&1 Oneview

Motivation. Measures of information & correlations present in a quantum system.

Topie: Von Newmann Entropy - information qubit

Remark: Dy's are analogous - departure soon, e.g., of Topic: Coherent Information - negative relative entropy

topic: Other quantum information measures, e.g. mitual information

strenge : 2 bits of quart.

in a maximally entangled

§ 2 Quantum Entropy

Motivation: Quantum Entropy depends only on p :: peoplures both classical cencertainties (mixtures) & quantum uncertainties (uncertainty principle).

Def: Quantum Entropy: H(PA) = - tr (PA log PA)

NB: For $P_A = \sum_{x} P_x(x) |x\rangle \langle x|$; $H(P_A) = H(P_x)$ won Resemann

Alice sends a state 14y with prop Py (3) & sends to Bob. Bobs "expected" operator will be $\sigma = \mathbb{E}_{\gamma} \{ |Y_{\gamma} \rangle \langle Y_{\gamma} | \}$. When he received the state, his arg. information gain will be $H(\sigma)$ qubits

7eb 6,2017 \$2.1 Mathematical Properties of Quantum Entropy

Property 3 (non-negativity), von Neumann entropy proof: p>0 & shannon's entropy >0 H(P)>,0

Property 4 (Min value). Min. value = 0, occurs for pure states (+ is rank). proof: log (' ...) = log (1) = 0

```
Remark: Quantum uncertainty of sensible when you don't know the state. When you do , measure 14><11 l 1-14><11 to confirm . You gain no information.
```

Property 5 (Max. Value), Max is log d where d is dimension of the system.

proof: same as classical.

Prop. 6 (concarity). $H(\overline{\zeta}, P(x), P_x) > \overline{\zeta} P(x) H(P_x)$

proof delayed.

Prop. 7 (Isometric Invariance), $H(\rho) = H(U\rho U^{\dagger})$ proof eigen unchanged.

& 3 Joint Quantum Entropy

H(AB)p := -ta(PAB LOOPAB); PABED(NA & MB)

NB: When PABC & D (DA & HB & Hc), Then use PAB:= tic (PABC)

&3.1 Marginal Entropies of . Pure Bipartite State

NB: Classically H(x,Y) > H(x) { H(x,Y) > H(Y) $\begin{pmatrix} proof: & H(x,Y) = H(X|Y) + H(Y) \\ d & H(Y|X) + H(X) \end{pmatrix}$

: Quantumly we have

Thm 8: Marginal entropy $H(A)_{\phi} \& H(B)_{\phi}$ for a pure bipartite state $|\phi\rangle_{AB}$ are equal; $H(A)_{\phi}$) = $H(B)_{\phi}$,

whereas the joint entropy H(AB) & = 0.

proof: 10>AB = ZJI; II>A II>B (Schnied) decomposition)
where {II>A} orthonormal in A &
{II>B} orthonormal in B.

 $P_A = \sum_i \lambda_i |i\rangle \langle i|_A$ $P_B = \sum_i \lambda_i |i\rangle \langle i|_B$ $\Rightarrow H(A)_p = H(B)_p$ while $H(AB)_p = 0$ from prop 4. NR: The thin applies regardless of how a system is cut. For e.g. 14>ABCDE entails $H(A)_{\beta} = H(BCDE)_{\beta}$ $H(AB)_{\beta} = H(CDE)_{\beta}$ $H(ABCD)_{\beta} = H(E)_{\delta}$.

& 3.2 Additivity

Prop 9 (Additivity). $H(P_A \otimes \sigma_B) = M(P_A) + M(\sigma_B)$ Proof: Simultaneous diagonaliz of PALTE.

& 3.3 Joint Quantum Entropy of a Classical-Quantum State

Assume: The state is $f_{XB} = \sum_{x} P_{x}(x) |x> < x| \otimes p^{x}_{B}$

Thm 10: $H(xR)_p = H(x) + \sum_{i} P_{x}(i) H(P_{e}^{x})$ proof: $H(xR)_p = -t_i \left(P_{xR} \log P_{xR}\right)$

 $log f_{xB} = log \left(\sum_{x} p(x) | x > cx | \otimes p_{B}^{x} \right)$ $= log \left(\sum_{x} | x > cx | \otimes p(x) p_{B}^{x} \right)$ $= \sum_{x} | x > cx | \otimes log \left(p(x) p_{B}^{x} \right)$

7 - To (PXB log PXB)

= - 4 \[\left[\frac{7}{2} p(x) | \text{12} \left(x) \text{12} \left(x) \right) \right[\frac{7}{2} | \text{12} \left(x) \right) \right] \right\}

= - le $\left\{ \sum_{x} p(x) | x \rangle \langle x | \otimes p_{R}^{\tau} \log(p(x)p_{R}^{\tau}) \right\}$

= $-\sum_{x} p(x) tx \left[p_{B}^{x} log \left(p(x) p_{B}^{x} \right) \right]$

THE: $log(P(x)P_R^x) = log(P(x)) + log(P_R^x)$ = $-\sum_{x} P(x) + \sum_{y} [P_R^x log P(x)] + log(P_R^x)$

= - $\sum_{x} p(x) \log p(x) + \sum_{x} p(x) + \sum_{x} p(x) + \sum_{x} p(x) = 0$

= H(x) + \(\frac{7}{2}\)\phi(x) H(p\(\frac{7}{8}\)\)

```
8 4
         Conditional Quantum Entropy
Def (Conditional Quantum Entropy). H(AIB)p:= H(AB)p- H(B)p
Thm 12 (Conditioning doesn't increase entropy). H(A)p > H(AB)p
& 4. | Conditional Quantum Entropy for Classical - Quantum States
            H(B|X)_p = H(XB)_p - H(X)_p
                      = M(x) + = P(x) M(px) - M(x)p
                       = \sum_{x} P(x) H(p_{B}^{x})
§ 4.2 Negative Conditional Quantum Entropy.
    Consider: 147 = (100) + 1113 AB ) 152
               H(A/B) = H(A,B) - H(B) 4
                        = (pure state) (qual weighted mixed state h(R)_{\varphi} = \frac{1}{2} (-\log_2(\frac{1}{2}) - \log_2(\frac{1}{2})) = 1
    Intuit": We have a curtain deser of the whole state than its parts.
§ 5 Coherent Information
   Dey" (lokerent Ingo"). I (A>B)p := H(A)p - H(A,B)p
   NB: I(A>B) = - H(A|B);
   Just ": Truly an injo quantity (see below)
```

Claim: $P_{AB} \in D(\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B)$; Consider a purific 14) ABE to some environment. We have $I(A > B) = H(B)_{\psi} - H(E)_{\psi}$ (: $H(B)_{\psi} - H(A,B)_{\psi} = H(B)_{\psi} - H(E)_{\psi}$

: I(A)B) (a) quentum (b) Sine to Bob (see below)

: Remark: Coherent information measures (here) The difference in uncertainty of Bobb that of the environment.

-H(AIR) = I(A>B) = H(AIE) y for PABED (MADMB) & for Claim 15. tit (14) ABE) = PAB. proof: -H(A/B) = I(A)B) 4 trivial. I(A)B)= H(B),- H(AB)= H(B),- H(E)+ (we used thm8)
= H(AE),- H(E)+ 11/11 - 10/11 = H (ALE) W Thm 16: |H(AIB)p | < log dim (MA) where saturation is achieved for (a) PAB = TA DOE where TA is maximally mixed, TR (D(HB) (b) PAB # DAB, maximally enlarghed. H(AIR) p

H(A) p

Log lim (MA) For the allu case, letter (4)EAB) = PAB. H(AlB)p = - H(A|E)y (from the claim above) > - H(A)p >, - log dim (81A) 1 Claim 17 (Conditional Coherent Information). I (A>BC)p=I(A>BIC)p where I(A>Blc)p := H(Blc)p - H(ABlc)p I(A>BC), = H(BC), - H(ABC), proof: I(A) BIC)p = H(BIC)p - M(ABIC)p = H(BC)p - H(C)p + H(C)p State). For TXAB = ZP(E) XXXXI @ PAB

Claim 18 (Condition at Coherent Information of a Classical Quantum $I(A > B \times)_{\sigma} = \sum_{x} P_{x}(x) I(A > B)_{\sigma} \times AB$ proof: (skipped for now)

```
& 6 Quantum Mutual Information
     (Quantum Mutual Inform). I (A, B)p:= H(A)p + H(B), - H(AB)p
                                        = H(A)p - H(AIB),
                                         = M(B)p - M(B(A)p
       I(A;B) = n(A) + I(A>B)
NB:
              = H(B) + I(B > A)
Thm 20 (non- negativity of Quantum Mutual Information).
         I (A; B) > 0.
    proof: " not provided.
 NB: Thm 12 gets proved by Thm 20.
Claim 22 (Bound on Quantum Mutual Info").
            I(A; B)p < 2 log [min {dim(HA), dim(HB]]
    proof: Use the bound on conditional entropy.
§ 7 Conditional Quantum Mutual Info" (CQMI)
Def" (Condition of Quantum Mutual Info"):
      I (A; BIC) = H(AIC) + H(BIC) - H(ABIC) p
Prop 23 (Chain Rule for Quantum Mutual Information).
               I(A;BC) p = I(A;B) p + I(A; C|B)p
     proof: LNS = I(A; BC)p = - H(ABC) + H(A) + H(BC)
           RNG=I(A; B) + I(A; (1B) = H(A) + H(B) - H(AB)
                                  +H(AIB) + H(CIB) - H(ACIB)
                                = H(A) +H(B) - H(AB)
                                  + H(AB) - H(B) + H(CB) - H(B)
```

+ 17(B) - H (ARL)

= H(A) + H(CB) - H(ABC)

Intin: First make correlation with &, then with (given B is known.

I (A; BL) = I(AL; B) + I(A; C) - I(B; C) claim:

proof: I(A; BC) - I(B; AC) = I(A; C) - I(B; C)

[MS = I(A;(B) - I(B; CA) = I (A;C) + I (A; Btc)

- I(B; C) - I(B; A+C)

= PHS.

§ 7. 1 Non-regativity of COMI

Thm 25 (non-negativity of COMI). I (A', BIC) > 0

Remark: This is a foundational result (bedrock of quantum info theory).

Claim 26 (CQMI of Classical-Quantum States).

proof: <late> I (A; B|X) = \(\frac{7}{4} \rangle \rangle \gamma \

NB: non-negativity in this case is trivial

Claim 27 (Conditioning does not increase entropy) H(BIC) >, H(BIAC)

NB: Stronger Than them 12

proof: I(A', B(C)>0

=> H(Alc) - H(AlBC) >0 [].

 $\rho_A = \sum_{x} P_X(x) |x\rangle \langle x|_A$ ROYGH Exercise: log(PA) = = log Px(x) /x> <x/A 7eb 3/2017 to PA. log(PA) = \(\frac{1}{2} \partial \rangle(\frac{1}{2}) \log(\frac{1}{2}) $N(X,Y) = \sum_{x,y} P(x,y) \log [P(x,y)]$ $H(x) = -\frac{\sum_{x} P(x) \log_{x} P(x)}{x}$ $H(X,Y) = -\sum_{X \in Y} P(X) P(Y|X=X) \log (I(X) P(XY|X=X))$ $\begin{array}{l}
P(x) & P(x) & P(y|X=x) & \log_{p(x)} P(y|X=x) \\
- \sum_{p(x)} & P(y|X=x) & \log_{p(x)} P(y|X=x) \\
- \sum_{p(x)} & P(x) & \log_{p(x)} P(x)
\end{array}$ M(AIB) = M(AB) - M(B) 周月 H(4X1Y) - H(Y) - H(Y) $= \rho(x,y) \log \rho(x,y)$ $- \rho(y) \log \xi \rho(y)$ p(aly) play p(aly) P(y) $P(x,y) \rightarrow P(x,y) \rightarrow P(y)$ $P(y) \rightarrow P(y)$ $P(y) \rightarrow P(y)$ Plany) Los (Plany) H(X/1) = E(X/1) You (E(X/2)) X

E(X/1) Son (E

I'm trying to prove: M(X)>= M(X/Y) ROULH p(X|Y) = p(x,y) - p(y)7ch \$,2017 < H(X) > = < H(X, Y)> - < H(Y)> - [p(x,y) Log p(x,y) = [p(x|y) p(y) Log p(x,y) >, \(\frac{1}{2}\), \(\frac{1}\), \(\frac{1}\), \(\frac{1}{2}\), \(\frac{1}{2}\), \(\frac{1 < Day Rely)>= IR, y) log P(xly) = $-\frac{1}{2}$ P(x,y) log $\left(\frac{P(x,y)}{P(y)}\right)$ H(x) = \(\sum_{1.7} P(x.) [-log p(x)] \) H(X1Y) = Z PG) H(X1Y=y) $log(\xi_{p}(x,y)) > -log \frac{p(x,y)}{p(y)}$ < - log p(x)> > < - log p(x)y) H(x, y) > n(x) H(y|x) + n(x) >, H(x) Feb 6,2017 Prose: # < log Px, y(x, y)> $P_{\times,Y}(x,y)$ $P_{\times}(x)$ $P_{Y}(y)$ H(x) - H(x(7) > 0 log trif H(x) - (H(xy) - H(y)) > 0< log[P(xly) P(y)]> N(x) + N(y) > N(x,y)log