

# Bell Test with Continuous Variables

A. A., A. A.

May-July 2015

## Abstract

We show the violation of the Bell inequality in a more classical setting than the usual quantum spin, viz. with continuous variables  $x, p$ . We use modular momentum and simple phase shifts to achieve this.

## 1 Introduction

The Bell inequality is a statement about the statistical outcomes of a certain class of experiments. The inequality was formulated by assuming the most general 'local theory'. Any violation of the inequality would imply that local realism must be false. Quantum Mechanics predicts a violation which has been confirmed experimentally. The physical situation for which this violation is usually formulated, uses entangled spin states. Here we show that a violation can be achieved without having to use spins, which is a purely quantum phenomenon. Using a quantum particle's position and momentum, we construct an analogous situation.

## 2 Violation in EPR/Bell state

Here the violation of Bell's inequality for the typical spin case, is derived in a way that is easier to translate to the continuous variable case. We first state the Bell test in its modern form, known as the CHSH inequality.

Suppose: There are two observers\*, Alice and Bob. There are two particles, which are allowed to interact initially and are then separated. Alice takes one, Bob takes the other. Alice can measure one of two properties of her particle, call them  $x, z$ . Similarly Bob can measure  $x', z'$  of his particle.

Notatn:  $\langle a_i b_j \rangle$  = Average value obtained when Alice chooses to measure  $a_i$  and Bob chooses to measure  $b_j$

\*that are often chosen to be sufficiently far away that their measurements don't influence each other

Statemnt:  $|\langle a_1(b_1 + b_2) + a_2(b_1 - b_2) \rangle| \equiv |\langle C(a_i, b_i) \rangle| \leq 2$  if local realism is assumed. This result will be referred to as the CHSH inequality.

Quantum Mechanics predicts a violation of this in the following physical situation. Consider

Defn:

$$|\psi\rangle \equiv \frac{|+-\rangle - |-+\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$$

where the  $|\pm\rangle$  states are s.t.  $\sigma_x |\pm\rangle = \pm |\pm\rangle$ .

NB:

Alternatively, this follows from the definition of  $\sigma_x$  in the standard basis (where  $\sigma_z$  is diagonal) and the following definition.

Defn:

$$\begin{aligned} |+\rangle &= \frac{|0\rangle + |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \\ |-\rangle &= \frac{|0\rangle - |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \end{aligned}$$

Notatn: The Pauli matrices are referred to as  $x, y, z$  henceforth.

Defn:  $|0\rangle, |1\rangle$  are s.t.  $z|0\rangle = |0\rangle$  and  $z|1\rangle = -|1\rangle$

NB:

$$\begin{aligned} |0\rangle &= \frac{|+\rangle + |-\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \\ |1\rangle &= \frac{|+\rangle - |-\rangle}{\sqrt{2}} \end{aligned}$$

NB:

$$|\psi\rangle = \frac{|10\rangle - |01\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$$

This state is crucial for violating the CHSH inequality. For the typical spin case,

Consider: (a) The first qubit is with Alice and the second is with Bob.

(b) Alice measures  $z$  or  $x$  while Bob is allowed to choose between  $z'$  and  $x'$ , where

$$\begin{aligned} z' &= \frac{-z - x}{\sqrt{2}} \\ x' &= \frac{z - x}{\sqrt{2}} \end{aligned}$$

Result  $\langle Q \rangle = 2\sqrt{2} \not\leq 2$ , which is a violation.

This value can be reproduced in a slightly modified situation. We make 2 modifications.

Modifctn: (i) due to the symmetry in the setup, we expect the same results hold for  $x$ ,  $y$  and  $x'$ ,  $y'$   
(ii) we demand that Alice and Bob can only measure  $x$  but are allowed to perform a local unitary before the measurement.

Questn: Can we obtain the same violation?

First we show that (ii) is equivalent to measuring along any direction. This is trival if one uses the Bloch sphere picture. Instead of measuring along an arbitrary axis, we rotate the Bloch sphere appropriately, and then measure  $x$ . To illustrate this, consider

Questn:  $\exists$  a  $U$ , s.t. if  $|\chi\rangle \rightarrow |\chi'\rangle = U|\chi\rangle$  then  $\langle \chi|x|\chi\rangle = \langle \chi'|y|\chi'\rangle$ ?

Explicitly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} y &= U^\dagger x U = e^{-iz\theta/2} x e^{iz\theta/2} \\ &= x e^{iz\theta} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} e^{i\theta} & 0 \\ 0 & e^{-i\theta} \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{bmatrix} \end{aligned}$$

for  $\theta = \pi/2$  as one would guess geometrically.

Therefore we define the following situation.

Consider: (a) The first qubit is with Alice and the second is with Bob.

(b) Alice and Bob apply local unitaries  $e^{iz\theta/2} \otimes I$  and  $I \otimes e^{iz\phi/2}$  respectively and then both measure  $x$ .

(c) Alice can choose  $\theta \in \{0, \pi/2\}$  and Bob can choose  $\phi \in \{\pi/4, \pi/4 + \pi/2\}$

Remark: These values are motivated by the  $\pi/2$  between  $z$  and  $x$  and  $\pi/4$  between  $z$  and  $z'$  in the conventional Bell case.

	Alice		Bob	
Convention	$a_1$	$a_2$	$b_1$	$b_2$
Angle	$\theta_1 = \pi/2$	$\theta_2 = 0$	$\phi_1 = \pi/4$	$\phi_2 = \pi/4 + \pi/2$

Now it remains to show that this scheme also violates the Bell inequality (and by the same value). To that end, we state a result.

Claim(3):  $\langle e^{-iz\theta/2} x e^{iz\theta/2} \otimes e^{-iz\phi/2} x e^{iz\phi/2} \rangle = -\cos(\phi - \theta)$

Given that, it is straight forward to evaluate

CHSH:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle C \rangle &= \langle a_1 b_1 \rangle + \langle a_1 b_2 \rangle + \langle a_2 b_1 \rangle - \langle a_2 b_2 \rangle \\ &= \left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) + \left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) + \left(-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) - \left(+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\right) \\ &= -2\sqrt{2} \end{aligned}$$

Remark This is a violation since  $|\langle C \rangle| = 2\sqrt{2} \not\leq 2$ .

However, to convert this to a more conventional form, we simply redefine the  $\theta$  and  $\phi$  so that  $\phi - \theta \rightarrow \phi' - \theta' = \phi - \theta + \pi = (\phi + \pi/2) - (\theta - \pi/2)$ .

Substn:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi &\rightarrow \phi' = \phi + \pi/2 \\ \theta &\rightarrow \theta' = \theta - \pi/2 \end{aligned}$$

This will cause

$$\cos(\phi - \theta) \rightarrow \cos(\phi' - \theta') = -\cos(\phi - \theta)$$

Thus we finally define

	Alice		Bob	
Convention	$a_1$	$a_2$	$b_1$	$b_2$
Angle	$\theta'_1 = 0$	$\theta'_2 = -\pi/2$	$\phi'_1 = 3\pi/8$	$\phi'_2 = 5\pi/4$

so that we have  $\langle C \rangle = 2\sqrt{2}$ .

Concln: The violation of the Bell spin state can be equivalently be obtained by the measurement of  $x$  after applying a rotation along  $z$  by the aforesaid angles.

### 3 Violation in Continuous Variable State

We now construct continuous variable kets that satisfy the same orthogonality and mutual relations as  $|0\rangle, |1\rangle, |+\rangle, |-\rangle$ .

### 3.1 Ideal Case, infinite slits

Imagine: <TODO: Add an image of the setup>

Unassume:  $x, y, z$  refer to pauli matrices

Definitions of  $|0\rangle, |1\rangle$

Defn:  $L \equiv$  some length scale

Consider: A normalized wavefunction  $\varphi(x')$  s.t.

- (a)  $\varphi(x') = \varphi(-x')$
- (b) it's spread is  $\ll L$
- (c)  $\varphi(x') = \text{Re}[\varphi(x')]$

Defn:  $|\varphi(y')\rangle = \int dx' \varphi(x' - y') |x'\rangle$ , basically it is just  $\varphi$  centred at  $y'$

Defn:

$$|0\rangle \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{n=1}^N |\varphi[n(2L)]\rangle$$

$$|1\rangle \equiv \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{n=1}^N \left| \varphi \left[ \left( n + \frac{1}{2} \right) (2L) \right] \right\rangle$$

Recall:

$$|+\rangle \equiv \frac{|0\rangle + |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$$

$$|-\rangle \equiv \frac{|0\rangle - |1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}$$

NB:  $\langle 0|1\rangle = 0, \langle +|-\rangle = 0$

Defn:

$$x \equiv \frac{e^{ipL/\hbar} + e^{-ipL/\hbar}}{2}$$

NB: For sufficiently large  $N$ ,

$$x|+\rangle = +|+\rangle$$

$$x|-\rangle = -|-\rangle$$

just as was with  $\sigma_x$ .

Remark: We have almost all the cards, except for one that allows for setting  $\phi$  and  $\theta$ . This is done by essentially using alternate glass slabs (for photons) to adjust the relative phase as desired. We define this operator by it's action on the relevant state. [I must also obtain an analytic form for this operator, this will hint on the relation between  $\sigma_z$ 's analogue here]

Defn:  $U(\phi)$  is defined to be s.t.

$$U(\phi)|0\rangle = e^{i\phi/2}|0\rangle$$

$$U(\phi)|1\rangle = e^{-i\phi/2}|1\rangle$$

To evaluate the CHSH inequality, we again need terms of the form  $\langle U^\dagger(\phi_i)xU(\phi_i) \otimes U^\dagger(\theta_i)xU(\theta_i) \rangle$  which can be evaluated easily to be the same as before  $-\cos(\phi_i - \theta_i)$ . Thus, the same analysis as before yields

$$\langle C \rangle = 2\sqrt{2}$$

which is the same maximal violation, in a completely different setup.

Remark: The non-commutativity in this case is between  $U$  and  $x$ .

### 3.2 Finite slits

If  $N$  is not assumed to be arbitrarily large, then it can be shown that

$$\text{Claim(5): } \langle U^\dagger(\phi_i)xU(\phi_i) \otimes U^\dagger(\theta_i)xU(\theta_i) \rangle = -\left(\frac{N-1}{N}\right)^2 \cos(\phi_i - \theta_i)$$

Thus obviously then,  $\langle C \rangle = \left(\frac{N-1}{N}\right)^2 2\sqrt{2}$ . If we ask for the smallest  $N$  s.t.  $\langle C \rangle > 2$ , we get  $N \geq 7$ .

## 4 Conclusion

We have shown how to realize a Bell test in continuous variables position and momentum using specifically chosen and physically realizable states along with modular variables.

## 5 Appendix

$$\text{Claim(3): } \langle e^{-iz\theta/2} x e^{iz\theta/2} \otimes e^{-iz\phi/2} x e^{iz\phi/2} \rangle = -\cos(\phi - \theta)$$

Proof: TODO: Type it (its trivial)

$$\text{Claim(5): } \langle U^\dagger(\phi_i)xU(\phi_i) \otimes U^\dagger(\theta_i)xU(\theta_i) \rangle = -\left(\frac{N-1}{N}\right)^2 \cos(\phi_i - \theta_i)$$

Proof: TODO: This is not completely trivial.