### Lecture 8 (identities + GR started!) 02 September 2017 04:28 PM Useful Identities (will not be proved here) 160 D. D. AR - D. D. AR (also written as [O., D.] AL) These can be proved (claim) = - RT ki A defined as the wester by looking at the definitions covariant derivative with the crystophel symbol 6) D. D. A\* - D. D. A\* = Pho. A! (b) Combining the aforesaid $D(D) = \frac{1}{k! \dots k!} \frac{1}{k! \dots k!} \frac{1}{k! \dots k!} = \frac{1}{k! \dots k!} \frac{1}{$ Bienchi Identities Ds Rijke + Dk Rijes + De Rijek = 0 structure completely arter-symmetrize in SKI NB Klass already anti-symmetric; Instead of 6 terms, need to write only 3 terms. proof idea: Goto a frame in which T=0. can derue more by contracting, e.g. gis >> D's Right + De Rig - De Rik where the Ricci Tensor was befined as gikfish = Rise. NB: Contracting the 2 hd 6 th index is also be some : fishis arti-symmetric in (i.s) & (k,l) further contract with gik. B) R; + D, R; J - P6 K = 0 $\Rightarrow 2b^{j}R_{j\ell} - b_{\ell}R_{-0} \Rightarrow 2b^{j}(R_{j\ell} - \frac{1}{2}R_{jk}) = 0$ where in the last step, I doesn't act on g : Dg = O . It acts an this is called Einstein's R, then lovers the index. This completes our general discussion of manifolds. Now up start General Relativety. Conventions Synature Now we focus on manifolds with synature (n,1) (here n=3 for 3 of space) Recoll. Signature (3,1) = (-+++)de2 = gur (x) dx m dx D guv = 9 p2 Indices. X = 0,1,2,3 coordinates. Recall: In special relativity, proper Time is function: $f(\vec{z}) \rightarrow f(x)$ given by $-ds^2 = dx_0^2 - dx_1^2 - dx_2^2 - dx_3^2$ Question. I are the metric gas (5) as generalised, describe anything physical? drewer: Yes, I describes spacetime in the presence of gravity. General Relativity gur (x) describes space-time in presence of a grantational field. Particles in a grantation field more along geodesies in the absence of other fields.

# GR (cont.)

02 September 2017 05:46 PM

Remark: Now the grantational field is produced we'll some to later, second part

Recoll: General relativity.

Recoll: General  $\frac{dx^p}{dx^n} + \Gamma^n_{\nu\rho}(x) \frac{dx^p}{du} = 0$ .  $\stackrel{4}{\sim}$  Describes the motion of the particle in a grantational full.

Mary When the metric has all + signature, ds 2 >0 Show call, 3+ & 1-, ds² can be >0, =0, ≤0. Therefore we can divide the geodesic into 3 parts.

D dc2 = q m d2 M dy <0 (time like) "proof": " u is an affine parametis,  $\frac{ds^2}{du^2} = const.$ which can be made by rescaling = - 1 Let ds= -c and of rescale

NB: du can le associated with dZ (proper time) u - ute-1 u'  $= \frac{ds}{du}^{2} = \frac{ds^{2}}{c^{-1}du'^{2}} = \frac{ds^{2}}{\Delta u'^{2}} = -1.$  $\frac{dc^2}{du^2} = g_{\mu\nu} \frac{dx^{\mu}}{du} \frac{dx^{\nu}}{du} = -1$ 

Then for gar = 1 mv - dx(1)2+ dx(3)2 = -du2 NB: The produce of is invariant under scaling of 4: it is ok to scale. (see the per page).

(2) Space-like  $\frac{dc^{1}}{du^{2}} = g_{uv} \frac{dx^{u}}{du} \frac{dx^{v}}{du} > 0$ 

(3) Light-like gur dx H dx =0

Story: The analogue of this in Minkoski space is trivial Basically Lays every particle tranks at a speed less than the speed of light.  $\left|\frac{dx'}{dx'}\right| < 1$  as in genual  $\left|\frac{d\vec{x}}{dx''}\right| < 1$ 

In Ge we say this is a more coordinate invariant way.

For massless particles, in special relativity, dt =1, must make at the speel of light. This contails del-0 This also generalises to GR. Massless particles must more along light like geodesiis.

Nothing of warde travels along the space like geoderic; in SR, this corresponds to at >1, factor then speed of light.

story 2 We'll see that these under the right circumstances reduce to Newton's Laux. Nowever, aheady we can see that the notion of signals not branching factor than lyst is built in, as this theory, a generally atom of special relativity.

#### Newtonian Limit

Motivation: Physics without gravity, you describes the proper time (don't know the precise meaning Now even though grainty charges you, That charge must be small.

```
Newtonian Limit + ...
09 September 2017 07:37 PM
We assume O Weak Feld: Jux - Nux + hux(x)
                (3) Non-relativistic limit: >c'=ct; write encything in terms of t & take claye.
                  (chouldn't be valid in The relativistic limit : allows instantineous prop of signals)
     NR: Ofwerel chas dimensions; what does Taking clarge mean? : a dimension ful
           parameter being large means I must be large unt comething there, it means
          compared to velocities of objects.
     Consider \frac{dx^n}{du} = \left(\frac{dx^n}{du}, \frac{dx^n}{du}\right) = \left(\frac{c}{du}, \frac{dx^n}{du}\right) for large c we ignore
                    the dxi term compared to (dt, ing the velocities are small compared to (
            · 2x vs 3xi, 1 3 vs. 3 b again with c large,
                  the first term will be reglected.
     Consequences: hur (x) can be taken to be static : 3 will be neglected
                   compared to 3
     Remark Tatu will see the non-relativative limit also implies the weak field
       \frac{d^2x^n}{du^2} + \Gamma^n_{\nu\rho}(x) \frac{dx^{\nu}}{du} \frac{dx^{\rho}}{dv} = 0 \quad (geodetic eq n)
Lecall
                   g_{\mu\nu} (2) dx^{\mu} dx^{\nu} = -1 (defines the scaling)
Under the assumptions: \frac{d^2x}{du^2} + \Gamma_{oo}^{\mu}(x) \frac{dy}{du} \frac{dy}{du} = 0
       read Tup = = 3 9 00 ( dr 9 00 + dp gon - do grp)
                  L" = 7 8 4 ( 9° 800 + 9° 800 - 9 6800)
                     ignore: they're time derivatures (see above)

(also for 30, ignore 30)

= -1 ghi digo. - -1 ghi dih.o.
                 No. If we include the h dependent part, in this, then I will go 2 nd order ish
                      (ourself) Thus we replace g mi = nmi
                 NR 2: y<sup>µi</sup> 'U diagonal. y<sup>µi</sup> = 0 for µ=0
                                                          = Sij for µ= , (syrature is -1, 1,1,1)
              Γ°° ≈ 0 β Γ°° ≈ -1 3 cho.
Mugging Back: \frac{d^2 x^{\circ}}{d u^2} \simeq 0 & \frac{d^2 x^{\circ}}{d u^2} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_{\varepsilon} ho_{0} \left(\frac{d x^{\circ}}{d u}\right)^{2} \simeq 0
The second of (with leading order in g " ) give us
                       -\left(\frac{dx^{\circ}}{du}\right)^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{3} \left(\frac{kx^{i}}{du}\right)^{2} = -1 \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \frac{dx^{\circ}}{du} \approx 1.
NB: When you integrate \frac{d^2 \circ}{du^2} \simeq \circ, you get \frac{d \circ}{du} \simeq conet d that is direct to be 1)
                    ~ x° = u (we don't warry about the constant).
```

NB 2. We took The leading part in the 2" of because in the first, that's aheady

multiplied by hoo.

# ... + Newtonian Limit + Principle of Equivalence

09 September 2017 08:44 PM

This yield finally  $\frac{d^2x^i}{du^2} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_1 h_{00} \simeq 0$  (:  $\frac{dx^0}{du} \simeq 1$ )

 $\Rightarrow \quad \frac{1}{6} \quad \frac{d^2x^i}{dx^2} \quad \sim \quad \frac{C^2}{2} \quad \delta_i hoo$ 

Comparison to Neuton's Law of Granty: d'xi = - 2: \$

 $\Rightarrow \qquad \beta = -\frac{c^2}{2} hoo \qquad \text{as} \quad hoo = -\frac{2}{c^2} \neq$ 

Remark. This shows that in The appropriate limit, GR does reduce to Newton's law where I set hoo = -2b.

NB. For large c, automatically ho, is small of means that the weak field assumption is not independent.

NB 2. One could add a "large conet" in  $h_{00} = -\frac{2}{c^4} + consT$  which would only scale which in turn scales coordinates.

Yell J plays no role.

#### Conclusions using Remainian Geometry

lonside: a pent xo; in general The (xa) \$0

Atuition: Think of I as a "force" in the geodesic of"

Mea:  $\exists$  a coordinate system  $x' : b \vdash_{y}^{'N} (s'_{01}) = 0$  (see lecture 2)

Consequence The eg' of motion looks like that of a free particle (put \$ =0 to compare) of that particular space-time point.

: This can be done for all points (even though one needs to pick a different coordinate system). These frames are called local inertial frames.

This principle that its possible to find a frame where the effect of gravity disappears is called the principle of equivalence.

General Kemark. The equation of motion doesn't depend on the composition of the particle year're considering.

e.g. a dust particle of copper & gold both more the same under gravity.

Deeper Remarks). The general coordinate in areance is "sacred" -> run into inconsistences.

The principle of equivalence can be removed in further generally along

eg the  $y^{\alpha}$  of mation is given by  $\frac{d^2x^{\nu}}{dx^{\nu}} + \Gamma^{\mu}_{\nu\rho} \frac{dx^{\nu}}{du} \frac{dx^{\rho}}{du} + \propto D^{\mu} f_{\nu\rho} \frac{dx^{\nu}}{du} \frac{dx^{\rho}}{du} = 0$ 

This will violate the principle of equivalence but this is still ralid (coordinate invariant). Infact any "quantum theory" e.g. string theory, generates this term ern in the classical level.

Descriptional description (to show a must be small)  There are langth described, to have too keeple described.  It has a discretional described.  At the first get gat to a D# to you at the color to the state of the would established have no easily observable effect.	1 2017	onal Analysis			
That are length derivative, I has two length derivatives $\Rightarrow \alpha \text{ has dimensiones length square}$ $\frac{d^2x^{\nu}}{du^2} + \Gamma^{\mu}_{\nu\rho} \frac{dx^{\nu}}{du} \frac{dx^{\rho}}{du} + \alpha D^{\mu} \Gamma_{\nu\rho} \frac{dx^{\nu}}{du} \frac{dx^{\rho}}{du} = 0$ [L] $[L]^2 [L]^2 [L][L]$ $[L]^2 [L]^2 [L][L]$	ember 2017	02:22 PM			
That are length derivative, I has two length derivatives $\Rightarrow \alpha \text{ has dimensiones length square}$ $\frac{d^2x^{\nu}}{du^2} + \Gamma^{\mu}_{\nu\rho} \frac{dx^{\nu}}{du} \frac{dx^{\rho}}{du} + \alpha D^{\mu} \Gamma_{\nu\rho} \frac{dx^{\nu}}{du} \frac{dx^{\rho}}{du} = 0$ [L] $[L]^2 [L]^2 [L][L]$ $[L]^2 [L]^2 [L][L]$	: ),,,,;,,,;,,,	I dayling to stay a	have T do my ///		
$\frac{d^2x^{\nu} + \Gamma^{\mu}_{\nu}}{du^2} \frac{dx^{\nu}}{du} \frac{dx^{\rho}}{du} + \alpha \sum_{i=1}^{\mu} \Gamma^{\nu}_{\nu} \frac{dx^{\nu}}{du} \frac{dx^{\rho}}{du} = 0$ [L] $[L]^2 [L]^2 [L^2] [L^2] [L^2] [L^2]$	C has son	le 7h duis 7 in & he	1 7 a le Th classe	in lines	
$\frac{d^{2}x^{\nu} + \Gamma^{M}_{\nu}}{du^{2}} \frac{dx^{\nu}}{du} \frac{dx^{\ell}}{du} + \propto \Delta^{M}_{\nu} \Gamma_{\nu} \frac{dx^{\nu}}{du} \frac{dx^{\ell}}{du} = 0$ [L] [L] [L] [L] [L] [L] [L] [L]			o wo length derw	alines	
[1] [1][1] [1] [1] [1][1][1][1]	- neg	unersione sing in Equate			
[1] [1][1] [1] [1] [1][1][1][1]	d2r"	+ I'm dr2 drl.	+ x NHR., dr	v dre n	
	[L]	[4][4]	[172 [1-][1-27]	4-67	
The legth scale of interest is The planck scale of square of this would executely have no easily observedle effect.				-	
essentially have no easily observable effect.	The length	rale of interest is The ol	enck scale & sou	are of this would	
	essentially	have no easily observ	elle effect.	,	
	Υ	/	,,,		

# Rough Work

09 September 2017 06:39 PM

$$ds^{2} \leq 0$$

$$ds^{2} \leq 0 \qquad ds^{2} \qquad is \quad (onst \qquad can \quad nuke \quad ds^{2} = -1$$

$$du^{2} \qquad hu^{2} \qquad hu^{2} \qquad is \quad (onst \qquad can \quad nuke \quad ds^{2} = -1$$

$$how do d \quad know \quad this?; \quad tignature \quad is \quad t = --- \quad or \quad -+1 + so \quad it'_{i}$$

$$possible \quad always.$$

$$how \quad about \quad this? \quad should \quad d \quad use \quad lents? \quad 0s^{2} = 0$$

$$ds^{2} \leq 0$$

$$du^{2} = 0$$

$$du^{2} =$$