Lecture 19 - (Oct 28,2015) Graf. Mark M. Wilde | Quick Notes

§ 1 Overview

Motivation: Entropy inequalities - Monotonicity of relative entropy,

strong subadditivity, quantum data processing inequality
be continuation of quantum entropy.

Feb 7,2017

& 2 Quantum Relative Entropy

Motivation: Quantum Relative Entropy can be used to express many quantities of interest. We as before establish properties of it first

Def' (Kernel & Support). For $A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}')$, the Kernel is $\ker(A) := \{147 \in \mathcal{H} : A \mid 4 \} = 0\}$.

The support of A is the orthogonal subspace to ker (A), supp (A):= { I 4> E 21: A 14> 70 }.

For A Hermitian with $A = \sum_{i} a_{i} | i > i > i$ $supp(A) := span \{ i > i > a_{i} \neq 0 \}.$

Projection into the support of A is, $\pi_A := \sum_{i: \ q_i \neq 0} |i| > < i|.$

Def' (Quantum Relative Entropy). $D(p||\sigma) := tr(p(\log p - \log \sigma))$ for $p \in D(\mathfrak{R})$ be a positive semi-definite operator $\sigma \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{H})$ with $supp(p) \subseteq supp(\sigma)$. Else $D(p||\sigma) = +\infty$.

Remark: This dif is consistent with the classical case.

Remark 2: Well, D'(p||0):= ti (plog(p42 + p42)) is also consistent

in the classical case.
"We" single out "our" def" : it is meaningful askirt answers
a sensible quantum - information processing task (what?) & (b)
I reduces to answers of previous quistions we've already

Antivin': This is intuitively like a distance measure blu quantum states NB/: Not a motheratical distance (doesn't satisfy the claim triangle inequality.)

Proposition 3. $p \in D(H)$, $\sigma \geq 0 \in Z(H)$ $D(p||\sigma) = UD(p||\sigma + \epsilon II)$.

proof: Perhaps given lates.

Remark: Justifies further the choice of Def of D.

Thus 4 (Monotonicity of Quantum Relative Entropy).

For $p \in D(\mathcal{H})$, $\sigma co \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ & $\mathcal{N}: \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}) \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}')$, a quantum channel. $D(p||\sigma) > D(\mathcal{N}(p)||\mathcal{N}(\sigma))$. proof: comes a little later.

Claim: Thin 4 => non-regativity of relative entropy in certain cases.

Thun 5 (Mon-negativity).

For $p \in D(\mathfrak{A})$, $\sigma \neq 0 \in \mathcal{A}(\mathfrak{A})$ with $t_1\{\sigma^2\} \leq 1$, $D(p||\sigma) \geq 0$

& D(p110)=0 () p=0.

proof. Apply 7hm 4 using the trace map. $D(\rho||\sigma| >) D(t_1(\rho)||t_2(\sigma)) = t_2(\rho) \log\left(\frac{t_1(\rho)}{t_2(\sigma)}\right)$

> 0

```
NB: D(pllo)=0 => the inequality is salusated. >> to[v] = to (p)=1
      => o is a valid density operator.
     Applying Thin 4, we have D(M(p) IIM(r)) < D(pllr) = 0
                       also in just proved D(11) >,0
             => D(M(p) || M(c)) = 0 => M(p) = M(c)
             ⇒ p= √ [: ine can choose M to be the optimal
                            measurement => max M M(p) - M(o-))
                                            = \| p - r \|_{1} = 0
                                              3) N = 1
§ 2.1 Deriving O'lles Entropies from Quantum Relative Entropy
Motiv": We show how Relative Entropy is a "parent quantity"
         for other entropies/information. To
Claim 6: For PAZOEZ(HA), RBZOEZ(HB)
               log (PA & QB) = log (PA) & 1B + 1A & log (RB)
          proof: Simultaneously diagonalize & use common sense I.
         7: (Mutual Information & Relative Entropy).
          Let PAB ED(MA & MB) then
                I(A;B)p = D(PAB || PABPB)
                            = min D(PAB | PA® TB)

= min D(PAB | WA @ PB)

WA
                              = min D(PAB | NA OFR)
         where the optimisation is wet \omega_A, \in D(\mathcal{H}_A), \nabla_B \in D(\mathcal{H}_B).
```

NB: p=0 => logp - log 0 =0 => D(p110) =0

_ > _

proof: see rough, page 1.

```
Claim 8 (Conditional Entropy & Relative Entropy).
    FOR PABED(MANNE)
                      I(A)B) = D(PAB | II A @ PB)
                                  = min D(NB) D(PAB || IA Ø TB)
     proof: see rough, pagel
Claim 9 (Relative Entropy of Classical-Quantum States).
     For PXB:= [Px(1) | x><x1, @PB & FXB:= [x(x) |2><x1, @FX
                D(PXB|| TXB) = \(\frac{7}{2}\) Px(x) D(PB|TX)
     proof: Estipped for now.
§ 3 Quantum Entropy Inequalities
Remark: Monotonicity of quantum relative entropy entails many wrollains lorollary 10 (Strong Subadditivity). I (A', B|L) > 0
            ( ) H(A() + H(BC) > H(ABC) + H(C) p
 proof: For I(A', B|C|p = H(AC)p + H(BC)p - H(ABC)p - H(C),
                                = H(B(C) - H(B)AC)p
          From claim 8, we have - H(B(AC) p = D(PABC) 1 88 PAC)
                                  & H(BIC), =- D(PBC | 10 PC)
          Also we have D (PABCILLE & PAC) > D(ta (YABC) | ta (1887AI))

(from thus 1)

= D (PBC | 188PC)
          > M(B(C) >, M(B(AC) =) I(A; B(C), >, 0
Corollary II ( Joint Convexity of Quantum Relative Entropy).
        For p^{\chi} \in D(\mathcal{H}), \sigma^{\chi} \geq 0 \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}), let p := \sum_{\chi} P_{\chi}(\chi) p^{\chi}

\sigma := \sum_{\chi} P_{\chi}(\chi) \sigma^{\chi} \text{ then}
                D(blle) \leq \sum_{x} b^{x}(x) D(b_{x} || e_{x}).
```

PXB:= ExPx(x) 1x>Cx1x & PB TXB:= { Px(x) 12) (x1 x 0 0 0 B Z Px(x) D(PB llog) Thing D(PxB lloxB) D(>B 110B). D Corollary 12 (Unital Channels Increase Entropy). For $p \in D(\mathcal{H})$ & $\mathcal{N}: \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{H}) \to \mathcal{I}(\mathcal{H})$, a cintal channel, H(N(p)) > H(p)H(p) = -D(p||1)proof. $H(N(p)) = -D(N(p) \parallel 1)$: N'u unit al = - D(N(P) | N(1)) : them 4 - D(N(P)||N(1)) > - D(P|1) \Rightarrow H(N(P)) >, H(P)§ 3.1 Quantum Data Processing Intuin': Similar to the classical case, performing quantum data processing reduces quantum correlations (we'll show this) Situation: Alice & Bab share a state PAB I (A>B) is reduced if Bob applies some map to his part of the state is one, say, thereafter. More precisely $I(A>B)_{p} > I(A>B')_{q}$ 7hm 13 (Quantum Data Processing for Coherent Information).

(Secantium Data Processing for Coherent Information).

For PAB & D(HA & HB), N: I(HB) - I (HB)

Csome quantum channel), define TAB: = NB-B' (PAB).

Then I(A)B), >, I(A)B').

proof. I (A>B) claim B (MABILIA & AB) I(A>B') = D(TAB | 1,0TB,) = D(NB-B, TAR | NB-B, (1ABPR)) +hm4 I (A)B), > I (A)B') = Thm 14 (Quantum Data Processing for Mutual Information).

For PAR & D(HA & MB), N: I(HA) -> I(HA) (quantum channel)

& M: I(HB) -> I(HBI) (another quantum channel) define OA'B':= (VA-A' & NB-B') PAB.

I(A; B), > I(A'; B')

proof. use claim 7 d +hm 4 as above.

§ 4 Continuity of Entropy (skipped for now)

```
H(A)p = -tr(p logp)
Lough:
    I(A',B) = H(A) - H(A|B)
             = H(A) + H(B) - H(A,B)
    D(PAB | PA & PB) = to (PAB [log(PAB) - log(PA & PB)])
                        = to [PAR log (PAB)] -
                           to [PAR log(PA) & DB] -
                           to [PAB DA & LOJPB)]
                        = -\left[H(A,B) - H(A) - H(B)\right]
                         =-H(A,B) +H(A) +H(B).
     (PAB | PASSE) = te [PAB (log (PAB) - log (PASOB)]
                   = to [PAB log (PAB)] - to [PAB log (PASOB)] JR
                  = H(A,B) - to [PAB log(PA)] - to [PABlog(B)]
                   = -H(A,B)
                                 I (A) B) = D(PAB | I A OPB)
                                        = min D(PAB || 11 AOG)
       tr [ PAB 11 0 log (TE)]
         -te [PB log(TB)] (tr(PAB (log PAB - log(10PB)))
H(B)
- t_{R} \left[ P_{B} \log P_{B} \right] = H(B|A)
- t_{R} \left[ P_{B} \log P_{B} \right] = H(B|A)
    to [PB longes] $7, to (PB longes)
      tilps (log Ps - log Fs)] >,0
      1: D(PB|| OB) > 0
```

to [PXB (log PXB - log TXB)]

= to [Z PX(x) 12> (X|X & PB & log Z PX| . ! skipped for now)