MFE BOOTCAMP

Tobias Ingebrigtsen

August 26, 2022

Abstract

These notes are written for part 2 of the UCLA Anderson Master of Financial Engineering Bootcamp. I have pulled together many concepts that will be useful for the MFE program and tried to make example code where I believe it might be useful. The material is gathered from lectures that I have taken myself, and some are my very own notes. If you find any typos (there are likely a lot of typos) or have any feedback, then please let me know.

Contents

1	Line	ear Algebra	1		
	1.1	Exercise	4		
	1.2	Length of a vector, inner product, and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality	4		
	1.3	The solutions	9		
	1.4	Rank of a matrix	9		
	1.5	Applications	10		
		1.5.1 Sidenote: Root-finding algorithms	15		
	1.6	Quadratic forms	16		
	1.7	Definiteness of quadratic forms	16		
2	Ont	imization	18		
_					
	2.1	Stationary points of quadratic functions	21		
	2.2	Classification of stationary points	21		
3	Line	ear Regression	22		
	3.1	Regression vs correlations	22		
	3.2	The Linear Regression Model	22		
	3.3	The Gauss-Markov Theorem	22		
	3.4	Estimation of β : Ordinary Least Squares - Univariate case	23		
	3.5	Estimation of β : Ordinary Least Squares - General case	25		
	3.6	Sampling Properties of OLS	26		
	3.7	Estimator uncertainty	27		
	3.8	Hypothesis testing - The test of significance approach	30		
4 Constrained Optimization 32					
	4.1	The Lagrange Multiplier Method	32		
	4.2	Interpreting the Lagrange Multiplier	33		
	4.3	Application: Portfolio Theory Minimum Variance Portfolio	34		
	4.4		35		
	4.5	Optimal Risky Portfolio	36		
	4.6	Asset allocation with risky assets and T-bill	37		
	17	Numerical approach to find the portfolios	2Ω		

TI

5	Tim	e Series	TI 42	
	5.1	Important notation and concepts	43	
		5.1.1 Strictly stationary process	43	
		5.1.2 Weakly stationary process	43	
		5.1.3 A white noise process	43	
	5.2	ARMA models	44	
		5.2.1 Lag operators	44	
		5.2.2 Moving average processes	44	
		5.2.3 Autoregressive processes	47	
		5.2.4 Stationarity	47	
		5.2.5 MA(1) as AR(∞)	53	
		5.2.6 Forecasting with an MA(1) and AR(1)	54	
		5.2.7 ARMA processes	55	
	5.3	Modeling volatility	55	
6	Mor	tingales	ee.	
O		Martingale Problems	66	
	6.1		66	
	6.2	Martingale Problems - Solutions	67	
7 Brownian Motion				
	7.1	Brownian Motion Problems	70	
	7.2	Brownian Motion Problems - Solutions	71	
8	Inte	grals	74	
	8.1	Riemann-Stieltjes Integral Problems	75	
	8.2	Riemann-Stieltjes Integral Problems - Solutions	77	
	8.3	Itô Integral Problems	80	
	8.4	Itô Integral Problems - Solutions	81	
_				
9	Itô (Calculus	82	
	9.1	<u>Itô Calculus Problems</u>	83	
	9.2	Itô Calculus Problems - Solution	85	
10 Major Models of SDEs				
11 Change of Massara				
11	1 Change of Measure			

1 Linear Algebra

Motivation: As quantitative economists, we often rely on linear algebra as a tool for solving simple and complex problems. For example, linear algebra allows us to find solutions to linear systems:

$$y_1 = \phi_1 x_{11} + \phi_2 x_{12} + \dots + \phi_k x_{1k},$$

 \vdots
 $y_n = \phi_1 x_{n1} + \phi_2 x_{n2} + \dots + \phi_k x_{nk},$

where we are interested in the unknowns ϕ_i given series of observables x_i and y_i . An example of a system of interest is the famous Capital Asset Pricing Model:

$$r_{i,t} - r^f = \alpha_i + \beta_i (r_{m,t} - r^f),$$

where $r_{i,t}$ is the return of asset i on time t, $r_{m,t}$ is the market return on time t, and r^f is the risk-free rate of interest. In this model we try to explain the excess return of all assets by each assets sensitivity to the market (more on this later). Important things to consider when we work with these problems:

- ► How many solutions (if any) exists?
- ▶ If there are no closed-form solution to this system, can we still approximate it?
- ► How do we compute the solution?

Linear algebra can also simplify notation. Consider the simple case of computing the variance of a portfolio of two assets: We know that the total variance of two variables, in this case stock 1 and stock 2, s_1 and s_2 can be computed as

$$Var(s_1 + s_2) = Var(s_1) + Var(s_2) + 2cov(s_1, s_2).$$

Now, let ω_1 and ω_2 be the portfolio weights of stock 1 and stock 2. The portfolio variance is now:

$$Var(\omega_1 s_1 + \omega_2 s_2) = \omega_1^2 Var(s_1) + \omega_2^2 Var(s_2) + 2\omega_1 \omega_2 cov(s_1, s_2).$$

For an n-asset portfolio this becomes

$$\operatorname{Var}(\omega_1 s_1 + \dots + \omega_n s_n) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^n \omega_i \omega_j \operatorname{Cov}(s_i, s_j).$$


By using matrix notation we get

$$Var(\omega_1 s_1 + ... + \omega_n s_n) = \omega' \Sigma \omega,$$

where Σ is and $(n \times n)$ covariance matrix and ω is a vector of weights.

Vectors

A vector in \mathbb{R}^n is an n-tuple of numbers and represent a direction and magnitude.




We can express the same vector in two ways:

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{x}^t = [x_1, x_2, ..., x_3].$$

Example: In \mathbb{R}^2 :


$$\mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$$



The ordering matters:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \neq \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix}$$





What can we do with vectors?

An n-vector, \mathbf{u} , can be multiplied by a number c. This is called scalar multiplication and yields a new vector n-vector, $c\mathbf{u}$.

Example: (n=3) Let
$$\mathbf{u} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
 and $c = 3$. Then,

$$3\mathbf{u} = 3 \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \times 2 \\ 3 \times (-1) \\ 3 \times 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ -3 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We can also add and subtract to vectors **u**, **v**:

$$\mathbf{u}' + \mathbf{v}' = (u_1 + v_1, u_2 + v_2, +...+, u_n + v_n),$$

$$\mathbf{u}' - \mathbf{v}' = (u_1 - v_1, u_2 - v_2, -...-, u_n - v_n).$$

Definition 1.1: Vector Space

Formally, a vector space over \mathbb{R} is a tuple $(V, +, \cdot)$ where V is a set, +: $V \times V \to V$ and \cdot : $\mathbb{R} \times V \to V$ such that

- 1. x + y = y + x for all $x, y \in V$,
- 2. (x + y) + z = x + (y + z) for all $x, y \in V$,
- 3. there exist $0 \in V$ such that x + 0 = x for all $x \in V$,
- 4. for every $x \in V$, there exist $-x \in V$ such that x + (-x) = 0,
- 5. $1 \cdot x = x$ for all $x \in V$,
- 6. $(ab) \cdot x = a \cdot (b \cdot x)$ for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in V$,
- 7. $a \cdot (x + y) = a \cdot x + a \cdot y$ for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x, y \in V$.
- 8. $(a+b) \cdot x = a \cdot x + b \cdot x$ for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in V$

Example: Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let

$$V = \mathbb{R}^n = \{(x_1, ..., x_n) | x_1, ..., x_n \in \mathbb{R}\}.$$

Given $(x_1, ..., x_n), (y_1, ..., y_n) \in V$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}$, define $(x_1, ..., x_n) + (y_1, ..., y_n) \in V$ and $a \cdot (x_1, ..., x_n) \in V$ by

$$(x_1,...,x_n) + (y_1,...,y_n) = (x_1 + y_1,...,x_n + y_n)$$

and

$$a \cdot (x_1, ..., x_n) = (ax_1, ..., ax_n).$$

Then $(V, +, \cdot)$ is a vector space.

1.1 Exercise

1. Let S be a nonempty set. Let $V = \{f : S \to \mathbb{R}\}$. Given $f, g \in V$ and $a \in \mathbb{R}$, define $f + g \in V$ and $a \times f \in V$ by


$$(f+g)(s) = f(s)$$
 for $s \in S$,

and

$$(a \cdot f)(s) = af(s)$$
 for $s \in S$.

Show that $(V, +, \cdot)$ is a vector space.

1.2 Length of a vector, inner product, and the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality



Lenght of a vector: This one is easy, we have a vector of length 2 and we remember the Pythagorean theorem:

$$c^2 = b^2 + a^2$$
.

For a vector \mathbf{x} of length n:

$$\|\mathbf{x}\| = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2}.$$

This is called the **Euclidean norm**. We can verify it for the example above where $\mathbf{x}' = (3,2)$: $\|\mathbf{x}\| = \sqrt{3^2 + 2^2}$, which is equal to what we learned using the Pythagorean theorem. Also, for any two points $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}\|$ measures the distance between \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} .

TI


Inner product of two vectors $\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is defined by $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{u} = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i y_i$. For example, let $\boldsymbol{\omega}$ be a vector of portfolio weights and \mathbf{p} be a price vector for every asset in the portfolio. The value of the portfolio is then given by $\boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \mathbf{p} = \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i p_i$.

Cauchy-Schwarz inequality:

$$u\cdot v \leq \|u\|\cdot \|v\|$$

In fact,

$$\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} = \|\mathbf{u}\| \cdot \|\mathbf{v}\| \cdot cos(\theta)$$



According to Pythagora's theorem, the angle θ between two vectors \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} is a right angle (= 90°) if and only if $(OU)^2 + (OB)^2 = (VU)^2$, or $\|\mathbf{u}\|^2 + \|\mathbf{u}\|^2 = \|\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}\|^2$. This implies that $\theta = 90^\circ$ if and only if

$$\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u} = (\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u})(\mathbf{v} - \mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}.$$

Because of symmetry, $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} = \mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{u}$, so we need $2\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0$ for the equality to hold, and so $\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0$. When the angle between two vectors \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} is 90° , we say that they are **orthogonal.** If two vectors \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} are orthogonal we write $\mathbf{u} \perp \mathbf{v}$. Here we proved that two vectors in \mathbb{R}^2 or \mathbb{R}^3 are orthogonal if and only if their inner product is 0. For vectors in \mathbb{R}^n , we define orthogonality between \mathbf{u} and \mathbf{v} as

$$\mathbf{u} \perp \mathbf{v} \iff \mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v} = 0.$$

Example: Suppose we have n observations of a commodity's price and quantity demanded $(p_1, d_1), (p_2, d_2), ..., (p_n, d_n)$. Define the means as

$$\overline{\mathbf{p}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} p_i, \quad \overline{\mathbf{d}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_i,$$


and

$$\mathbf{v} = (p_1 - \overline{\mathbf{p}}, p_2 - \overline{\mathbf{p}}, ..., p_n - \overline{\mathbf{p}}), \quad \mathbf{u} = (d_1 - \overline{\mathbf{d}}, d_2 - \overline{\mathbf{d}}, ..., d_n - \overline{\mathbf{d}}).$$

Then the **correlation coefficient** ρ can be computed as

$$\rho = \frac{\mathbf{u} \cdot \mathbf{v}}{\|\mathbf{v}\| \cdot \|\mathbf{u}\|} = \cos(\theta).$$

Projection



 \mathbf{u}_W is such that

1. \mathbf{u}_W is parallell with W: There exist a scalar c such that $\mathbf{u}_W = cW$,

2.
$$\mathbf{u}_w \perp (\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_W)$$

Now we can find c, i.e. find \mathbf{u}_w . 2. means that

$$\mathbf{u}_W \cdot (\mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_W) = 0,$$

 $\mathbf{u}_W \cdot \mathbf{u} - \mathbf{u}_W \cdot \mathbf{u}_W = 0,$ (Distributive law (VS 7))
 $\mathbf{u}_W \cdot \mathbf{u} = \|\mathbf{u}_W\|^2.$ (*)

From 1. we have $\mathbf{u}_W = cW$. Insert (*) into 1. to get $cW \cdot \mathbf{u} = ||cW||^2 = c^2 ||W||^2$. Solve for c:

$$c = \frac{W \cdot \mathbf{u}}{\|W\|^2}.$$


Conclusion:

$$Proj_W(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{u}_W = \frac{W \cdot \mathbf{u}}{\|W\|^2} \cdot W.$$

Example: Linear regression as a projection (later).

Linear independence of vectors, basis and linear subspace.

We have already seen that we can create a new vector \mathbf{x} by two other vectors:



A natural question then becomes: how many other vectors can we create by linear combinations of **u** and **v**?

Definition 1.2: Span

Assume $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, ..., \mathbf{v}_m$ are n-vectors. Then, $\mathrm{span}(\mathbf{v}_1, ..., \mathbf{v}_m)$ are all the vectors that are linear combinations of $\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, ..., \mathbf{v}_m$ ($c_1\mathbf{v}_1, c_2\mathbf{v}_2, ..., c_m\mathbf{v}_m$).

Example: (m=1) span(\mathbf{v}_1) are all vectors $c_1\mathbf{v}_1$ where $c_1 \in \mathbb{R}$.

Exercise: (m=3)
$$\mathbf{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
, $\mathbf{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $\mathbf{v}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$. What is span(\mathbf{v}_1 , \mathbf{v}_2 , \mathbf{v}_3)?

Definition 1.3: Linear dependence

The vectors $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, ..., \mathbf{v}_m\}$ are **linearly dependent** if one or more of the vectors can be written as a linear combination of the others.

Example:
$$\mathbf{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
, $\mathbf{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $\mathbf{v}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ are linear dependent since $\mathbf{v}_2 = \frac{1}{3}\mathbf{v}_3 - \frac{2}{3}\mathbf{v}_1$.

Definition 1.4: Basis

If the vectors $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, ..., \mathbf{v}_m\}$ are not linearly dependent we say that they are **linearly independent**. Then the vectors $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, ..., \mathbf{v}_m\}$ form a **basis** for span($\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, ..., \mathbf{v}_m\}$).

Example: Consider the vectors
$$\mathbf{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
, $\mathbf{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $\mathbf{v}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$. $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2\}$ are linearly independent (why?)

therefore forms a basis for the xy-plane. Note: $\{\mathbf{v}_1, \mathbf{v}_2, \mathbf{v}_3\}$ spans \mathbb{R}^2 but is not a basis since they are linearly dependent.

Definition 1.5: Dimension

The number of vectors is in the basis is called the dimension of the linear subspace.

Example: Consider the vectors
$$\mathbf{v}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
, $\mathbf{v}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$, $\mathbf{v}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$. The dimension of span(\mathbf{v}_1 , \mathbf{v}_2 , \mathbf{v}_3) is 2.

Linear systems

$$2x_1 - 4x_2 + 2x_3 = 12$$
,

$$3x_1 - 5x_2 - 2x_3 = 5$$
,

$$-4x_1 + 7x_2 + 4x_3 = -2.$$

Write
$$\mathbf{u}_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \\ -4 \end{bmatrix}$$
, $\mathbf{u}_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -4 \\ -5 \\ 7 \end{bmatrix}$, $\mathbf{u}_3 = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ -2 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$, $\mathbf{v} = \begin{bmatrix} 12 \\ 5 \\ -2 \end{bmatrix}$. The linear system now becomes

$$x_1$$
u₁ + x_2 **u**₂ + x_3 **u**₃ = **v**.

Question: Does the above system have a solution? (i.e. is \mathbf{v} in span $(\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, \mathbf{u}_3)$?) \rightarrow Gaussian Elimination.

Definition 1.6: Gaussian elimination

- a method for solving all linear systems.
 - 1. Write the linear system as a matrix
 - 2. From this matrix, create a new matrix in echelon form using elementary row operations
 - 3. Translate the new matrix to a simpler linear system.
 - 4. Solve this system using backwards substitution.

Definition 1.7: Echelon form

- 1. 0-rows are in the bottom rows of the matrix
- 2. Every pivot (leading coefficient) is longer to the right than the pivots in the rows above it (a pivot is the first number in a row that is non-zero.)

Definition 1.8: Free variables

The variables that corresponds to columns without pivots (but not the last column).

Example:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 6 & 4 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 4 & 3 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

The above matrix is in echelon form, where the blue numbers are **pivots** and the variable corresponding to the third column is free.

Exercise: Write the linear system

$$2x_1 - 4x_2 + 2x_3 = 12$$
,
 $3x_1 - 5x_2 - 2x_3 = 5$,
 $-4x_1 + 7x_2 + 4x_3 = -2$,

in matrix form and solve it using Gaussian elimination.

1.3 The solutions

Every linear system has either

- 1. No solution \iff pivot in the last column.
- 2. A unique solution \iff pivot in all columns except the last.
- 3. Infinitely many solutions \iff no pivot in last column and at least one free variable.

1.4 Rank of a matrix

The rank of a matrix is the number of pivots in the echelon form.

Example:

$$\mathbf{A} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 & -1 & 3 \\ -4 & -3 & 9 & 5 \\ 6 & 12 & -3 & 9 \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 4 & -1 & 3 \\ 0 & 5 & 7 & 11 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Here we have to pivots, so rk(A)=2.

$$\mathbf{B} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 5 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 9 \end{bmatrix} \sim \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Here we have three pivots, so rk(B)=3.

```
from numpy.linalg import matrix_rank
A = np.matrix('2_4_-1_3;-4_-3_9_5;6_12_-3_9')
Out[10]:
matrix ([[ 2, 4, -1, 3],
        [-4, -3, 9, 5]
        [6, 12, -3, 9]]
matrix_rank(A)
Out[11]: 2
B = np.eye(3)
В
Out [16]:
array([[1., 0., 0.],
       [0., 1., 0.],
       [0., 0., 1.]])
matrix_rank(B)
Out[17]: 3
```

1.5 Applications

Let $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, ... \mathbf{u}_m\}$ be n-vectors.

- 1. The vectors are linearly independent $\iff x_1\mathbf{u}_1 + x_2\mathbf{u}_2 + ... + x_m\mathbf{u}_m = 0$ only has the trivial solution $(x_1 = x_2 = ... = x_m = 0)$. [a system on the form $x_1\mathbf{u}_1 + x_2\mathbf{u}_2 + ... + x_m\mathbf{u}_m = 0$ is called a homogeneous system]
- 2. The vectors corresponding to pivot positions in the echelon form gives a basis for span $(\mathbf{u}_1, \mathbf{u}_2, ... \mathbf{u}_m)$

Matrices

Definition 1.9: Transpose

The transpose of an $(m \times n)$ -matrix A gives an $(n \times m)$ matrix A' where the first column in A becomes the first row of A', etc.

Example:
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & -3 \\ 2 & 7 & 5, \end{bmatrix}, \quad A' = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 7 \\ -3 & 5 \end{bmatrix}.$$

What can we do with matrices? Almost the same operations as with scalars, however **the order is important. Example:**

$$A(B+C) = AB + AC,$$

 $(A+B)^2 = A^2 + AB + BA + B^2,$
 $(A')' = A,$
 $c(A+B) = cA + cB, etc.$

Important: AB is not necessarily equal to BA:

```
import numpy as np
A = np. matrix(np.random.randint(0,10, size=(3, 3)))
B = np. matrix(np.random.randint(0,10, size=(3, 3)))
Α
Out [28]:
matrix([[0, 0, 1],
        [3, 0, 4],
        [5, 7, 9]])
Out [29]:
matrix([[8, 0, 8],
        [4, 5, 9],
        [3, 0, 4]])
C = np.matmul(A,B)
D = np.matmul(B,A)
C
Out [32]:
matrix([[ 3, 0, 4],
       [ 36, 0, 40],
        [ 95, 35, 139]])
D
Out [33]:
matrix([[ 40, 56, 80],
               63, 105],
       [ 60,
        [ 20, 28, 39]])
```

Linear systems using matrix notation (m equations, n unknowns)

$$a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 + ... + a_{1n}x_n = b_1,$$

 $a_{21}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 + ... + a_{2n}x_n = b_2,$
 \vdots
 $a_{m1}x_1 + a_{m2}x_2 + ... + a_{mn}x_n = b_m.$

Write

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ \vdots & & \ddots & \vdots \\ a_{11} & a_{m2} & \dots & a_{mn} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}, \quad \boldsymbol{b} = \begin{bmatrix} b_1 \\ b_2 \\ \vdots \\ b_m \end{bmatrix}.$$

We can now write the linear system above as

$$A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$$
.

Definition 1.10: The identity matrix

Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be a **quadratic** $(n \times n)$ matrix where $a_{ij} = 1$ for i = j and 0 otherwise:

$$I = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

This is called the **identity matrix**. We then have that

$$AI = A = IA$$

Example:

```
import numpy as np
A = np.matrix(np.random.randint(0,10, size=(3, 3)))
I = np.eye(3)
Out [36]:
matrix([[5, 8, 7],
        [7, 1, 2],
        [5, 2, 1]])
I
Out [37]:
array([[1., 0., 0.],
       [0., 1., 0.],
       [0., 0., 1.]])
np.matmul(A, I)
Out [38]:
matrix([[5., 8., 7.],
        [7., 1., 2.],
        [5., 2., 1.]])
np.matmul(I,A)
Out [39]:
matrix([[5., 8., 7.],
        [7., 1., 2.],
        [5., 2., 1.]])
```

Definition 1.11: Invertability of a matrix

An $(n \times n)$ matrix A is invertible if there exist an $(n \times n)$ matrix B such that

$$AB = I = BA$$

If A is invertible, B is unique such that AB = I = BA.

```
import numpy as np
A = np. matrix(np.random.randint(0,10, size=(3, 3)))
B = np. linalg.inv(A)
Α
Out [41]:
matrix([[1, 6, 1],
        [8, 7, 6],
        [4, 1, 7]
Out [42]:
matrix([[-0.25443787, 0.24260355, -0.17159763],
        [0.18934911, -0.01775148, -0.01183432],
        [ 0.1183432 , -0.13609467, 0.24260355]])
np.matmul(A,B)
Out [43]:
matrix([[1.00000000e+00, -2.77555756e-17, 0.00000000e+00],
        [2.22044605e-16, 1.00000000e+00, 5.55111512e-17],
        [5.55111512e-17, -2.77555756e-17, 1.00000000e+00]]
np.matmul(B,A)
Out [44]:
matrix([[1.00000000e+00, 1.38777878e-16, 0.00000000e+00],
        [-6.93889390e-18, 1.00000000e+00, -5.20417043e-18],
        [-1.11022302e-16, \ -5.55111512e-17, \ \ 1.000000000e+00]])
```

The result above is very useful in economics since it allows us to solve linear systems very easily. Remember that we can write a linear system on the form

$$A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$$
.

We might ask ourselves, what \mathbf{x} will solve the system above? That is, we want to isolate \mathbf{x} on the left side of the equation. Let B be the inverse of A (we often write A^{-1}), then:

$$A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$$
,
 $BA\mathbf{x} = B\mathbf{b}$,
 $I\mathbf{x} = B\mathbf{b}$.

⇒ a very easy way to solve a system!

Definition 1.12: Determinants

For every $(n \times n)$ matrix A there exist a number det(A) (often |A|) so that the following is true:

```
1. det(AB) = det(A) \cdot det(B)
```

2.
$$det(A') = det(A)$$

Theorem: A invertible \iff det(A) \neq 0.

If the determinant of A is not zero, then we say that is **nonsingular**.

Useful results: for an $(n \times n)$ matrix A where det(A) = 0:

- 1. The columns of A are dependent vectors in \mathbb{R}^n
- 2. The rows of A are dependent vectors in \mathbb{R}^n
- 3. A is not invertible

Definition 1.13: Eigenvalues and eigenvectors

The $(n \times n)$ matrix A has the eigenvalue λ (a number) if the equation

$$A\mathbf{x} = \lambda \mathbf{x}$$

has a non-trivial solution (i.e. $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b} \neq \mathbf{0}$). Then the vector \mathbf{b} is an eigenvector to A with eigenvalue λ .

Example:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 \\ 0 & 3 \end{bmatrix}, \text{ then } A\mathbf{x} = 3\mathbf{x},$$

so $\lambda = 3$ is an eigenvalue to A and all 2-vectors are eigenvectors to A with eigenvalue 3.

Example:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 2 \\ -3 & -1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

For what number λ does the system

$$A\mathbf{x} = \lambda \mathbf{x}$$

have non-trivial solutions?

i.e.
$$\begin{bmatrix} 4 & 2 \\ -3 & -1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda x_1 \\ \lambda x_2 \end{bmatrix}$$

i.e. $\begin{cases} 4x_1 + 2x_2 = \lambda x_1 \\ -3x_1 - x_2 = \lambda x_2 \end{cases}$
i.e. $\begin{cases} (4 - \lambda)x_1 + 2x_2 = 0 \\ -3x_1 - (1 + \lambda)x_2 = 0 \end{cases}$
i.e. $\begin{bmatrix} 4 - \lambda & 2 \\ -3 & -(1 + \lambda) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \Rightarrow \text{ a homogeneous system!}$

Generally: A homogeneous system $B\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ has non-trivial solutions \iff det(B) = 0. **Question:** Why? Therefore,

$$|B| = 0 \iff (4 - \lambda)(-(1 + \lambda)) - 2 \cdot (-3) = \lambda^2 - 3\lambda + 2 = 0.$$

We solve this second-order equation to get

$$\lambda = \frac{-(-3) \pm \sqrt{(-3)^2 - 4 \cdot 2}}{2} = \begin{cases} 1\\ 2 \end{cases}$$

So $\lambda = 1$ and $\lambda = 2$ are the eigenvalues of A.

Exercise: Find the eigenvectors of A.




Figure 1: The roots are given by the black dots

1.5.1 Sidenote: Root-finding algorithms

In the previous example we solved a second-order equation of the form

$$x^2 - 3x + 2 = 0$$
.

Although these can be straight-forward computed by hand, it's easy to make mistakes (and who solves problems by hand these days anyways?). Moreover, this becomes **very** complicated as the degree of the polynomial increase. A useful tool is therefore a root-finder. A root-finding algorithm is an algorithm for finding zeroes ("roots") of continuous functions.

```
import numpy as np

# Find the roots of f(x) = x^2 -3x +2
coeff = [1, -3, 2]
np.roots(coeff)

Out[7]: array([2., 1.])
# The roots are 2 and 1.

# The figure
import matplotlib.pyplot as plt
def f(x):
    return (x**2 -3*x +2 )

x = np.linspace(0,3,50)
y = f(x)

plt.figure()
```

```
TI
```

```
plt.plot(x,y,c='pink',linewidth=4, markevery=[1,2])
plt.plot(1,0,"-o",c='black')
plt.plot(2,0,"-o",c='black')
plt.title('Plot_of_$x^2-3x_+2$')
```

1.6 Quadratic forms

Quadratic forms are polynomials where all terms are of degree two. Examples:

1.
$$Q_1(\mathbf{x}) = 3x_1^2 + 8x_1x_2 + 5x^2$$

1.
$$Q_1(\mathbf{x}) = 3x_1^2 + 8x_1x_2 + 5x^2$$

2. $Q_2(\mathbf{x}) = x_1^2 - 3x_2^2 + 5x_3^2 + 7x_2x_3 + 9x_1x_3 - 11x_1x_2$

For every quadratic form $Q(\mathbf{x})$ in n variables there exist a symmetrix $(n \times n)$ matrix A such that

$$Q(\mathbf{x}) = \mathbf{x}' A \mathbf{x}, \quad \mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{bmatrix}, \quad \text{so } \mathbf{x}' = [x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n].$$

Example:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 4 \\ 4 & 5 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$\mathbf{x}' A \mathbf{x} = [x_1, x_2] \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 4 \\ 4 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = 3x_1^2 + 8x_1x_2 + 5x_2^2 = Q(\mathbf{x}).$$

Definiteness of quadratic forms

Let $Q(\mathbf{x})$ be a quadratic form with symmetric matrix A.

Definition 1.14: Definiteness

 $Q(\mathbf{x})$ is positive semidefinite definite if $Q(\mathbf{x}) \ge 0$ for all values of \mathbf{x} (and positive definite if $Q(\mathbf{x}) > 0$ for all $x \neq 0$.)

 $Q(\mathbf{x})$ is negative semidefinite definite if $Q(\mathbf{x}) \le 0$ for all values of \mathbf{x} (and negative definite if $Q(\mathbf{x}) < 0$ for all $x \neq 0$.)

If $Q(\mathbf{x})$ is neither positive or negative semidefinite, then it is indefinite.

Example:

$$Q(\mathbf{x}) = 3x_1^2 + 5x_2^2 > \forall \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0},$$

i.e. $Q(\mathbf{x})$ is positive definite (and positive semidefinite).

TI

What about $Q(\mathbf{x}) = 3x_1^2 + 8x_1x_2 + 5x_2^2$? $8x_1x_2$ is negative if x_1 and x_2 have opposite signs - it's not clear when we have crossterms in $Q(\mathbf{x})$.

 $\begin{array}{ll} \textbf{Theorem} & \text{Let $\lambda_1,\lambda_2,...,\lambda_n$ be the eigenvalues of A. Then,} \\ Q(\textbf{x}) & \text{positive semidefinite} \iff \text{all $\lambda_i \geq 0$} \\ & \text{positive definite} \iff \text{all $\lambda_i \leq 0$} \\ & \text{negative semdefinite} \iff \text{all $\lambda_i \leq 0$} \\ & \text{negative definite} \iff \text{all $\lambda_i < 0$} \\ & \text{indefinite} \iff \lambda_i < 0 \text{ and $\lambda_j > 0$ for some i,j.} \\ \end{aligned}$

2 Optimization

We are often faced with optimization problems in economics. A classic example is consumption and investment decisions, where an agent can choose to either consume some (or even all) of her wealth, and invest the remaining in assets which she can use to consume next period. This gives rise to yet another problem; what portfolio should she invest in? Should it be equal-weighted in risky stocks? Should she perhaps allocate some of her wealth to risk-free T-bills? These questions can be answered using optimization.

Definition 2.1: Extreme points

If f(x) has domain D then

 $c \in D$ is a **maximum point** for $f \iff f(x) \le f(c)$ for all $x \in D$, $d \in D$ is a **minimum point** for $f \iff f(x) \ge f(d)$ for all $x \in D$.

If the value of f at c is strictly larger than at any other point in D, then c is a **strict maximum point**. Similarly,d is a **strict minimum point** if f(x) > f(d) for all $x \in D$, $x \ne 0$.

Note: If f is any function with domain D: $f(x) \le f(c)$ for all x in D iff $-f(x) \ge -f(c)$ for all x in D. Implication: c maximizes f in D iff c minimizes -f in D. This is sometimes useful in numerical optimization if the optimizer only minimize.

Example: find the extreme points for $g(x) = 3 - (x - 2)^2$.

Solution:

Since $(x-2)^2 \ge 0$ for all x, it follows that $f(x) \le 3$ for all x. But f(x) = 3 when $(x-2)^2 = 0$ at x = 2. Therefore, x = 2 is a **maximum point** for f. Because $f(x) \to -\infty$ as $x \to \pm \infty$, f has no minimum.

Example: find the extreme points for $f(x) = \sqrt{x-5} - 100$, $x \ge 5$.

Solution:

Because $\sqrt{x-5}$ is ≥ 0 for all $x \geq 5$, it follows that $f(x) \geq -100$ for all $x \geq 5$. Since f(5) = -100, we conclude that x = 5 is a **minimum point**. Since $f(x) \to \infty$ as $x \to \infty$, f has no maximum.

Definition 2.2: Derivative of a function

The derivative of a function f at point a, denoted by f'(a), is given by the formula

$$f'(a) = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{f(a+h) - f(a)}{h}.$$

f'(a) = slope of the tangent to the curve y = f(x) at the point (a, f(a)).

Definition 2.3: Necessary first-order condition

Suppose that a function f is differentiable in an interval I and that c is an interior point for I. For x = c to be a maximum or minimum point for f in I, a necessary condition is that it is a

TI

stationary point for f, i.e. that x = c satisfies the equation

$$f'(x) = 0$$
.

We call this the first-order condition.

Definition 2.4: First-derivative test for max/min

If $f'(x) \ge 0$ for $x \le c$ and $f'(x) \le 0$ for $x \ge c$, then c is a maximum point for f. If $f'(x) \le 0$ for $x \le c$ and $f'(x) \ge 0$ for $x \ge c$, then c is a minimum point for f.

Exercise

Consider the function f defined for all x by

$$f(x) = e^{2x} - 5e^x + 4$$

- (a) Find the zeros of f(x) and compute its derivative f'(x).
- (b) Find the intervals where f increases and decreases, and determine possible extreme points and values.
- (c) Examine the limit of f(x) as $x \to -\infty$. Sketch the graph of f.
- (d) Repeat (a)-(c) on the computer using Python. Try to avoid pre-programmed routines but rather write your own functions.

Definition 2.5: Second-order condition

Suppose that f is a \mathcal{C}^2 function (zeroth, first, and second derivative of f are continuous) and x be an interior point of I. Then:

- (a) f'(x) = 0 and $f''(x) < 0 \Rightarrow c = x$ is a **strict** local maximum point
- (b) f'(x) = 0 and $f''(x) > 0 \Rightarrow c = x$ is a **strict** local minimum point
- (c) f'(x) = 0 and $f''(x) = 0 \Rightarrow ?$

Exercise

(a) Classify the stationary points of

$$f(x) = 1/9x^3 - 1/6x^2 - 2/3x + 1$$

by using the second-derivative test.

(b) Find a two examples that satisfies (c) while being different local extreme points.

Definition 2.6: Extreme Value Theorem

Suppose that f is a continuous function over a closed and bounded interval [a,b]. Then there exist a point d in [a,b] where f has a minimum, and a point c in [a,b] where f has a maximum, so that

$$f(d) \le f(x) \le f(c)$$
 for all x in $[a, b]$.

This result is useful in **constrained optimization problems** where we consider optimization

ΤI


problems over closed and bounded intervals.

Definition 2.7: The Mean Value Theorem

If f is continuous in the closed bounded interval [a,b], and differentiable in the open interval (a,b), then there exists at least one interior point y in (a,b) such that

$$f'(y) = \frac{f(b) - f(a)}{b - a}.$$

"Proof":



2.1 Stationary points of quadratic functions

A second-order function:

$$f(\mathbf{x}) = \underbrace{Q(\mathbf{x})}_{\text{quadr. form}} + \underbrace{L(\mathbf{x})}_{\text{linear form}} + \underbrace{c}_{\text{constant}}$$
$$= \mathbf{x}' A \mathbf{x} + B \mathbf{x} + c.$$

Then,

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} \\ \vdots \\ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \end{bmatrix} = 2A\mathbf{x} + B'.$$

Example:

$$f(x_1, x_2) = x_1^2 - 4x_1x_2 + 3x_2^2 + 7x_1 - 8x_2 + 5$$

which can be written as

$$\begin{bmatrix} x_1, x_2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -2 \\ -2 & 3 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 7, -8 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} + 5.$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1} = 2x_1 - 4x_2 + 7,$$

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_2} = 6x_2 - 4x_1 - 8,$$
so
$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = \begin{bmatrix} 2x_1 - 4x_2 + 7 \\ 6x_2 - 4x_1 - 8 \end{bmatrix}.$$

$$\partial \mathbf{x} \quad [6x_2 - 4x_1 - 8]$$

$$2A\mathbf{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 2x_1 - 4x_2 \\ -4x_1 + 6x_2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B' = \begin{bmatrix} 7 \\ -8 \end{bmatrix},$$

so

$$\frac{\partial f}{\partial \mathbf{x}} = 2A\mathbf{x} + B'.$$

2.2 Classification of stationary points

$$\frac{\partial f}{\mathbf{x}} = 0$$
 i.e. $2A\mathbf{x} + B' = 0$

which is the linear system

$$A\mathbf{x} = -\frac{1}{2}B'.$$

f convex \iff A positive semidefinite f concave \iff A negative semidefinite

If f convex: All stationary points are global minimum. If f concave: All stationary points are global maximum. If f indefinite: All stationary points are saddle points.