Ghost Signals: Verifying Termination of Busy-Waiting (Technical Report)

Tobias Reinhard, Bart Jacobs October 22, 2020

Abstract

In this work we propose a separation logic to verify termination of busy-waiting for arbitrary events through so-called $ghost\ signals.$

Contents

1	Universe	2
2	General	3
3	Syntax	4
4	Example	4
5	Resources	5
6	Semantics	5
7	Assertions	8
8	Proof Rules	11
9	Annotated Semantics	12
10	Hoare Triple Model Relation	22
11	Soundness	23
12	Verification Example 12.1 Minimal Example	31 31

List of Figures

1	Syntax
2	Example Program
3	Single thread reduction rules
4	Thread pool reduction rules
5	Assertion syntax
6	Assertion model relation
7	View shift rules
8	Proof rules (part 1)
9	Proof rules (part 2)
10	Derived proof rule
11	Annotated single thread reduction rules (part 1)
12	Annotated single thread reduction rules (part 2) 18
13	Ghost thread pool reduction rules (part 1)
14	Ghost thread pool reduction rules (part 2)
15	Non-ghost thread pool reduction rules
16	Verification example (main thread)
17	Verification example (busy-waiting thread)
18	Fine-grained view shift rules for signal creation
19	Producer-consumer program with bounded FIFO
20	Verification example bounded FIFO
21	Lock invariant
22	Verification example bounded FIFO, forking & outer loop of pro-
	ducer
23	Producer's loop invariant
24	Verification example bounded FIFO, producer loop 39
25	Verification example bounded FIFO, producer thread's produc-
	tion step
26	Verification example bounded FIFO, producer's wait step 41
27	Consumer's loop invariant
28	Verification example bounded FIFO, consumer loop 43
29	Verification example bounded FIFO, consumer thread's consump-
	tion step
30	Verification example bounded FIFO, consumer's wait step 45

1 Universe

Throughout this work we assume the existence of the following sets:

- \mathcal{X} : An infinite set of program variables.
- Locs: An infinite set of heap locations.
- \bullet $\mathcal{L}ocs^{\mathsf{G}} :$ An infinite set of ghost locations.
- \bullet $\mathcal{L}evs,<_L:$ An infinite, well-founded partially ordered set of levels.

- Δ , $<_{\Delta}$: An infinite, well-founded partially ordered set of degrees.
- \mathcal{ID} : An infinite set of IDs.
- Θ: An infinite, totally ordered and well-founded set of thread IDs.
- Values: A set of values which includes:
 - A unit value $\mathsf{tt} \in \mathit{Values}$
 - Booleans $\mathbb{B} = \{\mathsf{True}, \mathsf{False}\} \subset \mathit{Values}$
 - Heap locations $\mathcal{L}ocs \subset \mathit{Values}$
- Values^G: A set of ghost values.
- Ops: A set of operations (i.e. partial functions) on values.

We denote program variables by x, heap locations by ℓ , ghost locations by $\widehat{\ell}$, levels by L, degrees by δ , IDs by id, thread IDs by θ , values by v, ghost values by \widehat{v} , boolean by b and operations by op.

2 General

Definition 2.1 (Projections). For any Cartesian product $C = \prod_{i \in I} A_i$ and any index $k \in I$, we denote the k^{th} projection by $\pi_k^C : \prod_{i \in I} A_i \to A_k$. We define

$$\pi_k^C((a_i)_{i\in I}) := a_k.$$

In case the domain C is clear from the context, we write π_k instead of π_k^C .

In the following we define our notion of bags, in the literature also referred to as multisets.

Definition 2.2 (Bags). For any set X we define the set of bags Bags(X) and the set of finite bags $Bags_{fin}(X)$ over X as

$$\begin{array}{lll} Bags(X) & := & X \to \mathbb{N}, \\ Bags_{\text{fin}}(X) & := & \{B \in Bags(X) \mid \{x \in B \mid B(x) > 0\} \text{ finite}\}. \end{array}$$

We define union and subtraction of bags as

$$(B_1 \uplus B_2)(x) := B_1(x) + B_2(x),$$

 $(B_1 \setminus B_2)(x) := \max(0, B_1(x) - B_2(x)).$

For finite bags where the domain is clear from the context, we define the following set-like notation:

$$\emptyset := x \mapsto 0,
\{x\} := \begin{cases} x \mapsto 1 \\ y \mapsto 0 & \text{for } y \neq x, \end{cases}$$

$$\{x_1, \dots, x_n\} := \bigcup_{i=1}^n \{x_i\}.$$

```
v \in Values \quad x \in \mathcal{X} \quad op \in Ops e \in Exps \quad ::= \quad x \mid v \mid e = e \mid \neg e \mid op(\overline{e}) c \in Cmds \quad ::= \quad \text{while } c \text{ do skip } \mid \text{ fork } c \mid \text{let } x := c \text{ in } c \mid \text{ if } c \text{ then } c \mid \text{cons}(e) \mid [e] \mid [e] := e \mid \text{new\_mutex } \mid \text{acquire } e \mid \text{release } e \mid e \mid \text{consumeItPerm} \qquad \text{intermediate representation}
```

Figure 1: Syntax.

We define the following set-like notations for element and subset relationship:

$$\begin{array}{lll} x \in B & \Leftrightarrow & B(x) > 0, \\ B_1 \subseteq B_2 & \Leftrightarrow & \forall x \in B_1. \ B_1(x) \leq B_2(x), \\ B_1 \subset B_2 & \Leftrightarrow & \exists C \subseteq B_1. \ C \neq \emptyset \ \land \ B_1 = B_2 \setminus C. \end{array}$$

For any bag $B \in Bags(X)$ and predicate $P \subseteq X$ we define the following refinement:

$$\{x \in B \mid P(x)\} := \begin{cases} x \mapsto B(x) & \text{if } P(x), \\ x \mapsto 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Definition 2.3 (Disjoint Union). Let A, B be sets. We define their disjoint union as

$$A \sqcup B := A \cup B$$

if $A \cap B = \emptyset$ and leave it undefined otherwise.

3 Syntax

Definition 3.1. We define the sets of commands Cmds and expressions Exps according to the syntax presented in Figure 1.

We define c; c' as shorthand for $\mathbf{let}\ x := c\ \mathbf{in}\ c'$ where x does not occur free in c' but let \cdot ; \cdot bind stronger. Further, we define $e \neq e'$ as abbreviation for $\neg (e = e')$.

4 Example

Figure 2 presents the example program we plan to verify. For this example we let Values include natural numbers.

```
\begin{split} \mathbf{let} \; \mathsf{x} &:= \; \mathbf{cons}(0) \; \mathbf{in} \\ \mathbf{let} \; \mathsf{m} &:= \; \mathbf{new\_mutex} \; \mathbf{in} \\ \mathbf{fork} \; (\mathbf{while} \; (\mathbf{acquire} \; \mathsf{m}; \\ & \; \; \mathbf{let} \; \mathsf{y} \; := \; [\mathsf{x}] \; \mathbf{in} \\ & \; \; \; \; \mathbf{release} \; \mathsf{m}; \\ & \; \; \mathsf{y} = 0) \\ & \; \; \mathbf{do} \; \mathbf{skip}); \\ \mathbf{acquire} \; \mathsf{m}; \\ [\mathsf{x}] &:= 1; \\ \mathbf{release} \; \mathsf{m} \end{split}
```

Figure 2: Example Program.

5 Resources

In this section we define physical resources. We will use the physical resources to define the semantics of our programming language.

Definition 5.1 (Physical Resources). We define the set of physical resources $\mathcal{R}^{\mathsf{phys}}$ syntactically as follows:

```
r^{\mathsf{p}} \in \mathcal{R}^{\mathsf{phys}} \quad ::= \quad \ell \mapsto v \quad | \quad \mathrm{unlocked}_{\mathsf{pRes}}(\ell) \quad | \quad \mathrm{locked}_{\mathsf{pRes}}(\ell)
\ell \in \mathcal{L}ocs \quad v \in \mathit{Values}
```

Definition 5.2 (Physical Heaps). We define the set of physical heaps as

$$Heaps^{phys} := \mathcal{P}_{fin}(\mathcal{R}^{phys})$$

and the function $locs_{pRes}$: $Heaps^{phys} \to \mathcal{P}_{fin}(\mathcal{L}ocs)$ mapping physical heaps to the sets of allocated heap locations as

```
\begin{array}{lll} \mathsf{locs_{pRes}}(h) &:= & \{\ell \in \mathcal{L}ocs \mid \operatorname{unlocked_{pRes}}(\ell) \in h \ \lor \ \operatorname{locked_{pRes}}(\ell) \in h \ \lor \ \operatorname{\exists} v \in \mathit{Values}. \ \ell \mapsto v \in h\} \end{array}
```

We denote physical heaps by h.

6 Semantics

Definition 6.1 (Evaluation of Closed Expressions). We define a partial evaluation function $[\![\cdot]\!]$: Exps \rightharpoonup Values on expressions by recursion on the structure of expressions as follows:

We identify closed expressions e with their ascribed value [e].

Definition 6.2 (Evaluation Context). We define the set of evaluation contexts EvalCtxts as follows:

$$E \in EvalCtxts$$
 ::= if \Box then $c \mid \text{let } x := \Box$ in c

$$c \in Cmds \quad x \in \mathcal{X}$$

For any $c \in Cmds$ and $E \in EvalCtxts$, we define $E[c] := E[c/\square]$.

Note that for every $c \in Cmds$, $E \in EvalCtxts$, we have $E[c] \in Cmds$.

Definition 6.3 (Single Thread Reduction Relation). We define a reduction relation \leadsto_{st} for single threads according to the rules presented in Figure 3. A reduction step has the form

$$h, c \leadsto_{\mathsf{st}} h', c', T$$

for a set of forked threads $T \subset Cmds$ with $|T| \leq 1$.

For simplicity of notation, we omit T if it is clear from the context that no thread is forked and $T = \emptyset$.

Note that we do not provide a reduction rule for **consumeItPerm**, since we only use it as an intermediate representation for the annotated reduction relation presented in Section 9.

Definition 6.4 (Thread Pools). We define the set of thread pools TP as the set of finite partial functions mapping thread IDs to threads:

$$\mathcal{TP} := \Theta \rightharpoonup_{\mathsf{fin}} (Cmds \cup \{\mathsf{term}\}).$$

The symbol term represents a terminated thread. We denote thread pools by P, thread IDs by θ and the empty thread pool by \emptyset_{tp} , i.e.,

We define the operation $+_{tp} : \mathcal{TP} \times \{C \subset Cmds \mid |C| \leq 1\} \rightarrow \mathcal{TP}$ as follows:

$$\begin{array}{lcl} P +_{\mathsf{tp}} \emptyset & := & P, \\ P +_{\mathsf{tp}} \{c\} & := & P[\theta_{new} := c] & for & \theta_{new} := \min(\Theta \setminus \mathsf{dom}(P)). \end{array}$$

Definition 6.5 (Thread Pool Reduction Relation). We define a thread pool reduction relation $\leadsto_{\sf tp}$ according to the rules presented in Figure 4. A reduction step has the form

$$h, P \stackrel{\theta}{\leadsto}_{\mathsf{tp}} h', P'.$$

$$\begin{array}{ll} h,c \leadsto_{\mathsf{st}} h',c',T \\ \hline h,E[c] \leadsto_{\mathsf{st}} h',E[c'],T \\ \hline \\ & (\mathsf{a}) \text{ Basic Constructs.} \\ \\ & (\mathsf{a}) \text{ Basic Constructs.} \\ \\ & \mathsf{ST}\text{-Red-While} \\ h, \mathbf{while} \ c \ \mathbf{do} \ \mathbf{skip} \leadsto_{\mathsf{st}} h, \mathbf{if} \ c \ \mathbf{then} \ \mathbf{while} \ c \ \mathbf{do} \ \mathbf{skip} \\ \\ & \mathsf{ST}\text{-Red-Iffalse} \\ h, \mathbf{if} \ \mathsf{True} \ \mathbf{then} \ c \leadsto_{\mathsf{st}} h, c \\ \hline & h, \mathbf{if} \ \mathsf{False} \ \mathbf{then} \ c \leadsto_{\mathsf{st}} h, \mathbf{tt} \\ \\ & \mathsf{ST}\text{-Red-Iffalse} \\ h, \mathbf{if} \ \mathsf{True} \ \mathbf{then} \ c \leadsto_{\mathsf{st}} h, \mathbf{t} \\ \\ & \mathsf{ST}\text{-Red-Let} \\ h, \mathbf{let} \ x := v \ \mathbf{in} \ c \leadsto_{\mathsf{st}} h, c[v/x] \\ \hline & (\mathsf{b}) \ \mathsf{Control Structures.} \\ \\ & \mathsf{ST}\text{-Red-Cons} \\ & \ell \not\in \mathsf{locs}_{\mathsf{pRes}}(h) \\ \hline & h, \mathsf{cons}(v) \leadsto_{\mathsf{st}} h \cup \{\ell \mapsto v\}, \ell \\ \hline & \mathsf{ST}\text{-Red-Assign} \\ h \sqcup \{\ell \mapsto v'\}, [\ell] := v \leadsto_{\mathsf{st}} h \sqcup \{\ell \mapsto v\}, \mathbf{t} \\ \hline & (\mathsf{c}) \ \mathsf{Heap} \ \mathsf{Access.} \\ \\ & \mathsf{ST}\text{-Red-NewMutex} \\ \ell \not\in \mathsf{locs}_{\mathsf{pRes}}(h) \\ \hline & h, \mathbf{new_mutex} \leadsto_{\mathsf{st}} h \cup \{\mathsf{unlocked}_{\mathsf{pRes}}(\ell)\}, \ell \\ \\ & \mathsf{ST}\text{-Red-Acquire} \\ h \sqcup \{\mathsf{unlocked}_{\mathsf{pRes}}(\ell)\}, \mathbf{acquire} \ \ell \leadsto_{\mathsf{st}} h \sqcup \{\mathsf{locked}_{\mathsf{pRes}}(\ell)\}, \mathbf{tt} \\ \\ & \mathsf{ST}\text{-Red-Release} \\ h \sqcup \{\mathsf{locked}_{\mathsf{pRes}}(\ell)\}, \mathbf{release} \ \ell \leadsto_{\mathsf{st}} h \sqcup \{\mathsf{unlocked}_{\mathsf{pRes}}(\ell)\}, \mathbf{tt} \\ \\ & (\mathsf{d}) \ \mathsf{Mutexes}. \\ \end{array}$$

ST-Red-Fork

ST-RED-EVALCTXT

Figure 3: Single thread reduction rules.

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{TP-Red-Lift} & & \text{TP-Red-Term} \\ \underline{P(\theta) = c} & h, c \leadsto_{\mathsf{st}} h', c', T \\ h, P \overset{\theta}{\leadsto}_{\mathsf{tp}} h', P[\theta := c'] +_{\mathsf{tp}} T \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ll} \text{TP-Red-Term} \\ \underline{P(\theta) = v} \\ h, P \overset{\theta}{\leadsto}_{\mathsf{tp}} h, P[\theta := \mathsf{term}] \end{array}$$

Figure 4: Thread pool reduction rules.

Definition 6.6 (Reduction Sequence). Let $(h_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $(P_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ be infinite sequences of physical heaps and thread pools, respectively.

We call $(h_i, P_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ a reduction sequence if there exists a sequence of thread IDs $(\theta_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$h_i, P_i \stackrel{\theta_i}{\leadsto}_{\mathsf{tp}} h_{i+1}, P_{i+1}$$

holds for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 6.7 (Fairness). We call a reduction sequence $(h_i, P_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ fair iff for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\theta \in \text{dom}(P_i)$ with $P_i(\theta) \neq \text{term there exists some } k \geq i$ with

$$h_k, P_k \stackrel{\theta}{\leadsto}_{\mathsf{tp}} h_{k+1}, P_{k+1}.$$

7 Assertions

Definition 7.1 (Fractions). We define the set of fractions as

$$\mathcal{F} := \{ f \in \mathbb{Q} \mid 0 < f \le 1 \}.$$

Definition 7.2 (Thread Phase IDs). We define the set of thread phase literals as

$$\mathcal{T} := \{Forker, Forkee\}.$$

We call a finite sequence of thread phase literals a phase ID and denote it by $\tau \in \mathcal{T}^*$. We write $\tau_1 \sqsubseteq \tau_2$ to express that τ_1 is a (non-strict) prefix of τ_2 .

Definition 7.3. We define the sets of ghost signals S, obligations O, wait permission Ω and iteration permissions Λ as follows:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mathcal{S} & := & \mathcal{I}\mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{L}evs, \\ \mathcal{O} & := & (\mathcal{L}ocs \cup \mathcal{I}\mathcal{D}) \times \mathcal{L}evs, \\ \Omega & := & \mathcal{T}^* \times \mathcal{I}\mathcal{D} \times \Delta, \\ \Lambda & := & \mathcal{T}^* \times \Delta. \end{array}$$

We denote ghost signals by s, obligations by o, and bags of obligations by O. For convenience of notation we define the selector function:

$$(id, L).\mathsf{id} := L.$$

```
\begin{split} a \in \mathcal{A} &:= & \text{True} \mid \text{False} \mid \neg a \mid \\ & a \wedge a \mid a \vee a \mid a * a \mid [f]\ell \mapsto v \mid [f]\widehat{\ell} \mapsto \widehat{v} \mid \\ & \bigvee A \mid \\ & [f] \text{uninit}(\ell) \mid \\ & [f] \text{mutex}((\ell,L),a) \mid [f] \text{locked}((\ell,L),a,f) \mid \\ & [f] \text{signal}((id,L),b) \mid \\ & \text{phase}(\tau) \mid \text{obs}(O) \mid \text{wperm}(\tau,id,\delta) \mid \text{itperm}(\tau,\delta) \\ & f \in \mathcal{F} \quad v \in Values \quad \widehat{v} \in Values^{\mathsf{G}} \quad \ell \in \mathcal{L}ocs \quad \widehat{\ell} \in \mathcal{L}ocs^{\mathsf{G}} \\ & L \in \mathcal{L}evs \quad id \in \mathcal{TD} \quad b \in \mathbb{B} = \{\text{True}, \text{False}\} \quad \delta \in \Delta \\ & A \subseteq \mathcal{A} \quad O \in Bags(\mathcal{O}) \quad \tau \in \mathcal{T}^* \end{split}
```

Figure 5: Assertion syntax.

Definition 7.4 (Assertions). We define the set of assertions \mathcal{A} according to the syntax presented in Figure 5.¹ Further, we define implication and equivalence as the usual abbreviations:

$$a_1 \rightarrow a_2 := \neg a_1 \lor a_2,$$

 $a_1 \leftrightarrow a_2 := (a_1 \rightarrow a_2) \land (a_2 \rightarrow a_1).$

Let $(a(i))_{i\in I}$ be a family of assertions indexed by some set I. We define quantification over I as the following abbreviations:

$$\exists i \in I. \ a(i) := \bigvee \{a(i) \mid i \in I\},\$$

$$\forall i \in I. \ a(i) := \neg \exists i \in I. \neg a(i).$$

We omit the index set I when its choice becomes clear from the context and write $\exists i. a(i)$ and $\forall i. a(i)$ instead of $\exists i \in I. a(i)$ and $\forall i \in I. a(i)$, respectively.

Definition 7.5 (Logical Resources). We define the set of logical resources \mathcal{R}^{log} syntactically as follows:

$$\begin{array}{lll} r^{\mathsf{I}} \in \mathcal{R}^{\mathsf{log}} & ::= & \ell \mapsto v \ \mid \ \widehat{\ell} \mapsto \widehat{v} \ \mid \ \operatorname{signal}_{\mathsf{IRes}}((id,L),b) \ \mid \\ & & \operatorname{uninit}_{\mathsf{IRes}}(\ell) \ \mid \ \operatorname{mutex}_{\mathsf{IRes}}((\ell,L),a) \ \mid \ \operatorname{locked}_{\mathsf{IRes}}((\ell,L),a,f) \ \mid \\ & & \operatorname{phase}_{\mathsf{IRes}}(\tau) \ \mid \ \operatorname{obs}_{\mathsf{IRes}}(O) \ \mid \ \operatorname{wperm}_{\mathsf{IRes}}(\tau,id,\delta) \ \mid \\ & & \operatorname{itperm}_{\mathsf{IRes}}(\tau,\delta) \end{array}$$

Further, we define the functions $getHLocs_{lRes}: \mathcal{R}^{log} \to \mathcal{L}ocs$ and $getGLocs_{lRes}: \mathcal{R}^{log} \to \mathcal{P}_{fin}(\mathcal{L}ocs^G)$ mapping logical resources to their respective (either empty

¹That is, we define \mathcal{A} as the least fixpoint of F where $F(A) = \{\text{True}, \text{False}\} \cup \{\neg a \mid a \in A\} \cup \{a_1 \land a_2 \mid a_1, a_2 \in A\} \cup \cdots \cup \{\bigvee A' \mid A' \subseteq A\} \cup \ldots$ Since F is a monotonic function over a complete lattice, it has a least fixpoint according to the Knaster-Tarski theorem [Tarski(1955)].

or singleton) set of involved heap locations and ghost locations, respectively, as

$$\begin{array}{llll} \operatorname{getHLocs}_{\operatorname{IRes}}(\ell \mapsto v) & := & \{\ell\}, \\ \operatorname{getHLocs}_{\operatorname{IRes}}(\operatorname{uninit}_{\operatorname{IRes}}(\ell)) & := & \{\ell\}, \\ \operatorname{getHLocs}_{\operatorname{IRes}}(\operatorname{mutex}_{\operatorname{IRes}}((\ell,L),a)) & := & \{\ell\}, \\ \operatorname{getHLocs}_{\operatorname{IRes}}(\operatorname{locked}_{\operatorname{IRes}}((\ell,L),a,f)) & := & \{\ell\}, \\ \operatorname{getHLocs}_{\operatorname{IRes}}(-) & := & \emptyset & otherwise, \\ \end{array}$$

$$\operatorname{getGLocs}_{\operatorname{IRes}}(\widehat{\ell} \mapsto \widehat{v}) & := & \{\widehat{\ell}\}, \\ \operatorname{getGLocs}_{\operatorname{IRes}}(-) & := & \emptyset & otherwise. \end{array}$$

Definition 7.6 (Mutexes). We define the set of mutexes as $\mathcal{M} := \mathcal{L}ocs \times \mathcal{L}evs$ and denote mutexes by m. For convenience of notation we define the selector function

$$(\ell, L).\mathsf{loc} := \ell.$$

Definition 7.7 (Logical Heaps). We define the set of logical heaps as

$$Heaps^{\log} := \mathcal{R}^{\log} \to \{q \in \mathbb{Q} \mid q \ge 0\}.$$

We define the empty logical heap \emptyset_{log} as the constant zero function

$$\emptyset_{\mathsf{log}}: r^{\mathsf{I}} \mapsto 0.$$

We denote logical heaps by H, point-wise addition by + and multiplication with non-negative rationals by \cdot , i.e.,

$$\begin{array}{rcl} (H_1 + H_2)(r^{\mathsf{I}}) & := & H_1(r^{\mathsf{I}}) + H_2(r^{\mathsf{I}}), \\ (q \cdot H)(r^{\mathsf{I}}) & := & q \cdot (H(r^{\mathsf{I}})) \end{array}$$

for $q \in \mathbb{Q}$ with $q \geq 0$. For convenience of notation we represent logical heaps containing finitely many resources by sets of resources and define left-associative functions $+_{lh}$, $-_{lh}$: Heaps $^{log} \to \mathcal{R}^{log} \to Heaps^{log}$ as follows

$$\begin{array}{lll} \{r_1^{\mathsf{I}}, \, \dots, \, r_n^{\mathsf{I}}\} & := & \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} r_i^{\mathsf{I}} & \mapsto 1 \\ x & \mapsto 0 & \text{if } x \not \in \{r_1^{\mathsf{I}}, \dots, r_n^{\mathsf{I}}\}, \end{array} \right. \\ H +_{\mathsf{Ih}} r^{\mathsf{I}} & := & H[r^{\mathsf{I}} := H(r^{\mathsf{I}}) + 1], \\ H -_{\mathsf{Ih}} r^{\mathsf{I}} & := & H[r^{\mathsf{I}} := \max(0, \, H(r^{\mathsf{I}}) - 1)]. \end{array}$$

We give \cdot a higher precedence than +, $+_{lh}$ and $-_{lh}$.

Further, we define the function $getGLocs_{lh}: Heaps^{log} \to \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{L}ocs^G)$ mapping logical heaps to their respective set of allocated ghost locations as

$$\mathsf{getGLocs}_\mathsf{lh}(H) \quad := \quad \bigcup_{\substack{r^\mathsf{l} \in \mathcal{R}^\mathsf{log} \\ H(r^\mathsf{l}) > 0}} \mathsf{getGLocs}_\mathsf{lRes}(r^\mathsf{l}).$$

We call a logical heap H complete and write $complete_{lh}(H)$ if it contains exactly one obligations chunk and exactly one phase chunk, i.e., if there exist a bag

of obligations O and a phase ID τ with $H(\text{obs}_{\mathsf{IRes}}(O)) = 1$ and $H(\text{phase}_{\mathsf{IRes}}(\tau)) = 1$ and if there do not exist any bag of obligations O' nor any phase ID τ' with $(i) \ O \neq O'$ and $H(\text{obs}_{\mathsf{IRes}}(O')) > 0$ or with $(ii) \ \tau \neq \tau'$ and $H(\text{phase}_{\mathsf{IRes}}(\tau')) > 0$.

We call a logical heap H finite and write finite_{lh}(H) if it contains only finitely many resources, i.e., if the set $\{r^l \in \mathcal{R}^{log} \mid H(r^l) > 0\}$ is finite.

We call a logical heap H consistent and write consistent_{lh}(H) if (i) it contains only full phase, obligations, wait and iteration permission chunks, i.e., if

$$\begin{array}{lll} H(\operatorname{phase}_{\mathsf{IRes}}(\tau)) & \in & \mathbb{N}, \\ H(\operatorname{obs}_{\mathsf{IRes}}(O)) & \in & \mathbb{N}, \\ H(\operatorname{wperm}_{\mathsf{IRes}}(\tau,id,\delta)) & \in & \mathbb{N}, \\ H(\operatorname{itperm}_{\mathsf{IRes}}(\tau,\delta)) & \in & \mathbb{N} \end{array}$$

holds for all $\tau \in \mathcal{T}^*$, $O \in Bags(\mathcal{O})$, $id \in \mathcal{ID}$ and $\delta \in \Delta$ and if (ii) heap locations and ghost locations are unique in H, i.e., if there are no $r_1^l, r_2^l \in \mathcal{R}^{log}$ with $r_1^l \neq r_2^l, \ H(r_1^l) > 0, \ H(r_2^l) > 0$ and with $\operatorname{getHLocs}_{lRes}(r_1^l) \cap \operatorname{getHLocs}_{lRes}(r_2^l) \neq \emptyset$ or $\operatorname{getGLocs}_{lRes}(r_1^l) \cap \operatorname{getGLocs}_{lRes}(r_2^l) \neq \emptyset$.

To simplify the specification of logical heaps containing only a single obligations chunk with certain properties, we introduce the abbreviation

$$(H.\mathsf{obs} = O) \ := \ (\mathsf{complete}_\mathsf{lh}(H) \ \land \ H(\mathsf{obs}_\mathsf{lRes}(O)) = 1).$$

Definition 7.8 (Assertion Model Relation). We define a model relation $\vDash_{\mathsf{A}} \subset Heaps^{\mathsf{log}} \times \mathcal{A}$ for assertions by recursion on the structure of assertions according to the rules presented in Figure 6. We write $H \vDash_{\mathsf{A}} a$ to express that logical heap H models assertion a and $H \not\vDash_{\mathsf{A}} a$ to express that $H \vDash_{\mathsf{A}} a$ does not hold.

8 Proof Rules

Definition 8.1 (Level Ascriptions). We define a function lev : $(\mathcal{ID} \cup \mathcal{L}ocs) \times \mathcal{L}evs \rightarrow \mathcal{L}evs$ as

$$lev((\underline{\ },L)):=L.$$

Definition 8.2 (View Shift). We define a view shift relation $\Rightarrow \subset A \times A$ according to the rules presented in Figure 7.

Definition 8.3 (Proof Relation). We define a proof relation $\vdash \subset A \times Cmds \times (Values \rightarrow A)$ according to the rules presented in Figures 8 and 9.

Note that our proof rules do not allow us to reason about the command **consumeItPerm**, since we only use it as an intermediate representation during reduction.

Lemma 8.4. We can derive the proof rule presented in Figure 10.

```
H \vDash_{\mathsf{A}} \mathsf{True}
H \not\models_{\mathsf{A}} \mathsf{False}
                                                              if H \not\models_A a
H \vDash_{\mathsf{A}} \neg a
                                                              if H \vDash_{\mathsf{A}} a_1 \land H \vDash_{\mathsf{A}} a_2
H \vDash_{\mathsf{A}} a_1 \wedge a_2
                                                              if H \vDash_{\mathsf{A}} a_1 \lor H \vDash_{\mathsf{A}} a_2
H \vDash_{\mathsf{A}} a_1 \lor a_2
H \vDash_{\mathsf{A}} a_1 * a_2
                                                              if \exists H_1, H_2 \in Heaps^{\log}.
                                                                             H = H_1 + H_2 \wedge
                                                                             H_1 \vDash_{\mathsf{A}} a_1 \land H_2 \vDash_{\mathsf{A}} a_2
H \vDash_{\mathsf{A}} [f]\ell \mapsto v
                                                              if H(\ell \mapsto v) \ge f
H \vDash_{\mathsf{A}} [f]\widehat{\ell} \mapsto \widehat{v}
                                                              if H(\ell \mapsto \widehat{v}) \geq f
H \vDash_{\mathsf{A}} \bigvee A
                                                              if \exists a \in A. \ H \vDash_{\mathsf{A}} a
H \vDash_{\mathsf{A}} [f] \mathsf{uninit}(\ell)
                                                              if H(\text{uninit}_{\mathsf{IRes}}(\ell)) \geq f
H \vDash_{\mathsf{A}} [f] \mathsf{mutex}(m, P)
                                                              if H(\text{mutex}_{|\mathsf{Res}}(m, P)) \geq f
H \vDash_{\mathsf{A}} [f] \mathsf{locked}(m, P, f_u)
                                                              if H(\operatorname{locked}_{\mathsf{IRes}}(m, P, f_u)) \geq f
H \vDash_{\mathsf{A}} [f] \mathsf{signal}(s, b)
                                                              if H(\operatorname{signal}_{\mathsf{IRes}}(s,b)) \geq f
                                                              \text{if} \quad H(\text{phase}_{\mathsf{IRes}}(\tau)) \geq 1
H \vDash_{\mathsf{A}} \mathsf{phase}(\tau)
H \vDash_{\mathsf{A}} \mathsf{obs}(O)
                                                              if H(\operatorname{obs}_{\mathsf{IRes}}(O)) \ge 1
H \vDash_{\mathsf{A}} \mathsf{wperm}(\tau, id, \delta)
                                                              if H(\text{wperm}_{\mathsf{IRes}}(\tau, id, \delta)) \geq 1
H \vDash_{\mathsf{A}} \mathsf{itperm}(\tau, \delta)
                                                              if H(\text{itperm}_{\mathsf{IRes}}(\tau, \delta)) \geq 1
```

Figure 6: Assertion model relation.

9 Annotated Semantics

Definition 9.1 (Annotated Resources). We define the set of annotated resources AnnoRes as follows:

```
 \begin{array}{lll} r^{\mathsf{a}} \in AnnoRes & ::= & \ell \mapsto v & | & \mathrm{uninit}_{\mathsf{aRes}}(\ell) & | \\ & & \mathrm{unlocked}_{\mathsf{aRes}}((\ell,L),a,H) & | & \mathrm{locked}_{\mathsf{aRes}}((\ell,L),a,f) & | \\ & & & \mathrm{signal}_{\mathsf{aRes}}((id,L),b) \end{array}
```

Definition 9.2 (Annotated Heaps). We define the set of annotated heaps as

$$Heaps^{\mathsf{annot}} := \mathcal{P}_{\mathsf{fin}}(AnnoRes),$$

the function $locs_{ah}: \mathit{Heaps}^{annot} \to \mathcal{P}_{fin}(\mathcal{L}\mathit{ocs})$ mapping annotated heaps to the sets of allocated heap locations as

```
\begin{array}{lll} \mathsf{locs}_{\mathsf{ah}}(h^{\mathsf{a}}) &:= & \{\ell \in \mathcal{L}ocs \mid \exists v \in \mathit{Values}. \; \exists L \in \mathcal{L}evs. \; \exists a \in \mathcal{A}. \\ &\exists H \in \mathit{Heaps}^{\mathsf{log}}. \; \exists f \in \mathcal{F}. \\ &\ell \mapsto v \in h^{\mathsf{a}} \; \vee \; \mathsf{uninit}_{\mathsf{aRes}}(\ell) \in h^{\mathsf{a}} \; \vee \\ & \; \mathsf{unlocked}_{\mathsf{aRes}}((\ell, L), a, H) \in h^{\mathsf{a}} \; \vee \\ & \; \mathsf{locked}_{\mathsf{aRes}}((\ell, L), a, f) \in h^{\mathsf{a}} \} \end{array}
```

and the function $ids_{ah}: \mathit{Heaps}^{annot} \to \mathcal{P}_{fin}(\mathcal{ID})$ mapping annotated heaps to sets of allocated signal IDs as

```
\mathsf{ids}_{\mathsf{ah}}(h^{\mathsf{a}}) \ := \ \{id \in \mathcal{ID} \ | \ \exists L \in \mathcal{L}evs. \ \exists b \in \mathbb{B}. \ \mathsf{signal}_{\mathsf{aRes}}((id,L),b) \in h^{\mathsf{a}}\}.
```

$$\frac{\text{VS-SemImP}}{A \Rightarrow B} \frac{\text{VS-Trans}}{A \Rightarrow B} \frac{A}{A \Rightarrow B} \frac{\text{VS-Trans}}{A \Rightarrow B} \frac{A}{A \Rightarrow B} \frac{\text{VS-Or}}{A \Rightarrow B} \frac{A}{A \Rightarrow B} \frac{$$

Figure 7: View shift rules.

$$\begin{array}{c} & \begin{array}{c} \operatorname{PR-FRAME} \\ & \vdash \{A\} \ c \ \{B\} \\ \hline & \vdash \{A \neq F\} \ c \ \{B * F\} \end{array} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \operatorname{PR-ViewShift} \\ A \Rrightarrow A' \land \operatorname{phase}(\tau) & \vdash \{A'\} \ c \ \{B'\} & \forall \tau'. \ (B' \land \operatorname{phase}(\tau') \land \tau \sqsubseteq \tau' \Rrightarrow B) \\ & \vdash \{A\} \ c \ \{B\} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \operatorname{PR-EXP} \\ A \trianglerighteq A' & \vdash \{A'\} \ c \ \{B'\} & B' \Rrightarrow B \\ & \vdash \{A\} \ c \ \{B\} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \operatorname{PR-EXP} \\ \| e \| \in \operatorname{Values} \\ & \vdash \{\operatorname{True}\} \ e \ \{\lambda r. \ r = \|e\| \} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \operatorname{PR-EXSTS} \\ \forall a \in A. \vdash \{a\} \ c \ \{B\} \\ & \vdash \{\nabla A\} \ c \ \{B\} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \operatorname{PR-FORK} \\ & \vdash \{\operatorname{phase}(\tau.\operatorname{Forkee}) * \operatorname{obs}(O_f) * A\} \ c \ \{\operatorname{obs}(\emptyset) \} \\ & \vdash \{\operatorname{phase}(\tau) * \operatorname{obs}(O_m \uplus O_f) * A\} \ \text{fork} \ c \ \{\lambda r. \ \operatorname{phase}(\tau.\operatorname{Forker}) * \operatorname{obs}(O_m) * r = \operatorname{tt} \} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \operatorname{PR-IF} \\ & \vdash \{A\} \ c \ \{\lambda b. \ C(b) \land (b = \operatorname{True} \lor b = \operatorname{False}) \} \\ & \vdash \{C(\operatorname{True}) \ c_t \ \{B\} \ C(\operatorname{False}) \Rrightarrow B \\ & \vdash \{A\} \ \text{if} \ c_b \ \text{then} \ c_t \ \{B\} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \operatorname{PR-While} \\ \forall \tau_{\mathsf{it}}. \ \tau \sqsubseteq \tau_{\mathsf{it}} \implies \vdash \{\operatorname{phase}(\tau_{\mathsf{it}}) * I(\tau_{\mathsf{it}}) \} \ c_b \ \begin{cases} \lambda b. \ \exists \tau_{\mathsf{it}}', \ \tau_{\mathsf{anc}}. \ \tau_{\mathsf{anc}} \sqsubseteq \tau_{\mathsf{it}}' * \operatorname{phase}(\tau_{\mathsf{it}}') \\ * \ (b = \operatorname{True} \lor b = \operatorname{False}) \\ * \ (b \to \operatorname{itperm}(\tau_{\mathsf{anc}}, \delta) * I(\tau_{\mathsf{it}}') \} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \vdash \{\operatorname{phase}(\tau) * I(\tau) \} \ \text{while} \ c_b \ \text{do} \ \text{skip} \ \{\exists \tau'. \ \tau \sqsubseteq \tau' * \operatorname{phase}(\tau') * B(\tau') \} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \operatorname{PR-LET} \\ \vdash \{A\} \ c \ \{\lambda r. \ C(r) \} \ \forall v. \ \vdash \{C(v)\} \ c'[v/x] \ \{B\} \} \\ \vdash \{A\} \ \text{let} \ x := c \ \text{in} \ c' \ \{B\} \} \end{array}$$

Figure 8: Proof rules (part 1).

(b) Control structures.

```
PR-Acquire
                                                         \forall o \in O. \ \mathsf{lev}(m) <_{\mathsf{L}} \ \mathsf{lev}(o)
                               \{\mathsf{obs}(O) * [f] \mathsf{mutex}(m, P)\}
                         \vdash acquire m.loc
                               \left\{\lambda r.\ r = \mathsf{tt} * \mathsf{obs}(O \uplus \{\!\![m]\!\!]) * \mathsf{locked}(m,P,f) * P\right\}
                                 PR-Release
                                \frac{\mathsf{obs}(O) * A \Rrightarrow \mathsf{obs}(O) * P * B}{\left\{\mathsf{obs}(O \uplus \{\!\!\{m\}\!\!\}) * \mathsf{locked}(m, P, f) * A\right\}}\vdash \mathbf{release} \ m.\mathsf{loc}
                                      \{\lambda r. \ r = \mathsf{tt} * \mathsf{obs}(O) * [f] \mathsf{mutex}(m, P) * B\}
                                           PR-NEWMUTEX
                                          \vdash \{\mathsf{True}\}\ \mathbf{new\_mutex}\ \{\lambda\ell.\,\mathsf{uninit}(\ell)\}
                                                                        (a) Mutexes.
\begin{array}{ll} \text{PR-Cons} & \text{PR-ReadHeapLoc} \\ \vdash \left\{\mathsf{True}\right\} \ \mathbf{cons}(v) \ \left\{\lambda\ell.\ \ell \mapsto v\right\} & \vdash \left\{[f]\ell \mapsto v\right\} \ [\ell] \ \left\{\lambda r.\ r = v * [f]\ell \mapsto v\right\} \end{array}
                                         PR-AssignToHeap
                                        \vdash \{\ell \mapsto \bot\} \ [\ell] := v \ \{\lambda r. \ r = \mathsf{tt} * \ell \mapsto v\}
                                                                    (b) Heap access.
                                                   Figure 9: Proof rules (part 2).
```

$$\frac{\text{PR-WHILE-SIMP}}{\tau_{\text{anc}} \sqsubseteq \tau} \frac{\tau_{\text{anc}} \sqsubseteq \tau \qquad \vdash \left\{ \text{phase}(\tau) * A \right\} \ c_b \ \left\{ \lambda b. \ \text{phase}(\tau) * (b \ \rightarrow \ \text{itperm}(\tau_{\text{anc}}, \delta) * A) * (\neg b \ \rightarrow \ B) \right\}}{\vdash \left\{ \text{phase}(\tau) * A \right\} \ \text{while} \ c_b \ \text{do skip} \ \left\{ \text{phase}(\tau) * B \right\}}$$

Figure 10: Derived proof rule.

We denote annotated heaps by ha.

We call an annotated heap h^a finite and write finite_{ah} (h^a) if there exists no chunk unlocked_{aRes} $((\ell, L), a, H) \in h^a$ for which finite_{lh}(H) does not hold.

Definition 9.3 (Annotated Single Thread Reduction Relation). We define a reduction relation \leadsto_{ast} for annotated threads according to the rules presented in Figures 11 and 12. A reduction step has the form

$$h^{\mathsf{a}}, H, c \leadsto_{\mathsf{ast}} h^{\mathsf{a}'}, H', c', T^{\mathsf{a}}$$

for a set of annotated forked threads $T^a \subset Heaps^{\log} \times Cmds$ with $|T^a| \leq 1$.

It indicates that given annotated heap h^a and a logical heap H, command c can be reduced to annotated heap $h^{a'}$, logical heap H' and command c'. The either empty or singleton set T^a represents whether a new thread is forked in this step.

For simplicity of notation we omit T^a if it is clear from the context that no thread is forked and $T^a = \emptyset$.

Definition 9.4 (Annotated Thread Pools). We define the set of annotated thread pools \mathcal{TP}^a as the set of finite partial functions mapping thread IDs to annotated threads:

$$\mathcal{TP}^{\mathsf{a}} := \Theta \rightharpoonup_{\mathsf{fin}} Heaps^{\mathsf{log}} \times (Cmds \cup \{\mathsf{term}\}).$$

We denote annotated thread pools by P^a and the empty thread pool by \emptyset_{atp} , i.e.,

We define the modification operations $+_{\mathsf{atp}}$ and $-_{\mathsf{atp}}$ analogously to $+_{\mathsf{tp}}$ and $-_{\mathsf{tp}}$, respectively, cf. Definition 6.4.

 $For \ convenience \ of \ notation \ we \ define \ selector \ functions \ for \ annotated \ threads \\ as$

$$\begin{array}{lll} (H,c).\mathsf{heap} &:= & H, \\ (H,c).\mathsf{cmd} &:= & c. \end{array}$$

Definition 9.5 (Ghost Reduction Relation). We define a thread pool reduction relation $\leadsto_{\mathsf{ghost}}$ according to the rules presented in Figures 13 and 14 to express ghost steps. A ghost reduction step has the form

$$h^{\mathsf{a}}, P^{\mathsf{a}} \overset{\theta}{\leadsto}_{\mathsf{ghost}} h^{\mathsf{a}\prime}, P^{\mathsf{a}\prime}.$$

We denote its reflexive transitive closure by $\leadsto_{\mathsf{ghost}}^*$.

Definition 9.6 (Non-ghost Thread Pool Reduction Relation). We define a thread pool reduction relation \leadsto_{real} according to the rules presented in Figure 15 to express real reduction steps. A reduction step has the form

$$h^{\mathsf{a}}, P^{\mathsf{a}} \overset{\theta}{\leadsto}_{\mathsf{real}} h^{\mathsf{a}\prime}, P^{\mathsf{a}\prime}.$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \operatorname{AST-Red-EvalCTXT} \\ h^3, H, c \leadsto_{\operatorname{ast}} h^{\operatorname{at'}}, H', c', T \\ \hline h^3, H, E[c] \leadsto_{\operatorname{ast}} h^{\operatorname{at'}}, H', E[c'], T \\ \end{array}$$

$$\operatorname{AST-Red-Fork} \\ h^3, H_m + \{\operatorname{phase}_{|\operatorname{Res}}(\tau), \operatorname{obs}_{|\operatorname{Res}}(O_m \uplus O_f)\} + H_f, \operatorname{fork} c \leadsto_{\operatorname{ast}} \\ h^3, H_m + \{\operatorname{phase}_{|\operatorname{Res}}(\tau.\operatorname{Forker}), \operatorname{obs}_{|\operatorname{Res}}(O_m)\}, \operatorname{tt}, \{(\{\operatorname{phase}_{|\operatorname{Res}}(\tau.\operatorname{Forkee}), \operatorname{obs}_{|\operatorname{Res}}(O_f)\} + H_f), c)\} \\ \\ (a) \text{ Basic constructs.} \\ \\ \operatorname{AST-Red-While} \\ h^3, H, \operatorname{while} c \text{ do skip} \leadsto_{\operatorname{ast}} h^2, H, \operatorname{if} c \text{ then (consumeItPerm; while } c \text{ do skip}) \\ \\ \operatorname{AST-Red-IFTRUE} \\ h^3, H, \operatorname{if True then} c \leadsto_{\operatorname{ast}} h^3, H, \operatorname{if False then} c \leadsto_{\operatorname{ast}} h^3, H, \operatorname{tt} \\ \\ \operatorname{AST-Red-Let} \\ h^3, H, \operatorname{let} x := v \text{ in } c \leadsto_{\operatorname{ast}} h^3, H, \operatorname{c}[v/x] \\ \\ (b) \operatorname{Control structures.} \\ \\ \operatorname{AST-Red-ConsumeItPerm} \\ H(\operatorname{phase}_{|\operatorname{Res}}(\tau)) \geq 1 \qquad \tau_{\operatorname{anc}} \sqsubseteq \tau \\ \\ \hline h^3, H + \{\operatorname{itperm}_{|\operatorname{Res}}(\tau_{\operatorname{anc}}, \delta)\}, \operatorname{consumeItPerm} \leadsto_{\operatorname{ast}} h^3, H, \operatorname{tt} \\ \\ (c) \operatorname{Intermediate representation.} \\ \\ \operatorname{AST-Red-Cons} \\ \ell \not\in \operatorname{locs}_{\operatorname{ah}}(h^3) \\ \hline h^3, H, \operatorname{cons}(v) \leadsto_{\operatorname{ast}} h^3 \cup \{\ell \mapsto v\}, H + \{\ell \mapsto v\}, \ell \\ \hline \\ \operatorname{AST-Red-ReadHeapLoc} \\ \ell \mapsto v \in h^3 \\ \hline h^3, H, [\ell] \leadsto_{\operatorname{ast}} h^3, H, v \\ \\ \operatorname{AST-Red-Assign} \\ h \sqcup \{\ell \mapsto v\}, H + \{\ell \mapsto v\}, [\ell] := v \leadsto_{\operatorname{ast}} h \sqcup \{\ell \mapsto v'\}, H + \{\ell \mapsto v'\}, \operatorname{tt} \\ \end{aligned}$$

Figure 11: Annotated single thread reduction rules (part 1).

(d) Heap access.

$$\frac{\ell \not\in \mathsf{locs_{ah}}(h^{\mathsf{a}})}{h^{\mathsf{a}}, H, \mathbf{new_mutex}} \leadsto_{\mathsf{ast}} h^{\mathsf{a}} \cup \{\mathsf{uninit_{\mathsf{aRes}}}(\ell)\}, H + \{\mathsf{uninit_{\mathsf{IRes}}}(\ell)\}, \ell$$

AST-Red-Acquire

$$f \in \mathcal{F}$$
 $\forall o \in O. \ \mathsf{lev}(m) <_{\mathsf{L}} \ \mathsf{lev}(o)$

$$f \in \mathcal{F} \qquad \forall o \in O. \ \operatorname{lev}(m) <_{\mathsf{L}} \ \operatorname{lev}(o)$$

$$h^{\mathsf{a}} \sqcup \{\operatorname{unlocked}_{\mathsf{aRes}}(m, a, H_P)\}, \ H + \{\operatorname{obs}_{\mathsf{IRes}}(O)\} + f \cdot \{\operatorname{mutex}_{\mathsf{IRes}}(m, P)\},$$

$$\mathbf{acquire} \ m. \mathsf{loc}$$

$$\leadsto_{\mathsf{ast}} \ h^{\mathsf{a}} \sqcup \{\operatorname{locked}_{\mathsf{aRes}}(m, P, f)\}, \ H + \{\operatorname{obs}_{\mathsf{IRes}}(O \uplus \{\!\!\{m\}\!\!\}), \ \operatorname{locked}_{\mathsf{IRes}}(m, P, f)\} + H_P,$$

AST-RED-RELEASE

$$H_{P} \vDash_{\mathsf{A}} P \quad \mathsf{consistent}_{\mathsf{lh}}(H_{P})$$

$$\exists O. \ H(\mathsf{obs}_{\mathsf{lRes}}(O)) \geq 1 \qquad \exists \tau. \ H(\mathsf{phase}_{\mathsf{lRes}}(\tau)) \geq 1$$

$$h^{\mathsf{a}} \sqcup \{\mathsf{locked}_{\mathsf{aRes}}(m,P,f)\}, \ H + \{\mathsf{obs}_{\mathsf{lRes}}(O \uplus \{\!\!\{m\}\!\!\}), \ \mathsf{locked}_{\mathsf{lRes}}(m,P,f)\} + H_{P},$$

$$\mathsf{release} \ m.\mathsf{loc}$$

$$\leadsto_{\mathsf{ast}} \ h^{\mathsf{a}} \sqcup \{\mathsf{unlocked}_{\mathsf{aRes}}(m,P,H_{P})\}, \ H + \{\mathsf{obs}_{\mathsf{lRes}}(O)\} + f \cdot \{\mathsf{mutex}_{\mathsf{lRes}}(m,P)\},$$

(a) Mutexes.

Figure 12: Annotated single thread reduction rules (part 2).

Definition 9.7 (Annotated Thread Pool Reduction Relation). We define the annotated thread pool reduction relation → atp as

$$\leadsto_{\mathsf{atp}} := \leadsto_{\mathsf{ghost}} \cup \leadsto_{\mathsf{real}}.$$

Definition 9.8 (Annotated Reduction Sequence). Let $(h^a_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ and $(P^a_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ be infinite sequences of annotated heaps and annotated thread pools, respectively. Let $sig : \mathbb{N} \rightharpoonup \mathcal{S}$ be a partial function mapping indices to signals.

We call $((h_i^a, P_i^a)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, sig)$ an annotated reduction sequence if there exists a sequence of thread IDs $(\theta_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ such that the following holds for every $i\in\mathbb{N}$:

- $h_i^a, P_i^a \stackrel{\theta_i}{\leadsto}_{atp} h_{i+1}^a, P_{i+1}^a$
- If this reduction step results from an application of GTP-RED-WAIT to some signal s, then sig(i) = s holds and otherwise $sig(i) = \bot$.

In case the signal annotation sig is clear from the context or not relevant, we omit it and write $(h_i^a, P_i^a)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ instead of $((h_i^a, P_i^a)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, sig)$.

We call (h_i^a, P_i^a) an annotated machine configuration.

Lemma 9.9 (Preservation of Finiteness). Let $(h_i^a, P_i^a)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an annotated reduction sequence with finite_{ah} (h_0^a) and finite_{lh} $(P_0^a(\theta).heap)$ for all $\theta \in dom(P_0^a)$. Then, finite_{lh} $(P_i^{\mathsf{a}}(\theta).\mathsf{heap})$ holds for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $\theta \in \mathsf{dom}(P_i^{\mathsf{a}})$.

$$GTP\text{-Red-NewSignal} \\ P^a(\theta) = (H + \{\operatorname{obs}_{\mathsf{iRes}}(O)\}, c) \quad id \not\in \operatorname{ids}_{\mathsf{ah}}(h^a) \\ H' = H + \{\operatorname{signal}_{\mathsf{iRes}}((id, L), \mathsf{False}), \operatorname{obs}_{\mathsf{iRes}}(O \uplus \{id, L\})\} \\ h^a, P^a \overset{\theta}{\sim}_{\mathsf{ghost}} h^a \cup \{\operatorname{signal}_{\mathsf{aRes}}((id, L), \mathsf{False})\}, P^a[\theta := (H', c)] \\ GTP\text{-Red-SetSignal} \\ P^a(\theta) = (H + \{\operatorname{signal}_{\mathsf{iRes}}(s, \mathsf{False}), \operatorname{obs}_{\mathsf{iRes}}(O \uplus \{s\})\}, c) \\ H' = H + \{\operatorname{signal}_{\mathsf{iRes}}(s, \mathsf{False}), \operatorname{obs}_{\mathsf{iRes}}(O)\} \\ h^a \sqcup \{\operatorname{signal}_{\mathsf{aRes}}(s, \mathsf{False})\}, P^a \overset{\theta}{\sim}_{\mathsf{ghost}} h^a \sqcup \{\operatorname{signal}_{\mathsf{aRes}}(s, \mathsf{True})\}, P^a[\theta := (H', c)] \\ \\ \frac{GTP\text{-Red-WaitPerm}}{b^a \vee_{\mathsf{ghost}} h^a, P^a[\theta := (H + \{\mathsf{wperm}_{\mathsf{iRes}}(\tau', \delta)\}, c)} \\ h^a, P^a \overset{\theta}{\sim}_{\mathsf{ghost}} h^a, P^a[\theta := (H + \{\mathsf{wperm}_{\mathsf{iRes}}(\tau', id, \delta')\}, c)] \\ \\ \frac{GTP\text{-Red-Wait}}{b^a \vee_{\mathsf{ghost}} h^a, P^a[\theta := (H + \{\mathsf{wperm}_{\mathsf{iRes}}(\tau, \delta)\}, c)]} \\ \\ \frac{GTP\text{-Red-Specitiferm}}{b^a \vee_{\mathsf{ghost}} h^a, P^a \vee_{\mathsf{ghost}} h^a, P^a[\theta := (H + \{\mathsf{itperm}_{\mathsf{iRes}}(\tau, \delta)\}, c)]} \\ \\ \frac{GTP\text{-Red-Specitiferm}}{b^a \vee_{\mathsf{ghost}} h^a, P^a[\theta := (H + \{\mathsf{itperm}(\tau_{\mathsf{anc}}, \delta)\}, c)]} \\ \\ \frac{GTP\text{-Red-Specitiferm}}{b^a \vee_{\mathsf{ghost}} h^a, P^a \vee_{\mathsf{ghost}} h^a, P^a[\theta := (H + \{\mathsf{wperm}(\tau, id, \delta)\}, c)]} \\ \\ \frac{GTP\text{-Red-WaitIferm}}{b^a \vee_{\mathsf{ghost}} h^a, P^a[\theta := (H + \{\mathsf{wperm}(\tau, id, \delta)\}, c)]} \\ \\ \frac{GTP\text{-Red-WaitIferm}}{b^a \vee_{\mathsf{ghost}} h^a, P^a[\theta := (H + \{\mathsf{wperm}_{\mathsf{iRes}}(\tau', \delta)\}, c)]} \\ \\ \frac{GTP\text{-Red-WaitIferm}}{b^a \vee_{\mathsf{ghost}} h^a, P^a[\theta := (H + N \cdot \{\mathsf{itperm}_{\mathsf{iRes}}(\tau', \delta)\}, c)]} \\ \\ \frac{GTP\text{-Red-Mutinit}}{b^a \vee_{\mathsf{ghost}} h^a, P^a[\theta := (H + N \cdot \{\mathsf{itperm}_{\mathsf{iRes}}(\tau', \delta')\}, c)]} \\ \\ \frac{GTP\text{-Red-Mutinit}}{b^a \vee_{\mathsf{ghost}} h^a, P^a(\theta)} \\ = (H + \{\mathsf{uninit}_{\mathsf{iRes}}(\ell)\} + H_P, c) \quad H_P \vDash_{\mathsf{A}} P \quad \text{consistent}_{\mathsf{lh}}(H_P)} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial} \cup \{\mathsf{uninit}_{\mathsf{aRes}}(\ell)\}, P^a \overset{\theta}{\sim}_{\mathsf{ghost}} h^a \sqcup \{\mathsf{uninit}_{\mathsf{aRes}}(\ell), H_P)\}, P^a[\theta := (H', c)]} \\ \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial} \sqcup \{\mathsf{uninit}_{\mathsf{aRes}}(\ell)\}, P^a \overset{\theta}{\sim}_{\mathsf{ghost}} h^a \sqcup \{\mathsf{uninit}_{\mathsf{aRes}}(\ell), L, H_P)\}, P^a[\theta := (H', c)]} \\ \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial} \sqcup \{\mathsf{uninit}_{\mathsf{aRes}}(\ell)\}, P^a \overset{\theta}{\sim}_{\mathsf{ghost}} h^a \sqcup \{\mathsf{uninit}_{\mathsf{$$

Figure 13: Ghost thread pool reduction rules (part 1).

$$\begin{split} & \frac{\text{GTP-Red-NewGhostCell}}{\hat{\ell} \not\in \text{getGLocs}_{\text{lh}}(H)} \frac{\hat{\ell} \not\in \text{getGLocs}_{\text{lh}}(H)}{h^{\text{a}}, P^{\text{a}} \overset{\theta}{\leadsto}_{\text{ghost}} h^{\text{a}}, P^{\text{a}}[\theta := (H + \{\hat{\ell} \mapsto \widehat{v}\}, \, c)]} \\ & \frac{\text{GTP-Red-MutateGhostCell}}{\hat{\ell} \not\in \text{getGLocs}_{\text{lh}}(H)} \frac{P^{\text{a}}(\theta) = (H + \{\hat{\ell} \mapsto \widehat{v}\}, \, c)}{h^{\text{a}}, P^{\text{a}} \overset{\theta}{\leadsto}_{\text{ghost}} h^{\text{a}}, P^{\text{a}}[\theta := (H + \{\hat{\ell} \mapsto \widehat{v}'\}, \, c)]} \end{split}$$

Figure 14: Ghost thread pool reduction rules (part 2)

$$\begin{split} & \frac{\text{RTP-Red-Lift}}{\theta_f = \min(\Theta \setminus \text{dom}(P^{\text{a}}))} \quad P^{\text{a}}(\theta) = (H,c) \qquad h^{\text{a}}, H, c \leadsto_{\text{ast}} h^{\text{a}'}, H', c', T^{\text{a}} \\ & \quad h^{\text{a}}, P^{\text{a}} \stackrel{\theta}{\leadsto}_{\text{real}} h^{\text{a}'}, P^{\text{a}}[\theta := (H',c')] +_{\text{atp}} T^{\text{a}} \\ & \quad \frac{\text{RTP-Red-Term}}{P^{\text{a}}(\theta) = (H,v) \qquad H.\text{obs} = \emptyset} \\ & \quad h^{\text{a}}, P^{\text{a}} \stackrel{\theta}{\leadsto}_{\text{real}} h^{\text{a}}, P^{\text{a}} -_{\text{atp}} \theta \end{split}$$

Figure 15: Non-ghost thread pool reduction rules.

Proof. Proof by induction on i.

Lemma 9.10 (Preservation of Completeness). Let $(h_i^a, P_i^a)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an annotated reduction sequence with complete_{lh} $(P_0^a(\theta).\text{heap})$ for all $\theta \in \text{dom}(P_0^a)$. Furthermore, let there be no chunk unlocked_{aRes} $(m, P, H_P) \in h_0^a$ such that $H_P(\text{phase}_{|\text{Res}}(\tau)) > 0$ or $H_P(\text{obs}_{|\text{Res}}(O)) > 0$ holds for any τ , O.

Then, complete_{lh} $(P_i^{\mathsf{a}}(\theta).\mathsf{heap})$ holds for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and every $\theta \in \mathsf{dom}(P_i^{\mathsf{a}})$.

Proof. Proof by induction on i.

Definition 9.11 (Fairness of Annotated Reduction Sequences). We call an annotated reduction sequence $(h_i^{\mathsf{a}}, P_i^{\mathsf{a}})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ fair iff for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\theta \in \mathsf{dom}(P_i^{\mathsf{a}})$ with $P_i^{\mathsf{a}}(\theta).\mathsf{cmd} \neq \mathsf{term}$ there exists some $k \geq i$ with

$$h_k^{\mathsf{a}}, P_k^{\mathsf{a}} \overset{\theta}{\leadsto}_{\mathsf{real}} h_{k+1}^{\mathsf{a}}, P_{k+1}^{\mathsf{a}}.$$

Every thread of an annotated thread pool is annotated by a thread-local logical heap that expresses which resources are owned by this thread. In the following we define a function to extract the logical heap expressing which resources are owned by threads of a thread pool (i.e. the sum of all thread-local logical heaps).

Definition 9.12. We define the function ownedResHeap_{atp}: $\mathcal{TP}^a \to Heaps^{log}$ mapping annotated thread pools to logical heaps as follows:

$$P^{\mathsf{a}} \hspace{0.1cm} \mapsto \hspace{0.1cm} \sum_{\theta \hspace{0.1cm} \in \hspace{0.1cm} \mathsf{dom}(P^{\mathsf{a}})} \hspace{-0.1cm} P^{\mathsf{a}}(\theta).\mathsf{heap}$$

Annotated resources representing unlocked locks, i.e., unlocked $_{aRes}(m, a, H_a)$, contain a logical heap H_a that expresses which resources are protected by this lock. In the following, we define a function that extracts a logical heap from an annotated heap h^a expressing which resources are protected by unlocked locks in h^a .

Definition 9.13. We define the function protected ResHeap_{ah}: $Heaps^{annot} \rightarrow Heaps^{log}$ mapping annotated heaps to logical heaps as follows: For any annotated heap h^a let

$$LockInvs(h^{\mathsf{a}}) := \{H_P \in Heaps^{\mathsf{log}} \mid \exists m \in \mathcal{L}ocs \times \mathcal{L}evs. \exists P \in \mathcal{A}. \\ \text{unlocked}_{\mathsf{aRes}}(m, P, H_P) \in h^{\mathsf{a}}\}$$

be the auxiliary set aggregating all logical heaps corresponding to lock invariants of unlocked locks stored in h^a . We define protected ResHeap_{ah} as

$$h^{\mathsf{a}} \mapsto \sum_{H_P \in LockInvs(h^{\mathsf{a}})} H_P.$$

Definition 9.14 (Compatibility of Annotated and Logical Heaps). We inductively define a relation $_{ah}\sim_{lh}\subset Heaps^{annot}\times Heaps^{log}$ between annotated and logical heaps such that the following holds

```
_{\rm ah}\sim_{\rm lh}~\emptyset_{\rm log},
h^{\mathsf{a}} \cup \{\ell \mapsto v\}
                                                                                                         _{\mathsf{ah}} \sim_{\mathsf{lh}} \quad \overset{ }{H} + \{\ell \mapsto v\},
h^{\mathsf{a}} \cup \{ \text{uninit}_{\mathsf{aRes}}(\ell) \}
                                                                                                          _{\mathsf{ah}} \sim_{\mathsf{lh}} \quad H + \{ \mathrm{uninit}_{\mathsf{IRes}}(\ell) \},
                                                                                                         \begin{array}{ll}_{\mathsf{ah}} \sim_{\mathsf{lh}} & H + \{ \mathsf{mutex}_{\mathsf{IRes}}(m,P) \} + H_P, \\ {\mathsf{ah}} \sim_{\mathsf{lh}} & H + \{ \mathsf{locked}_{\mathsf{IRes}}(m,P,f) \} \end{array}
h^{\mathsf{a}} \cup \{ \mathrm{unlocked}_{\mathsf{aRes}}(m, P, H_P) \}
h^{\mathsf{a}} \cup \{\operatorname{locked}_{\mathsf{aRes}}(m, P, f)\}
                                                                                                                                      +(1-f)\cdot \{\operatorname{mutex}_{\mathsf{IRes}}(m,P)\},\
h^{\mathsf{a}} \cup \{\operatorname{signal}_{\mathsf{aRes}}(s,b)\}
                                                                                                         _{\mathsf{ah}} \sim_{\mathsf{lh}} \quad H + \{ \operatorname{signal}_{\mathsf{IRes}}(s, b) \},
                                                                                                          _{\mathsf{ah}}\sim_{\mathsf{lh}} \quad H + \{\mathsf{phase}_{\mathsf{lRes}}(\tau)\},
                                                                                                          _{\mathsf{ah}}\sim_{\mathsf{lh}}\quad H+\{\operatorname{obs}_{\mathsf{IRes}}(O)\},
h^{\mathsf{a}}
                                                                                                          _{\mathsf{ah}} \sim_{\mathsf{lh}} \quad H + \{ \mathrm{wperm}_{\mathsf{IRes}}(\tau, id, \delta) \},
h^{\mathsf{a}}
h^{\mathsf{a}}
                                                                                                          _{\mathsf{ah}}\sim_{\mathsf{lh}} H + \{\mathsf{itperm}_{\mathsf{lRes}}(\tau,\delta)\},
                                                                                                          _{\mathsf{ah}}\sim_{\mathsf{lh}} H + \{\widehat{\ell}\mapsto\widehat{v}\},
h^{\mathsf{a}}
```

where $h^{\mathsf{a}} \in \mathit{Heaps}^{\mathsf{annot}}$ and $H \in \mathit{Heaps}^{\mathsf{log}}$ are annotated and logical heaps with $\ell, m.\mathsf{loc} \not\in \mathsf{locs}_{\mathsf{ah}}(h^{\mathsf{a}}), \ s.\mathsf{id} \not\in \mathsf{ids}_{\mathsf{ah}}(h^{\mathsf{a}}) \ and \ h^{\mathsf{a}}_{\mathsf{ah}} \sim_{\mathsf{lh}} H.$

We consider a machine configuration $(h^{\mathsf{a}}, P^{\mathsf{a}})$ to be *consistent* if it fulfils three criteria: (i) Every thread-local logical heap is consistent, i.e., for all used thread IDs θ , $P^{\mathsf{a}}(\theta)$.heap only stores full phase, obligations, wait permission and

iteration permission chunks. (ii) Every logical heap protected by an unlocked lock in h^{a} is consistent. (iii) h^{a} is compatible with the logical heap that represents (a) the resources owned by threads in P^{a} and (b) the resources protected by unlocked locks stored in h^{a} .

Definition 9.15 (Consistency of Annotated Machine Configurations). We call an annotated machine configuration (h^a, P^a) consistent and write consistent conf (h^a, P^a) if all of the following hold:

- consistent_{lh} $(P^{a}(\theta).heap)$ for all $\theta \in dom(P^{a})$,
- $\forall m. \ \forall P. \ \forall H_P. \ \text{unlocked}_{\mathsf{aRes}}(m, P, H_P) \in h^{\mathsf{a}} \ \to \ \mathsf{consistent}_{\mathsf{lh}}(H_P),$
- $h^{\mathsf{a}}_{\mathsf{ah}} \sim_{\mathsf{lh}} \mathsf{ownedResHeap}_{\mathsf{ahp}}(P^{\mathsf{a}}) + \mathsf{protectedResHeap}_{\mathsf{ah}}(h^{\mathsf{a}}).$

Lemma 9.16 (Preservation of Consistency). Let $(h_i^a, P_i^a)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an annotated reduction sequence with consistent_{conf} (h_0^a, P_0^a) . Then, consistent_{conf} (h_i^a, P_i^a) holds for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Proof by induction on i.

10 Hoare Triple Model Relation

Definition 10.1 (Command Annotation). We define the predicate $annot_{cmd} \subset Cmds \times Cmds$ such that $annot_{cmd}(c',c)$ holds iff c' results from c by removing all occurrences of consumeItPerm.

Definition 10.2 (Thread Pool Annotation). We define a predicate $\operatorname{annot}_{\mathsf{tp}} \subset \mathcal{TP}^{\mathsf{a}} \times \mathcal{TP}$ such that:

$$\mathsf{annot}_\mathsf{tp}(P^\mathsf{a},P)\\ \iff\\ \mathsf{dom}(P^\mathsf{a}) = \mathsf{dom}(P) \ \land \ \forall \theta \in \mathsf{dom}(P).\ \mathsf{annot}_\mathsf{cmd}(P^\mathsf{a}(\theta).\mathsf{cmd},P(\theta))$$

Definition 10.3 (Compatibility of Annotated and Physical Heaps). We inductively define a relation $_{\mathsf{ah}}\sim_{\mathsf{ph}} \subset Heaps^{\mathsf{annot}} \times \mathcal{R}^{\mathsf{phys}}$ between annotated and physical heaps such that the following holds:

$$\begin{array}{llll} \emptyset & & & & \text{ah} \sim_{\text{ph}} & \emptyset, \\ \ell \mapsto v \ \cup \ h^{\text{a}} & & & \text{ah} \sim_{\text{ph}} & \ell \mapsto v \ \cup \ h, \\ & & \text{uninit}_{\text{aRes}}(\ell) \ \cup \ h^{\text{a}} & & \text{ah} \sim_{\text{ph}} & \text{unlocked}_{\text{pRes}}(\ell) \ \cup \ h, \\ & & \text{unlocked}_{\text{aRes}}((\ell,L),P,H_P) \ \cup \ h^{\text{a}} & & \text{ah} \sim_{\text{ph}} & \text{unlocked}_{\text{pRes}}(\ell) \ \cup \ h, \\ & & \text{locked}_{\text{aRes}}(\ell) \ \cup \ h, \\ & & \text{signal}_{\text{aRes}}(s,b) \ \cup \ h^{\text{a}} & & \text{ah} \sim_{\text{ph}} & \text{locked}_{\text{pRes}}(\ell) \ \cup \ h, \\ & & \text{ah} \sim_{\text{ph}} & h \end{array}$$

where $h^{\mathsf{a}} \in Heaps^{\mathsf{annot}}$ and $h \in Heaps^{\mathsf{phys}}$ are annotated and physical heaps with $h^{\mathsf{a}}_{\mathsf{ah}} \sim_{\mathsf{ph}} h$.

Definition 10.4 (Safety). We define the safety predicate safe \subseteq Heaps^{log} \times Cmds coinductively as the greatest solution (with respect to \subseteq) of the following equation:

$$\mathsf{safe}(H,c) \\ \iff \\ \mathsf{complete}_\mathsf{lh}(H) \to \\ \forall P, P'. \forall \theta \in \mathsf{dom}(P). \forall h, h'. \forall P^\mathtt{a}. \forall h^\mathtt{a}. \\ \mathsf{consistent}_\mathsf{conf}(h^\mathtt{a}, P^\mathtt{a}) \ \land \ h^\mathtt{a}_{\mathsf{ah}} \sim_\mathsf{ph} h \ \land \\ P(\theta) = c \ \land \ P^\mathtt{a}(\theta) = (H,c) \ \land \ \mathsf{annot}_\mathsf{tp}(P^\mathtt{a}, P) \ \land \ h, P \overset{\theta}{\leadsto}_\mathsf{tp} h', P' \ \to \\ \exists P^\mathsf{G}, P^\mathtt{a'}. \ \exists h^\mathsf{G}, h^\mathtt{a'}. \\ h^\mathtt{a}, P^\mathtt{a} \overset{*}{\leadsto}_\mathsf{ghost}^* h^\mathsf{G}, P^\mathsf{G} \ \land \ h^\mathsf{G}, P^\mathsf{G} \overset{\theta}{\leadsto}_\mathsf{real} h^\mathtt{a'}, P^\mathtt{a'} \ \land \ \mathsf{annot}_\mathsf{tp}(P^\mathtt{a'}, P') \ \land \\ h^\mathtt{a'}_{\mathsf{ah}} \sim_\mathsf{ph} h' \ \land \\ \forall (H_f, c_f) \in \mathsf{range}(P^\mathtt{a'}) \ \backslash \ \mathsf{range}(P^\mathtt{a}). \ \mathsf{safe}(H_f, c_f).$$

Definition 10.5 (Hoare Triple Model Relation). We define the model relation for Hoare triples $\vDash_{\mathsf{H}} \subset \mathcal{A} \times \mathit{Cmds} \times (\mathit{Values} \to \mathcal{A})$ such that:

$$\begin{array}{c} \vDash_{\mathsf{H}} \left\{A\right\} \ c \ \left\{\lambda r. \ B(r)\right\} \\ \Longleftrightarrow \\ \forall H_F. \ \forall E. \ (\forall v. \ \forall H_B. \ H_B \vDash_{\mathsf{A}} B(v) \ \rightarrow \ \mathsf{safe}(H_B + H_F, \ E[v])) \\ \rightarrow \ \forall H_A. \ H_A \vDash_{\mathsf{A}} A \ \rightarrow \ \mathsf{safe}(H_A + H_F, \ E[c]) \end{array}$$

We can instantiate context E in above definition to let $x := \square$ in tt, which yields the consequent $\mathsf{safe}(H_A + H_F, \mathsf{let}\ x := c\ \mathsf{in}\ \mathsf{tt})$. Note that this implies $\mathsf{safe}(H_A + H_F, c)$.

Lemma 10.6 (Hoare Triple Soundness). Let $\vdash \{A\}$ c $\{B\}$ hold, then also $\vdash_{\mathsf{H}} \{A\}$ c $\{B\}$ holds.

Proof. Proof by induction on the derivation of $\vdash \{A\} \ c \ \{B\}$.

Theorem 10.7 (Soundness). Let

$$\vdash \left\{\mathsf{phase}(\tau) * \mathsf{obs}(\emptyset) * \middle \\ \begin{matrix} i = 1, \dots, N \\ \\ \not \\ \end{matrix} \mathsf{itperm}(\tau, \delta_i) \right\} \ c \ \left\{\mathsf{obs}(\emptyset) \right\}$$

hold. There exists no fair, infinite reduction sequence $(h_i, P_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $h_0 = \emptyset$ and $P_0 = \{(\theta_0, c)\}$ for any choice of θ_0 .

11 Soundness

In this section, we prove the soundness theorem 10.7.

Lemma 11.1 (Construction of Annotated Reduction Sequences). Suppose we can prove $\vDash_{\mathsf{H}} \{A\}$ c $\{\mathsf{obs}(\emptyset)\}$. Let H_A be a logical heap with $H_A \vDash_{\mathsf{A}} A$ and

complete_{lh} (H_A) and h_0^{a} an annotated heap with h_0^{a} $_{\mathsf{ah}} \sim_{\mathsf{lh}} H_A$. Let $(h_i, P_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a fair plain reduction sequence with h_0^{a} $_{\mathsf{ah}} \sim_{\mathsf{ph}} h_0$ and $P_0 = \{(\theta_0, c)\}$ for some thread ID θ_0 and command c.

Then, there exists a fair annotated reduction sequence $(h_i^{\mathsf{a}}, P_i^{\mathsf{a}})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $P^{\mathsf{a}} = \{(\theta_0, (H_A, c))\}$ and consistent_{conf} $(h_i^{\mathsf{a}}, P_i^{\mathsf{a}})$ for all $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. We can construct the annotated reduction sequence inductively from the plain reduction sequence. \Box

Definition 11.2 (Program Order Graph). Let $((h_i^a, P_i^a)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, sig)$ be an annotated reduction sequence. Let N^r be the set of names referring to reduction rules defining the relations \leadsto_{real} , $\leadsto_{\mathsf{ghost}}$ and \leadsto_{ast} . We define the set of annotated reduction rule names N^a where GTP-RED-WAIT is annotated by signals as

$$N^{\mathsf{a}} := (N^r \setminus \{\text{GTP-Red-Wait}\}) \cup (\{\text{GTP-Red-Wait}\} \times \mathcal{S}).$$

We define the program order graph $G(((h_i^{\mathtt{a}}, P_i^{\mathtt{a}})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, \mathsf{sig})) = (\mathbb{N}, E)$ with root 0 where $E \subset \mathbb{N} \times \Theta \times N^{\mathtt{a}} \times \mathbb{N}$.

A node $a \in \mathbb{N}$ corresponds to the sequence's a^{th} reduction step, i.e., $h_a^{\mathtt{a}}, P_a^{\mathtt{a}} \overset{\theta}{\mapsto}_{\mathsf{atp}}$ $h_{a+1}^{\mathtt{a}}, P_{a+1}^{\mathtt{a}}$ for some $\theta \in \mathsf{dom}(P_a^{\mathtt{a}})$. An edge from node a to node b expresses that the b^{th} reduction step continues the control flow of step a. For any $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$, let θ_ℓ denote the ID of the thread reduced in step ℓ . Furthermore, let $n_\ell^{\mathtt{a}}$ denote the name of the reduction rule applied in the ℓ^{th} step, in the following sense:

- If $h_{\ell}^{\mathsf{a}}, P_{\ell}^{\mathsf{a}} \overset{\theta}{\leadsto}_{\mathsf{atp}} h_{\ell+1}^{\mathsf{a}}, P_{\ell+1}^{\mathsf{a}}$ results from an application of RTP-RED-LIFT in combination with single-thread reduction rule n^{st} , then $n_{\ell}^{\mathsf{a}} = n^{\mathsf{st}}$.
- If $h_{\ell}^{\mathtt{a}}, P_{\ell}^{\mathtt{a}} \overset{\theta}{\leadsto}_{\mathsf{atp}} h_{\ell+1}^{\mathtt{a}}, P_{\ell+1}^{\mathtt{a}}$ results from an application of GTP-Red-Wait, then $n_{\ell}^{\mathtt{a}} = (\mathsf{GTP}\text{-Red-Wait}, \mathsf{sig}(\ell)).$
- Otherwise, n^a denotes the applied (real or ghost) thread pool reduction rule

An edge $(a, \theta, n^{\mathsf{a}}, b) \in \mathbb{N} \times \Theta \times N^{\mathsf{a}} \times \mathbb{N}$ is contained in E if $n^{\mathsf{a}} = n_a^{\mathsf{a}}$ and one of the following conditions applies:

- $\theta = \theta_a = \theta_b$ and $b = \min(\{k > a \mid h_k^a, P_k^a \stackrel{\theta_a}{\leadsto}_{\mathsf{atp}} h_{k+1}^a, P_{k+1}^a\})$. In this case, the edge expresses that step b marks the first time that thread θ_a is rescheduled for reduction (after step a).
- $\operatorname{dom}(P_{a+1}^{\mathtt{a}}) \setminus \operatorname{dom}(P_a^{\mathtt{a}}) = \{\theta\}$ and $b = \min\{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid h_k^{\mathtt{a}}, P_k^{\mathtt{a}} \overset{\theta}{\leadsto}_{\mathsf{atp}} h_{k+1}^{\mathtt{a}}, P_{k+1}^{\mathtt{a}}\}.$ In this case, θ identifies the thread forked in step a. The edge expresses that step b marks the first reduction of the forked thread.

In case the choice of reduction sequence $((h_i^a, P_i^a)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, sig)$ is clear from the context, we write G instead of $G(((h_i^a, P_i^a)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, sig))$.

Observation 11.3. Let $(h_i^{\mathsf{a}}, P_i^{\mathsf{a}})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an annotated reduction sequence with $|\mathsf{dom}(P_0^{\mathsf{a}})| = 1$. The sequence's program order graph $G((h_i^{\mathsf{a}}, P_i^{\mathsf{a}})_{i \in \mathbb{N}})$ is a binary tree.

For any reduction sequence $(h_i^a, P_i^a)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, the paths in its program order graph $G((h_i^a, P_i^a)_{i \in \mathbb{N}})$ represent the sequence's control flow paths. Hence, we are going to use program order graphs to analyse reduction sequences' control flows.

We refer to a program order graph's edges by the kind of reduction step they represent. For instance, we call edges of the form $(a, \theta, \text{ST-Red-While}, b)$ loop edges because they represent a loop backjump and edges of the form $(a, \theta, (\text{GTP-Red-Wait}, s), b)$ wait edges. Wait edges of this form represent applications of GTP-Red-Wait to signal s.

In the following, we prove that any path in a program order graph that does not involve a loop edge is finite. This follows from the fact that the size of the command reduced along this path decreases with each non-ghost non-loop step.

Lemma 11.4. Let $(h_i^a, P_i^a)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a fair annotated reduction sequence. Let p = (V, E) be a path in $G((h_i^a, P_i^a)_{i \in \mathbb{N}})$. Let $L = \{e \in E \mid \pi_3(e) = \text{AST-Red-While}\}$ be the set of loop edges contained in p. Then, p is infinite if and only if L is infinite.

Proof. If L is infinite, p is obviously infinite as well. So, suppose L is finite.

For any command, we consider its size to be the number of nodes contained in its abstract syntax tree. By structural induction over the set of commands, it follows that the size of a command $c=P^{\mathsf{a}}(\theta)$.cmd decreases in every non-ghost reduction step $h^{\mathsf{a}}, P^{\mathsf{a}} \overset{\theta}{\leadsto}_{\mathsf{atp}} h^{\mathsf{a}'}, P^{\mathsf{a}'}$ that is not an application of RTP-RED-LIFT in combination with AST-RED-WHILE.

Since L is finite, there exists a node x such that the suffix $p_{\geq x}$ starting at node x does not contain any loop edges. By fairness of $(h_i^{\mathsf{a}}, P_i^{\mathsf{a}})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, every nonempty suffix of $p_{\geq x}$ contains an edge corresponding to a non-ghost reduction step. For any edge $e = (i, \theta, n, j)$ consider the command $c_e = P_i^{\mathsf{a}}(\theta)$.cmd reduced in this edge. The size of these commands decreases along $p_{\geq x}$. So, $p_{\geq x}$ must be finite and thus p must be finite as well.

Corollary 11.5. Let $(h_i^a, P_i^a)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a fair annotated reduction sequence. Let p = (V, E) be a path in $G((h_i^a, P_i^a)_{i \in \mathbb{N}})$. Let

$$C = \{e \in E \mid \pi_3(e) = AST-Red-ConsumeItPerm\}$$

be the set of consume edges contained in p. Then, p is infinite if and only if C is infinite.

Proof. Follows from Lemma 11.4 by the fact that the set $\{e \in E \mid \pi_3(e) = \text{AST-Red-While}\}\$ is infinite if and only if C is infinite.

Definition 11.6. Let G = (V, E) be a subgraph of some program order graph. We define the function waitEdges_G: $S \to \mathcal{P}(E)$ mapping any signal s to the set of wait edges in G concerning s as:

waitEdges_G
$$(s) := \{(a, \theta, (GTP-Red-Wait, s'), b) \in E \mid s' = s\}.$$

Furthermore, we define the set $S_G \subset S$ of signals being waited for in G and its subset $S_G^{\infty} \subseteq S_G$ of signals waited-for infinitely often in G as follows:

$$\begin{array}{lll} \mathcal{S}_G &:= & \{s \in \mathcal{S} \mid \mathsf{waitEdges}_G(s) \neq \emptyset\}, \\ S_G^\infty &:= & \{s^\infty \in \mathcal{S}_G \mid \mathsf{waitEdges}_G(s^\infty) \; \mathit{infinite}\}. \end{array}$$

Definition 11.7. Let $(h_i^a, P_i^a)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a fair annotated reduction sequence and let G = (V, E) be a subgraph of the sequence's program order graph. We define the function itperms_G: $E \to Bags_{fin}(\Lambda)$ mapping any edge e to the (potentially empty) finite bag of iteration permissions derived in the reduction step corresponding to e as follows:

Let $(i, \theta, n, j) \in E$ be an edge.

• If n = (GTP-Red-Wait, s) for some signal $s \in \mathcal{S}$, then the i^{th} reduction step spawns a single iteration permission (τ, δ) , i.e., $P_{i+1}^{\mathsf{a}} = P_i^{\mathsf{a}}[\theta := (P_i^{\mathsf{a}}(\theta).\mathsf{heap} + \{\mathsf{itperm}_{\mathsf{IRes}}(\tau, \delta)\}, P_i^{\mathsf{a}}(\theta).\mathsf{cmd})].$ In this case, we define

$$\mathsf{itperms}_G((i, \theta, (\mathsf{GTP}\text{-}\mathsf{Red}\text{-}\mathsf{WAIT}, s), j)) := \{(\tau, \delta)\}.$$

• If n = GTP-Red-WeakItPerm, then the i^{th} reduction step consumes an iteration permission (τ', δ) and produces N permissions (τ', δ') of lower degree, i.e., $P_i^{\mathsf{a}}(\theta)$.heap $= H + \{\mathsf{itperm}(\tau', \delta)\}$ for some heap H and $P_{i+1}^{\mathsf{a}} = P_i^{\mathsf{a}}[\theta := (H', P_i^{\mathsf{a}}(\theta).\mathsf{cmd})]$ for

$$H' = H + N \cdot \{\text{itperm}_{\mathsf{IRes}}(\tau', \delta')\}.$$

In this case, we define

• Otherwise, we define

$$\mathsf{itperms}_G((i,\theta,n,j)) := \emptyset.$$

Definition 11.8 (Signal Capacity). Let $(h_i^a, P_i^a)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a fair annotated reduction sequence and G = (V, E) be a subgraph of the sequence's program order graph. We define the function $\operatorname{sigCap}_G : (S \setminus S_G^{\infty}) \times \mathbb{N} \to \operatorname{Bags}_{\operatorname{fin}}(\Lambda)$ mapping signals and indices to bags of iteration permissions as follows:

$$\operatorname{sigCap}_G(s,i) \quad := \quad \biguplus_{\substack{(a,\theta,n,b) \, \in \, \operatorname{waitEdges}_G(s) \\ a \, \geq \, i}} \operatorname{itperms}_G((a,\theta,n,b)).$$

We call $\operatorname{sigCap}_{G}(s, i)$ the capacity of signal s at index i.

Note that the signal capacity above is indeed finite. For every G and every signal $s \in \mathcal{S} \setminus S_G^{\infty}$ the set of wait edges waitEdges_G(s) is finite. Hence, the big union above is a finite union over finite iteration permission bags.

Definition 11.9 (Partial Order on Permissions). We define the partial order on iteration permissions $<_{\Lambda} \subset \Lambda \times \Lambda$ induced by $<_{\Delta}$ such that

$$(\tau_1, \delta_1) <_{\Lambda} (\tau_2, \delta_2) \iff \delta_1 <_{\Delta} \delta_2.$$

Lemma 11.10. The partial order $<_{\Lambda}$ is well-founded.

Proof. Follows directly from well-foundedness of $<_{\Lambda}$.

Definition 11.11 (Partial Order on Finite Bags). Let X be a set and let $<_X \subset X \times X$ a partial order on X. We define the partial order $\prec_X \subset Bags_{fin}(X) \times Bags_{fin}(X)$ on finite bags over X as the Dershowitz-Manna ordering [Dershowitz and Manna(1979)] induced by $<_X$:

$$\begin{array}{ll} A \prec_X B &\iff \exists C, D \in Bags_{\mathsf{fin}}(X). \ \emptyset \neq C \subseteq B \\ & \land A = (B \setminus C) \uplus D \\ & \land \forall d \in D. \ \exists c \in C. \ d <_X c. \end{array}$$

We define $\leq_X \subset Bags_{fin}(X) \times Bags_{fin}(X)$ such that

$$A \preceq_X B \iff A = B \lor A \prec_X B$$

holds.

Corollary 11.12. The partial order $\prec_{\Lambda} \subset Bags_{fin}(\Lambda) \times Bags_{fin}(\Lambda)$ is well-founded.

Proof. Follows from [Dershowitz and Manna(1979)] and Lemma 11.10. \Box

In the following, we view paths in a program order graph as single-branched subgraphs. This allows us to apply above definitions on graphs to paths. In particular, this allows us to refer to the capacity of a signal s on a path p by referring to $\operatorname{sigCap}_{p}$.

For the following definition, remember that a bag $B \in Bags(X)$ is a function $B: X \to \mathbb{N}$ while a logical heap $H \in Heaps^{\log}$ is a function $H: \mathcal{R}^{\log} \to \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$. Also remember the signatures ownedResHeap_{atp}: $\mathcal{TP}^{\mathsf{a}} \to Heaps^{\log}$ and protectedResHeap_{ah}: $Heaps^{\mathsf{annot}} \to Heaps^{\log}$.

Definition 11.13. We define the functions itperms_{conf}: Heaps^{annot} $\times TP$ ^a $\to Bags(\Lambda)$ and wperms_{conf}: Heaps^{annot} $\times TP$ ^a $\to Bags(\Omega)$ mapping annotated machine configurations to bags of iteration and wait permissions, respectively, as follows:

$$\begin{split} & \mathsf{itperms}_{\mathsf{conf}}(h^{\mathsf{a}}, P^{\mathsf{a}})(\tau, \delta) \\ &:= \quad \big\lfloor \big(\mathsf{ownedResHeap}_{\mathsf{atp}}(P^{\mathsf{a}}) + \mathsf{protectedResHeap}_{\mathsf{ah}}(h^{\mathsf{a}})\big) \big(\mathsf{itperm}_{\mathsf{IRes}}(\tau, \delta)) \big\rfloor, \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \operatorname{wperms}_{\operatorname{conf}}(h^{\operatorname{a}},P^{\operatorname{a}})(\tau,id,\delta) \\ := & \quad \big\lfloor \big(\operatorname{ownedResHeap}_{\operatorname{atp}}(P^{\operatorname{a}}) + \operatorname{protectedResHeap}_{\operatorname{ah}}(h^{\operatorname{a}})\big) \big(\operatorname{wperm}_{\operatorname{IRes}}(\tau,id,\delta)) \big\rfloor. \end{split}$$

Note that for consistent annotated machine configurations (h^{a}, P^{a}) the above flooring is without any affect.

Corollary 11.14. Let $(h_i^a, P_i^a)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be an annotated reduction sequence such that finite_{ah} (h_0^a) and finite_{lh} $(P_0^a(\theta).\text{heap})$ hold for every $\theta \in \text{dom}(P_0^a)$.

Then, $\operatorname{itperms}_{\operatorname{conf}}(h_i^{\operatorname{a}}, P_i^{\operatorname{a}})$ and $\operatorname{wperms}_{\operatorname{conf}}(h_i^{\operatorname{a}}, P_i^{\operatorname{a}})$ are finite for every choice of $i \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Follows by preservation of finiteness, Lemma 9.9.

Lemma 11.15. Let $G((h_i^a, P_i^a)_{i \in \mathbb{N}})$ be a program order graph and let p = (V, E) be a path in G with $S_p^{\infty} = \emptyset$. For every $\theta \in \text{dom}(P_0^a)$ let $P_0^a(\theta)$.heap be finite and complete. Further, let h_0^a be finite and contain no chunks unlocked_{aRes} (m, P, H_P) where H_P contains any phase or obligations chunk.

Then, p is finite.

Proof. Assume p is infinite. We prove a contradiction by assigning a finite capacity to every node along the path. Let θ_i be the ID of the thread reduced in step i. For every $\theta \in \mathsf{dom}(P_r^\mathsf{a})$ the logical heap $P_0^\mathsf{a}(\theta)$.heap is complete and h_0^a contains no chunks unlocked $\mathsf{a}_\mathsf{Res}(m,P,H_P)$ where H_P contains any phase or obligations chunk. By preservation of completeness, Lemma 9.10, $P_i^\mathsf{a}(\theta_i)$.heap is also complete and hence it contains exactly one phase chunk phase $\mathsf{lRes}(\tau_i)$. That is, for every step i, the phase ID τ_i of the thread reduced in step i is uniquely defined.

Consider the function $nodeCap : V \to Bags_{fin}(\Lambda)$ defined as

$$\mathsf{nodeCap}(i) \ := \ \underbrace{\{\![(\tau_{\mathsf{anc}}, \delta) \in \mathsf{itperms}_{\mathsf{conf}}(h_i^\mathtt{a}, P_i^\mathtt{a}) \mid \tau_{\mathsf{anc}} \sqsubseteq \tau_i \}\!\}}_{id \in \mathsf{waitIDs}(\tau_i)} \\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \underbrace{\{\![(\tau_{\mathsf{anc}}, id, \delta) \in \mathsf{wperms}_{\mathsf{conf}}(h_i^\mathtt{a}, P_i^\mathtt{a}) \mid \tau_{\mathsf{anc}} \sqsubseteq \tau_i \}\!\}}_{L \in \mathcal{Leves}}$$

where waitIDs(τ_i) := $\{id \mid \exists \tau_{\mathrm{anc}}. (\tau_{\mathrm{anc}}, id, _) \in \mathsf{wperms}_{\mathsf{conf}}(h_i^\mathtt{a}, P_i^\mathtt{a}) \land \tau_{\mathrm{anc}} \sqsubseteq \tau_i\}$. For every $i \in V$, the capacity of node i, i.e., $\mathsf{nodeCap}(i)$, is the union of two finite iteration permission bags: (i) Above $\{(\tau_{\mathrm{anc}}, \delta) \in \mathsf{itperms}_{\mathsf{conf}}(h_i^\mathtt{a}, P_i^\mathtt{a}) \mid \tau_{\mathrm{anc}} \sqsubseteq \tau_i\}$ captures all iteration permissions contained in $h^\mathtt{a}$ and $P_i^\mathtt{a}$ that are qualified by an ancestor τ_{anc} of phase ID τ_i and are hence usable by the thread reduced in node i. This includes the permissions $(\tau_{\mathrm{anc}}, \delta)$ held by thread θ_i as well as such (temporarily) transferred to another thread via a lock invariant. (ii) Below \biguplus $\mathsf{sigCap}_p((id, L), i)$ captures all iteration permissions that will be created along the suffix of p that starts at node i by waiting for signals for which thread θ_i already holds a wait permission $(\tau_{\mathrm{anc}}, id, \delta)$ in step i.

Note that for every $i \in V$, the bag of iteration permissions returned by $\mathsf{nodeCap}(i)$ is indeed finite. The initial annotated heap and all initial thread-local logical heaps are finite. This allows us to apply Corollary 11.14, by which we get that $\mathsf{itperms}_{\mathsf{conf}}(h_i^{\mathsf{a}}, P_i^{\mathsf{a}})$ and $\mathsf{wperms}_{\mathsf{conf}}(h_i^{\mathsf{a}}, P_i^{\mathsf{a}})$ are finite.

Since signal IDs are unique, for every fixed choice of i and id, there is at most one level L, for which $\operatorname{sigCap}_n((id, L), i) \neq \emptyset$. By assumption, along p all

signals are waited for only finitely often, i.e., $S_p^{\infty} = \emptyset$. Hence, also the big union $+\int \operatorname{sigCap}_p((id,L),i)$ is defined and finite.

Consider the sequence $(\mathsf{nodeCap}(i))_{i \in V}$. Since every element is a finite bag of permissions, we can order it by \prec_{Λ} . We are going to prove a contradiction by proving that the sequence is an infinitely descending chain.

Consider any edge $(i, \theta, n, j) \in E$. There are only three cases in which $\mathsf{nodeCap}(i) \neq \mathsf{nodeCap}(j)$ holds.

• n = GTP-Red-WaitPerm: In this case, there are degrees δ, δ' with $\delta' <_{\Delta} \delta$, a signal s and $N \in \mathbb{N}$ for which we get

$$\mathsf{nodeCap}(j) = (\mathsf{nodeCap}(i) \setminus \{\!\!\{(\tau', \delta)\}\!\!\}) \uplus \{\!\!\{\underbrace{(\tau', \delta')}_{N \text{ times}}\!\!\}.$$

That is, $nodeCap(j) \prec_{\Lambda} nodeCap(i)$.

- n = GTP-Red-WeakItPerm: Same as above.
- n = AST-Red-ConsumeItPerm: In this case, we know that $\mathsf{nodeCap}(j) = \mathsf{nodeCap}(i) \setminus \{\![(\tau_{\mathrm{anc}}, \delta)]\!\} \prec_{\Lambda} \mathsf{nodeCap}(i)$ holds for some τ_{anc} and δ .

(Note that in case of $n=\operatorname{GTP-RED-WAIT}$, we have $\mathsf{nodeCap}(i)=\mathsf{nodeCap}(j)$ since

$$\begin{split} & \{\!\!\{(\tau_{\mathrm{anc}}, \delta) \in \mathsf{itperms}_{\mathsf{conf}}(h_j^{\mathsf{a}}, P_j^{\mathsf{a}}) \mid \tau_{\mathrm{anc}} \sqsubseteq \tau_j \}\!\!\} \\ &= \\ & \{\!\!\{(\tau_{\mathrm{anc}}, \delta) \in \mathsf{itperms}_{\mathsf{conf}}(h_i^{\mathsf{a}}, P_i^{\mathsf{a}}) \mid \tau_{\mathrm{anc}} \sqsubseteq \tau_i \}\!\!\} \; \uplus \; \{\!\!\{(\tau, \delta)\}\!\!\} \end{split}$$

and

$$\qquad \qquad + \operatorname{sigCap}_p((id,L),j) \quad = \quad \left(\biguplus \operatorname{sigCap}_p((id,L),i) \right) \setminus \{\!\!\{(\tau,\delta)\}\!\!\}$$

for some δ .) So, nodeCap is monotonically decreasing.

By assumption p is infinite. According to Corollary 11.5 this implies that the path contains infinitely many consume edges, i.e., edges with a labelling n = AST-Red-ConsumeItPerm. Hence, the sequence $(\mathsf{nodeCap}(i))_{i \in V}$ forms an infinitely descending chain. However, according to Corollary 11.12, \prec_{Λ} is well-founded. A contradiction.

Lemma 11.16. Let $(h_i^a, P_i^a)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a fair annotated reduction sequence with consistent_{conf} (h_0^a, P_0^a) , $P_0^a = \{(\theta_0, (H_0, c))\}$, complete_{lh} (H_0) , finite_{lh} (H_0) and with finite_{ah} (h_0^a) . Let H_0 contain no signal or wait permission chunks. Further, let h_0^a contain no chunks unlocked_{aRes} (m, P, H_P) where H_P contains any obligations, phase or signal chunks. Let G be the program order graph of $(h_i^a, P_i^a)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$. Then, $S_G^\infty = \emptyset$.

Proof. Suppose $S_G^{\infty} \neq \emptyset$. Since $\mathcal{L}evs$ is well-founded, the same holds for the set $\{\mathsf{lev}(s) \mid s \in S^{\infty}\}$. Hence, there is some $s_{\min} \in S^{\infty}$ for which no $z \in S^{\infty}$ with $\mathsf{lev}(z) <_{\mathsf{L}} \mathsf{lev}(s_{\min})$ exists.

Since neither the initial logical heap H_0 nor any unlocked lock invariant stored in $h_0^{\mathtt{a}}$ does contain any signals, s_{\min} must be created during the reduction sequence. The reduction step creating signal s_{\min} is an application of GTP-Red-NewSignal, which simultaneously creates an obligation to set s_{\min} . By preservation of completeness, Lemma 9.10, every thread-local logical heap $P_i^{\mathtt{a}}(\theta)$.heap annotating some thread θ in some step i is complete. According to reduction rule GTP-Red-Wait, every wait edge $(a,\theta,(\text{GTP-Red-Wait},s_{\min}),b)$ implies together with completeness that in step a (i) thread θ does not hold any obligation for s_{\min} (i.e. $P_a^{\mathtt{a}}(\theta)$.heap.obs = O for some bag of obligations O with $s_{\min} \not\in O$) and (ii) s_{\min} has not been set, yet (i.e. signal $_{\mathtt{aRes}}(s_{\min}, \mathtt{False}) \in h_a^{\mathtt{a}}$). Hence, in step a another thread $\theta_{\mathrm{ob}} \neq \theta$ must hold the obligation for s_{\min} (i.e. $P_a^{\mathtt{a}}(\theta_{\mathrm{ob}})$.heap.obs = O for some bag of obligations O with $s_{\min} \in O$). Since there are infinitely many wait edges concerning s_{\min} in G, the signal is never set.

By fairness, for every wait edge as above, there must be a non-ghost reduction step $h_k^{\mathtt{a}}, P_k^{\mathtt{a}} \overset{\theta_{\mathrm{ob}}}{\leadsto}_{\mathtt{atp}} h_{k+1}^{\mathtt{a}}, P_{k+1}^{\mathtt{a}}$ of the thread θ_{ob} holding the obligation for s_{\min} with $k \geq a$. Hence, there exists an infinite path p_{ob} in G where each edge $(e, \theta_{\mathrm{ob}}, n, f) \in \mathsf{edges}(p_{\mathrm{ob}})$ concerns some thread θ_{ob} holding the obligation for s_{\min} . (Note that this thread ID does not have to be constant along the path, since the obligation can be passed on during fork steps.)

The path $p_{\rm ob}$ does not contain wait edges $(e,\theta_{\rm ob},({\rm GTP\text{-}Red\text{-}Wait},s^\infty),f)$ for any $s^\infty \in S^\infty$, since reduction rule GTP-RED-Wait would (together with completeness of $P_e^{\rm a}(\theta_{\rm ob})$.heap) require s^∞ to be of a lower level than all held obligations. This restriction implies ${\rm lev}(s^\infty) <_{\rm L} {\rm lev}(s_{\rm min})$ and would hence contradict the minimality of $s_{\rm min}$. That is, $S^\infty_{p_{\rm ob}} = \emptyset$.

By preservation of finiteness, Lemma 9.9, we get that every logical heap associated with the root of $p_{\rm ob}$ is finite. This allows us to apply Lemma 11.15, by which we get that $p_{\rm ob}$ is finite. A contradiction.

Lemma 11.17. Let

hold. There exists no fair, infinite annotated reduction sequence $(h_i^a, P_i^a)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $h_0^a = \emptyset$, $P_0^a = \{(\theta_0, (H_0, c))\}$ and

$$H_0 = \{ \operatorname{phase}_{\mathsf{IRes}}(\tau_0), \operatorname{obs}_{\mathsf{IRes}}(\emptyset), \operatorname{itperm}_{\mathsf{IRes}}(\tau_0, \delta_1), \dots, \operatorname{itperm}_{\mathsf{IRes}}(\tau_0, \delta_N) \}.$$

Proof. Suppose a reduction sequence as described above exists. We are going to prove a contradiction by considering its infinite program order graph G.

According to Observation 11.3, G is a binary tree with an infinite set of vertices. By the Weak König's Lemma [Simpson(1999)] G has an infinite branch, i.e. an infinite path p starting at root 0.

The initial logical heap H_0 is complete and finite and the initial annotated machine configuration (h_0^a, P_0^a) is consistent. By Lemma 11.16 we know that $S_G^{\infty} = \emptyset$. Since $S_p^{\infty} \subseteq S_G^{\infty}$, we get $S_p^{\infty} = \emptyset$. This allows us to apply Lemma 11.15, by which we get that p is finite, which is a contradiction.

Theorem 10.7 (Soundness). Let

$$\label{eq:constraint} \begin{split} & & & i = 1, \dots, N \\ & \vdash \big\{ \mathsf{phase}(\tau) * \mathsf{obs}(\emptyset) * \not & \mathsf{titperm}(\tau, \delta_i) \big\} \ c \ \big\{ \mathsf{obs}(\emptyset) \big\} \end{split}$$

hold. There exists no fair, infinite reduction sequence $(h_i, P_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $h_0 = \emptyset$ and $P_0 = \{(\theta_0, c)\}$ for any choice of θ_0 .

Proof. Suppose a reduction sequence as described above exists. Since we can prove $\vdash \{\mathsf{phase}(\tau) * \mathsf{obs}(\emptyset) * \not\bigstar_{\mathsf{itperm}}^{i=1,\ldots,N}(\tau,\delta_i)\} \ c \ \{\mathsf{obs}(\emptyset)\},$ we can also conclude $\vdash_{\mathsf{H}} \{\mathsf{phase}(\tau) * \mathsf{obs}(\emptyset) * \not\bigstar_{\mathsf{itperm}}^{i=1,\ldots,N}(\tau,\delta_i)\} \ c \ \{\mathsf{obs}(\emptyset)\}$ by Hoare triple soundness, Lemma 10.6. Consider the logical heap

$$\mathit{H}_{0} = \{ \mathrm{phase}_{\mathsf{IRes}}(\tau), \mathrm{obs}_{\mathsf{IRes}}(\emptyset), \mathrm{itperm}_{\mathsf{IRes}}(\tau, \delta_{1}), \ldots, \mathrm{itperm}_{\mathsf{IRes}}(\tau, \delta_{N}) \}$$

and the annotated heap $h_0^{\mathtt{a}} = \emptyset$. It holds $H_0 \vDash_{\mathsf{A}} \mathsf{phase}(\tau) * \mathsf{obs}(\emptyset) * \bigstar^{i=1,\dots,N}_{\mathsf{itperm}}(\tau, \delta_i)$, $h_0^{\mathtt{a}} \ _{\mathsf{ah}} \sim_{\mathsf{lh}} H_0$ and $h_0^{\mathtt{a}} \ _{\mathsf{ah}} \sim_{\mathsf{ph}} h_0$. This allows us to apply Lemma 11.1, by which we can construct a corresponding fair annotated reduction sequence $(h_i^{\mathtt{a}}, P_i^{\mathtt{a}})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ that starts with $h_0^{\mathtt{a}} = \emptyset$ and $P_0^{\mathtt{a}} = \{(\theta_0, (H_0, c))\}$. By Lemma 11.17 $(h_i^{\mathtt{a}}, P_i^{\mathtt{a}})_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ does not exist. A contradiction.

12 Verification Example

12.1 Minimal Example

Figures 16 and 17 sketch the verification of the example program presented in Figure 2. For this verification we let the set of values *Values* include natural numbers and choose $\mathcal{L}evs = \Delta = \mathbb{N}$.

12.2 Bounded FIFO

For this section, we let the set of values *Values* include natural numbers and finite sequences, aka lists, of natural numbers. Further, the set of operations *Ops* includes the canonical operations on natural numbers and lists, i.e., (i) <, \leq , - and (ii) list concatenation $l_1 \cdot l_2$, prepending an element e :: l, getting the head and tail of a list $\mathbf{head}(l)$ (defined for non-empty l), $\mathbf{tail}(l)$ and getting the size of a list $\mathbf{size}(l)$. We denote the empty list by \mathbf{nil} . We use the abbreviation $a \ R_1 \ b \ R_2 \ c$ for $R_1, R_2 \in \{<, \leq\}$ to denote $a \ R_1 \ b \ k_2 \ c$. Furthermore, we choose $\mathcal{L}evs = \Delta = \mathbb{N}$. Figure 19 presents an example program involving a bounded FIFO.

```
\{\mathsf{phase}(()) * \mathsf{obs}(\emptyset) * \mathsf{itperm}((), 1)\}
                                                                                                                                  PR-LET & PR-VS-SIMP & VS-SEMIMP
let \times :=
    { True * phase(()) * obs(\emptyset) * itperm((), 1)}
    cons(0)
                                                                                                                                  PR-CONS & PR-FRAME
    \{\lambda \ell. \ \ell \mapsto 0 \text{ * phase}(()) * \text{obs}(\emptyset) * \text{itperm}((), 1)\}
in
\forall \ell_{\mathsf{x}}.
                                                                                                                                  \ell_x represents value bound to x.
\{\mathsf{phase}(()) * \mathsf{obs}(\emptyset) * \mathsf{itperm}((),1) * \ell_{\mathsf{x}} \mapsto 0 \}
let m :=
                                                                                                                                  PR-LET & PR-VS-SIMP & VS-SEMIMP
    { True * phase(()) * obs(\emptyset) * itperm((), 1) * \ell_x \mapsto 0}
    new_mutex
                                                                                                                                  PR-NewMutex & PR-Frame
    \{\lambda \ell. \ \ \mathsf{uninit}(\ell) \ * \mathsf{phase}(()) * \mathsf{obs}(\emptyset) * \mathsf{itperm}((), 1) * \ell_{\mathsf{x}} \mapsto 0\}
in
                                                                                                                                  \ell_{\mathsf{m}} represents value bound to \mathsf{m}.
\forall \ell_{\mathbf{m}}.
                                                                                                                                  PR-VS-SIMP & VS-NEWSIGNAL & PR-FRAME
\{\mathsf{phase}(()) * \mathsf{obs}(\emptyset) * \mathsf{itperm}((),1) * \ell_{\mathsf{x}} \mapsto 0 * \mathsf{uninit}(\ell_{\mathsf{m}}) \}
      \exists id_s. signal((id_s, 1), False) * phase(()) * obs({ (id_s, 1) })
                                                                                                                                  PR-EXISTS
  * itperm((),1)*\ell_{\mathsf{x}}\mapsto 0* uninit(\ell_{\mathsf{m}})
\forall id_s.
    \exists id_s. signal((id_s, 1), False) * phase(()) * obs({(id_s, 1)}) )
                                                                                                                                  PR-VS-SIMP & VS-SEMIMP
 \overline{* \mathsf{itperm}}((),1) * \ell_{\mathsf{x}} \mapsto 0 * \mathsf{uninit}(\ell_{\mathsf{m}})
   \exists v_x. \ \ell_x \mapsto v_x * signal((id_s, 1), v_x \neq 0)
                                                                                                                                  P := \exists v_x. \ \ell_x \mapsto v_x
                                                                                                                                                  * signal((id<sub>s</sub>, 1), v_x \neq 0)
  *obs(\{(id_s, 1)\}) * itperm((), 1) * uninit(\ell_m)
                                                                                                                                  PR-VS-SIMP & VS-MUTINIT & PR-FRAME
\{ P * \mathsf{phase}(()) * \mathsf{obs}(\{ (\mathsf{id}_{\mathsf{s}}, 1) \}) * \mathsf{itperm}((), 1) * \mathsf{uninit}(\ell_{\mathsf{m}}) \}
\{\mathsf{phase}(()) * \mathsf{obs}(\{(\mathsf{id}_\mathsf{s},1)\}) * \mathsf{itperm}((),1) * \mathsf{mutex}((\ell_\mathsf{m},0),\mathsf{P}) \}
                                                                                                                                  PR-VS-SIMP & VS-SEMIMP
   phase(()) * obs(\{(id_s, 1)\}) * itperm((), 1)
   * [\frac{1}{2}] mutex((\ell_{m}, 0), P) * [\frac{1}{2}] mutex((\ell_{m}, 0), P)
fork
                                                                                                                                  PR-FORK & PR-FRAME
    {phase(\tau.Forkee) * obs(\emptyset) * itperm((), 1) * [\frac{1}{2}] mutex((\ell_m, 0), P)}
                                                                                                                                  Continued in Figure 17.
    ...;
    \{\mathsf{obs}(\emptyset)\}
\{\mathsf{phase}(\underbrace{\tau.\mathsf{Forker}}) * \mathsf{obs}(\{(\mathsf{id}_{\mathsf{s}},1)\}) * [\frac{1}{2}] \mathsf{mutex}((\ell_{\mathsf{m}},0),\mathsf{P})\}
                                                                                                                                  PR-Acquire
acquire m;
\{phase(\tau.Forker) * obs(\{(id_s, 1), (\ell_m, 0)\}) * locked((\ell_m, 0), P, \frac{1}{2}) * P\}
                                                                                                                                  PR-Exists
\forall v_{\star}.
\int \mathsf{phase}(\tau.\mathsf{Forker}) * \mathsf{obs}(\{(\mathsf{id}_\mathsf{s},1),(\ell_\mathsf{m},0)\}) * \mathsf{locked}((\ell_\mathsf{m},0),\mathsf{P},\tfrac{1}{2})
*\exists v_x. \ \ell_x \mapsto v_x * signal((id_s, 1), v_x \neq 0)
                                                                                                                                  PR-AssignToHeap & PR-Frame
\int\limits_{0}^{\infty}\mathsf{phase}(\tau.\mathsf{Forker})*\mathsf{obs}(\{\!\!\{(\mathsf{id_s},1),(\ell_{\mathsf{m}},0)\}\!\!\})*\mathsf{locked}((\ell_{\mathsf{m}},0),\mathsf{P},\tfrac{1}{2})
                                                                                                                                  PR-VS-SIMP & VS-SETSIGNAL
 *\ell_{\mathsf{x}} \mapsto 1 * \mathsf{signal}((\mathsf{id}_{\mathsf{s}},1),\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{x}} \neq 0)
   \mathsf{phase}(\tau.\mathsf{Forker}) * \mathsf{obs}(\{\!\!\{(\mathsf{id}_\mathsf{s},1) \mid , (\ell_\mathsf{m},0) \mid \!\!\}) * \mathsf{locked}((\ell_\mathsf{m},0),\mathsf{P},\tfrac{1}{2})
                                                                                                                                  PR-VS-SIMP & VS-SEMIMP
   *\ell_{\mathsf{x}} \mapsto 1 * \mathsf{signal}((\mathsf{id}_{\mathsf{s}}, 1), \mathsf{True})
\{\mathsf{phase}(\tau.\mathsf{Forker}) * \mathsf{obs}(\{\ell_{\mathsf{m}},0\}) * \mathsf{locked}((\ell_{\mathsf{m}},0),\mathsf{P},\frac{1}{2}) * \mathsf{P}\}
release m
                                                                                                                                  PR-Release & PR-Frame
\{phase(\tau.Forker) * obs((\ell_m, 0))\}
                                                                   \left[\frac{1}{2}\right] mutex\left(\left(\ell_{\mathsf{m}},0\right),\mathsf{P}\right)
                                                                                                                                  PR-VS-SIMP & VS-SEMIMP
\{\mathsf{obs}(\emptyset)\}
```

Figure 16: Verification sketch of main thread of example program presented in Figure 2. For readability we omit information about a command's return value if it is not relevant to the proof.

```
Continuation of Figure 16.
\forall \ell_x, id_s.
                                                                                                                                                                                                \ell_x, id_s universally quantified below
                                                                                                                                                                                               P := \exists v_x. \ \ell_x \mapsto v_x
                                                                                                                                                                                                                     * signal((id<sub>s</sub>, 1), v_x \neq 0)
                                                                                                                                                                                                PR-VS-SIMP & VS-WAITPERM
\{\mathsf{phase}(\tau.\mathsf{Forkee}) * \mathsf{obs}(\emptyset) * \mathsf{itperm}((),1) * [\frac{1}{2}] \mathsf{mutex}((\ell_{\mathsf{m}},0),\mathsf{P})\}
                                                                                                                                                                                                & PR-Frame
\{\text{phase}(\tau.\text{Forkee}) * \text{obs}(\emptyset) * \text{itperm}((), 1)\}  where \{\text{phase}(\tau, \text{forkee}) * \text{obs}(\emptyset) * \text{itperm}((), 1)\} \}
while
                                                                                                                                                                                                PR-WHILE-SIMP
     \{\mathsf{phase}(\tau.\mathsf{Forkee}) * \mathsf{obs}(\emptyset) * \mathsf{wperm}((), \mathsf{id}_{\mathsf{s}}, 0) * [\frac{1}{2}] \mathsf{mutex}((\ell_{\mathsf{m}}, 0), \mathsf{P})\}
                                                                                                                                                                                                PR-Acquire
     \{\mathsf{phase}(\tau.\mathsf{Forkee}) * \mathsf{obs}(\{\![(\ell_{\mathsf{m}}, 0)]\!]) * \mathsf{wperm}((), \mathsf{id}_{\mathsf{s}}, 0) * \mathsf{locked}((\ell_{\mathsf{m}}, 0), \mathsf{P}, \frac{1}{2}) * \mathsf{P}\}
     let v :=
                                                                                                                                                                                                PR-LET
              \mathsf{phase}(\tau.\mathsf{Forkee}) * \mathsf{obs}(\{\!\{(\ell_\mathsf{m},0)\}\!\}) * \mathsf{wperm}((),\mathsf{id}_\mathsf{s},0) * \mathsf{locked}((\ell_\mathsf{m},0),\mathsf{P},\tfrac{1}{2})
                                                                                                                                                                                               PR-EXISTS & PR-FRAME
            * \exists v_x. \ell_x \mapsto v_x * signal((id_s, 1), v_x \neq 0)
                    \forall v_{x}.
                                                                                                                                                                                                v_x quantified in local scope.
                    \{\ell_{\mathsf{x}} \mapsto \mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{x}}\}
                    [x]
                    \{\lambda r. \mid r = \mathsf{v}_\mathsf{x} \mid *\ell_\mathsf{x} \mapsto \mathsf{v}_\mathsf{x}\}
                                                                                                                                                                                                PR-VS-SIMP & VS-SEMIMP
                    \{\lambda r. \; \exists \mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{x}}. \; r = \mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{x}} * \ell_{\mathsf{x}} \mapsto \mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{x}} \}
              \textbf{$\lambda$ \ref{thm:phase}(\tau.Forkee)*obs}(\{\!\{(\ell_{\mathsf{m}},0)\}\!\})*\mathsf{wperm}((),\mathsf{id}_{\mathsf{s}},0)*\mathsf{locked}((\ell_{\mathsf{m}},0),\mathsf{P},\tfrac{1}{2})\ \Big\}
                        *\exists v_x. \ \ell_x \mapsto v_x * signal((id_s, 1), v_x \neq 0) * r = v_x
     in
     \forall v_v
                                                                                                                                                                                                v_v represents value bound to y.
      \begin{cases} \mathsf{phase}(\tau.\mathsf{Forkee}) * \mathsf{obs}(\{\![(\ell_\mathsf{m},0)]\!]) * \mathsf{wperm}((),\mathsf{id}_\mathsf{s},0) * \mathsf{locked}((\ell_\mathsf{m},0),\mathsf{P},\tfrac{1}{2}) \\ * \exists \mathsf{v}_\mathsf{x}.\ \ell_\mathsf{x} \mapsto \mathsf{v}_\mathsf{x} * \mathsf{signal}((\mathsf{id}_\mathsf{s},1),\mathsf{v}_\mathsf{x} \neq 0) * \underbrace{\mathsf{v}_\mathsf{x} = \mathsf{v}_\mathsf{y}} \end{cases}
     release m;
                                                                                                                                                                                                PR-Release
               \mathsf{phase}(\tau.\mathsf{Forkee}) * \mathsf{obs}(\{(\ell_{\mathsf{m}},0) | \emptyset)\}) * \mathsf{wperm}((),\mathsf{id}_{\mathsf{s}},0)
                                                                                                                                                                                                Release view shift
                                                                * \exists \mathsf{v}_\mathsf{x}.\ \ell_\mathsf{x} \mapsto \mathsf{v}_\mathsf{x} * \mathsf{signal}((\mathsf{id}_\mathsf{s},1),\mathsf{v}_\mathsf{x} \neq 0) * \mathsf{v}_\mathsf{x} = \mathsf{v}_\mathsf{y}
                                                                                                                                                                                                PR-EXISTS
                                                                                                                                                                                                v_x quantified in local scope.
          \forall v_{\star}.
           \{ phase(\tau.Forkee) * obs(\{\emptyset\}) * wperm((), id_s, 0) \} \}
                                                                                                                                                                                               PR-VS-SIMP & VS-WAIT
            (*\exists v_x.\ \ell_x \mapsto v_x * signal((id_s, 1), v_x \neq 0) * v_x = v_v)
                                                                                                                                                                                               & PR-Frame
            \int \overline{\mathsf{phase}}(\tau.\mathsf{Forkee}) * \mathsf{obs}(\{\emptyset\}) * \mathsf{wperm}((), \mathsf{id}_{\mathsf{s}}, 0)
                                                                                                                                                                                                PR-VS-SIMP & VS-SEMIMP
             *\ell_x \mapsto \mathsf{v}_x * \mathsf{signal}((\mathsf{id}_\mathsf{s}, 1), \mathsf{v}_\mathsf{x} \neq 0) * \mathsf{v}_\mathsf{x} = \mathsf{v}_\mathsf{v} * (\mathsf{v}_\mathsf{x} = 0 \leftrightarrow \mathsf{itperm}(\tau.\mathsf{Forker}, 0))
              phase(\tau.Forkee) * obs(\{\emptyset\}) * wperm((), id<sub>s</sub>, 0) * P
              * (v_v = 0 \rightarrow itperm(\tau.Forker, 0))
          phase(\tau.Forkee) * obs(\{(\ell_m, 0)\}
                                                                                 \{0\} * wperm((), id<sub>s</sub>, 0) * \left[\frac{1}{2}\right] mutex((\ell_m, 0), P
          *P * (v_v = 0 \rightarrow itperm(\tau.Forker, 0))
     y = 0
                                                                                                                                                                                                PR-EXP & PR-FRAME
       \lambda b.\mathsf{phase}(\tau.\mathsf{Forkee}) * \mathsf{obs}(\emptyset) * \mathsf{wperm}((),\mathsf{id_s},0) * [\frac{1}{2}]\mathsf{mutex}((\ell_\mathsf{m},0),\mathsf{P})
                                                                                                                                                                                                PR-VS-SIMP & VS-SEMIMP
                *(\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{v}}=0 \to \mathsf{itperm}(\tau.\mathsf{Forker},0))* b = [\![\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{v}}=0]\!]
         \lambda b.\mathsf{phase}(\tau.\mathsf{Forkee}) * (\neg b \to \mathsf{obs}(\emptyset))
                * (b \rightarrow \mathsf{obs}(\emptyset) * \mathsf{wperm}((), \mathsf{id_s}, 0) * [\tfrac{1}{2}] \mathsf{mutex}((\ell_\mathsf{m}, 0), \mathsf{P}) * \mathsf{itperm}(\tau.\mathsf{Forker}, 0)) \ \ )
do skip
\{\mathsf{obs}(\emptyset)\}
```

Figure 17: Verification sketch of busy-waiting thread of example program presented in Figure 2. For readability we omit information about a command's return value if it is not relevant to the proof.

```
 \begin{array}{ll} \text{VS-AllocSigID} & \text{VS-SigInit} \\ \text{True} \Rrightarrow \exists id. \ \text{uninitSig}(id) & \Rightarrow \mathsf{obs}(O) * \mathsf{uninitSig}(id) \\ & \Rightarrow \mathsf{obs}(O \uplus \{\!\!\{(id,L)\}\!\!\}) * \mathsf{signal}((id,L),\mathsf{False}) \end{array}
```

Figure 18: Fine-grained view shift rules for signal creation.

To simplify its verification, we refine the process of creating a new ghost signal, i.e., we split it in two steps: allocating a new signal ID and initializing a signal. To implement this, we replace view shift rule VS-NEWSIGNAL by the rules VS-AllocSigID and VS-SigInit presented in Figure 18. This way we can fix the IDs of all the signals we need throughout the proof at its beginning. This refinement does not affect the soundness of our verification approach. Figures 20-30 sketch the program's verification using fine-grained signals.

References

[Dershowitz and Manna(1979)] N. Dershowitz and Z. Manna. Proving termination with multiset orderings. In *ICALP*, 1979. doi:10.1007/3-540-09510-1_15.

[Simpson(1999)] S. Simpson. Subsystems of second order arithmetic. In *Perspectives in mathematical logic*, 1999. doi:10.1017/CBO9780511581007.

[Tarski(1955)] A. Tarski. A lattice-theoretical fixpoint theorem and its applications. *Pacific Journal of Mathematics*, 5:285–309, 1955. doi:10.2307/2963937.

```
\mathbf{let} \ \mathsf{fifo}_{10} := \mathbf{cons}(\mathbf{nil}) \ \mathbf{in}
\mathbf{let}\ \mathsf{m}\ :=\ \mathbf{new\_mutex}\ \mathbf{in}
\mathbf{let} \ \mathsf{c_p} := \mathbf{cons}(100) \ \mathbf{in}
let c_c := \mathbf{cons}(100) in
fork (
      while (
            acquire m;
            let f := [fifo_{10}] in
            if size(f) < 10 then (
                   \mathbf{let}\ c := [c_p]\ \mathbf{in}
                   [\mathsf{fifo}_{10}] := \mathsf{f} \cdot (\mathsf{c} :: \mathbf{nil});
                   [c_p] := c - 1
            );
            release m;
            \mathbf{let}\ c := [c_p]\ \mathbf{in}
            c \neq 0
      ) do skip;
);
while (
      acquire m;
      \mathbf{let}\ f := [\mathsf{fifo}_{10}]\ \mathbf{in}
      if size(f) > 0 then (
            \mathbf{let}\ c \,:= [c_c]\ \mathbf{in}
            [\mathsf{fifo}_{10}] := \mathbf{tail}(\mathsf{f});
            [c_c] := c - 1
      );
      release m;
      \mathbf{let}\ c := [c_c]\ \mathbf{in}
      \mathsf{c} \neq 0
) do skip
```

Figure 19: Example program with two threads communicating via a shared bounded FIFO with maximal size 10. Producer thread writes numbers 100, ..., 1 to shared FIFO and busy-waits until FIFO is not full and next element can be pushed. Consumer thread pops 100 numbers from FIFO and busy-waits for next number to arrive.

```
\{\mathsf{phase}(()) * \mathsf{obs}(\emptyset) * \mathsf{itperm}((), 2)\}
let fifo_{10} := \mathbf{cons}(\mathbf{nil}) in let m := \mathbf{new}_{-}\mathbf{mutex} in
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   PR-LET (2x) & PR-CONS
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   & PR-NEWMUTEX
\forall \ell_{\mathsf{fifo}_{10}}, \ell_{\mathsf{m}}.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   PR-VS-SIMP & VS-ALLOCSIGID
 \{\mathsf{phase}(()) * \mathsf{obs}(\emptyset) * \mathsf{itperm}((), 2) * \ell_{\mathsf{fifo}_{10}} \mapsto \mathsf{nil} * \mathsf{uninit}(\ell_{\mathsf{m}}) \}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   & PR-Exists (200\times)
\forall id_{\text{DOD}}^{1}, \dots id_{\text{DOD}}^{100}, id_{\text{Dush}}^{1}, \dots, id_{\text{Dush}}^{100}.
                     \{ \underset{i=1,\dots,100}{\mathsf{uninitSig}}(id_{\mathrm{pop}}^i) * \underset{i=1,\dots,100}{\bigstar} \underset{\mathrm{uninitSig}}{\mathsf{uninitSig}}(id_{\mathrm{push}}^i) * \dots \}
 L_{\text{pop}}^{i} := 102 - i, \quad L_{\text{push}}^{i} := 101 - i \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \le i \le 100
(Later L_{\text{pop}}^{i+10} < L_{\text{push}}^{i} and L_{\text{push}}^{i} < L_{\text{pop}}^{i} must hold, cf. Figures 26 and 28.)
 s_{\text{push}}^i := (id_{\text{push}}^i, L_{\text{push}}^i), \quad s_{\text{pop}}^i := (id_{\text{pop}}^i, L_{\text{pop}}^i) \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \le i \le 100
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   PR-VS-SIMP & VS-SIGINIT
               \mathsf{uninitSig}(id_{\mathrm{pop}}^{100}) * \mathsf{uninitSig}(id_{\mathrm{push}}^{100})
                                                                                                                                                                                signal(s_{pop}^{100}, False) * signal(s_{push}^{100}, False)
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   PR-VS-SIMP & VS-WEAKPERM
           * \operatorname{obs}(\{ s_{\text{pop}}^{100}, s_{\text{push}}^{100} \}) * \dots
                                                                     PR-VS-SIMP & VS-WAIT
                                                                                                                                                                                               \bigstar_{i=1,\ldots,100}^{\text{(wperm}}((),id_{\text{pop}}^{i},0)*\mathsf{wperm}((),id_{\text{push}}^{i},0))*\ldots\}
Later each thread uses \bigstar_{1,\dots,100}^{\text{itperm}}((),1) to justify productive iterations.
let c_p := \mathbf{cons}(100) in let c_c := \mathbf{cons}(100) in
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   PR-LET & PR-Cons (2x)
  \{ \ell_{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{p}}} \mapsto 100 * \ell_{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{c}}} \mapsto 100 | * \ldots \}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   PR-VS-SIMP & VS-SEMIMP
        \begin{split} &\mathsf{phase}(()) * \mathsf{obs}(\{\![s^{100}_{\mathrm{push}}, s^{100}_{\mathrm{pop}}\}\!]) * [\![\frac{1}{2}]\!] \ell_{\mathsf{c_p}} \mapsto 100 * [\![\frac{1}{2}]\!] \ell_{\mathsf{c_c}} \mapsto 100 * \mathsf{uninit}(\ell_{\mathsf{m}}) \\ &* \biguplus (\mathsf{wperm}((), id^i_{\mathrm{pop}}, 0) * \mathsf{wperm}((), id^i_{\mathrm{push}}, 0)) * \biguplus (\mathsf{push}, 0) * \biguplus (\mathsf{push}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   For definition of lock invariant P_{m}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   cf. Figure 21.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   PR-VS-SIMP & VS-MUTINIT
                                                                                                   \begin{array}{l} (\text{Later lev}(mut) < L_{\text{push}}^{i} \text{ and lev}(mut) < L_{\text{pop}}^{i} \text{ must hold} \\ \text{for all } 1 \leq i \leq 100, \text{ cf. Figures 24 and 28.}) \end{array}
mut := (\ell_{\mathsf{m}}, 0)
         uninit(\ell_{\mathsf{m}}) * P_{\mathsf{m}} \mid \mathsf{mutex}(mut, P_{\mathsf{m}}) \mid * \ldots \}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   PR-VS-SIMP & VS-SEMIMP
         \begin{aligned} & \mathsf{phase}(()) * \mathsf{obs}(\{\![s^{100}_{\mathrm{push}}, s^{100}_{\mathrm{pop}}\}\!]) * [\![\frac{1}{2}]\!] \ell_{\mathsf{cp}} \mapsto 100 * [\![\frac{1}{2}]\!] \ell_{\mathsf{cc}} \mapsto 100 * \\ & * \bigstar_{i=1,\ldots,100}((), id^i_{\mathrm{pop}}, 0) * \mathsf{wperm}((), id^i_{\mathrm{push}}, 0)) * \star_{i=1,\ldots,99}(id^i_{\mathrm{push}}) * \mathsf{uninitSig}(id^i_{\mathrm{push}}) \\ & * [\![\frac{1}{2}]\!] \mathsf{mutex}(mut, P_{\mathsf{m}}) * [\![\frac{1}{2}]\!] \mathsf{mutex}(mut, P_{\mathsf{m}}) \end{aligned}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    Continued in Figure 22.
```

Figure 20: Verification example bounded FIFO, initialisation. To lighten the notation, we do not show applications of the frame rule.

```
P'_{\mathsf{m}}(v^{\mathsf{m}}_{\mathsf{fifo}_{10}}) := \exists v^{\mathsf{m}}_{\mathsf{cp}}, v^{\mathsf{m}}_{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{c}}}.
\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} \ell_{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{p}}} \mapsto v^{\mathsf{m}}_{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{p}}} * \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} \ell_{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{c}}} \mapsto v^{\mathsf{m}}_{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{c}}} * 0 \leq v^{\mathsf{m}}_{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{p}}} \leq 100 * 0 \leq v^{\mathsf{m}}_{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{c}}} \leq 100
* \ell_{\mathsf{fifo}_{10}} \mapsto v^{\mathsf{m}}_{\mathsf{fifo}_{10}} * v^{\mathsf{m}}_{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{c}}} = v^{\mathsf{m}}_{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{p}}} + \mathbf{size}(v^{\mathsf{m}}_{\mathsf{fifo}_{10}}) * 0 \leq \mathbf{size}(v^{\mathsf{m}}_{\mathsf{fifo}_{10}}) \leq 10
* v^{\mathsf{m}}_{\mathsf{fifo}_{10}} = (v_{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{p}}} + \mathbf{size}(v^{\mathsf{m}}_{\mathsf{fifo}_{10}})) : \ldots : : (v_{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{p}}} + 1) :: \mathsf{nil}
* (v^{\mathsf{m}}_{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{p}}} > 0 \to \mathsf{signal}((id^{\mathsf{v}^{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{p}}}_{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{p}}}, L^{\mathsf{v}^{\mathsf{m}}_{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{p}}}}_{\mathsf{pop}}), \mathsf{False}))
* (v^{\mathsf{m}}_{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{c}}} > 0 \to \mathsf{signal}((id^{\mathsf{v}^{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{c}}}_{\mathsf{pop}}, L^{\mathsf{v}^{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{c}}}_{\mathsf{pop}}}), \mathsf{False}))
P_{\mathsf{m}} := \exists v^{\mathsf{m}}_{\mathsf{fifo}_{10}} \cdot P'_{\mathsf{m}}(v^{\mathsf{m}}_{\mathsf{fifo}_{10}})
P_{\mathsf{m}} := \exists v^{\mathsf{m}}_{\mathsf{fifo}_{10}} \cdot P'_{\mathsf{m}}(v^{\mathsf{m}}_{\mathsf{fifo}_{10}})
```

Figure 21: Lock invariant

```
...20...
                                                                                                                                                                                                                       Continuation of Figure 20.
     \mathsf{phase}(()) * \mathsf{obs}(\{\!\!\{s^{100}_{\mathrm{push}}, s^{100}_{\mathrm{pop}}\}\!\!\}) * [\frac{1}{2}]\ell_{\mathsf{c_p}} \mapsto 100 * [\frac{1}{2}]\ell_{\mathsf{c_c}} \mapsto 100 * \\ \bigstar_1 \ \ \mathsf{itperm}((), 1)
         \begin{array}{l} \bigstar_{i=1,\dots,100}^{}(\operatorname{wperm}((),id_{\mathrm{pop}}^{i},0)*\operatorname{wperm}((),id_{\mathrm{push}}^{i},0)) \\ \bigstar_{i=1,\dots,99}^{}(\operatorname{uninitSig}(id_{\mathrm{push}}^{i})*\operatorname{uninitSig}(id_{\mathrm{pop}}^{i}))*[\frac{1}{2}]\operatorname{mutex}(mut,P_{\mathrm{m}})*[\frac{1}{2}]\operatorname{mutex}(mut,P_{\mathrm{m}}) \end{array}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                       PR-FORK
                 \mathsf{phase}((\mathsf{Forkee})) * \mathsf{obs}(\{\!\!\{ s^{100}_{\mathrm{push}} \}\!\!\}) * [\frac{1}{2}]\ell_{\mathsf{c_p}} \mapsto 100 * \bigstar \quad \mathsf{itperm}((), 1) = 0
                                                                                                                                                                                                                       Resources transferred to
                                                                                                                                                                                                                       producer thread.
                             \underset{i=1}{\operatorname{wperm}}((), id_{\operatorname{pop}}^{i}, 0) * \underset{i=1}{\bigstar} \underset{\operatorname{op}}{\operatorname{uninitSig}}(s_{\operatorname{push}}^{i}) * [\frac{1}{2}] \operatorname{mutex}(mut, P_{\operatorname{m}})
                                                                                                                                                                                                                       PR-VS-SIMP & VS-SEMIMP
               phase((Forkee)) * obs(\{s_{push}^{100}\}) * [\frac{1}{2}]\ell_{c_p} \mapsto 100 *  itperm((), 1)
                                                                                                                                                                                                                       For definition of producer loop
                              \mathsf{prom}_{i=1}^{\mathsf{prom}}((),id_{\mathrm{pop}}^{i},0)* \mathsf{k}_{i=1}^{\mathsf{prom}}(i) \mathsf{prom}_{\mathrm{pos}}(s_{\mathrm{push}}^{i})*[\frac{1}{2}] \mathsf{mutex}(mut,P_{\mathrm{r}})
                                                                                                                                                                                                                       invariant L_p(n, O) cf. Figure 23.
                 \exists v_{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{n}}}, O_{\mathsf{p}}. \ L_{\mathsf{p}}(v_{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{n}}}, O_{\mathsf{p}}) * v_{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{n}}} \neq 0
                                                                                                                                                                                                                       Producer loop on Figure 24.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                       PR-VS-SIMP & VS-SEMIMP
          \{\mathsf{phase}((\mathsf{Forkee})) * \exists v_{\mathsf{c}_n}, O_p. L_p(v) \}
                                                                                                                              obs(\emptyset)
                \mathsf{ohase}((\mathsf{Forkee})) * \mathsf{lobs}(\emptyset) \}
     * \star itperm((), 1) * \star itperm((), 1)
                                                                                                                                                                                                                       Resources remaining with
                                                                                                                                                                                                                       consumer thread.
     * \bigvee_{i=1,\dots,100} ((), id_{\text{push}}^i, 0) * \bigvee_{i=1,\dots,100} ((), id_{\text{pop}}^i, 0)
                                                                                                                                                                                                                       PR-VS-SIMP & VS-SEMIMP
     * \underset{i=1,...,99}{	ext{ uninitSig}}(id_{	ext{pop}}^{i}) * \underset{i=1}{	ext{ uninitSig}}(id_{	ext{push}}^{i})
                                                                                                                                                                                                                       For definition of consumer loop
                                                                                                                                                                                                                       invariant L_c(n, O) cf. Figure 27.
        * \bigstar uninit \overset{\mathsf{Cop}}{\mathsf{Cop}} (id^i_{\mathtt{DOD}}) * [rac{1}{2}] \mathsf{mutex}(mut, P_{\mathsf{m}}) \mid \exists v_{\mathsf{c}_\mathsf{c}}, O_c. \ L_c(v_{\mathsf{c}_\mathsf{c}}, O_c) * v_{\mathsf{c}_\mathsf{c}} 
eq 0 
                                                                                                                                                                                                                       Consumer loop on Fgure 28.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                       PR-VS-SIMP & VS-SEMIMP
\{\mathsf{phase}((\mathsf{Forker})) * \exists v_{\mathsf{c}_c}, O_c. \ L_c(v_{\mathsf{c}_c}, O_c) * v_{\mathsf{c}_c} \neq 0 \}
     \mathsf{ohase}((\mathsf{Forker})) * \mathsf{obs}(\emptyset)
```

Figure 22: Verification example bounded FIFO, forking.

$$\begin{split} L_p(n,O_p) &:= \\ & \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} \ell_{c_p} \mapsto n \ * \ 0 \le n \le 100 \ * \ [\frac{1}{2}] \text{mutex}(mut,P_{\text{m}}) \\ & * \ \text{obs}(O_p) \ * \ (n > 0 \ \leftrightarrow O_p = \{s_{\text{push}}^n\}) \ * \ (n = 0 \ \leftrightarrow O_p = \emptyset) \\ \\ & * \biguplus \text{itperm}((),1) \\ & * \biguplus \text{wperm}((),id_{\text{pop}}^i,0) \\ & * \biguplus \text{uninitSig}(id_{\text{push}}^i) \\ & := 1,\dots,n-1 \\ \\ L_p^{\text{locked}}(n,O_p) &:= \\ & \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} \ell_{c_p} \mapsto n \ * \ 0 \le n \le 100 \\ & * \underbrace{\begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} \text{mutex}(mut,P_{\text{m}}) \ \text{locked}(mut,P_{\text{pos}},\frac{1}{2})}_{\text{toked}(mut,P_{\text{pos}},\frac{1}{2})} \ * \ \text{obs}(O_p \ \underbrace{\forall \{mut\}\}}_{\text{toked}}) \\ & * \ (n > 0 \ \leftrightarrow O_p = \{s_{\text{push}}^n\}) \ * \ (n = 0 \ \leftrightarrow O_p = \emptyset) \\ & * \biguplus \text{itperm}((),1) \ * \biguplus \text{wperm}((),id_{\text{pop}}^i,0) \ * \biguplus \text{uninitSig}(id_{\text{push}}^i) \\ & 1,\dots,n \\ & \underbrace{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} \ell_{c_p} \mapsto n \ * \ 0 \le n \le 100 \\ & * \biguplus \{\frac{1}{2} \end{bmatrix} \text{mutex}(mut,P_{\text{m}}) \ * \ \text{obs}(O_p) \\ & * \bigm (n > 0 \ \leftrightarrow O_p = \{s_{\text{push}}^n\}) \ * \ (n = 0 \ \leftrightarrow O_p = \emptyset) \\ & * \biguplus \text{itperm}((),1) \ * \biguplus \text{wperm}((),id_{\text{pop}}^i,0) \ * \biguplus \text{uninitSig}(id_{\text{push}}^i) \\ & 1,\dots,n \\ & \underbrace{1,\dots,n} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Figure 23: Producer's loop invariant.

Loop invariant of producer.

Iteration permissions consumed by productive loop iterations, i.e., by iterations which decrease the producer counter c_p . Used to generate iteration permissions to justify unproductive loop iterations.

Remaining allocated signal IDs used to initialize new signal after next push.

Shorthand for invariant with acquired mutex.

Shorthand for invariant without mutex chunk and without obligations chunk.

```
\forall \ell_{\mathsf{fifo}_{10}}, \ell_{\mathsf{m}}, \ell_{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{n}}}, \ell_{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{c}}}.
                                                                                                                                                                                                            Continuation of Figure 22.
\{\mathsf{phase}((\mathsf{Forkee})) * \exists v_{\mathsf{c_p}}, O_p. \ L_p(v_{\mathsf{c_p}}, O_p) * v_{\mathsf{c_p}} \neq 0\}
                                               For definition of producer loop invariant L_p(n, O), lock invariant P_m
                                                                                                                                                                                                           PR-WHILE-SIMP & PR-EXISTS (2x)
while (
         \forall v_{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{p}}}, O_{p}.
                                               and variations cf. Figures 23 and 21.
                                                                                                                                                                                                            & PR-VS-SIMP & VS-SEMIMP
                                                                                                                                                                                                           O_p = \{s_{\text{push}}^{v_{\mathsf{c}_\mathsf{p}}}\} \ \lor \ O_p = \emptyset
                                                      \exists v_{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{p}}}, O_{p}. \ L_{p}(v_{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{p}}}, O_{p}) * v_{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{p}}} \neq 0 * \ orall o \in O_{p}. \ \mathsf{lev}(o) = L_{\mathsf{p}}^{v_{\mathsf{c}}}
         {phase((Forkee)) *
         lev(mut) = 0 < 101 - v_{c_p} = L_{push}^{v_{c_p}}
                                                                                                                                                                                                           Justification for application of:
         acquire m;
                                                                                                                                                                                                           PR-Acquire
         \{phase((Forkee)) * L_n(v_c, v_c)\}
                                                                                                                                                                                                           PR-Exists
         \forall v_{\mathsf{fifo}_{10}}^{\mathsf{m}}
         let f := [fifo_{10}] in
                                                                                                                                                                                                           PR-LET & PR-READHEAPLOC
         \{\mathsf{phase}((\mathsf{Forkee})) * L^{\mathsf{locked}}_p(v_{\mathsf{c_p}}, O_p) * P'_{\mathsf{m}}(v^{\mathsf{m}}_{\mathsf{fifo_{10}}}) * v_{\mathsf{c_p}} \neq 0\}
         if size(f) < 10 then (
                                                                                                                                                                                                           PR-IF
                   \{\frac{\mathbf{size}(v_{\mathsf{fifo}_{\mathsf{10}}}^{\mathsf{m}}) < 10}{*\mathsf{phase}((\mathsf{Forkee})) * L_p^{\mathsf{locked}}(v_{\mathsf{c_p}}, O_p) * P_{\mathsf{m}}'(v_{\mathsf{fifo}_{\mathsf{10}}}^{\mathsf{m}}) * v_{\mathsf{c_p}} \neq 0}\}
                                                                                      Production step presented on Figure 25.
                                                              * phase((Forkee)) *L_n^{locked}(v_{c_n}, O_p) * P'_m(v_{c_n}^n)
                                                                                                                                                                                                           Define \mathsf{Postlf}_p such that:
                                                                                                                                                                                                           = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathsf{phase}((\mathbf{\colored{Forkee}})) \\ *\,\exists v'_{\mathsf{c_p}}, O'_p. \ \mathsf{obs}(O'_p \uplus \{\!\!\{ mut \}\!\!\}) \\ *\,\mathsf{locked}(mut, P_\mathsf{m}, \frac{1}{2}) * \mathsf{PostIf}_p \end{array} \right\}
         ) else
                       \mathbf{size}(v_{\mathsf{fifo}_{\mathsf{in}}}^{\mathsf{m}}) = 10 * \mathsf{phase}((\mathsf{Forkee})) * L_p^{\mathsf{locked}}(v_{\mathsf{c_p}}, O_p) * P_{\mathsf{m}}'(v_{\mathsf{fifo}_{\mathsf{in}}}^{\mathsf{m}}) * v_{\mathsf{c_p}} \neq 0 
                                                                                                                                                                                                           PR-VS-SIMP & VS-SEMIMP
                                             \exists v'_{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{c}}}, O'_{\mathsf{p}}. \ \mathsf{obs}(O'_{\mathsf{p}} \uplus \{\!\!\{ mut \}\!\!\}) * \mathsf{locked}(mut, P_{\mathsf{m}}, \frac{1}{2}) * \mathsf{PostIf}_{\mathsf{p}}
               phase((Forkee)) *
                                                                                                                                                                                                           PR-Exists (2x)
         \forall v'_{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{p}}}, O'_{\mathsf{p}}.
                                                Wait step presented on Figure 26, i.e., view shift performed
         release m;
                                                                                                                                                                                                           PR-RELEASE & PR-EXISTS (2x)
                                                after releasing mut but before consuming P_{m}.
         \forall \tau_p^{\mathrm{anc}}, \delta_p.
                                                                                                                                                                                                           PR-LET & PR-READHEAPLOC
         let c := [c_p] in c \neq 0
                                                                                                                                                                                                           & PR-Exp
           \begin{cases} \tau_p^{\mathrm{anc}} \sqsubseteq (\mathtt{Forkee}) * \, \mathtt{phase}((\mathtt{Forkee})) \\ * (v'_{\mathsf{c_p}} \neq 0 \ \rightarrow \ \exists v_{\mathsf{c_p}}, O_p. \ L_p(v_{\mathsf{c_p}}, O_p) * v_{\mathsf{c_p}} \neq 0 * \, \mathtt{itperm}(\tau_p^{\mathrm{anc}}, \delta_p)) * (v'_{\mathsf{c_p}} = 0 \ \rightarrow \ \mathsf{obs}(\emptyset)) \end{cases} 
                                                                                                                                                                                                           Reestablished loop invariant.
) do skip
\{\mathsf{phase}((\mathsf{Forkee})) * \exists v_{\mathsf{c}_n}. L_p(v_{\mathsf{c}_n}) * v_{\mathsf{c}_n} \neq 0 \}
                                                                                           obs(\emptyset)
                                                                                                                                                                                                            Continued in Figure 22.
```

Figure 24: Verification example bounded FIFO, producer loop.

```
\forall \ell_{\mathsf{fifo}_{10}}, \ell_{\mathsf{m}}, \ell_{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{p}}}, \ell_{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{c}}}, v_{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{p}}}, O_p, v_{\mathsf{fifo}_{10}}^{\mathsf{m}}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            Continuation of Figure 24.
For definition of P_{\mathsf{m}}, P'_{\mathsf{m}}(v), L_p^{\mathrm{locked}}(n,O) and L_p^{\mathrm{no:obs}}(n,O) cf. Figures 21 and 23. \{\mathbf{size}(v_{\mathsf{fifo}_{10}}^{\mathsf{m}}) < 10 * \mathsf{phase}((\mathsf{Forkee})) * L_p^{\mathrm{locked}}(v_{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{p}}},O_p) * P'_{\mathsf{m}}(v_{\mathsf{fifo}_{10}}^{\mathsf{m}}) * v_{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{p}}} \neq 0\}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            PR-VS-SIMP & VS-SEMIMP
                 \begin{split} &\frac{1}{2}]\ell_{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{p}}} \mapsto v_{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{p}}} * [\frac{1}{2}]\ell_{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{p}}} \mapsto v_{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{p}}}^{\mathsf{m}} \quad \ell_{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{p}}} \mapsto v_{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{p}}} * v_{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{p}}} = v_{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{p}}}^{\mathsf{m}} \\ &(v_{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{p}}} > 0 \ \to \ \mathsf{signal}(s_{\mathrm{push}}^{v_{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{p}}}}, \mathsf{False})) \quad \mathsf{signal}(s_{\mathrm{push}}^{v_{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{p}}}}, \mathsf{False}) \end{split}
                    (v_{\mathsf{c_p}} > 0 \ \leftrightarrow \ O_p = \{\!\!\{ s_{\mathrm{push}}^{v_{\mathsf{c_p}}} \}\!\!\}) * (v_{\mathsf{c_p}} = 0 \ \leftrightarrow \ O_p = \emptyset)
  \mathbf{let} \ \overline{c :=} [c_p] \ \mathbf{in}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            PR-Let & PR-ReadHeapLoc
  [fifo_{10}] := f \cdot (c :: nil); [c_p] := c - 1
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            PR-AssignToHeap (2x)
  \{\ell_{\mathsf{fifo}_{10}} \mapsto v^{\mathsf{m}}_{\mathsf{fifo}_{10}} \cdot (v_{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{p}}} :: \mathbf{nil}) \ *\ell_{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{p}}} \mapsto v_{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{p}}} - 1 \ * \ldots \}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            PR-VS-SIMP & VS-SETSIGNAL
  \{\operatorname{obs}(\{s_{\operatorname{push}}^{v_{\mathsf{cp}}}, mut\}) * \operatorname{signal}(s_{\operatorname{push}}^{v_{\mathsf{cp}}}, \operatorname{\mathsf{True}}) * \ldots\}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            PR-VS-SIMP & VS-SEMIMP
  \{ (v_{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{p}}} - 1 = 0 \lor v_{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{p}}} > 0) * \ldots \}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            PR-VS-SIMP & VS-OR
                        \begin{aligned} v_{\mathsf{c_p}} - 1 &= 0 & \text{Last iteration, nothing left to do.} \\ (\mathsf{phase}((\mathsf{Forkee})) * \exists v'_{\mathsf{c_p}}, O'_p. \ \mathsf{obs}(O'_p \uplus \{\!\!\{ mut \}\!\!\}) * \mathsf{locked}(mut, P_\mathsf{m}, \frac{1}{2}) * v_{\mathsf{c_p}} \neq 0 \\ * \left( \mathbf{size}(v^\mathsf{m}_{\mathsf{fifo}_{10}}) < 10 \ \rightarrow \ L_p^{\mathsf{no:obs}}(v'_{\mathsf{c_p}}, O'_p) * P_\mathsf{m} * \mathsf{itperm}((), 1) \right) \\ * \left( \mathbf{size}(v^\mathsf{m}_{\mathsf{fifo}_{10}}) = 10 \ \rightarrow \ L_p^{\mathsf{no:obs}}(v_{\mathsf{c_p}}, O_p) * P'_\mathsf{m}(v^\mathsf{m}_{\mathsf{fifo}_{10}}) * O'_p = O_p \right) \end{aligned} 
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            PR-VS-SIMP & VS-SEMIMP
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \mathsf{phase}((\mathsf{Forkee})) \\ *\exists v_\mathsf{c_p}', O_p', \mathsf{obs}(O_p' \uplus \{\!\!\{ mut \}\!\!\}) \\ *\mathsf{locked}(mut, P_\mathsf{m}, \frac{1}{2}) *\mathsf{PostIf}_p \end{array} \right\} For definition of \mathsf{PostIf}_p cf. Figure 24.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           PR-VS-SIMP & VS-SIGINIT
 case: v_{c_p} - 1 > 0
                                                                                                                   Must create signal for next iteration.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           PR-VS-SIMP & VS-SEMIMP
                  \{\mathsf{phase}((\mathsf{Forkee})) * \exists v'_{\mathsf{c_n}}, O'_p. \; \mathsf{obs}(O'_p \uplus \{\!\!\{ mut \}\!\!\}) * \mathsf{locked}(mut, P_\mathsf{m}, \frac{1}{2}) * \mathsf{PostIf}_p \}
  \{\mathsf{phase}((\mathsf{Forkee})) * \exists v_{\mathsf{c_p}}', O_p'. \ \mathsf{obs}(O_p' \uplus \{\!\!\{ mut \}\!\!\}) * \mathsf{locked}(mut, P_\mathsf{m}, \tfrac{1}{2}) * \mathsf{PostIf}_p \}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            Continued in Figure 24.
```

Figure 25: Verification example bounded FIFO, producer thread's production step. $\,$

```
\forall \ell_{\mathsf{fifo}_{10}}, \ell_{\mathsf{m}}, \ell_{\mathsf{C}_{\mathsf{p}}}, \ell_{\mathsf{C}_{\mathsf{c}}}, v_{\mathsf{C}_{\mathsf{p}}}, O_p, v_{\mathsf{fifo}_{10}}^{\mathsf{m}}, v_{\mathsf{C}_{\mathsf{p}}}', O_p'
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        Continuation of Figure 24.
For definition of P_{\mathsf{m}}, P'_{\mathsf{m}}(v), L_p^{\mathrm{locked}}(n,O), L_p^{\mathrm{no:obs}}(n,O), PostIf
cf. Figures 21, 23 and 24.
   \{\mathsf{phase}((\mathsf{Forkee})) * \mathsf{obs}(O_p' \uplus \{mut\}) * \mathsf{locked}(mut, P_{\mathsf{m}}, \frac{1}{2}) * \mathsf{PostIf}_p\}
                                                                                      PR-Release allows view shift to happen after mutex mut
   release m
                                                                                      was released but before lock invariant P_{m} is consumed.
                       \{\mathsf{phase}((\mathsf{Forkee})) * \mathsf{obs}(O_n' \uplus \{mut\}) * \mathsf{locked}(mut, P_{\mathsf{m}}, \frac{1}{2}) * \mathsf{PostIf}_p\}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        PR-VS-SIMP & VS-SEMIMP
                                (\mathbf{size}(v_{\mathsf{fifo}_{\mathsf{in}}}^{\mathsf{m}}) < 10 \lor \mathbf{size}(v_{\mathsf{fifo}_{\mathsf{in}}}^{\mathsf{m}}) = 10) | * \ldots \}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        PR-VS-SIMP & VS-OR
                      case: \mathbf{size}(v_{\text{fifers}}^{\text{m}}) < 10
                                                                                                                                          Production step already performed, nothing left to do.
                                                  \operatorname{size}(v_{\operatorname{fifthe}}^{\operatorname{m}}) < 10 * \operatorname{phase}((\operatorname{Forkee})) * \operatorname{obs}(O'_n) * \operatorname{PostIf}_p
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        PR-VS-SIMP & VS-SEMIMP
                                                    \mathsf{obs}(O_p') * P_\mathsf{m} * \exists \tau_p^{\mathrm{anc}}, \delta_p. \ \tau_p^{\mathrm{anc}} \sqsubseteq (\mathsf{Forkee}) * \mathsf{phase}((\mathsf{Forkee}))
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        Define PostReleaseVS_p such that:
                                                     *L_p^{\stackrel{`\text{no:mutex}}{\text{mo:obs}}}(v_{\mathsf{c_p}}',O_p')*\mathsf{itperm}(\tau_p^{\mathsf{anc}},\delta_p)
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        = \{ \mathsf{obs}(O'_p) * P_{\mathsf{m}} * \mathsf{PostReleaseVS}_p \}
                      case: \operatorname{\mathbf{size}}(v_{\operatorname{fifo}_{10}}^{\mathsf{m}}) = 10
                                                                                                                                                No production step performed.
                                                                                                                                                 Must wait to generate permission.
                                                    size(v_{fifor}^m) = 10 * phase((Forkee)) * obs(O'_n) * PostIf_p
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        PR-VS-SIMP & VS-SEMIMP
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       PR-EXISTS (2x)
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        & PR-VS-SIMP & VS-SEMIMP
                                                     * obs(s_{\text{push}}^{v_{\text{cp}}}) * v_{\text{cp}} \neq 0 * \text{phase}((\text{Forkee}))
                                           \forall v_{\mathbf{c}}^{\mathsf{m}}, v_{\mathbf{c}}^{\mathsf{m}}
                                                    \begin{split} &\exists \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{p}}}^{\mathsf{m}}. \ \ [\frac{1}{2}]\ell_{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{p}}} \mapsto \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{p}}} * [\frac{1}{2}]\ell_{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{p}}} \mapsto \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{p}}}^{\mathsf{m}} * \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{p}}}^{\mathsf{m}} = \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{p}}}^{\mathsf{m}} * \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{c}}}^{\mathsf{m}} = \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{p}}}^{\mathsf{m}} + 10 \\ &\exists \boldsymbol{v}_{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{c}}}^{\mathsf{m}}. \ (\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{c}}}^{\mathsf{m}} > 0 \ \to \ \operatorname{signal}(\boldsymbol{s}_{\mathsf{pop}}^{\boldsymbol{v}_{\mathsf{c}}}, \mathsf{False})) \\ &* \ \underset{i=1,\dots,100}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{c}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{c}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{c}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{c}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{c}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{c}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{c}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{c}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{c}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{c}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{c}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{c}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{c}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{c}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{c}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{c}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{c}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{c}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{c}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{c}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{c}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{c}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{c}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{c}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{c}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{c}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{c}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{c}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{c}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{c}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{c}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{c}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{c}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{c}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{c}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{c}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{c}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{c}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{c}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{c}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{c}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{c}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{c}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{c}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{c}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{c}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{c}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{c}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{c}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{c}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{c}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{c}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{c}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{c}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{v}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{c}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{c}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{c}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{c}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{c}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{v}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{v}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{v}}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{v}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{v}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{v}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{v}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{v}}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{v}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{v}}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{v}}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{v}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{v}}}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{v}}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{v}}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{v}}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{v}}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{v}}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{v}}}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{v}}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{v}}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{v}}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{v}}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{v}}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{v}}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{v}}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{v}}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{v}}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{v}}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{v}}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{v}}}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{v}}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{v}}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{v}}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{v}}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{v}}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{v}}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{v}}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{v}}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{v}}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{v}}}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{v}}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{v}}}}}{\overset{\mathsf{v}_{\mathsf{v}}}}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        PR-VS-SIMP & VS-WAIT
                                        \mathsf{lev}(s_{\mathsf{pop}}^{v_{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{c}}}^{\mathsf{m}}}) \ = \ L_{\mathsf{pop}}^{v_{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{c}}}^{\mathsf{m}}} \ = \ L_{\mathsf{pop}}^{v_{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{p}}}+10} \ = \ 102 - (v_{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{p}}} + 10)
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        Justification for application
                                         < 101 - v_{\mathsf{c_p}} = L_{\mathrm{push}}^{v_{\mathsf{c_p}}} = \mathsf{lev}(s_{\mathrm{push}}^{v_{\mathsf{c_p}}})
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        of VS-Wait.
                                           { itperm((Forkee), 0) * ...}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        PR-VS-SIMP & VS-SEMIMP
                                            \{\overline{\mathsf{obs}}(O'_p) * P_{\mathsf{m}} * \mathsf{PostReleaseVS}_p\}
                                          \mathsf{hase}((\mathsf{Forkee})) * | \mathsf{obs}(O_p') | * \mathsf{PostIf}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        Conclusion of VS-OR application.
                                       *P_{\sf m}*{\sf PostReleaseVS}_i
   \{ obs(O'_p) | *P_m | * PostReleaseVS_p \}
                                                                                                                                                                               Lock invariant P_{\mathsf{m}} consumed by PR-Release.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        PR-VS-SIMP & VS-SEMIMP
     \begin{cases} \exists \tau_p^{\mathrm{anc}}, \delta_p. \ \tau_p^{\mathrm{anc}} \sqsubseteq (\mathtt{Forkee}) * \mathtt{phase}((\mathtt{Forkee})) \\ *(v'_{\mathsf{c_p}} \neq 0 \ \rightarrow \ \exists v_{\mathsf{c_p}}, O_p. \ L_p(v_{\mathsf{c_p}}, O_p) * v_{\mathsf{c_p}} \neq 0 * \mathtt{itperm}(\tau_p^{\mathrm{anc}}, \delta_p)) * (v'_{\mathsf{c_p}} = 0 \ \rightarrow \ \mathsf{obs}(\emptyset)) \end{cases} 
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        Reestablished loop invariant.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        Continued in Figure 24.
```

Figure 26: Verification example bounded FIFO, producer's wait step.

Figure 27: Consumer's loop invariant.

Loop invariant of consumer.

Iteration permissions consumed by productive loop iterations, i.e., by iterations which decrease the consumer counter c_c . Used to generate iteration permissions to justify unproductive loop iterations.

Remaining allocated signal IDs used to initialize new signal after next pop.

Shorthand for invariant with acquired mutex.

Shorthand for invariant without mutex chunk and without obligations chunk.

```
\forall \ell_{\mathsf{fifo}_{10}}, \ell_{\mathsf{m}}, \ell_{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{n}}}, \ell_{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{c}}}.
                                                                                                                                                                                                    Continuation of Figure 22.
\{\mathsf{phase}((\mathsf{Forker})) * \exists v_{\mathsf{c}_c}, O_c. \ L_c(v_{\mathsf{c}_c}, O_c) * v_{\mathsf{c}_c} \neq 0\}
while (
                                              For definition of consumer loop invariant L_p(n, O), lock invariant P_m
                                                                                                                                                                                                    PR-WHILE-SIMP & PR-EXISTS (2x)
         \forall v_{\mathsf{c}_c}, O_c.
                                                                                                                                                                                                    & PR-VS-SIMP & VS-SEMIMP
                                              and variations cf. Figures 27 and 21.
         \{\mathsf{phase}((\mathsf{Forker})) * \exists v_{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{c}}}, O_{c}. \ L_{c}(v_{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{c}}}, O_{c}) * v_{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{c}}} \neq 0 * \forall o \in O_{c}. \ \mathsf{lev}(o) = L_{\mathsf{pop}}^{v_{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{c}}}}
                                                                                                                                                                                                   O_c = \{s_{\text{pop}}^{v_{c_c}}\} \lor O_c = \emptyset
         lev(mut) = 0 < 102 - v_{c_c} = L_{pop}^{v_{c_c}}
                                                                                                                                                                                                    Justification for application of:
                                                                                                                                                                                                    PR-ACQUIRE
         acquire m
                                                                                                                                                                                                    PR-Exists
         \forall v_{\mathsf{fifore}}^{\mathsf{m}}
         let f := [fifo_{10}] in
                                                                                                                                                                                                    PR-LET & PR-READHEAPLOC
         \{\mathsf{phase}((\mathsf{Forker})) * L_c^{\mathsf{locked}}(v_{\mathsf{c_c}}, O_c) * P'_{\mathsf{m}}(v_{\mathsf{fifo}_{\mathsf{10}}}^{\mathsf{m}}) * v_{\mathsf{c_c}} \neq 0\}
         if size(f) > 0 then (
                                                                                                                                                                                                    PR-IF
                     \mathbf{size}(v_{\mathsf{fifo}_{\mathsf{10}}}^{\mathsf{m}}) > 0 * \mathsf{phase}((\mathsf{Forker})) * L_c^{\mathsf{locked}}(v_{\mathsf{c_c}}, O_c) * P'_{\mathsf{m}}(v_{\mathsf{fifo}_{\mathsf{10}}}^{\mathsf{m}}) * v_{\mathsf{c_c}} \neq 0 \}
                                                                                   Consumption step presented on Figure 29.
                                                           * phase((Forker))
                                                                                                                                                                                                   Define \mathsf{Postlf}_c such that:
                                 , O_c' . \mathsf{obs}(O_c' \uplus \{\!\!\{ mut \}\!\!\}) * \mathsf{locked}(mut, P_\mathsf{m}, \frac{1}{2}) * \ * v_\mathsf{c_c} \neq 0
                                                                                                                                                                                                              \begin{array}{l} \mathsf{phase}((\mathsf{Forker})) \\ * \exists v_\mathsf{c_c}', O_c'. \ \mathsf{obs}(O_c' \uplus \{\!\!\{ mut \}\!\!\}) \\ * \mathsf{locked}(mut, P_\mathsf{m}, \frac{1}{2}) * \mathsf{Postlf}_c \end{array}
         ) else
                       \frac{\mathbf{size}(v_{\mathsf{fifo}_{\mathtt{m}}}^{\mathsf{m}}) = 0}{\mathsf{sphase}((\mathsf{Forker})) * L_c^{\mathsf{locked}}(v_{\mathsf{cc}}, O_c) * P_{\mathsf{m}}'(v_{\mathsf{fifo}_{\mathtt{10}}}^{\mathsf{m}}) * v_{\mathsf{cc}} \neq 0}\}
                                                                                                                                                                                                    PR-VS-SIMP & VS-SEMIMP
                                                           * phase((Forker))
                                            \exists v'_{c_*}, O'_{c_*} obs(O'_c \uplus \{mut\}) * \mathsf{locked}(mut, P_{\mathsf{m}}, \frac{1}{2}) * \mathsf{Postlf}_{c_*}
              phase((Forker)) *
                                                                                                                                                                                                    PR-Exists (2x)
                \exists v_{c_c}', O_c'. obs(O_c' \uplus \{\!\!\{ mut \}\!\!\}) * \mathsf{locked}(mut, P_\mathsf{m}, \frac{1}{2}) * \mathsf{PostIf}_c
         \forall v'_{\mathsf{c}_c}, \overline{O'_c}.
                                              Wait step presented on Figure 30, i.e., view shift performed
         release m;
                                                                                                                                                                                                    PR-Release & PR-Exists (2x)
                                              after releasing mut but before consuming P_{m}.
         \forall \tau_c^{\rm anc}, \delta_c.
                                                                                                                                                                                                    PR-Let & PR-ReadHeapLoc
         let c := [c_c] in c \neq 0
                                                                                                                                                                                                   & PR-Exp
           \begin{cases} \tau_c^{\mathrm{anc}} \sqsubseteq (\mathtt{Forker}) * \, \mathtt{phase}((\mathtt{Forker})) \\ * (v'_{\mathsf{c_c}} \neq 0 \ \rightarrow \ \exists v_{\mathsf{c_p}}, O_c. \ L_c(v_{\mathsf{c_c}}, O_c) * v_{\mathsf{c_c}} \neq 0 * \, \mathtt{itperm}(\tau_c^{\mathrm{anc}}, \delta_c)) * (v'_{\mathsf{c_c}} = 0 \ \rightarrow \ \mathsf{obs}(\emptyset)) \end{cases} ) 
                                                                                                                                                                                                    Reestablished loop invariant.
) do skip
\{phase((Forker)) * \exists v_{c_n}. L_c(v_{c_n}) * v_{c_n} \neq 0\}
                                                                                                                                                                                                     Continued in Figure 22.
```

Figure 28: Verification example bounded FIFO, consumer loop.

```
\forall \ell_{\mathsf{fifo}_{10}}, \ell_{\mathsf{m}}, \ell_{\mathsf{C}_{\mathsf{p}}}, \ell_{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{c}}}, v_{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{c}}}, O_c, v_{\mathsf{fifo}_{10}}^{\mathsf{m}}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    Continuation of Figure 28.
For definition of P_{\mathsf{m}}, P'_{\mathsf{m}}(v), L_c^{\mathrm{locked}}(n,O) and L_c^{\mathrm{no:mutex}}(n,O) cf. Figures 21 and 27. \{\mathbf{size}(v_{\mathsf{fifo}_{10}}^{\mathsf{m}}) > 0 * \mathsf{phase}((\mathsf{Forker})) * L_c^{\mathrm{locked}}(v_{\mathsf{c}_\mathsf{c}},O_c) * P'_{\mathsf{m}}(v_{\mathsf{fifo}_{10}}^{\mathsf{m}}) * v_{\mathsf{c}_\mathsf{c}} \neq 0\}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   PR-EXISTS
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   PR-VS-SIMP & VS-SEMIMP
 let c := [c_c] in
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   PR-LET & PR-READHEAPLOC
  [fifo_{10}] := tail(f); [c_c] := c - 1
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   PR-AssignToHeap (2x)
 \{\ell_{\mathsf{fifo}_{10}} \mapsto \mathbf{tail}(v_{\mathsf{fifo}_{10}}^{\mathsf{m}}) * \ell_{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{c}}} \mapsto v_{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{c}}} - 1 * \ldots \}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   PR-VS-SIMP & VS-SETSIGNAL
  \{\mathsf{obs}(\{\![s_{\mathsf{pop}}^{v_{\mathsf{cc}}}, mut]\!\}) * \mathsf{signal}(s_{\mathsf{pop}}^{v_{\mathsf{cc}}}, \mathsf{True}) * \ldots\}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   PR-VS-SIMP & VS-SEMIMP
   \{ (v_{c_r} - 1 = 0 \lor v_{c_r} > 0) | * \ldots \}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   PR-VS-SIMP & VS-OR
                     \begin{array}{ll} v_{\mathsf{c_c}} - 1 = 0 & \mathbf{Last\ iteration},\ \mathsf{nothing\ left\ to\ do}. \\ (\mathsf{phase}((\mathsf{Forker})) * \exists v'_{\mathsf{c_p}}, O'_c.\ \mathsf{obs}(O'_c \uplus \{\!\!\{ mut \}\!\!\}) * \mathsf{locked}(mut, P_\mathsf{m}, \frac{1}{2}) * v_{\mathsf{c_c}} \neq 0 \\ * \left( \mathbf{size}(v^\mathsf{m}_{\mathsf{fifo}_{10}}) > 0 \right. \rightarrow \left. L_c^{\mathsf{no:obs}}(v'_{\mathsf{c_p}}, O'_c) * P_\mathsf{m} * \mathsf{itperm}((), 1) \right) \\ * \left( \mathbf{size}(v^\mathsf{m}_{\mathsf{fifo}_{10}}) = 0 \right. \rightarrow \left. L_c^{\mathsf{no:obs}}(v_{\mathsf{c_c}}, O_c) * P'_\mathsf{m}(v^\mathsf{m}_{\mathsf{fifo}_{10}}) * O'_c = O_c \right) \end{array}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   PR-VS-SIMP & VS-SEMIMP
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  \begin{aligned} &\mathsf{phase}((\mathsf{Forker})) \\ &* \exists v_\mathsf{c_c}', O_c'. \; \mathsf{obs}(O_c' \uplus \{\!\!\{ mut \}\!\!\}) \\ &* \mathsf{locked}(mut, P_\mathsf{m}, \frac{1}{2}) * \mathsf{PostIf}_c \end{aligned}
                                                                                                         Must create signal for next iteration.
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  PR-VS-SIMP & VS-SIGINIT
 case: v_{c_c} - 1 > 0
                \begin{cases} \mathsf{obs}(\{\![\![id^{v_\mathsf{c_c}-1}]\!], mut\}\!) * \bigstar_{i=1,\dots,v_\mathsf{c_c}-1} \mathsf{g}(id^i_{\mathsf{pop}}) \\ * \mathsf{uninitSig}(id^{v_\mathsf{c_c}-1}) \mathsf{signal}(s^{v_\mathsf{c_c}-1}_{\mathsf{pop}}, \mathsf{False}) * \dots \\ \{\mathsf{phase}((\mathsf{Forker})) * \exists v'_\mathsf{c_c}, O'_c. \ \mathsf{obs}(O'_c \uplus \{\![\![\![mut]\!]\!]) * \mathsf{locked}(mut, P_\mathsf{m}, \frac{1}{2}) * \mathsf{PostIf}_c \} \end{cases}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  PR-VS-SIMP & VS-SEMIMP
 \{\mathsf{phase}((\mathsf{Forker})) * \exists v_\mathsf{c_c}', O_c'. \ \mathsf{obs}(O_c' \uplus \{\!\!\{ mut \}\!\!\}) * \mathsf{locked}(mut, P_\mathsf{m}, \tfrac{1}{2}) * \mathsf{PostIf}_c \}
                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   Continued in Figure 28.
```

Figure 29: Verification example bounded FIFO, consumer thread's consumption step.

```
\forall \ell_{\mathsf{fifo}_{10}}, \ell_{\mathsf{m}}, \ell_{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{c}}}, \ell_{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{c}}}, v_{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{c}}}, O_c, v_{\mathsf{fifo}_{10}}^{\mathsf{m}}, v_{\mathsf{c}_{\mathsf{c}}}', O_c'.
                                                                                                                                                                                      Continuation of Figure 28.
For definition of P_{\mathsf{m}}, P'_{\mathsf{m}}(v), L_c^{\mathrm{locked}}(n,O), L_c^{\mathrm{no:obs}}(n,O), PostIf _c
cf. Figures 21, 27 and 28.
 \{\mathsf{phase}((\mathsf{Forker})) * \mathsf{obs}(O'_c \uplus \{mut\}) * \mathsf{locked}(mut, P_{\mathsf{m}}, \frac{1}{2}) * \mathsf{PostIf}_c\}
                                      PR-Release allows view shift to happen after mutex mut
 release m
                                      was released but before lock invariant P_{\mathsf{m}} is consumed.
          \{\mathsf{phase}((\mathsf{Forker})) * \mathsf{obs}(O'_{\mathsf{C}} \uplus \{mut\})\}
                                                                                     * locked(mut, P_m, \frac{1}{2}) * PostIf<sub>c</sub>}
                                                                                                                                                                                      PR-VS-SIMP & VS-SEMIMP
          \{ (\mathbf{size}(v_{\text{fifour}}^{\text{m}}) > 0 \lor \mathbf{size}(v_{\text{fifour}}^{\text{m}}) = 0) | * \ldots \}
                                                                                                                                                                                      PR-VS-SIMP & VS-OR
                                                                 Consumption step already performed, nothing left to do.
                      \mathbf{size}(v_{\mathsf{fifo}_{\mathsf{10}}}^{\mathsf{m}}) > 0 * \mathsf{phase}((\mathsf{Forker})) * \mathsf{obs}(O_c') * \mathsf{PostIf}_c\}
                                                                                                                                                                                      PR-VS-SIMP & VS-SEMIMP
                       \mathsf{obs}(O_c') * P_\mathsf{m} * \exists \tau_c^{\mathsf{anc}}, \delta_c. \ \tau_c^{\mathsf{anc}} \sqsubseteq (\mathsf{Forker}) * \mathsf{phase}((\mathsf{Forker}))
                                                                                                                                                                                      Define PostReleaseVS_c such that:
                        *L_c^{\text{no:notes}}(v'_{c_1}, O'_c) * \text{itperm}((), 1)
                                                                                                                                                                                      = \{ obs(O'_c) * P_m * PostReleaseVS_c \}
          case: \mathbf{size}(v_{\mathsf{fifo}_{10}}^{\mathsf{m}}) = 0
                                                                No production step performed.
                                                                Must wait to generate permission.
                       \mathbf{size}(v_{\mathsf{fifo}_{co}}^{\mathsf{in}}) = 0 * \mathsf{phase}((\mathsf{Forker})) * \mathsf{obs}(O'_c) * \mathsf{PostIf}_c \}
                                                                                                                                                                                      PR-VS-SIMP & VS-SEMIMP
                                          L_c^{\text{no:obs}}(v_{\mathsf{c}_\mathsf{c}}, O_c) * P'_{\mathsf{m}}(v_{\mathsf{fifo}_{10}}^{\mathsf{m}}) * O'_c = O_c = \{s_{\mathsf{pop}}^{v_{\mathsf{cp}}}\}
                                                                                                                                                                                      PR-EXISTS (2x)
                                                                                                                                                                                      & PR-VS-SIMP & VS-SEMIMP
                       * \operatorname{obs}( \boxed{ \{\hspace{-0.05cm} s_{\operatorname{pop}}^{v_{\operatorname{cp}}} \} }) * v_{\operatorname{c}_{\operatorname{c}}} \neq 0 * \operatorname{phase}((\operatorname{Forker}))
                   \forall v_{c}^{\mathsf{m}}, v_{c}^{\mathsf{m}}.
                       PR-VS-SIMP & VS-WAIT
                                                                                                                                                                                       Justification for application
                  \mathsf{lev}(s_{\mathrm{push}}^{v_{\mathrm{cp}}^{\cdots}}) \ = \ L_{\mathrm{push}}^{v_{\mathrm{cp}}^{\cdots}} \ = \ L_{\mathrm{push}}^{v_{\mathrm{cc}}} \ = \ 101 - v_{\mathrm{c_c}} \ < \ 102 - v_{\mathrm{c_c}} \ = \ L_{\mathrm{pop}}^{v_{\mathrm{cc}}} \ = \ \mathsf{lev}(s_{\mathrm{pop}}^{v_{\mathrm{cp}}})
                                                                                                                                                                                       of VS-Wait.
                                                                                                                                                                                      PR-VS-SIMP & VS-SEMIMP
                   \{|itperm((Forker), 0)| * \ldots \}
                   \{\overline{\mathsf{obs}(O'_c) * P_{\mathsf{m}} * \mathsf{PostReleaseVS}_c}\}
                 \mathsf{ohase}((\mathsf{Forker})) * | \mathsf{obs}(O'_c) | * \mathsf{PostIf}_c * (\mathbf{size}(v^\mathsf{n}_\mathsf{fi})) |
                                                                                                                                                                                      Conclusion of VS-OR application.
                 *P_{\mathsf{m}}*\mathsf{PostReleaseVS}
 \{ obs(O'_c) | *P_m | * PostReleaseVS_c \}
                                                                             Lock invariant P_{\mathsf{m}} consumed by PR-Release.
                                                                                                                                                                                      PR-VS-SIMP & VS-SEMIMP
 \begin{cases} \exists \tau_c^{\mathrm{anc}}, \delta_c. \ \tau_c^{\mathrm{anc}} \sqsubseteq (\mathtt{Forker}) * \mathtt{phase}((\mathtt{Forker})) \\ * (v'_{\mathsf{c_c}} \neq 0 \ \rightarrow \ \exists v_{\mathsf{c_c}}, O_c. \ L_c(v_{\mathsf{c_c}}, O_c) * v_{\mathsf{c_c}} \neq 0 * \mathtt{itperm}(\tau_c^{\mathrm{anc}}, \delta_c)) * (v'_{\mathsf{c_c}} = 0 \ \rightarrow \ \mathsf{obs}(\emptyset)) \end{cases}
                                                                                                                                                                                      Reestablished loop invariant.
                                                                                                                                                                                      Continued in Figure 28.
```

Figure 30: Verification example bounded FIFO, consumer's wait step.