N-dimensional manifold: N coordinates are needed to describe a point on the manifold.

Eg: Surface of a sphere.

(6.4) or (x', x2); Its possible that a given coordinate system becomes "bad" Such as north pole: 0=0, & is arbitrary

therefore use 0, d is used near the equator, $x^{1}x^{2}$ is not (metric diverges at the equator).

Let $x^{2}x^{2}$ near the poles. So to describe the manifold, we need 2 systems & their relation.

Thus in general, in s"good" coordinate system, you need n-points to describe an ndimensional manyold.

The manifold itself maybe singular, in I may have a point, ct. a small neighbourhood around the point, cut to described regularly by a "good" ccordinate system.

One way to check is to construct a scalar of the metric. Now of the scalar - 0, then regardless of the coordinate, scalar = 0. Thus the manifold is singular

Recall: $ds^2 = 2 \mu \nu dx^M dx^2$ (distance in mink oski space) straight line

New: $dc^2 = 2 \mu \nu dx^M dx^2$ (Remenian) minimal length path

(x,t)

In GR: The notion of a grantational potential, is suplaced by the notion of a In Newtonian Gravity, in have F = - m \$\vec{7}\$

metric in the underlying space. : There's no concept of force; for no force, the particle travels along the geodeseic (= minimum length path)

Remark: This is why Remarian Geometry is important.

gives the field as in GR we'll have an ey" to describe neutonian J2 p = P a of of mass districts (P) g as a of p.

```
risk Riske
     o not a tensor transforms as a (1,3) Tensor
 : List(x,) = 9m x, 92 x 0 8 x b L wb (x) + 91x, 9,9, x
Def': Rijke = gim R";ke | gim R'jke - lonk (1,5) tensor
for nom rank (0,4) Tensor
(4) ds = (x') + (dx') 2 | Does realing leave the manifolds invariant?

= dx' + x2d2' (A) its obvious.

(B) its not as obvious; we'll try to construct a region to check that.

(L: light is a tensor its to check that.
                   zero for the polar coordinates gir gir gir Rijel ! NR: girgh Rijel = 0 even though I in polar 70)
                                                where gi = (gij)-1
 This holds true of 3d system also.
                                                 de gir gir Rijer claim a-2. const
(B) ds2 = (d02 + sin2 d d p2) a2
                                                  Now since its a scalar, S(\vec{x}) = S'(\vec{x}')
                                                  under coordinate transformations. If s(x) = const
            g_{\theta\theta} = a^2 g_{\theta\theta} = \sin^2\theta_0 a^2
                                                  then it must not change under CT.
 you'll see then that Rijke + O.
                                                  Therefore "scaling" changes the manifold.
now you see my (B) & (A) are not the
                                                   In general its hard to compare metrics to deck
 same manifolds.
                                                  if they describe the same manifold.
 1. Rijel = - Rjiel Symmetries of R
2. Rijkl = - Rijlk - These can be proved
                             from their def's.
 3. Rijel = Rklij
 4. PICIER = 0
 6(Rijke - Rijek + Riejk - Riekj
  + Rikej - Rikje)
 Aighe = Riske + Rickl
 R'ijee = d': xm d': xn d'e x P d'ex & Rmnpg
 A'ijke = 3'ixm 3'; x 3' x P 3' x 8 R mnpq + 3' x m 3' x m 3' x 1 3' x 2 8 R mnpq
                                                 d's x d'ixm d'exf d'ext Rumpg
         = 3'; x o'; x o'x x o'x x Amnpa
 Therefore Aijee is indeed a tensor.
```

lecall: That at a given point, a coordinate frame can be found s. ...
the first derivative of the metric is zero.

```
«Exercise Alert»
```

Using this information, one can evaluate Aight & show that Aight = 0 in that frame & by extension, a zero Tensos.

NB: This hinges on The fail that Riva Tensor (& that took a lot of work)

Recall: Piges := Riemann Tensor

Dy": gik Rijke = Rje! = Ricci Tensoe

NB: Rje = Rej , Rank (0,2)

gli Rei = R: = Ricci Scalar (or curvature sualar)

NB: R'(x') = R(x)

Ex: A:= di R show this is a covariant victor. (rank (0,11)

Covariant Derivatives

Recall: A: ; a tensos of rank (0,1)

 $M': Bis \equiv \delta: Ai$; $Bis (x') = \delta'i A's(x') = \delta'i - (\delta's x^{\varrho}A_{\varrho})$

= 3'25'x Al + 3'5 x 3' Al

= 3: 3: 2 A & + 3: x 3: x 3 & A &

how a tensor transforms.

Dy": Cij = DiAj:= DiAj - Tkij AR

c'ij = d'i A'j - M'Rij A'R substitute

= 2' 2'x 4, + 2'; x 2'; A, - 8, x'k 2'; x 2'; x 7 7 mp. 8', x 2 A,

- (3 x1k 3'; 3'; xm , 13' x xl) A.

ILI carrel & we get

= d'; x d'; x de Ae - d'; x P Thp Ae

= 3'xm 3'xp (3mAp - TkpAk)

= d'i x m d'j x P Cmp ; d (ij transforms as a tensor.

Claim: DiA' = diA' + Pir A transforms as rank (1,1) tensor (and service)

$$A'i = \frac{\partial A'}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial x^i}$$

$$A'i = \frac{\partial A'}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial x^i}$$

$$A'i = \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial x^i}$$

$$A'i = \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial x^i} \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial x^i}$$