

MFE BOOTCAMP

Tobias Ingebrigtsen

August 19, 2022

Abstract

These notes are written for part 2 of the UCLA Anderson Master of Financial Engineering Bootcamp. I have pulled together many concepts that will be useful for the MFE program and tried to make example code where I believe it might be useful. The material is gathered from lectures that I have taken myself, and some are my very own notes. If you find any typos (there are likely a lot of typos) or have any feedback, then please let me know.

Contents

| | |
|--|-----------|
| 1 Linear Algebra | 1 |
| 1.1 Exercise | 4 |
| 1.2 Length of a vector, inner product, and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality | 4 |
| 1.3 The solutions | 9 |
| 1.4 Rank of a matrix | 9 |
| 1.5 Applications | 10 |
| 1.5.1 Sidenote: Root-finding algorithms | 15 |
| 1.6 Quadratic forms | 16 |
| 1.7 Definiteness of quadratic forms | 16 |
| 2 Optimization | 18 |
| 2.1 Stationary points of quadratic functions | 21 |
| 2.2 Classification of stationary points | 21 |
| 3 Linear Regression | 22 |
| 3.1 Regression vs correlations | 22 |
| 3.2 The Linear Regression Model | 22 |
| 3.3 The Gauss-Markov Theorem | 22 |
| 3.4 Estimation of β : Ordinary Least Squares - Univariate case | 23 |
| 3.5 Estimation of β : Ordinary Least Squares - General case | 25 |
| 3.6 Sampling Properties of OLS | 26 |
| 3.7 Estimator uncertainty | 27 |
| 3.8 Hypothesis testing - The test of significance approach | 30 |
| 4 Constrained Optimization | 32 |
| 4.1 The Lagrange Multiplier Method | 32 |
| 4.2 Interpreting the Lagrange Multiplier | 33 |
| 4.3 Application: Portfolio Theory | 34 |
| 4.4 Minimum Variance Portfolio | 35 |
| 4.5 Optimal Risky Portfolio | 36 |
| 4.6 Asset allocation with risky assets and T-bill | 37 |
| 4.7 Numerical approach to find the portfolios | 38 |

| | |
|---|-----------|
| 5 Time Series | 42 |
| 5.1 Important notation and concepts | 43 |
| 5.1.1 Strictly stationary process | 43 |
| 5.1.2 Weakly stationary process | 43 |
| 5.1.3 A white noise process | 43 |
| 5.2 ARMA models | 44 |
| 5.2.1 Lag operators | 44 |
| 5.2.2 Moving average processes | 44 |
| 5.2.3 Autoregressive processes | 47 |
| 5.2.4 Stationarity | 47 |
| 5.2.5 MA(1) as AR(∞) | 53 |
| 5.2.6 Forecasting with an MA(1) and AR(1) | 54 |
| 5.2.7 ARMA processes | 55 |
| 5.3 Modeling volatility | 55 |
| 6 Martingales | 66 |
| 6.1 Martingale Problems | 66 |
| 6.2 Martingale Problems - Solutions | 67 |
| 7 Brownian Motion | 69 |
| 7.1 Brownian Motion Problems | 70 |
| 7.2 Brownian Motion Problems - Solutions | 71 |
| 8 Integrals | 74 |
| 8.1 Riemann-Stieltjes Integral Problems | 75 |
| 8.2 Riemann-Stieltjes Integral Problems - Solutions | 77 |
| 8.3 Itô Integral Problems | 80 |
| 8.4 Itô Integral Problems - Solutions | 81 |
| 9 Itô Calculus | 82 |
| 9.1 Itô Calculus Problems | 83 |
| 9.2 Itô Calculus Problems - Solution | 85 |
| 10 Major Models of SDEs | 90 |
| 11 Change of Measure | 93 |

1 Linear Algebra

Motivation: As quantitative economists, we often rely on linear algebra as a tool for solving simple and complex problems. For example, linear algebra allows us to find solutions to linear systems:

$$\begin{aligned} y_1 &= \phi_1 x_{11} + \phi_2 x_{12} + \dots + \phi_k x_{1k}, \\ &\vdots \\ y_n &= \phi_1 x_{n1} + \phi_2 x_{n2} + \dots + \phi_k x_{nk}, \end{aligned}$$

where we are interested in the unknowns ϕ_i given series of observables x_i and y_i . An example of a system of interest is the famous Capital Asset Pricing Model:

$$r_{i,t} - r^f = \alpha_i + \beta_i(r_{m,t} - r^f),$$

where $r_{i,t}$ is the return of asset i on time t , $r_{m,t}$ is the market return on time t , and r^f is the risk-free rate of interest. In this model we try to explain the excess return of all assets by each assets sensitivity to the market (more on this later). Important things to consider when we work with these problems:

- How many solutions (if any) exists?
- If there are no closed-form solution to this system, can we still approximate it?
- How do we compute the solution?

Linear algebra can also simplify notation. Consider the simple case of computing the variance of a portfolio of two assets: We know that the total variance of two variables, in this case stock 1 and stock 2, s_1 and s_2 can be computed as

$$\text{Var}(s_1 + s_2) = \text{Var}(s_1) + \text{Var}(s_2) + 2\text{cov}(s_1, s_2).$$

Now, let ω_1 and ω_2 be the portfolio weights of stock 1 and stock 2. The portfolio variance is now:

$$\text{Var}(\omega_1 s_1 + \omega_2 s_2) = \omega_1^2 \text{Var}(s_1) + \omega_2^2 \text{Var}(s_2) + 2\omega_1 \omega_2 \text{cov}(s_1, s_2).$$

For an n-asset portfolio this becomes

$$\text{Var}(\omega_1 s_1 + \dots + \omega_n s_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \omega_i \omega_j \text{Cov}(s_i, s_j).$$


By using matrix notation we get

$$\text{Var}(\omega_1 s_1 + \dots + \omega_n s_n) = \boldsymbol{\omega}' \boldsymbol{\Sigma} \boldsymbol{\omega},$$

where $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}$ is and $(n \times n)$ covariance matrix and $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ is a vector of weights.

Vectors

A vector in R^n is an n-tuple of numbers and represent a direction and magnitude.




We can express the same vector in two ways:

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{x}^t = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n].$$


Example: In R^2 :

$$\mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$



The ordering matters:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \neq \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$$



What can we do with vectors?

An n -vector, \mathbf{u} , can be multiplied by a number c . This is called scalar multiplication and yields a new vector n -vector, $c\mathbf{u}$.

Example: ($n=3$) Let $\mathbf{u} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and $c = 3$. Then,

$$3\mathbf{u} = 3 \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \times 2 \\ 3 \times (-1) \\ 3 \times 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ -3 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We can also add and subtract to vectors \mathbf{u} , \mathbf{v} :

$$\mathbf{u}' + \mathbf{v}' = (u_1 + v_1, u_2 + v_2, \dots, u_n + v_n),$$

$$\mathbf{u}' - \mathbf{v}' = (u_1 - v_1, u_2 - v_2, \dots, u_n - v_n).$$

Definition 1.1: Vector Space

Formally, a vector space over \mathbb{R} is a tuple $(V, +, \cdot)$ where V is a set, $+: V \times V \rightarrow V$ and $\cdot: \mathbb{R} \times V \rightarrow V$ such that

1. $x + y = y + x$ for all $x, y \in V$,
2. $(x + y) + z = x + (y + z)$ for all $x, y, z \in V$,
3. there exist $0 \in V$ such that $x + 0 = x$ for all $x \in V$,
4. for every $x \in V$, there exist $-x \in V$ such that $x + (-x) = 0$,
5. $1 \cdot x = x$ for all $x \in V$,
6. $(ab) \cdot x = a \cdot (b \cdot x)$ for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in V$,
7. $a \cdot (x + y) = a \cdot x + a \cdot y$ for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x, y \in V$.
8. $(a + b) \cdot x = a \cdot x + b \cdot x$ for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in V$

Example: Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let

$$V = \mathbb{R}^n = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Given $(x_1, \dots, x_n), (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in V$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}$, define $(x_1, \dots, x_n) + (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in V$ and $a \cdot (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in V$ by

$$(x_1, \dots, x_n) + (y_1, \dots, y_n) = (x_1 + y_1, \dots, x_n + y_n)$$

and

$$a \cdot (x_1, \dots, x_n) = (ax_1, \dots, ax_n).$$

Then $(V, +, \cdot)$ is a vector space.

1.1 Exercise

- Let S be a nonempty set. Let $V = \{f : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\}$. Given $f, g \in V$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}$, define $f + g \in V$ and $a \cdot f \in V$ by


$$(f + g)(s) = f(s) + g(s) \quad \text{for } s \in S,$$

and

$$(a \cdot f)(s) = af(s) \quad \text{for } s \in S.$$

Show that $(V, +, \cdot)$ is a vector space.

1.2 Length of a vector, inner product, and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality



Length of a vector: This one is easy, we have a vector of length 2 and we remember the Pythagorean theorem:

$$c^2 = b^2 + a^2.$$

For a vector \mathbf{x} of length n :

$$\|\mathbf{x}\| = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2}.$$

This is called the **Euclidean norm**. We can verify it for the example above where $\mathbf{x}' = (3, 2)$: $\|\mathbf{x}\| = \sqrt{3^2 + 2^2}$, which is equal to what we learned using the Pythagorean theorem. Also, for any two points $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|$ measures the distance between \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} .


Inner product of two vectors $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is defined by $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{u} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i$. For example, let ω be a vector of portfolio weights and \mathbf{p} be a price vector for every asset in the portfolio. The value of the portfolio is then given by $\omega \cdot \mathbf{p} = \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i p_i$.

Cauchy-Schwarz inequality:

$$\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} \leq \|\mathbf{u}\| \cdot \|\mathbf{v}\|$$

In fact,

$$\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} = \|\mathbf{u}\| \cdot \|\mathbf{v}\| \cdot \cos(\theta)$$



According to Pythagora's theorem, the angle θ between two vectors \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} is a right angle ($= 90^\circ$) if and only if $(OU)^2 + (OB)^2 = (VU)^2$, or $\|\mathbf{u}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{u}\|^2 = \|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}\|^2$. This implies that $\theta = 90^\circ$ if and only if

$$\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u} = (\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}) \cdot (\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}.$$

Because of symmetry, $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{u}$, so we need $2\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0$ for the equality to hold, and so $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0$. When the angle between two vectors \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} is 90° , we say that they are **orthogonal**. If two vectors \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} are orthogonal we write $\mathbf{u} \perp \mathbf{v}$. Here we proved that two vectors in \mathbb{R}^2 or \mathbb{R}^3 are orthogonal if and only if their inner product is 0. For vectors in \mathbb{R}^n , we define orthogonality between \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} as

$$\mathbf{u} \perp \mathbf{v} \iff \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0.$$

Example: Suppose we have n observations of a commodity's price and quantity demanded $(p_1, d_1), (p_2, d_2), \dots, (p_n, d_n)$. Define the means as

$$\bar{\mathbf{p}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n p_i, \quad \bar{\mathbf{d}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n d_i,$$

and

$$\mathbf{v} = (p_1 - \bar{\mathbf{p}}, p_2 - \bar{\mathbf{p}}, \dots, p_n - \bar{\mathbf{p}}), \quad \mathbf{u} = (d_1 - \bar{\mathbf{d}}, d_2 - \bar{\mathbf{d}}, \dots, d_n - \bar{\mathbf{d}}).$$

Then the **correlation coefficient** ρ can be computed as

$$\rho = \frac{\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}}{\|\mathbf{v}\| \cdot \|\mathbf{u}\|} = \cos(\theta).$$

Projection