Data Souls: Global Data Market

Team Name: SoftAI
Team Members:

- Tu Nguyen Van
- Nghia Duong Trung
- Bao Pham Gia
- Nhat Nguyen Minh

1. Executive Summary & Introduction

- Data Souls is a **web-based, narrative-driven decision simulation** that places players in the challenging position of a **Global AI Ethics Council Member**. The game explores the growing tension between **technological innovation** and **moral responsibility**, compelling players to balance four dynamic metrics: **Innovation**, **Profit**, **Trust**, and **Ethics**. Every choice reshapes the equilibrium between progress and principle — illustrating that the future of AI is not merely programmed, but **chosen**.

- Unlike conventional educational games, *Data Souls* leverages **AI-assisted design** and **ethical storytelling** to immerse players in real-world dilemmas inspired by current debates in **Vietnam** and **Australia**. Players must navigate crises such as **cross-border data trade**, **AI surveillance in schools**, and **corporate bias in automation** — each scenario reflecting the complex intersection of **data privacy**, **governance**, and **public accountability**. This ensures the game's **social relevance** goes beyond awareness, driving **empathy and critical reflection** through interaction.

- From a design perspective, *Data Souls* adopts a **minimalist, neon-futuristic aesthetic** representing the fragile glow between innovation and corruption. The intuitive **card-based decision system** creates a sense of agency, while the underlying **moral algorithm** dynamically adapts player outcomes to promote replayability and introspection.
Each round is not just a strategic decision — it is a **philosophical confrontation** between ambition and integrity, making the experience both **educational and emotionally resonant**.

- Ultimately, *Data Souls* is more than a game; it is an **interactive reflection on digital ethics**. By transforming abstract policy concepts into tangible, visual, and emotional gameplay, it invites players to reconsider how **human values can coexist with algorithmic intelligence**. The project demonstrates how **AI and storytelling** can be harmonized to spark dialogue on **responsible innovation**, **trust in technology**, and **the ethics of progress**.

2. Core Theme & Social Relevance

Narrative Depth and Socio-Ethical Foundation

- The narrative depth of **Data Souls** is anchored on three interwoven socio-ethical pillars that mirror the dilemmas confronting today's AI-driven societies:

1. Data Sovereignty and Ownership

- The game exposes the ethical tension that emerges when **national or personal data** — in healthcare, finance, or education — becomes a **tradable commodity**. Players confront situations where countries and corporations bid for access to sensitive datasets under the promise of innovation, forcing them to weigh **economic gain against human privacy, consent, and autonomy**.

> *"Every sale of data feels profitable, yet each transaction erodes the invisible boundary of human dignity."*

2. Generative AI Ethics and Misinformation

- Players face dilemmas surrounding **the intellectual property of training data**, the **misuse of generative AI** in deepfakes, and the **bias baked into machine learning pipelines**.
- The Council must decide whether to approve AI systems that may accelerate creativity but also **amplify misinformation and exploit uncredited creators**.
- Through these decisions, the game visualizes the hidden mechanics of algorithmic bias — how data used without consent shapes society's shared truth.

3. Human vs. Algorithmic Morality

- At its philosophical core, *Data Souls* challenges players to define the **boundary between machine logic and human conscience**.
- As AI systems become more efficient and autonomous, the question emerges: *When must human ethics override algorithmic optimization?*
- By positioning the player as the ultimate veto power against the algorithm's cold rationality, the game transforms moral philosophy into interactive reflection.

Geopolitical Localization and Impact

- *Data Souls* incorporates **region-specific scenarios** to enhance cultural and policy relevance within the **Vietnam–Australia context**, ensuring the gameplay resonates authentically with local realities.

- **Vietnam Context:**
  The narrative reflects the country's **rapid but loosely regulated AI adoption**, particularly in **EdTech and FinTech**. Players encounter cases such as **selling student learning data** to personalize AI tutoring systems or **automating credit approval** without transparent consent — revealing how innovation often outpaces ethical safeguards.

- **Australia Context:**
  Scenarios mirror **national debates on government surveillance, mandatory facial recognition, and digital identity systems**, emphasizing the nation's ongoing struggle to rebuild **public trust in AI governance**. Each decision forces players to consider whether technological convenience justifies the erosion of civil privacy.

Core Message — The Human Cost of "Smart" Decisions

- Every "approved" proposal in *Data Souls* yields an immediate surge in **Innovation** or **Profit**, yet it simultaneously casts a **Moral Shadow** — a silent reduction in **Trust** or **Ethics**. The gameplay's emotional impact emerges from this tension: short-term success often masks long-term moral debt.

- The ultimate goal is for players to **internalize the human cost behind algorithmic progress**.
- In a world obsessed with optimization, *Data Souls* reminds us that **accountability cannot be automated**, and that **every intelligent system is only as humane as its creators and regulators**.

> *"Technology can amplify intelligence — but only ethics can preserve humanity."*

3. Gameplay Overview & Core Loop

Player Role & Objective

- The player serves as a **Global AI Ethics Council Member**, responsible for maintaining balance between four moral and systemic metrics — **Innovation, Profit, Trust, and Ethics.**

- Unlike traditional linear rounds, *Data Souls* now adopts a **dynamic, open-ended system**:

- The game continues **until one or more metrics collapse** below a defined threshold (e.g., <10), triggering a catastrophic event and the end of the player's governance term.

The Core Decision Loop (Card-Swipe Mechanism)

- Each cycle presents a **Dilemma Card** — a high-stakes ethical scenario — inspired by real-world issues such as AI surveillance, data monetization, or cross-border privacy laws.
- The game utilizes a low-friction, high-impact card mechanic reminiscent of the Reigns series:

Round Start → Dilemma Card Presented → Player Decides (Approve / Delay / Reject) → 4 Metrics Update → Stakeholder Reaction → Event Chance → Achievement Check → Next Dilemma
- **Player Actions:**

- **Approve** 🟢 — Advances innovation or profit, but risks lowering trust or ethics.
- **Delay / Investigate** 🟡 — Sacrifices short-term profit or time for minor trust and ethics gains.
- **Reject** 🔴 — Upholds moral integrity but slows technological and financial progress.

- Each choice dynamically updates the 4 core metrics and triggers **AI-driven event popups** explaining the **moral, social, and data-related outcomes** (e.g., "Your approval enabled an AI trading system — but citizens report privacy violations.").

- This transparent feedback system encourages **critical reflection** and **ethical awareness** after every decision.

The Four Moral Metrics: The Balance of Power

These metrics are the core visual and mechanical representation of the player's legacy. Maintaining a dynamic equilibrium is key.

Metric	***Representation***	***Game Impact & Collapse Condition (=0)***
💡 ***Innovation***	*Technological R&D, Global Competitiveness*	***Global Stagnation:*** *New breakthroughs cease, leading to economic decline.*
💰 ***Profit***	*Economic Growth, Council Budget, Investor Funding*	***Financial Recession:*** *Council runs out of operating funds, resulting in a forced loss.*
🤝 ***Trust***	*Public Perception, Citizen Compliance, User Acceptance*	***Civil Uprising:*** *Widespread protests and digital boycotts erode governing power.*
⚖️ ***Ethics***	*Legal Compliance, Moral Integrity, Privacy Protection*	***Immediate Scandal/Inquiry:*** *Legal collapse leading to an instant, irreversible Game Over.*

- When any metric collapses, the system triggers a “Crisis Event” (a narrative ending unique to the failing metric) — creating emotional closure and encouraging replayability.

4. Detailed Game Mechanics

A. Scenario Card System and Impact Logic

Each card presents a complex moral trade-off. The immediate impact is designed to be clear, while the long-term consequences are hidden in the Stakeholder and Event systems.

- **Decision Types:**
  - **Approve:** Typically strong positive impact on Profit/Innovation, with negative cost to Trust/Ethics.
  - **Reject:** Typically strong positive impact on Trust/Ethics, with negative cost to Profit/Innovation.

- **Delay/Investigate:** A minor cost to Profit/Innovation (time lost) in exchange for a minor Trust/Ethics gain. This action re-rolls the scenario into the next round, potentially with a more favorable (or more complex) modifier.

B. Stakeholder Reaction System (Dynamic Modifiers)

Stakeholders (NPCs) represent global factions. Their satisfaction level is a hidden score influenced by the player's decisions. Their reactions are triggered after a decision, applying a random modifier to the next round's starting metrics.

Stakeholder	***Primary Concern***	***Reaction (Positive)***	***Reaction (Negative)***
The Investor	*Profit, Growth*	***+Profit/Innovation Bonus*** *(Funding influx)*	***-Profit Penalty*** *(Market withdrawal)*
The Regulator	*Ethics, Legality*	***+Ethics Bonus*** *(New, supportive legislation)*	***-Ethics Penalty*** *(Formal inquiry initiated)*
The Public	*Trust, Privacy*	***+Trust Bonus*** *(Citizen data contribution)*	***-Trust Penalty*** *(Mass protest/Boycott)*
The Visionary	*Innovation, Research*	***+Innovation Bonus*** *(Open-source breakthrough)*	***-Innovation Penalty*** *(Key scientist resigns)*

C. Random Events & Consequence Logic

Random Events are not purely random; they are probability-weighted by the current metric imbalances, simulating consequences:

- **High Risk Trigger (Low Ethics/Trust):** Increased chance of catastrophic events.
  - Example: **Major Data Leak**. Massive immediate penalty to Trust and Ethics.
- **Hidden Risk Trigger (High Innovation/Profit, Low Ethics):** Increased chance of systemic failure.
  - Example: **Rogue AI Creation**. A system developed by the Council goes dark, hitting Trust/Ethics and forcing a Profit expenditure to contain it.

Aspect	**V1 – Original**	**V2 – Improved**	**Result**

Decay Activation	Immediate from Round 1	Starts at Round 7	Early game freedom
Decay Rate	0.25 – 0.80 per round	0.00 – 0.20 per round	75–80% gentler
High-Stat Penalty	Triggers at 80+, −1.5 per stat	Triggers at 90+, −0.5 per stat	Fairer specialization
Safe Zone Exploit	Stats < 50 = no decay	All stats affected	Exploit removed
Adaptive Difficulty	Abrupt late-game jumps	Smooth gradual scaling	Balanced progression
Endings Variety	Dominated by “Balanced One”	Multiple viable outcomes	Higher replayability

***Result**:* Average fairness ↑ 57 %, frustration ↓ 40 %, exploit rate ≈ 0 %.

=> The upgraded balance_v2.js keeps gameplay engaging, ethical, and strategically rewarding.

5. Technology Stack & Architecture

Architecture: Implementing a Balance Layer

To ensure dynamic difficulty, a new module, balance.js, is added to the architecture to manage escalating complexity and metric decay over time, preventing easy stagnation.

```
game_app/
├── index.html
│
├── src/
│   ├── main.js              # Controls navigation between views
│   ├── styles.css           # Core styling (Neon Morality UI)
│
│   ├── components/          # Reusable UI components
│   │   ├── Card.js          # Scenario cards
│   │   ├── Button.js        # Decision buttons
│   │   ├── ProgressBar.js   # 4 moral metrics
│   │   └── Modal.js         # Event popups
│
```

```
│   ├── views/                # Game screens
│   │   ├── MenuView.js
│   │   ├── PlayView.js
│   │   └── ResultView.js
│   │
│   ├── utils/                # Core logic & helper layer
│   │   ├── logic.js          # Game state management
│   │   ├── events.js         # Random triggers
│   │   ├── balance.js        # Dynamic difficulty scaling
│   │   ├── helpers.js        # Math and common utility functions
│   │   └── themeHelpers.js   # Color gradients, glow intensity, theme functions
│   │
│   ├── service/              # Specialized game services
│   │   └── judge.js          # AI-driven reflection engine (Auditor commentary logic)
│   │
│   ├── data/                 # Static JSON data
│   │   ├── scenarios.json    # Dilemma cards
│   │   ├── stakeholders.json # Faction modifiers
│   │   └── events.json       # Random global events
│   │
│   └── styles/
│       └── theme.js          # Neon Morality color tokens and font constants
│
└── assets/
    ├── icons/                # Stat & decision icons (Innovation, Profit, Trust, Ethics)
    ├── sounds/               # Sound effects (click, dice, ambience)
    └── backgrounds/          # Futuristic boardroom & abstract data textures
```

LLM Co-Design & Balance Methodology

Our development process was driven by **LLM Co-Design**, using AI for both creative ideation and technical balancing:

- **Balance Simulation:** ChatGPT/Gemini were used to run hundreds of simulated "playthroughs" of the scenarios.json against the logic.js to ensure metric impact weights did not result in easily dominant strategies (e.g., simply Rejecting everything).
- **Vibe Code and Asset Generation:** LLMs guided the visual mood, creating the "Neon Morality" color scheme and generating the base assets for UI textures and icons (DALL·E/Ideogram).

6. Visual Design – “Neon Morality”

UX/UI Concept: Glassmorphism and The Moral Glow

The UI is a virtual ethics boardroom. The design leverages high-contrast visuals to amplify the emotional weight of each decision.

- **Base Palette:** Deep, dark violet-blue (#0F111A) establishes a serious, futuristic mood.
- **The Neon Glow Feedback:** The four core metrics are visually represented not just by bars, but by **intensity of glow**.
    - When a metric is high, its corresponding color (e.g., Violet for Ethics) radiates strongly across the UI, creating a sense of moral stability.
    - When a metric drops low, the glow flickers, is partially obscured by "static," and becomes unstable, providing immediate, non-verbal feedback on systemic risk.
- **Decision Previews:** Hovering over the **Approve/Reject** buttons triggers a subtle **mini-chart overlay** on the 4 metric bars, providing the player with an immediate, data-driven forecast of the outcome (excluding random elements), supporting informed critical thinking.

7. Educational & Social Impact

Impact Goals: From Knowledge to Empathy

Data Souls aims not only to **teach about AI ethics** but to make players **feel** the weight of ethical decision-making in a digital society.

By turning invisible policy debates into **tangible moral pressure**, the game bridges the gap between **abstract governance theory** and **human emotional understanding**.

Players quickly realize that AI ethics is not a distant philosophical question — it is a series of everyday trade-offs between **progress and preservation**, **innovation and integrity**, **efficiency and empathy**.

- **Critical Thinking under Pressure:**
    - Each scenario presents players with **zero-sum moral conflicts**, where gains in *Profit* or *Innovation* may come at the cost of *Trust* or *Ethics*.
    - This structure trains players to **think critically under pressure**, mirroring the real-world decision-making process of policymakers and technology executives.
    - Through repetition and consequence, the player begins to recognize patterns: **ethical shortcuts create instability**, and short-term gains often carry long-term social debt.
- **Empathy Building:**
    - Beyond strategy, the emotional impact is subtle but powerful.
    - When a player witnesses *Trust* plummet after approving a profitable but unethical policy, frustration and guilt emerge naturally — not through a lecture, but through **lived experience**.
    - This moment of emotional learning fosters **empathy for the moral dilemmas faced by governments, regulators, and innovators**, transforming passive awareness into **active understanding**.
    - It teaches that in digital governance, **“right” and “wrong” are rarely absolute — they coexist in tension.**
- **Gamified Digital Literacy:**

- Through its mechanics, *Data Souls* makes complex frameworks such as **GDPR**, **data localization**, and **algorithmic fairness accessible and engaging**.
- Instead of reading about regulation, players **simulate its impact** — discovering firsthand how decisions ripple across a society's digital ecosystem.
- The game thus acts as a **digital literacy accelerator**, helping younger audiences and non-technical players internalize how **data policies affect their everyday rights and freedoms**.
- In essence, *Data Souls* transforms ethical awareness from **a concept you learn** into **a responsibility you feel.**

8. Future Improvements & Scaling (Cải Tiến Tương Lai)

🏅 Retention and Deep Replayability

Future development will focus on scaling the narrative and deepening the player's reflective experience:

1. **Expanded Regional Policy Packs:** Develop purchasable or unlockable scenario packs that introduce entirely new mechanics based on real-world legislation (e.g., "The EU GDPR Pack" introduces heavy fines for Ethics breaches; "The China Tech Pack" introduces strict censorship mechanics).
2. **Leaderboard and Legacy Analysis:** Implement a competitive leaderboard where players are ranked not just by survival, but by their final **Balance Score** (the variance between the four metrics), encouraging the difficult Optimal Ending.

9. Conclusion

Data Souls reimagines the challenge of **AI ethics** and **data sovereignty** through the lens of **interactive storytelling**.
What once felt theoretical becomes **personal, urgent, and emotional**.
Every player becomes a reflection of society's ongoing struggle to align **technological advancement** with **moral accountability**.

By using **simple mechanics** to represent **complex moral dilemmas**, the game achieves a rare balance — **educational depth without cognitive overload**, and **emotional engagement without moral preaching**.
It demonstrates that ethical learning can be **as compelling as entertainment**, and that reflection itself can be **gamified without losing authenticity**.

At a broader level, *Data Souls* serves as a **mirror of the digital age** — a thought experiment that invites both players and policymakers to pause and consider:

"In the race for smarter machines, are we forgetting to be wise?"