

Sum of Divisors of $9!$ with Units Digit 3

Alethfeld Proof System

December 2025

Abstract

We prove that the sum of all positive divisors of $9!$ having units digit 3 equals 66. This corrects an erroneous problem statement claiming the sum equals 105. The proof proceeds by prime factorization and systematic case analysis on units digits modulo 10.

1 Main Result

Theorem 1 (Sum of Divisors with Units Digit 3). *The sum of all positive divisors of $9!$ that have units digit 3 equals 66. Symbolically:*

$$\sum_{\substack{d|9! \\ d \equiv 3 \pmod{10}}} d = 66.$$

Remark 2. The original problem statement claimed this sum equals 105, which is incorrect. Computational verification confirms the only such divisors are $\{3, 63\}$.

2 Proof

Definition 3 (Units Digit). For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the *units digit* of n is defined as $n \bmod 10$.

Proof of Theorem 1. We proceed via Lamport-style structured proof.

(1)1. Factorization. $9! = 362880 = 2^7 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$.

[algebraic computation] ✓

Proof. Direct computation:

$$\begin{aligned} 9! &= 1 \cdot 2 \cdot 3 \cdot 4 \cdot 5 \cdot 6 \cdot 7 \cdot 8 \cdot 9 \\ &= 362880 \\ &= 2^7 \cdot 3^4 \cdot 5 \cdot 7. \end{aligned}$$

The exponents are obtained by Legendre's formula: for prime p ,

$$\nu_p(9!) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left\lfloor \frac{9}{p^i} \right\rfloor.$$

For $p = 2$: $\lfloor 9/2 \rfloor + \lfloor 9/4 \rfloor + \lfloor 9/8 \rfloor = 4 + 2 + 1 = 7$. For $p = 3$: $\lfloor 9/3 \rfloor + \lfloor 9/9 \rfloor = 3 + 1 = 4$.
For $p = 5$: $\lfloor 9/5 \rfloor = 1$. For $p = 7$: $\lfloor 9/7 \rfloor = 1$. □

(1)2. Divisor Form. Every divisor of $9!$ has the form

$$d = 2^a \cdot 3^b \cdot 5^c \cdot 7^e$$

where $0 \leq a \leq 7$, $0 \leq b \leq 4$, $0 \leq c \leq 1$, $0 \leq e \leq 1$.

[from (1)1, definition of divisor] ✓

$\langle 1 \rangle 3$. **Divisibility by 5.** If $c = 1$ (i.e., $5 \mid d$), then $d \bmod 10 \in \{0, 5\}$. Therefore, $c = 0$ for any divisor with units digit 3. [from $\langle 1 \rangle 2$, Definition 3] ✓

Proof. If $5 \mid d$, then $d = 5k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

- If $2 \mid k$, then $10 \mid d$, so $d \equiv 0 \pmod{10}$.
- If $2 \nmid k$, then $d = 5(2m + 1) = 10m + 5$, so $d \equiv 5 \pmod{10}$.

In either case, $d \not\equiv 3 \pmod{10}$. □

$\langle 1 \rangle 4$. **Reduced Form.** We seek divisors $d = 2^a \cdot 3^b \cdot 7^e$ with $d \equiv 3 \pmod{10}$. [from $\langle 1 \rangle 2$, $\langle 1 \rangle 3$] ✓

$\langle 2 \rangle 1$. **Power Cycles mod 10.** The units digits of powers cycle as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} 2^a \bmod 10 : & 1, 2, 4, 8, 6, 2, 4, 8 \quad \text{for } a = 0, 1, \dots, 7 \\ 3^b \bmod 10 : & 1, 3, 9, 7, 1 \quad \text{for } b = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4 \\ 7^e \bmod 10 : & 1, 7 \quad \text{for } e = 0, 1 \end{aligned}$$

[algebraic computation] ✓

$\langle 2 \rangle 2$. **Case $e = 0$.** We need $(2^a \cdot 3^b) \bmod 10 = 3$. [from $\langle 1 \rangle 4$] ✓

Checking all $8 \times 5 = 40$ combinations of (a, b) using $\langle 2 \rangle 1$:

| $2^a \setminus 3^b$ | $b = 0$ | $b = 1$ | $b = 2$ | $b = 3$ | $b = 4$ |
|---------------------|---------|----------|---------|---------|---------|
| $a = 0 (1)$ | 1 | 3 | 9 | 7 | 1 |
| $a = 1 (2)$ | 2 | 6 | 8 | 4 | 2 |
| $a = 2 (4)$ | 4 | 2 | 6 | 8 | 4 |
| $a = 3 (8)$ | 8 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 8 |
| $a = 4 (6)$ | 6 | 8 | 4 | 2 | 6 |
| $a = 5 (2)$ | 2 | 6 | 8 | 4 | 2 |
| $a = 6 (4)$ | 4 | 2 | 6 | 8 | 4 |
| $a = 7 (8)$ | 8 | 4 | 2 | 6 | 8 |

Only $(a, b) = (0, 1)$ gives units digit 3, yielding divisor $d = 2^0 \cdot 3^1 = 3$. □

$\langle 2 \rangle 3$. **Case $e = 1$.** We need $(2^a \cdot 3^b \cdot 7) \bmod 10 = 3$. [from $\langle 1 \rangle 4$] ✓

Since $7 \cdot 3 \equiv 21 \equiv 1 \pmod{10}$, the multiplicative inverse of 7 modulo 10 is 3. Thus:

$$2^a \cdot 3^b \cdot 7 \equiv 3 \pmod{10} \iff 2^a \cdot 3^b \equiv 3 \cdot 3 \equiv 9 \pmod{10}.$$

From the table in $\langle 2 \rangle 2$, units digit 9 occurs only at $(a, b) = (0, 2)$.

This yields divisor $d = 2^0 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 7 = 9 \cdot 7 = 63$.

Verification: $63 \bmod 10 = 3$. ✓ □

$\langle 1 \rangle 5$. **Completeness.** The set D_3 of all divisors of $9!$ with units digit 3 is exactly $\{3, 63\}$. [from $\langle 2 \rangle 2$, $\langle 2 \rangle 3$, $\langle 1 \rangle 3$] ✓

Proof. By $\langle 1 \rangle 3$, we only consider $c = 0$. Cases $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ and $\langle 2 \rangle 3$ exhaustively check all combinations of (a, b, e) with $e \in \{0, 1\}$. The only solutions are:

- $(a, b, e) = (0, 1, 0)$ giving $d = 3$.
- $(a, b, e) = (0, 2, 1)$ giving $d = 63$.

Both divide $9!:$ $362880/3 = 120960$ and $362880/63 = 5760$. □

$\langle 1 \rangle 6$. **Sum.** $\sum_{d \in D_3} d = 3 + 63 = 66$. [from $\langle 1 \rangle 5$, arithmetic] ✓

$\langle 1 \rangle 7$. **QED.** The sum of positive divisors of $9!$ with units digit 3 is 66. [from $\langle 1 \rangle 6$] ✓ □

3 Refutation of Original Claim

Proposition 4. *The sum of divisors of $9!$ with units digit 3 is not equal to 105.*

Proof. By Theorem 1, the sum equals $66 \neq 105$. □

4 Formal Verification

This proof has been formalized in Lean 4 with decidable verification:

```
theorem sum_divisors_units_digit_3 :  
  d  divisorsWithUnitsDigit3, d = 66 := by native_decide  
  
theorem sum_not_105 :  
  d  divisorsWithUnitsDigit3, d  105 := by native_decide
```

The Lean formalization is available at:

[lean/AlethfeldLean/NumberTheory/DivisorSum9Factorial.lean](#)

Proof Metadata

Graph ID: graph-div9fac-a1b2c3
Version: 1
Proof Mode: strict-mathematics
Status: All steps verified
Taint: clean
Obligations: none

Generated by Alethfeld Proof System, December 2025.