

Mukunda's Lectures [train notes]

March 10, 2015

1 Berry's Phase (original)

$R \in$ multidimensional parameter space

$$R \rightarrow R(t) \implies H(R) \rightarrow H(R(t))$$

We assume that the time evolution is cyclic. Let $R(t)$ run over a closed loop

$$C = \{R(t) | 0 \leq t \leq T\} = \text{closed loop in parameter space}$$

$$R(T) = R(0)$$

For each R (in the domain of interest), there're eigenvectors and eigenvalues of $H(R)$ and we can write the usual

$$\begin{aligned} H(R) |n; R\rangle &= E_n(R) |n; R\rangle \\ \langle n'; R | n; R\rangle &= \delta_{n'n} \quad \sum_n |n; R\rangle \langle n; R| = 1 \end{aligned}$$

We assume nondegeneracy and no level crossing in the domain of interest in the R space. Now there's a remark in the paper that (I can't understand) says that $|n; R\rangle$ is assumed continuous and single valued in R , in contrast to the previous case (where we assumed $(\psi_n(t), \dot{\psi}_n(t)) = 0$). Don't know what relevance it has though. Fine, it clarifies it in the next step. It says each $|n; R\rangle$ is free upto a phase dependent on n and R but is otherwise assumed to be well-defined (single-valued) in the domain of interest.

The schrodinger equation is

$$i\hbar \dot{\psi}(t) = H(R(t))\psi(t)$$

We know from the adiabatic theorem (which I read from wikipedia, there in the scanned notes) that

$$\psi(0) = |n; R(0)\rangle \implies \psi(t) \approx \exp([i\theta_n(t)] + [i\gamma_n(t)]) |n; R(t)\rangle$$

where $i\theta_n(t) = -i/\hbar \int_0^t dt' E_n(R(t'))$, $\gamma_n(t)$ in those notes had been explicitly derived. Here, we ignore that and simply plug this as the guess solution in the schrodinger equation. Lets do just that.

$$i\hbar \left[i \left(\dot{\theta}_n + \dot{\gamma}_n \right) e^{i(\theta_n + \gamma_n)} |n; R(t)\rangle + e^{i(\theta_n + \gamma_n)} \frac{d}{dt} |n; R(t)\rangle \right]$$

Okay so now i'm getting confused with differentiation. Lets check.

$$\frac{d}{dx} e^x = e^x$$

$$\frac{d}{dx} f(g(x)) = f'(g(x)) * g'(x)$$

Never mind that. Sorry. Back to the task.

$$\begin{aligned}
i\hbar \left[i \left(\dot{\theta}_n + \dot{\gamma}_n \right) e^{i(\theta_n + \gamma_n)} |n; R\rangle + e^{i(\theta_n + \gamma_n)} \frac{d}{dt} |n; R\rangle \right] &\approx H(R) |\psi(t)\rangle \\
i\hbar \left[\left(-\frac{i}{\hbar} \cancel{E_n(R)} + i\dot{\gamma}_n \right) e^{i(\theta_n + \gamma_n)} |n; R\rangle + e^{i(\theta_n + \gamma_n)} \frac{d}{dt} |n; R\rangle \right] &\approx \cancel{E_n(R) e^{i(\theta_n + \gamma_n)}} |n; R\rangle \\
i\dot{\gamma}_n e^{i(\theta_n + \gamma_n)} |n; R\rangle + e^{i(\theta_n + \gamma_n)} \frac{d}{dt} |n; R\rangle &\approx 0
\end{aligned}$$

DOUBT: I would now want to understand what difference would it have made if I had written $i\dot{\theta}_n(t) = -i/\hbar [E_n(R(t))t]$, because even then $i\dot{\theta}_n = -i/\hbar [E_n(R)]$ and would've happily cancelled out.

NB: This is essentially the statement

$$\frac{d}{dt} (e^{i\theta_n} |n; R\rangle) \approx 0$$

Continuing, I want to find γ_n , so I go back to the previous step and write

$$\begin{aligned}
i\dot{\gamma}_n |n; R\rangle + \frac{d}{dt} |n; R\rangle &\approx 0 \\
i\dot{\gamma}_n &\approx -\langle n; R | \frac{d}{dt} |n; R\rangle \\
\dot{\gamma}_n &\approx i \langle n; R | \frac{d}{dt} |n; R\rangle \\
&\approx i \langle n; R | \nabla |n; R\rangle \cdot \dot{\mathbf{R}}
\end{aligned}$$

NB:

- We now assume that the parameter space is 3 dimensional.
- ∇ is in the R space, not the physical 3d space.

Further, I can integrate to get an expression for

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_n &\approx i \int_0^t \langle n; R | \nabla |n; R\rangle \cdot \frac{d\mathbf{R}}{dt} dt \\
&\approx i \int_{\mathbf{R}(0)}^{\mathbf{R}(t)} \langle n; R | \nabla |n; R\rangle \cdot d\mathbf{R}
\end{aligned}$$

NB:

- For convenience, I haven't written $|n; \mathbf{R}\rangle$ but it's implied.
- I'll just show that γ_n is real, viz. the integral is purely imaginary

$$\begin{aligned}
\nabla \langle n; R | n; R \rangle &= 0 = \langle n; R | (\nabla |n; R\rangle) + (\nabla \langle n; R |) |n; R\rangle \\
&= 2 \text{Re} (\langle n; R | \nabla |n; R\rangle)
\end{aligned}$$

that tells us $\langle n; R | (\nabla |n; R\rangle)$ has no real part, viz. it is purely imaginary.

Now recall we're moving along a closed curve so we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\gamma_n &\approx i \oint_C \langle n; R | \nabla | n; R \rangle . dR \\
&\approx \text{Re} \left(i \oint_C \langle n; R | \nabla | n; R \rangle . dR \right) && [\text{we proved } \gamma_n \text{ is purely Real}] \\
&\approx i \oint_C \alpha . dR; && [\alpha \equiv \langle n; R | \nabla | n; R \rangle] \\
&\approx i \int_S (\nabla \times \alpha) . d\mathbf{a}; && [\text{using stokes thm, implicit}] \\
\gamma_n &\approx i \int_S (\nabla \times \alpha) . d\mathbf{a} \\
\gamma_n &\approx i \int_S \epsilon_{ijk} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\langle n; R | \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} | n; R \rangle \right) da_k \\
&\approx i \int_S \epsilon_{ijk} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \langle n; R | \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} | n; R \rangle + \cancel{\langle n; R | \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j} | n; R \rangle} \right) da_k && [\text{using anti-symmetry in i j}] \\
&\approx i \int_S (\nabla \langle n; R |) \times (\nabla | n; R \rangle) . d\mathbf{a} \\
&\approx \sum_m i \int_S (\nabla \langle n; R |) | m; R \rangle \times \langle m; R | (\nabla | n; R \rangle) . d\mathbf{a} \\
&\approx \sum_m i \int_S i \text{Im} ((\nabla \langle n; R |) | m; R \rangle \times \langle m; R | (\nabla | n; R \rangle)) . d\mathbf{a} && [\gamma_n \text{ is purely Re}] \\
&\approx - \sum_{m \neq n} \int_S \text{Im} ((\nabla \langle n; R |) | m; R \rangle \times \langle m; R | (\nabla | n; R \rangle)) . d\mathbf{a} && [(\langle n; R | (\nabla | n; R \rangle)) \text{ is real}]
\end{aligned}$$