{\dagger}(\mathbf{p}')] \sim \delta(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}')$

$$H\sim a^{\dagger}a+rac{1}{2}[a(\mathbf{p}),a^{\dagger}(\mathbf{p})]$$

- Similarity with Quantum Harmonic Oscillator
- $a^{\dagger}(\vec{p}) | \text{vacuum} \rangle$
- Noether's theorem + Space-time invariance of $\mathcal{L} \to \mathsf{physical}$ momentum and energy operators
- To be a particle, it must satisfy $E^2 \vec{p}^2 = m^2$ and it does
- Conclusion: Parameter *m* is mass

• Non-interacting field

- Non-interacting field
- Observable fields must interact

- Non-interacting field
- Observable fields must interact
- QFT | perturbation theory, expanded around the non-interacting part

- Non-interacting field
- Observable fields must interact
- QFT | perturbation theory, expanded around the non-interacting part
- results in Feynman Rules

- Non-interacting field
- Observable fields must interact
- QFT | perturbation theory, expanded around the non-interacting part
- results in Feynman Rules (Prof. Mukunda story)

- Non-interacting field
- Observable fields must interact
- QFT | perturbation theory, expanded around the non-interacting part
- results in Feynman Rules (Prof. Mukunda story)
 - Decay Rates

- Non-interacting field
- Observable fields must interact
- QFT | perturbation theory, expanded around the non-interacting part
- results in Feynman Rules (Prof. Mukunda story)
 - Decay Rates
 - Scattering Cross sections

- Non-interacting field
- Observable fields must interact
- QFT | perturbation theory, expanded around the non-interacting part
- results in Feynman Rules (Prof. Mukunda story)
 - Decay Rates
 - Scattering Cross sections
- Interacting case, m no longer the mass

- Non-interacting field
- Observable fields must interact
- QFT | perturbation theory, expanded around the non-interacting part
- results in Feynman Rules (Prof. Mukunda story)
 - Decay Rates
 - Scattering Cross sections
- Interacting case, m no longer the mass
 - defined as pole of the 'full propogator'

- Non-interacting field
- Observable fields must interact
- QFT | perturbation theory, expanded around the non-interacting part
- results in Feynman Rules (Prof. Mukunda story)
 - Decay Rates
 - Scattering Cross sections
- Interacting case, m no longer the mass
 - defined as pole of the 'full propogator' (I'll leave it at that)

Dirac and Majorana Mass

$$(i\partial_{\mu}\gamma^{\mu}-m)\psi=0$$

$$(i\partial_{\mu}\gamma^{\mu}-m)\psi=0$$

$$\begin{split} &(i\partial_{\mu}\gamma^{\mu}-m)(i\partial_{\nu}\gamma^{\nu}-m)\\ =&(-\partial_{\mu}\partial_{\nu}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\nu}-im\partial_{\mu}\gamma^{\mu})-(im\partial_{\nu}\gamma^{\nu}-m^{2})\\ =&(-\frac{1}{2}\partial_{\mu}\partial_{\nu}\{\gamma^{\mu},\gamma^{\nu}\}-2m\left[i(\partial_{\mu}\gamma^{\mu})\right]+m^{2})\\ =&(-\frac{1}{2}\partial_{\mu}\partial_{\nu}\{\gamma^{\mu},\gamma^{\nu}\}-2m^{2}+m^{2})\\ =&(-\frac{1}{2}\partial_{\mu}\partial_{\nu}\{\gamma^{\mu},\gamma^{\nu}\}-m^{2}) \end{split}$$

$$(i\partial_{\mu}\gamma^{\mu}-m)\psi=0$$

$$\begin{split} &(i\partial_{\mu}\gamma^{\mu}-m)(i\partial_{\nu}\gamma^{\nu}-m)\\ =&(-\partial_{\mu}\partial_{\nu}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\nu}-im\partial_{\mu}\gamma^{\mu})-(im\partial_{\nu}\gamma^{\nu}-m^{2})\\ =&(-\frac{1}{2}\partial_{\mu}\partial_{\nu}\{\gamma^{\mu},\gamma^{\nu}\}-2m\left[i(\partial_{\mu}\gamma^{\mu})\right]+m^{2})\\ =&(-\frac{1}{2}\partial_{\mu}\partial_{\nu}\{\gamma^{\mu},\gamma^{\nu}\}-2m^{2}+m^{2})\\ =&(-\frac{1}{2}\partial_{\mu}\partial_{\nu}\{\gamma^{\mu},\gamma^{\nu}\}-m^{2}) \end{split}$$

ullet To be Klein Gordan, $\{m{\gamma}^{\mu},m{\gamma}^{
u}\}=-2m{\delta}^{\mu
u}$

$$(i\partial_{\mu}\gamma^{\mu}-m)\psi=0$$

$$\begin{split} &(i\partial_{\mu}\gamma^{\mu}-m)(i\partial_{\nu}\gamma^{\nu}-m)\\ =&(-\partial_{\mu}\partial_{\nu}\gamma^{\mu}\gamma^{\nu}-im\partial_{\mu}\gamma^{\mu})-(im\partial_{\nu}\gamma^{\nu}-m^{2})\\ =&(-\frac{1}{2}\partial_{\mu}\partial_{\nu}\{\gamma^{\mu},\gamma^{\nu}\}-2m\left[i(\partial_{\mu}\gamma^{\mu})\right]+m^{2})\\ =&(-\frac{1}{2}\partial_{\mu}\partial_{\nu}\{\gamma^{\mu},\gamma^{\nu}\}-2m^{2}+m^{2})\\ =&(-\frac{1}{2}\partial_{\mu}\partial_{\nu}\{\gamma^{\mu},\gamma^{\nu}\}-m^{2}) \end{split}$$

- To be Klein Gordan, $\{\gamma^{\mu}, \gamma^{\nu}\} = -2\delta^{\mu\nu}$
- Claim $\gamma^{\mu} \text{ are 4} \times \text{4 matrices and } \psi \text{ then is a 4--component object,}$ called a Dirac spinor.

Hat:

$$egin{aligned} \sigma^{\mu} &\equiv (1,ec{\sigma}) & \overline{\sigma}^{\mu} &\equiv (1,-ec{\sigma}) \ \gamma^{\mu} &\equiv & \left(egin{array}{cc} 0 & \sigma^{\mu} \ \overline{\sigma}^{\mu} & 0 \end{array}
ight) \end{aligned}$$

Hat:

$$egin{aligned} \sigma^{\mu} &\equiv (1,ec{\sigma}) & \overline{\sigma}^{\mu} &\equiv (1,-ec{\sigma}) \ \gamma^{\mu} &\equiv & \left(egin{array}{cc} 0 & \sigma^{\mu} \ \overline{\sigma}^{\mu} & 0 \end{array}
ight) \end{aligned}$$

Claim: commutation holds

Claim:

$$oldsymbol{\psi} = \left(egin{array}{c} \psi_L \ \psi_R \end{array}
ight)$$

under a lorentz boost $oldsymbol{eta}$ and a rotation $oldsymbol{ heta}$

Claim:

$$oldsymbol{\psi} = \left(egin{array}{c} \psi_L \ \psi_R \end{array}
ight)$$

under a lorentz boost $oldsymbol{eta}$ and a rotation $oldsymbol{ heta}$

$$\psi_L \to e^{-i\theta \cdot \frac{\sigma}{2} - \beta \cdot \frac{\sigma}{2}} \psi_L = S_L \psi_L$$
$$\psi_R \to e^{-i\theta \cdot \frac{\sigma}{2} + \beta \cdot \frac{\sigma}{2}} \psi_R = S_R \psi_R$$

Claim:

$$oldsymbol{\psi} = \left(egin{array}{c} \psi_L \ \psi_R \end{array}
ight)$$

under a lorentz boost $oldsymbol{eta}$ and a rotation $oldsymbol{ heta}$

$$\psi_L \to e^{-i\theta \cdot \frac{\sigma}{2} - \beta \cdot \frac{\sigma}{2}} \psi_L = S_L \psi_L$$
$$\psi_R \to e^{-i\theta \cdot \frac{\sigma}{2} + \beta \cdot \frac{\sigma}{2}} \psi_R = S_R \psi_R$$

which in a compact form, I'll write as

$$\psi
ightarrow \Lambda_{1/2} \psi$$

 $\psi^{\dagger}\psi$

Claim:

$$oldsymbol{\psi} = \left(egin{array}{c} \psi_L \ \psi_R \end{array}
ight)$$

under a lorentz boost $oldsymbol{eta}$ and a rotation $oldsymbol{ heta}$

$$\psi_L \to e^{-i\theta \cdot \frac{\sigma}{2} - \beta \cdot \frac{\sigma}{2}} \psi_L = S_L \psi_L$$
$$\psi_R \to e^{-i\theta \cdot \frac{\sigma}{2} + \beta \cdot \frac{\sigma}{2}} \psi_R = S_R \psi_R$$

which in a compact form, I'll write as

$$oldsymbol{\psi}
ightarrow oldsymbol{\Lambda}_{1/2} oldsymbol{\psi}$$

• $\psi^\dagger \psi$ | not Lorentz invariant

Claim:

$$oldsymbol{\psi} = \left(egin{array}{c} \psi_L \ \psi_R \end{array}
ight)$$

under a lorentz boost $oldsymbol{eta}$ and a rotation $oldsymbol{ heta}$

$$\psi_L \to e^{-i\theta \cdot \frac{\sigma}{2} - \beta \cdot \frac{\sigma}{2}} \psi_L = S_L \psi_L$$
$$\psi_R \to e^{-i\theta \cdot \frac{\sigma}{2} + \beta \cdot \frac{\sigma}{2}} \psi_R = S_R \psi_R$$

which in a compact form, I'll write as

$$\psi
ightarrow \Lambda_{1/2} \psi$$

- $ullet \psi^\dagger \psi$ | not Lorentz invariant
- ψ_L and ψ_R is not unitary

• Claim:

$$m{\psi}^\dagger m{\gamma}^0
ightarrow m{\psi}^\dagger m{\gamma}^0 m{\Lambda}_{1/2}^{-1}$$

Claim:

$$m{\psi}^\dagger m{\gamma}^0
ightarrow m{\psi}^\dagger m{\gamma}^0 m{\Lambda}_{1/2}^{-1}$$

I define

$$\overline{\psi} \equiv \psi^\dagger \gamma^0$$

so that

Claim:

$$m{\psi}^\dagger m{\gamma}^0
ightarrow m{\psi}^\dagger m{\gamma}^0 m{\Lambda}_{1/2}^{-1}$$

I define

$$\overline{\psi} \equiv \psi^\dagger \gamma^0$$

so that

$$\overline{m{\psi}}
ightarrow \overline{m{\psi}} m{\Lambda}_{1/2}^{-1}$$

Claim:

$$m{\psi}^\dagger m{\gamma}^0
ightarrow m{\psi}^\dagger m{\gamma}^0 m{\Lambda}_{1/2}^{-1}$$

I define

$$\overline{\psi} \equiv \psi^\dagger \gamma^0$$

so that

$$\overline{m{\psi}}
ightarrow \overline{m{\psi}} m{\Lambda}_{1/2}^{-1}$$

ullet $\overline{\psi}\psi$ is Lorentz invariant

Claim:

$$m{\psi}^\dagger m{\gamma}^0
ightarrow m{\psi}^\dagger m{\gamma}^0 m{\Lambda}_{1/2}^{-1}$$

I define

$$\overline{\psi} \equiv \psi^\dagger \gamma^0$$

so that

$$\overline{m{\psi}}
ightarrow \overline{m{\psi}} m{\Lambda}_{1/2}^{-1}$$

- ullet $\overline{\psi}\psi$ is Lorentz invariant
- Claim: $\overline{\psi}\gamma^{\mu}\psi$ transforms as a four-vector.

Claim:

$$m{\psi}^\dagger m{\gamma}^0
ightarrow m{\psi}^\dagger m{\gamma}^0 m{\Lambda}_{1/2}^{-1}$$

I define

$$\overline{\psi} \equiv \psi^\dagger \gamma^0$$

so that

$$\overline{m{\psi}}
ightarrow \overline{m{\psi}} m{\Lambda}_{1/2}^{-1}$$

- ullet $\overline{\psi}\psi$ is Lorentz invariant
- Claim: $\overline{\psi}\gamma^{\mu}\psi$ transforms as a four-vector.

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{Dirac}} = \overline{\psi} (i \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} - m) \psi$$

Claim:

$$m{\psi}^\dagger m{\gamma}^0
ightarrow m{\psi}^\dagger m{\gamma}^0 m{\Lambda}_{1/2}^{-1}$$

I define

$$\overline{\psi} \equiv \psi^\dagger \gamma^0$$

so that

$$\overline{m{\psi}}
ightarrow \overline{m{\psi}} m{\Lambda}_{1/2}^{-1}$$

- ullet $\overline{\psi}\psi$ is Lorentz invariant
- Claim: $\overline{\psi}\gamma^{\mu}\psi$ transforms as a four-vector.

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{Dirac}} = \overline{\psi} (i \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} - m) \psi$$

is therefore Lorentz invariant

Recall:

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_{\mu}\phi)} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi} = 0$$

Recall:

$$rac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_{\mu}\phi)} - rac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi} = 0$$

(treating ψ and $\overline{\psi}$ as independent) for $\overline{\psi}$ yeilds the Dirac equation in ψ .

•

$$m\overline{\psi}\psi=m\left(egin{array}{cc} \psi_L^\dagger & \psi_R^\dagger \end{array}
ight)\left(egin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \ 1 & 0 \end{array}
ight)\left(egin{array}{cc} \psi_L \ \psi_R \end{array}
ight)=m(\psi_R^\dagger\psi_L+\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R)$$

Recall:

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial (\partial_{\mu} \phi)} - \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi} = 0$$

(treating ψ and $\overline{\psi}$ as independent) for $\overline{\psi}$ yeilds the Dirac equation in ψ .

•

$$m\overline{\psi}\psi=m\left(egin{array}{cc} \psi_L^\dagger & \psi_R^\dagger \end{array}
ight)\left(egin{array}{cc} 0 & 1 \ 1 & 0 \end{array}
ight)\left(egin{array}{cc} \psi_L \ \psi_R \end{array}
ight)=m(\psi_R^\dagger\psi_L+\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R)$$

- Mass term mixes the left and right spinors
- Explore: mass term that doesn't mix

$$\left(egin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \ 0 & 0 \end{array}
ight) \left(egin{array}{c} \psi_L \ \psi_R \end{array}
ight) = \left(egin{array}{c} \psi_L \ 0 \end{array}
ight)$$

• As it turns out, if I define $\gamma^5 \equiv i \gamma^0 \gamma^1 \gamma^2 \gamma^3$,

 $\left(egin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \ 0 & 0 \end{array}
ight) \left(egin{array}{c} \psi_L \ \psi_R \end{array}
ight) = \left(egin{array}{c} \psi_L \ 0 \end{array}
ight)$

• As it turns out, if I define $\gamma^5 \equiv i \gamma^0 \gamma^1 \gamma^2 \gamma^3$, then in our basis

$$\gamma^5 = \left(egin{array}{cc} -1 & 0 \ 0 & 1 \end{array}
ight)$$

 $\left(egin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \ 0 & 0 \end{array}
ight) \left(egin{array}{c} \psi_L \ \psi_R \end{array}
ight) = \left(egin{array}{c} \psi_L \ 0 \end{array}
ight)$

• As it turns out, if I define $\gamma^5 \equiv i \gamma^0 \gamma^1 \gamma^2 \gamma^3$, then in our basis

$$\gamma^5 = \left(egin{array}{cc} -1 & 0 \ 0 & 1 \end{array}
ight)$$

 $\left(egin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \ 0 & 0 \end{array}
ight) \left(egin{array}{c} \psi_L \ \psi_R \end{array}
ight) = \left(egin{array}{c} \psi_L \ 0 \end{array}
ight)$

ullet As it turns out, if I define $\gamma^5 \equiv i \gamma^0 \gamma^1 \gamma^2 \gamma^3$, then in our basis

$$\gamma^5 = \left(egin{array}{cc} -1 & 0 \ 0 & 1 \end{array}
ight)$$

 $P_L=rac{1-\gamma^5}{2}$

Similarly,

$$P_R = \frac{1+\gamma^5}{2}$$

• Obvious from matrix multiplication and definitions of

 $\left(egin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \ 0 & 0 \end{array}
ight) \left(egin{array}{c} \psi_L \ \psi_R \end{array}
ight) = \left(egin{array}{c} \psi_L \ 0 \end{array}
ight)$

ullet As it turns out, if I define $\gamma^5 \equiv i \gamma^0 \gamma^1 \gamma^2 \gamma^3$, then in our basis

$$\gamma^5 = \left(egin{array}{cc} -1 & 0 \ 0 & 1 \end{array}
ight)$$

 $P_L = \frac{1 - \gamma^5}{2}$

Similarly,

$$P_R = \frac{1+\gamma^5}{2}$$

• Obvious from matrix multiplication and definitions of P_L and P_R that

$$\left(egin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \ 0 & 0 \end{array}
ight) \left(egin{array}{c} \psi_L \ \psi_R \end{array}
ight) = \left(egin{array}{c} \psi_L \ 0 \end{array}
ight)$$

ullet As it turns out, if I define $\gamma^5 \equiv i \gamma^0 \gamma^1 \gamma^2 \gamma^3$, then in our basis

$$\gamma^5 = \left(egin{array}{cc} -1 & 0 \ 0 & 1 \end{array}
ight)$$

 $P_L=rac{1-\gamma^5}{2}$

Similarly, $P_R = \frac{1+\gamma^5}{2}$

• Obvious from matrix multiplication and definitions of P_L and P_R that

$$P_L+P_R=1_{4 imes 4}$$

• and that

$$P_L P_R = 0; P_R P_L = 0$$

• and that

$$P_L P_R = 0$$
; $P_R P_L = 0$

• Claim: $\{\gamma^5,\gamma^\mu\}=0$

and that

$$P_L P_R = 0$$
; $P_R P_L = 0$

- Claim: $\{\gamma^5,\gamma^\mu\}=0$
- It then follows that

and that

$$P_L P_R = 0; P_R P_L = 0$$

- Claim: $\{\gamma^5,\gamma^\mu\}=0$
- It then follows that

$$\gamma^{\mu}P_{L}=\gamma^{\mu}rac{(1-\gamma^{5})}{2}=rac{(1+\gamma^{5})}{2}\gamma^{\mu}=P_{R}\gamma^{\mu}$$

and that

$$P_L P_R = 0; P_R P_L = 0$$

- Claim: $\{\gamma^5,\gamma^\mu\}=0$
- It then follows that

$$\gamma^{\mu}P_{\mathsf{L}}=\gamma^{\mu}rac{(1-\gamma^5)}{2}=rac{(1+\gamma^5)}{2}\gamma^{\mu}=P_{\mathsf{R}}\gamma^{\mu}$$

I define

$$\Psi_L \equiv P_L \psi = \left(\begin{array}{c} \psi_L \\ 0 \end{array}\right)$$

and that

$$P_L P_R = 0; P_R P_L = 0$$

- Claim: $\{\gamma^5,\gamma^\mu\}=0$
- It then follows that

$$\gamma^{\mu}P_{L}=\gamma^{\mu}rac{(1-\gamma^{5})}{2}=rac{(1+\gamma^{5})}{2}\gamma^{\mu}=P_{R}\gamma^{\mu}$$

I define

$$\Psi_L \equiv P_L \psi = \left(egin{array}{c} \psi_L \\ 0 \end{array}
ight)$$

and

$$\Psi_R \equiv P_R \psi = \left(egin{array}{c} 0 \ \psi_R \end{array}
ight)$$

• This allows me to write

• This allows me to write

$$\psi=1_{4 imes4}\psi=\left(P_L+P_R
ight)\psi=\Psi_L+\Psi_R$$

This allows me to write

$$\psi=1_{4 imes4}\psi=\left(P_L+P_R
ight)\psi=\Psi_L+\Psi_R$$

along with the hermitian conjugate

$$\psi^{\dagger} = \psi^{\dagger} 1_{4 \times 4} = \psi^{\dagger} \left(P_L + P_R \right) = \Psi_L^{\dagger} + \Psi_R^{\dagger}$$

Clarity:

This allows me to write

$$\psi=1_{4 imes4}\psi=\left(P_L+P_R
ight)\psi=\Psi_L+\Psi_R$$

along with the hermitian conjugate

$$\psi^\dagger = \psi^\dagger 1_{4 imes 4} = \psi^\dagger \left(P_L + P_R
ight) = \Psi_L^\dagger + \Psi_R^\dagger$$

Clarity:

$$\overline{\psi}=\psi^\dagger\gamma^0=\psi^\dagger 1_{4 imes 4}\gamma^0=\psi^\dagger \left(P_L+P_R
ight)\gamma^0=\Psi_L^\dagger\gamma^0+\Psi_R^\dagger\gamma^0=\overline{\Psi}_L+\overline{\Psi}_R$$

and that in turn allows me to expand the mass term

This allows me to write

$$\psi = 1_{4\times 4} \psi = (P_I + P_R) \psi = \Psi_I + \Psi_R$$

along with the hermitian conjugate

$$\psi^\dagger = \psi^\dagger \mathbb{1}_{4 imes 4} = \psi^\dagger \left(P_L + P_R
ight) = \Psi_L^\dagger + \Psi_R^\dagger$$

• Clarity:

$$\overline{\psi}=\psi^\dagger\gamma^0=\psi^\dagger 1_{4 imes 4}\gamma^0=\psi^\dagger \left(P_L+P_R
ight)\gamma^0=\Psi_L^\dagger\gamma^0+\Psi_R^\dagger\gamma^0=\overline{\Psi}_L+\overline{\Psi}_R$$

and that in turn allows me to expand the mass term

$$m\overline{\psi}\psi = m\left(\overline{\Psi}_L + \overline{\Psi}_R\right)(\Psi_L + \Psi_R) = m\left(\overline{\Psi}_L + \overline{\Psi}_R\right)(\Psi_L + \Psi_R)$$
$$= m\left(\overline{\Psi}_L + \overline{\Psi}_R\right)(\Psi_L + \Psi_R)$$
$$= m\left(\overline{\Psi}_L\Psi_R + \overline{\Psi}_R\Psi_L\right)$$

• Recall:

$$m\overline{\psi}\psi=m(\psi_R^{\dagger}\psi_L+\psi_L^{\dagger}\psi_R)$$

Recall:

$$m\overline{\psi}\psi=m(\psi_R^\dagger\psi_L+\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R)$$

both are correct and equivalent

Recall:

$$m\overline{\psi}\psi=m(\psi_R^\dagger\psi_L+\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R)$$

both are correct and equivalent

• Defn: For a four vector B^{μ}

Recall:

$$m\overline{\psi}\psi=m(\psi_R^\dagger\psi_L+\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R)$$

both are correct and equivalent

• Defn: For a four vector B^{μ}

$$\cancel{B} \equiv \gamma^\mu B_\mu$$

Recall:

$$m\overline{\psi}\psi=m(\psi_R^\dagger\psi_L+\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R)$$

both are correct and equivalent

• Defn: For a four vector B^{μ}

$$\cancel{B} \equiv \gamma^\mu B_\mu$$

Result:

Recall:

$$m\overline{\psi}\psi=m(\psi_R^\dagger\psi_L+\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R)$$

both are correct and equivalent

• Defn: For a four vector B^{μ}

$$\mathscr{B}\equiv \gamma^{\mu}B_{\mu}$$

Result:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{Dirac}} = \overline{\psi}(i\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu} - m)\psi$$

$$= \left(\overline{\Psi}_{L} + \overline{\Psi}_{R}\right)(i\mathscr{J} - m)(\Psi_{L} + \Psi_{R})$$

$$= \overline{\Psi}_{L}i\mathscr{J}\Psi_{L} + \overline{\Psi}_{R}i\mathscr{J}\Psi_{R} - m(\overline{\Psi}_{L}\Psi_{R} + \overline{\Psi}_{R}\Psi_{L})$$

Recall:

$$m\overline{\psi}\psi=m(\psi_R^\dagger\psi_L+\psi_L^\dagger\psi_R)$$

both are correct and equivalent

• Defn: For a four vector B^{μ}

$$\cancel{B} \equiv \gamma^\mu B_\mu$$

Result:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{Dirac}} = \overline{\psi}(i\gamma^{\mu}\partial_{\mu} - m)\psi$$

$$= \left(\overline{\Psi}_{L} + \overline{\Psi}_{R}\right)(i\mathscr{J} - m)(\Psi_{L} + \Psi_{R})$$

$$= \overline{\Psi}_{L}i\mathscr{J}\Psi_{L} + \overline{\Psi}_{R}i\mathscr{J}\Psi_{R} - m(\overline{\Psi}_{L}\Psi_{R} + \overline{\Psi}_{R}\Psi_{L})$$

In this notationa also, there's mixing



• Defn:

$$C = i \left(\begin{array}{cc} \sigma^2 & 0 \\ 0 & -\sigma^2 \end{array} \right)$$

Defn:

$$C = i \left(\begin{array}{cc} \sigma^2 & 0 \\ 0 & -\sigma^2 \end{array} \right)$$

• Recall and process: For

$$oldsymbol{\psi}^{\mathcal{T}} = \left(egin{array}{cc} oldsymbol{\psi}_{L}^{\mathcal{T}} & oldsymbol{\psi}_{R}^{\mathcal{T}} \end{array}
ight)$$

Defn:

$$C = i \left(\begin{array}{cc} \sigma^2 & 0 \\ 0 & -\sigma^2 \end{array} \right)$$

Recall and process: For

$$oldsymbol{\psi}^{\mathcal{T}} = \left(egin{array}{cc} oldsymbol{\psi}_{L}^{\mathcal{T}} & oldsymbol{\psi}_{R}^{\mathcal{T}} \end{array}
ight)$$

under a lorentz boost β and a rotation θ ,

Defn:

$$C = i \left(\begin{array}{cc} \sigma^2 & 0 \\ 0 & -\sigma^2 \end{array} \right)$$

Recall and process: For

$$oldsymbol{\psi}^{\mathcal{T}} = \left(egin{array}{cc} oldsymbol{\psi}_{L}^{\mathcal{T}} & oldsymbol{\psi}_{R}^{\mathcal{T}} \end{array}
ight)$$

under a lorentz boost β and a rotation θ ,

$$\psi_L^T \to \psi_L^T e^{-i\theta \cdot \frac{\sigma^T}{2} - \beta \cdot \frac{\sigma^T}{2}} = \psi_L^T S_L^T$$
$$\psi_R^T \to \psi_R^T e^{-i\theta \cdot \frac{\sigma^T}{2} + \beta \cdot \frac{\sigma^T}{2}} = \psi_R^T S_R^T$$

Defn:

$$C = i \left(\begin{array}{cc} \sigma^2 & 0 \\ 0 & -\sigma^2 \end{array} \right)$$

Recall and process: For

$$oldsymbol{\psi}^{\mathcal{T}} = \left(egin{array}{cc} oldsymbol{\psi}_{L}^{\mathcal{T}} & oldsymbol{\psi}_{R}^{\mathcal{T}} \end{array}
ight)$$

under a lorentz boost β and a rotation θ ,

$$\psi_L^T \to \psi_L^T e^{-i\theta \cdot \frac{\sigma^T}{2} - \beta \cdot \frac{\sigma^T}{2}} = \psi_L^T S_L^T$$
$$\psi_R^T \to \psi_R^T e^{-i\theta \cdot \frac{\sigma^T}{2} + \beta \cdot \frac{\sigma^T}{2}} = \psi_R^T S_R^T$$

which in a compact form is

$$\psi^T o \psi^T \Lambda_{1/2}^T$$

NB: from

$$\sigma^{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\sigma^{2} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\sigma^{3} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$$

NB: from

$$\sigma^1 = \left(egin{array}{ccc} 0 & 1 \ 1 & 0 \end{array}
ight)$$
 $\sigma^2 = \left(egin{array}{ccc} 0 & -i \ i & 0 \end{array}
ight)$
 $\sigma^3 = \left(egin{array}{ccc} 1 & 0 \ 0 & -1 \end{array}
ight)$

it's obvious that

NB: from

$$\sigma^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
 $\sigma^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}$
 $\sigma^3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$

it's obvious that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sigma^1 \end{pmatrix}^T = \sigma^1$$
 $\begin{pmatrix} \sigma^2 \end{pmatrix}^T = -\sigma^2$
 $\begin{pmatrix} \sigma^3 \end{pmatrix}^T = \sigma^3$

• Further, we can use the fact that $\{\sigma^i,\sigma^k\}=2\delta^{ik}$ to see that

• Further, we can use the fact that $\{\sigma^i,\sigma^k\}=2\delta^{ik}$ to see that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sigma^1 \end{pmatrix}^T \sigma^2 = -\sigma^2 \sigma^1$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sigma^2 \end{pmatrix}^T \sigma^2 = -\sigma^2 \sigma^2$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \sigma^3 \end{pmatrix}^T \sigma^2 = -\sigma^2 \sigma^3$$

• consider the object $\psi_I^T \sigma^2$

• consider the object $\psi_I^T \sigma^2$

$$\psi_L^T \sigma^2 \to \psi_L^T e^{-i\theta \cdot \frac{\sigma^T}{2} - \beta \cdot \frac{\sigma^T}{2}} \sigma^2 = \psi_L^T \sigma^2 e^{-\left(-i\theta \cdot \frac{\sigma}{2} - \beta \cdot \frac{\sigma}{2}\right)} = \psi_L^T \sigma^2 S_L^{-1}$$

• consider the object $\psi_I^T \sigma^2$

$$\psi_L^T\sigma^2 \to \psi_L^T e^{-i\theta \cdot \frac{\sigma^T}{2} - \beta \cdot \frac{\sigma^T}{2}} \sigma^2 = \psi_L^T \sigma^2 e^{-\left(-i\theta \cdot \frac{\sigma}{2} - \beta \cdot \frac{\sigma}{2}\right)} = \psi_L^T \sigma^2 S_L^{-1}$$
 similarly

$$\psi_R^T \sigma^2 o \psi_R^T e^{-i\theta \cdot \frac{\sigma^T}{2} + \beta \cdot \frac{\sigma^T}{2}} \sigma^2 = \psi_R^T \sigma^2 e^{-\left(-i\theta \cdot \frac{\sigma}{2} + \beta \cdot \frac{\sigma}{2}\right)} = \psi_R^T \sigma^2 S_R^{-1}$$

• consider the object $\psi_I^T \sigma^2$

$$\psi_L^T\sigma^2 \to \psi_L^T e^{-i\theta \cdot \frac{\sigma^T}{2} - \beta \cdot \frac{\sigma^T}{2}} \sigma^2 = \psi_L^T\sigma^2 e^{-\left(-i\theta \cdot \frac{\sigma}{2} - \beta \cdot \frac{\sigma}{2}\right)} = \psi_L^T\sigma^2 S_L^{-1}$$
 similarly

$$\psi_R^T \sigma^2 \to \psi_R^T e^{-i\theta \cdot \frac{\sigma^T}{2} + \beta \cdot \frac{\sigma^T}{2}} \sigma^2 = \psi_R^T \sigma^2 e^{-\left(-i\theta \cdot \frac{\sigma}{2} + \beta \cdot \frac{\sigma}{2}\right)} = \psi_R^T \sigma^2 S_R^{-1}$$

• We want to make it compact. To that end, we note

• consider the object $\psi_I^T \sigma^2$

$$\psi_L^T\sigma^2 \to \psi_L^T e^{-i\theta \cdot \frac{\sigma^T}{2} - \beta \cdot \frac{\sigma^T}{2}} \sigma^2 = \psi_L^T\sigma^2 e^{-\left(-i\theta \cdot \frac{\sigma}{2} - \beta \cdot \frac{\sigma}{2}\right)} = \psi_L^T\sigma^2 S_L^{-1}$$
 similarly

$$\psi_R^T \sigma^2 o \psi_R^T e^{-i\theta \cdot \frac{\sigma^T}{2} + \beta \cdot \frac{\sigma^T}{2}} \sigma^2 = \psi_R^T \sigma^2 e^{-\left(-i\theta \cdot \frac{\sigma}{2} + \beta \cdot \frac{\sigma}{2}\right)} = \psi_R^T \sigma^2 S_R^{-1}$$

We want to make it compact. To that end, we note

$$\psi^T C = i \begin{pmatrix} \psi_L^T & \psi_R^T \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^2 & 0 \\ 0 & -\sigma^2 \end{pmatrix} = i \begin{pmatrix} \psi_L^T \sigma^2 & -\psi_R^T \sigma^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

• consider the object $\psi_I^T \sigma^2$

$$\psi_L^T\sigma^2 \to \psi_L^T e^{-i\theta \cdot \frac{\sigma^T}{2} - \beta \cdot \frac{\sigma^T}{2}} \sigma^2 = \psi_L^T\sigma^2 e^{-\left(-i\theta \cdot \frac{\sigma}{2} - \beta \cdot \frac{\sigma}{2}\right)} = \psi_L^T\sigma^2 S_L^{-1}$$
 similarly

$$\psi_R^T \sigma^2 o \psi_R^T e^{-i\theta \cdot \frac{\sigma^T}{2} + \beta \cdot \frac{\sigma^T}{2}} \sigma^2 = \psi_R^T \sigma^2 e^{-\left(-i\theta \cdot \frac{\sigma}{2} + \beta \cdot \frac{\sigma}{2}\right)} = \psi_R^T \sigma^2 S_R^{-1}$$

We want to make it compact. To that end, we note

$$\psi^T C = i \begin{pmatrix} \psi_L^T & \psi_R^T \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^2 & 0 \\ 0 & -\sigma^2 \end{pmatrix} = i \begin{pmatrix} \psi_L^T \sigma^2 & -\psi_R^T \sigma^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

• so that the transformation is

so that the transformation is

$$\psi^{T}C \to i \left(\psi_{L}^{T} S_{L}^{T} \sigma^{2} - \psi_{R}^{T} S_{R}^{T} \sigma^{2} \right)$$
$$= i \left(\psi_{L}^{T} \sigma^{2} S_{L}^{-1} - \psi_{R}^{T} \sigma^{2} S_{R}^{-1} \right) = \psi^{T} C \lambda_{1/2}^{-1}$$

so that the transformation is

$$\psi^{T}C \to i \left(\psi_{L}^{T} S_{L}^{T} \sigma^{2} - \psi_{R}^{T} S_{R}^{T} \sigma^{2} \right)$$
$$= i \left(\psi_{L}^{T} \sigma^{2} S_{L}^{-1} - \psi_{R}^{T} \sigma^{2} S_{R}^{-1} \right) = \psi^{T} C \lambda_{1/2}^{-1}$$

And what will all of this do? Well, it means that

• so that the transformation is

$$\psi^{T}C \to i \left(\psi_{L}^{T}S_{L}^{T}\sigma^{2} - \psi_{R}^{T}S_{R}^{T}\sigma^{2} \right)$$
$$= i \left(\psi_{L}^{T}\sigma^{2}S_{L}^{-1} - \psi_{R}^{T}\sigma^{2}S_{R}^{-1} \right) = \psi^{T}C\lambda_{1/2}^{-1}$$

And what will all of this do? Well, it means that

$$\psi^{\mathsf{T}} \mathsf{C} \psi o \psi^{\mathsf{T}} \mathsf{C} \lambda_{1/2}^{-1} \lambda_{1/2} \psi = \psi^{\mathsf{T}} \mathsf{C} \psi$$

so that the transformation is

$$\psi^{T}C \to i \left(\psi_{L}^{T} S_{L}^{T} \sigma^{2} - \psi_{R}^{T} S_{R}^{T} \sigma^{2} \right)$$
$$= i \left(\psi_{L}^{T} \sigma^{2} S_{L}^{-1} - \psi_{R}^{T} \sigma^{2} S_{R}^{-1} \right) = \psi^{T} C \lambda_{1/2}^{-1}$$

And what will all of this do? Well, it means that

$$oldsymbol{\psi}^{\mathsf{T}} oldsymbol{\mathcal{C}} oldsymbol{\psi} op oldsymbol{\psi}^{\mathsf{T}} oldsymbol{\mathcal{C}} \lambda_{1/2}^{-1} \lambda_{1/2} oldsymbol{\psi} = oldsymbol{\psi}^{\mathsf{T}} oldsymbol{\mathcal{C}} oldsymbol{\psi}$$

Result: We have arrived at a Lorentz scalar!

• Comments:

- Comments:
 - We could've arrived at the same result by simply noting that $\lambda_{1/2}^T C \lambda_{1/2} = C$

- Comments:
 - We could've arrived at the same result by simply noting that $\lambda_{1/2}^T C \lambda_{1/2} = C$
 - I can write C as a product of γ matrices as

$$C = i \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^2 & 0 \\ 0 & -\sigma^2 \end{pmatrix} = -i\gamma^2\gamma^0$$

which is easy to verify.

• Defn: A different mass term

Defn: A different mass term

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{Majorana\ Mass}} \sim m \psi^{\mathsf{T}} C \psi$$

$$= -im \left(\Psi_L^{\mathsf{T}} + \Psi_R^{\mathsf{T}} \right) \gamma^2 \gamma^0 \left(\Psi_L + \Psi_R \right)$$

$$= -im \left(\Psi_L^{\mathsf{T}} \gamma^2 \gamma^0 \Psi_L + \Psi_R^{\mathsf{T}} \gamma^2 \gamma^0 \Psi_R \right)$$

$$= m \left(\Psi_L^{\mathsf{T}} C \Psi_L + \Psi_R^{\mathsf{T}} C \Psi_R \right)$$

Defn: A different mass term

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{Majorana\ Mass}} \sim m \psi^{\mathsf{T}} C \psi$$

$$= -im \left(\Psi_L^{\mathsf{T}} + \Psi_R^{\mathsf{T}} \right) \gamma^2 \gamma^0 \left(\Psi_L + \Psi_R \right)$$

$$= -im \left(\Psi_L^{\mathsf{T}} \gamma^2 \gamma^0 \Psi_L + \Psi_R^{\mathsf{T}} \gamma^2 \gamma^0 \Psi_R \right)$$

$$= m \left(\Psi_L^{\mathsf{T}} C \Psi_L + \Psi_R^{\mathsf{T}} C \Psi_R \right)$$

Result: Does not mix the left and right spinors!

Defn: A different mass term

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{Majorana\ Mass}} \sim m \psi^{\mathsf{T}} C \psi$$

$$= -im \left(\Psi_L^{\mathsf{T}} + \Psi_R^{\mathsf{T}} \right) \gamma^2 \gamma^0 \left(\Psi_L + \Psi_R \right)$$

$$= -im \left(\Psi_L^{\mathsf{T}} \gamma^2 \gamma^0 \Psi_L + \Psi_R^{\mathsf{T}} \gamma^2 \gamma^0 \Psi_R \right)$$

$$= m \left(\Psi_L^{\mathsf{T}} C \Psi_L + \Psi_R^{\mathsf{T}} C \Psi_R \right)$$

- Result: Does not mix the left and right spinors!
- To ensure reality, we add $-m\psi^{\dagger}C\psi^{*}$

- Concluding Remarks:
 - Majorana fermion is s.t. ψ equals it's own 'conjugate'.

- Concluding Remarks:
 - Majorana fermion is s.t. ψ equals it's own 'conjugate'. Reduces dof from 4 to 2

- Concluding Remarks:
 - Majorana fermion is s.t. ψ equals it's own 'conjugate'. Reduces dof from 4 to 2
 - C is closely related to the charge conjugation operator

• Concluding Results:

- Concluding Results:
 - The Lagrangian with the 'kinetic part' is

- Concluding Results:
 - The Lagrangian with the 'kinetic part' is

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{Majorana}} = \psi_L^{\dagger} i \overline{\sigma} . \partial \psi_L + \frac{i m}{2} \left(\psi_L^{\mathsf{T}} \sigma^2 \psi_L - \psi_L^{\dagger} \sigma^2 \psi_L^* \right)$$
$$= i \overline{\Psi}_L \partial \!\!\!/ \Psi_L - \frac{m}{2} \left(\Psi_L^{\mathsf{T}} C \Psi_L + \Psi_L^{\dagger} C \Psi_L^* \right)$$

- Concluding Results:
 - The Lagrangian with the 'kinetic part' is

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{Majorana}} = \psi_L^{\dagger} i \overline{\sigma}. \partial \psi_L + \frac{i m}{2} \left(\psi_L^T \sigma^2 \psi_L - \psi_L^{\dagger} \sigma^2 \psi_L^* \right)$$
$$= i \overline{\Psi}_L \partial \!\!\!/ \Psi_L - \frac{m}{2} \left(\Psi_L^T C \Psi_L + \Psi_L^{\dagger} C \Psi_L^* \right)$$

Corresponding Euler Lagrange

- Concluding Results:
 - The Lagrangian with the 'kinetic part' is

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{Majorana}} = \psi_L^{\dagger} i \overline{\sigma}. \partial \psi_L + \frac{i m}{2} \left(\psi_L^{\mathsf{T}} \sigma^2 \psi_L - \psi_L^{\dagger} \sigma^2 \psi_L^* \right)$$
$$= i \overline{\Psi}_L \partial \!\!\!/ \Psi_L - \frac{m}{2} \left(\Psi_L^{\mathsf{T}} C \Psi_L + \Psi_L^{\dagger} C \Psi_L^* \right)$$

Corresponding Euler Lagrange

$$i\overline{\sigma}.\partial\psi_L - im\sigma^2\psi_L^* = 0$$

- Concluding Results:
 - The Lagrangian with the 'kinetic part' is

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{Majorana}} = \psi_L^{\dagger} i \overline{\sigma} . \partial \psi_L + \frac{i m}{2} \left(\psi_L^{\mathsf{T}} \sigma^2 \psi_L - \psi_L^{\dagger} \sigma^2 \psi_L^* \right)$$
$$= i \overline{\Psi}_L \not \partial \Psi_L - \frac{m}{2} \left(\Psi_L^{\mathsf{T}} C \Psi_L + \Psi_L^{\dagger} C \Psi_L^* \right)$$

Corresponding Euler Lagrange

$$i\overline{\sigma}.\partial\psi_L - im\sigma^2\psi_L^* = 0$$

which implies that the Klien Gordan is satisfied and

- Concluding Results:
 - The Lagrangian with the 'kinetic part' is

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathsf{Majorana}} = \psi_L^{\dagger} i \overline{\sigma} . \partial \psi_L + \frac{i m}{2} \left(\psi_L^{\mathsf{T}} \sigma^2 \psi_L - \psi_L^{\dagger} \sigma^2 \psi_L^* \right)$$
$$= i \overline{\Psi}_L \not \partial \Psi_L - \frac{m}{2} \left(\Psi_L^{\mathsf{T}} C \Psi_L + \Psi_L^{\dagger} C \Psi_L^* \right)$$

Corresponding Euler Lagrange

$$i\overline{\sigma}.\partial\psi_L - im\sigma^2\psi_I^* = 0$$

which implies that the Klien Gordan is satisfied and it is itself is Lorentz invariant.

• No 'right handed' neutrinos

- No 'right handed' neutrinos
- 'left handed' nearly massless

- No 'right handed' neutrinos
- 'left handed' nearly massless
- The great Standard Model has the term

- No 'right handed' neutrinos
- 'left handed' nearly massless
- The great Standard Model has the term

$$\mathcal{L} = \overline{\nu}_L i \not \partial \nu_L$$

- No 'right handed' neutrinos
- 'left handed' nearly massless
- The great Standard Model has the term

$$\mathcal{L}=\overline{
u}_{L}i\partial\!\!\!/
u_{L}$$

To implement mass, we must handle half a spinor

- No 'right handed' neutrinos
- 'left handed' nearly massless
- The great Standard Model has the term

$$\mathcal{L} = \overline{\nu}_{L} i \not \partial \nu_{L}$$

- To implement mass, we must handle half a spinor
- Dirac mass as we know it, necessarily mixes the left and right handed part

- No 'right handed' neutrinos
- 'left handed' nearly massless
- The great Standard Model has the term

$$\mathcal{L} = \overline{\nu}_L i \partial \nu_L$$

- To implement mass, we must handle half a spinor
- Dirac mass as we know it, necessarily mixes the left and right handed part
- In this sense, Majorana spinors and the Majorana mass associated can describe neutrinos

- No 'right handed' neutrinos
- 'left handed' nearly massless
- The great Standard Model has the term

$$\mathcal{L} = \overline{\nu}_{L} i \not \partial \nu_{L}$$

- To implement mass, we must handle half a spinor
- Dirac mass as we know it, necessarily mixes the left and right handed part
- In this sense, Majorana spinors and the Majorana mass associated can describe neutrinos
- There're alternatives, such as 'see-saw' model

The End

References

- An Introduction to Quantum Field Theory M. E. Peskin, D. V. Schroeder
 Addison-Wesley Publishing Company
- PHY659 Lectures
 Prof. C. S. Aulakh
 Spring 2015, IISER Mohali