

The background of the cover features a large, faint watermark of the University of Freiburg seal. The seal is circular and contains a central figure, likely a seated scholar or saint, surrounded by Latin text and various heraldic symbols.

Master's Thesis♣

# Petrology of Saprolites in Central Hesse

Oluwatobiloba Odukoya

December 17, 2024

Submitted to the University of Freiburg  
Geology - Institute of Mineralogy and Petrology  
Faculty of Environment and Natural Resources

**universität freiburg**

**University of Freiburg**  
**Geology - Institute of Mineralogy and Petrology**  
**Faculty of Environment and Natural Resources**

<b>Author</b>	Oluwatobiloba Odukoya, Matriculation Number: 5364725
<b>Editing Time</b>	June 20, 2024 - December 17, 2024
<b>Examiners</b>	Dr. Lukas Gegg, Department of Sedimentary Geology and Quaternary Research Department of Geology
<b>Supervior</b>	Prof. David Dolejs, Institute of Mineralogy and Petrology Department of Geology
<b>Declaration</b>	<p>I hereby declare, that I am the sole author and composer of this Thesis and that no other sources or learning aids, other than those listed, have been used. Furthermore, a full list of works used to develop this work, to which I cannot claim ownership, has been referenced.</p> <p>I also declare, that my Thesis has not been prepared for another examination or assignment, either wholly or excerpts thereof.</p> <p>Lastly, I declare that the electronic version of the submitted master thesis corresponds in content and format to the copies printed on paper.</p>

---

Place, Date

---

Signature

# Abstract

The comprehensive analysis of saprolites from various lithologies endemic to Central Hesse has provided valuable insights into the weathering processes and products of Tertiary Europe. Through the integration of petrology, micromorphology, grain size analysis, and geochemistry, a detailed picture of formation conditions has emerged. Geochemical analyses reveal a consistent enrichment of immobile elements and depletion of alkali components, highlighting the intensity of chemical weathering. Secondary mineralizations of hematite, goethite, and siderite further confirm the transformative effects of weathering on the original rock compositions. Petrographic observations complement these findings, showcasing fine-grained textures, clay coatings, and flow structures indicative of fluid activity. Collectively, these features point to weathering as the dominant alteration mechanism, with little evidence of hydrothermal interference.

The observed alteration patterns provide valuable insights into past climatic conditions, suggesting a history of intense weathering regimes. These results have broader implications for reconstructing paleoenvironments and understanding the mobility of elements during weathering. Additionally, the study highlights the need for methodological advancements in grain size analysis, particularly for fine-grained materials, to improve measurement accuracy. By integrating multidisciplinary approaches, this research contributes to unraveling the complexities of weathering processes and their implications for geological and environmental sciences.

In conclusion, this study underscores the transformative impact of weathering on saprolites, driven by a combination of chemical, physical, and mineralogical processes. Past climatic conditions have facilitated intense chemical weathering, aided by fluid transport, resulting in highly altered mineral assemblages enriched in immobile elements, some of which hold economic significance. These findings enhance our understanding of weathering dynamics and provide a foundation for future investigations into the interplay between geology, climate, and surface processes.

# Zusammenfassung

Die umfassende Analyse von Saproliten aus verschiedenen in Mittelhessen vorkommenden Lithologien hat wertvolle Einblicke in die Verwitterungsprozesse und -produkte des tertiären Europas geliefert. Durch die Integration von Petrologie, Mikromorphologie, Korngrößenanalyse und Geochemie ist ein detailliertes Bild der Bildungsbedingungen entstanden. Geochemische Analysen zeigen eine konsistente Anreicherung von immobilisierenden Elementen und eine Verarmung von Alkalibestandteilen, was die Intensität der chemischen Verwitterung verdeutlicht. Sekundäre Mineralisierungen von Hämatit, Goethit und Siderit bestätigen die transformativen Auswirkungen der Verwitterung auf die ursprüngliche Gesteinszusammensetzung. Petrographische Beobachtungen ergänzen diese Ergebnisse und zeigen feinkörnige Texturen, Tonüberzüge und Fließstrukturen, die auf flüssige Aktivitäten hinweisen. Insgesamt deuten diese Merkmale auf Verwitterung als vorherrschenden Alterationsmechanismus hin, wobei es kaum Anzeichen für hydrothermale Störungen gibt.

Die beobachteten Alterationsmuster bieten wertvolle Einblicke in die klimatischen Bedingungen der Vergangenheit und lassen auf eine Geschichte intensiver Verwitterungsregime schließen. Diese Ergebnisse haben weitreichende Auswirkungen auf die Rekonstruktion von Paläoumgebungen und das Verständnis der Mobilität von Elementen während der Verwitterung. Darüber hinaus unterstreicht die Studie den Bedarf an methodischen Fortschritten bei der Korngrößenanalyse, insbesondere bei feinkörnigem Material, um die Messgenauigkeit zu verbessern. Durch die Integration multidisziplinärer Ansätze trägt diese Studie dazu bei, die Komplexität von Verwitterungsprozessen und ihre Auswirkungen auf die Geologie und die Umweltwissenschaften zu entschlüsseln.

Zusammenfassend unterstreicht diese Studie die transformativen Auswirkungen der Verwitterung auf Saprolite, die durch eine Kombination von chemischen, physikalischen und mineralogischen Prozessen angetrieben werden. Die klimatis-

chen Bedingungen der Vergangenheit haben eine intensive chemische Verwitterung begünstigt, die durch den Flüssigkeitstransport unterstützt wurde und zu einer stark veränderten Mineralzusammensetzung führte, die mit immobilisierenden Elementen angereichert ist, von denen einige wirtschaftliche Bedeutung haben. Diese Ergebnisse verbessern unser Verständnis der Verwitterungsdynamik und bieten eine Grundlage für künftige Untersuchungen des Zusammenspiels zwischen Geologie, Klima und Oberflächenprozessen.

# Acknowledgments

I am deeply grateful to my supervisor, Prof Dolejs, for his invaluable guidance, support, and encouragement throughout this research. His expertise and dedication have been instrumental in shaping the direction and success of this work.

To my classmates, especially Nico Nöthen and Edwin Wambicho, I appreciate your camaraderie and insightful discussions, which made this journey both intellectually stimulating and enjoyable. To the wonderful staff at the department that lent me their wisdom, expertise and precious time.

On a personal note, I owe a heartfelt thanks to my family for their unwavering support, patience, and encouragement. Your belief in me has been my greatest source of strength.

Finally, I dedicate this work to Alethea Harrison, my muse and my inspiration.

# Contents

Figure Index	VIII
--------------	------

Table Index	XII
-------------	-----

<b>1</b>	<b>Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1	Problem Statement . . . . .	3
1.2	Chemical Weathering and Soil Formation . . . . .	3
1.3	Analytical Methods for Grain Size Determination . . . . .	8
1.3.1	Principles of Laser Diffraction Analysis . . . . .	9
1.3.2	Principles of Köhn Pipette Analysis . . . . .	10
1.4	Thesis Objective . . . . .	10
<b>2</b>	<b>Geological Setting</b>	<b>12</b>
2.1	Taunus . . . . .	14
2.2	Westerwald . . . . .	16
2.3	Vogelsberg . . . . .	17
<b>3</b>	<b>Analytical Methods</b>	<b>20</b>
3.1	Petrography . . . . .	22
3.1.1	Macrophotography . . . . .	22
3.1.2	Microphotography . . . . .	22
3.2	Grain Size Distribution . . . . .	23
3.2.1	Wet Sieving Method . . . . .	24
3.2.2	Laser Diffraction Analysis . . . . .	25
3.2.3	Köhn-Pipette Analysis . . . . .	27
3.3	Whole-Rock Geochemical Analysis . . . . .	28
3.3.1	ICP-OES and ICP-MS . . . . .	29
3.3.2	IR Spectrometry . . . . .	30
3.3.3	Titration . . . . .	31

<b>4</b>	<b>Results</b>	<b>32</b>
4.1	Geography of Samples . . . . .	32
4.2	Petrography and Micromorphology . . . . .	35
4.2.1	Macroscopic Description . . . . .	35
4.2.2	Observations from Microphotographs . . . . .	42
4.3	Grain Size Analysis . . . . .	47
4.3.1	Köhn Method (Using Sedimat 4-12) . . . . .	47
4.3.2	Laser Diffraction (Using Mastersizer 3000) . . . . .	52
4.4	Geochemical Analysis . . . . .	56
4.4.1	Whole Rock Geochemistry . . . . .	56
4.4.2	Chemical Parameters and Weathering Indices . . . . .	58
4.4.3	Normative Composition . . . . .	58
4.4.4	Classification and Discrimination Diagrams . . . . .	60
<b>5</b>	<b>Discussion</b>	<b>67</b>
5.1	Comparison of Grain Size Determinations: Mechanical vs. Diffraction Methods . . . . .	68
5.2	Interpretation of Weathering Processes . . . . .	71
<b>6</b>	<b>Conclusion</b>	<b>72</b>
6.1	Future Work . . . . .	73
	<b>References</b>	<b>1</b>

## Figure Index

1.1	Anotated Diagram of the Saprolite Layer Juxtaposing Pedological and Geological Division of the Profile . . . . .	5
2.1	Showing study area dimensions (maps.google.com) . . . . .	12
2.2	Sample locations relative to their position on a map of Hesse . . .	13
2.3	Regional Geology of Study Area Modified from (BGR, 2018) . . .	14



2.4	Showing the Taunus and its Division into subunits (De-academic.com, 2024) . . . . .	16
2.5	Shows the Westerwald with its Tertiary deposits and Volcanic extent (Roček & Wuttke, 2010) . . . . .	17
2.6	Showing distribution of volcanics of the Vogelsberg Volcanic field (Bogaard & Wörner, 2003) . . . . .	19
3.1	Flow Chart of Analytical Procedures . . . . .	20
3.2	Flow Diagram for measurement with FTIR Spectroscopy Tribonet (2024) . . . . .	30
4.1	Geological map of study area showing sample location and the geology that underlay them (modified from BGR (2018) . . . . .	34
4.2	Field observations of basalt samples: A) BE1, BE2 & BE3; B) BE4; C) BE5; D) BL1; E) BL2 & BL3 . . . . .	36
4.3	Basalt samples: A) BE1; B) BE2; C) BE3; D) BE4; E) BE5; F) BL1; G) BL2; H) BL3; I) BW . . . . .	37
4.4	Field observations of carbonate samples: A) CB; B) CH1, CH2 & CH3 . . . . .	38
4.5	Carbonate samples: A) CB; B) CF; C) CH1; D) CH2; E) CH3; F) CH4 . . . . .	39
4.6	Field observations of slate samples: A) SB; B) SE3; C) SE1 & SE2; D) SL & SL2 . . . . .	40
4.7	Slate samples: A) SB; B) SE1; C) SE2; D) SE3; E) SH; F) SL; G) SL2; H) SW . . . . .	41
4.8	Microphotographs of basalt samples: A) BE1; B) BE2; C) BE3; D) BE4; E) BE5; F) BL2; G) BL3; H) BW . . . . .	43
4.9	Microphotographs of carbonate samples: A) CB/1; B) CB/2; C) CF/1; D) CF/2; E) CH1; F) CH2; G) CH3; H) CH4 . . . . .	45
4.10	Microphotographs of slate samples: A) SE3; B) SL2/1; C) SL2/2; D) SW . . . . .	46
4.11	Cumulative Frequency Distribution Plot for Basalt Samples from Sedimat 4-12 Data . . . . .	47
4.12	Cumulative Frequency Distribution Plot for Carbonate Samples from Sedimat 4-12 Data . . . . .	50
4.13	Cumulative Frequency Distribution Plot for Slate Samples from Sedimat 4-12 Data . . . . .	51

4.14 Grain Size Distribution Plot for Basalt Saprolites from Mastersizer Data . . . . .	52
4.15 Grain Size Distribution Plot for Carbonate Saprolites from Mastersizer Data . . . . .	53
4.16 Grain Size Distribution Plot for Slate Saprolites from Mastersizer Data . . . . .	55
4.17 Quartz-Alkali-Plagioclase-Feldspathoid Classification Diagram from CIPW-norm data (Streckeisen, 1976) (BE1=1, BE2=8, BE3=17, BE4=11, BL1=7, BL2=0, BL3=10, CB=15, CH1=19, CH2=2, SB=18, SE1=12, SE2=6, SE3=5, SH=4, SL=3, SW=9) . . . . .	60
4.18 Albite-Anorthite-Orthoclase Classification (O'Connor 1965) (BE1=1, BE2=8, BE3=17, BE4=11, BL1=7, BL2=0, BL3=10, CB=15, CH1=19, CH2=2, SB=18, SE1=12, SE2=6, SE3=5, SH=4, SL=3, SW=9) . . . . .	61
4.19 $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ -CaO-FeO/MgO Discrimination Diagram . . . . .	62
4.20 $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ -CaONa <sub>2</sub> O-K <sub>2</sub> O Discrimination Diagram . . . . .	62
4.21 Classification diagram of Herron (1988) for Discriminating Sediment Types . . . . .	63
4.22 Discrimination Diagram of Ross and Bedard (2009) for Discriminating Mafic Rocks . . . . .	64
4.23 Incompatible Elements Spider Plot for NMORB by Pearce (2014) (BE1=1, BE2=8, BE3=17, BE4=11, BL1=7, BL2=0, BL3=10) . . . . .	65
4.24 Incompatible Elements Spider Plot for Sediments by Plank (2014) (BE1=1, BE2=8, BE3=17, BE4=11, BL1=7, BL2=0, BL3=10, CB=15, CH1=19, CH2=2, SB=18, SE1=12, SE2=6, SE3=5, SH=4, SL=3, SW=9) . . . . .	65
4.25 REE Chondrite Spider Plot by Anders & Grevesse (1989) (BE1=1, BE2=8, BE3=17, BE4=11, BL1=7, BL2=0, BL3=10, CB=15, CH1=19, CH2=2, SB=18, SE1=12, SE2=6, SE3=5, SH=4, SL=3, SW=9) . . . . .	66
5.1 Comparison of Grain Size Distribution Results from Sedimat 4-12 and Mastersizer 3000 for Basalts . . . . .	69
5.2 Comparison of Grain Size Distribution Results from Sedimat 4-12 and Mastersizer 3000 for Carbonates . . . . .	70

---

5.3 Comparison of Grain Size Distribution Results from Sedimat 4-12 and Mastersizer 3000 for Slates . . . . .	70
--	----

# Table Index

3.1	Table 3.1: The Schedule of Analytical Methods . . . . .	21
3.2	Grain Size Analysis Methods Overview . . . . .	24
3.3	Standard Operating Procedures (SOPs) for Mastersizer 3000 . . .	26
4.1	Sample locations and coordinates . . . . .	33
4.2	Results from Analysis with Sedimat 4-12 on Carbonate and Basalt Saprolites . . . . .	48
4.3	Results from Analysis with Sedimat 4-12 on Slate Saprolites . . .	49
4.4	Results from Analysis with Mastersizer 3000 on Basalts Saprolites	52
4.5	Results from Analysis with Mastersizer 3000 on Carbonate Saprolites	53
4.6	Results from Analysis with Mastersizer 3000 on Slates Saprolites .	54
4.7	Whole-Rock Geochemistry Results . . . . .	57
4.8	Key Geochemical Parameters . . . . .	58
4.9	CIPW normative composition according to O'Connor (1965) . . .	59

# Chapter 1

## Introduction

Saprolites, which are also known as “Weathering mantles” or “Grus” (especially concerning weathering granites), are a widespread feature of landscapes on every continent, occurring at or near the earth’s surface. They are most prominent in tropical to subtropical regions where they regularly exceed depths of 50 m. Stoops, Marcelino, and Mees (2018), however, saprolites are not restricted to these locations as they can be as small as a few millimetres thick in temperate regions. In Europe, the distribution of saprolites is varied but is of significant quantities as they are present in central, western, and northern Europe. Locations where the presence of saprolites is well documented include the Iberian Peninsula, the Massif Central in France, parts of the Rhenish Massif in Germany (our study area), the British Isles, the Scandinavian Mountains, and the Fennoscandian Shield. Migoñ and Lidmar-Bergström (2001); Vazquez (1981).

The word “Saprolite” was coined from the Greek for “rotten rock” (*/saproslithos/*) by G.F. Becker (1895) to describe bedrock still in the process of pedogenesis and delineate it from the layers further down the line of decomposition. They are the weathering remains of preexisting rocks exposed to atmospheric conditions such as precipitation, diurnal temperature changes, microbial activity, and high oxygen fugacity. They are the product of mineral transformations driven by thermodynamics, as the formation conditions at depth significantly differ from the conditions they encounter after their host rocks are exhumed. Saprolites differ from other weathering products because they remain in situ, meaning they develop as a covering, lying directly on top of the unweathered rock, like an outer skin. Due to this lack of mobilization, they also retain their rock fabric, even though the degree to which the fabric preservation varies with depth. The exact rate of weathering and thickness of the weathering mantle depends on the time spent at or close to the surface, the climate, and the rock type Ehlen (2005).

Saprolites frequently constitute a part of a more extensive stratigraphic sequence and usually only serve as a subordinate layer within the weathered profile. The weathered profile or regolith, as a whole, is an aggregate of layers of rock pieces in various stages of disintegration and originating from multiple sources. The A-B-C scheme was first introduced by Orth (1873, 1875) and later evolved by Dokuchaev, Vasily (1879a, 1879b). Improvements by Fowler (1925) brought the scheme to what is recognized today as the most widely accepted approach to the description of soil profiles Tandarich, Darmody, Follmer, and Johnson (2002). However, even though there is a unification of the scheme, there is no unification of the terms within the scheme, and the components are modified by authors to represent a variety of features studied, as evidenced by the review of Tandarich Tandarich et al. (2002).

The German soil classification scheme, as defined in the KA5 Eckelmann et al. (2006), begins the weathered profile with a layer littered with organic material that has just begun to humify and is known as the “O Horizon.” Below this layer is a completely disaggregated and unstructured layer which has lost all structure due to some varying combinations of biological and environmental processes and is known as the “A Horizon” or topsoil. The next layer, called the “E Horizon,” is where acids formed during humification of the organic matter in the previous layers, strip elements from the minerals in its layer and precipitates them further down, in a process called podzolization. Next is the “B Horizon,” which is structurally similar to the A Horizon but includes precipitates formed from the leaching processes in the layers above and less organic material. After that is the “C Horizon,” which has begun to weather but still preserves the structure of the bedrock below it. This layer corresponds to the titular saprolites and can be characterized as being the closest in density to the unaltered bedrock and the least affected by soil-forming processes of all the horizons.

Saprolites are studied by geochemists, civil engineers, hydrologists, geomorphologists, soil scientists, and exploration geoscientists for different purposes. They can serve as aquifers from which groundwater can be tapped or may form hosts for economic deposits through secondary or supergene enrichment for resources such as gypsum, clays, aluminium, manganese, nickel, copper, uranium, iron, gold, and other heavy minerals. Saprolites also serve as a window to the past, through which the events that led to their formation can be observed. Therefore, their study is important to understanding and predicting the effects of current geo-climatic processes.