

Policy Compliance Analysis Report

Overall Compliance Score: 85.0%

Documentation and Availability

Score: 90.0%

Analysis:

The policy framework is well-documented, providing a comprehensive overview of the cybersecurity risk management process, including its key components, roles and responsibilities, compliance and monitoring processes, and supply chain risk management. It also indicates that the IT department and external stakeholders are responsible for ensuring compliance, which suggests that the framework is available to them. However, it does not explicitly state that the framework is available to all relevant stakeholders, which is a requirement. -

Recommendations:

To fully meet the requirement, the policy should explicitly state that the framework is available to all relevant stakeholders. This could be achieved by adding a section on 'Availability' that outlines how the framework is disseminated to stakeholders, how often it is updated, and how stakeholders can access the most recent version. Additionally, it would be beneficial to define who the 'relevant stakeholders' are within the context of the organization to ensure clarity and understanding.

Roles and Responsibilities

Score: 85.0%

Analysis:

The policy section provides a clear outline of roles and responsibilities in relation to cybersecurity risk management. It emphasizes the importance of each member of the organization in maintaining cybersecurity within their respective domains. The IT department and external stakeholders are given specific responsibilities, including risk identification, protection, incident response, and continuous improvement. However, the policy does not explicitly define the accountability structures for these roles

and responsibilities. -

Recommendations:

To improve the policy, it is recommended to include specific accountability structures for each role. This could include detailing who is responsible for overseeing each role, how performance will be measured, and what consequences may occur if responsibilities are not met. Additionally, it would be beneficial to further clarify the roles and responsibilities of non-IT staff in maintaining cybersecurity.

Risk Assessment

Score: 85.0%

Analysis:

The policy section provides a robust framework for cybersecurity risk management, which includes risk identification and continuous monitoring, aligning well with the requirement category of Risk Assessment. However, it lacks a clear and detailed description of a comprehensive risk assessment methodology. While the policy mentions regular assessments and audits, it does not explicitly state the frequency of these reviews or the specific processes involved. -

Recommendations:

The policy should include a detailed risk assessment methodology that outlines the steps taken to identify, analyze, and evaluate risks. This could include the use of risk matrices, risk registers, or other risk assessment tools. The policy should also specify the frequency of risk assessments and reviews, such as quarterly or annually, to ensure regular monitoring. Additionally, the policy could benefit from a more explicit commitment to regular review and update of the risk assessment methodology itself, to ensure it remains effective and relevant in the face of evolving cybersecurity threats.

Security Controls

Score: 85.0%

Analysis:

The policy section demonstrates a strong commitment to cybersecurity risk management. It outlines a comprehensive framework that includes identifying, protecting, detecting, responding, and recovering from cybersecurity events. The policy also assigns roles and responsibilities, ensuring that all members of the organization are involved in maintaining cybersecurity. The policy further commits to regular assessments and audits to ensure compliance and effectiveness of the cybersecurity measures.

However, the policy does not provide specific details on the technical and organizational security controls that need to be implemented. -

Recommendations:

The policy should be updated to include specific details on the technical and organizational security controls that will be implemented. This could include details on the use of firewalls, intrusion detection systems, encryption technologies, access controls, and other technical measures. In terms of organizational controls, the policy could provide more details on the procedures for employee training, incident response, and the management of third-party risks. The policy should also specify how these controls will be monitored and audited to ensure their effectiveness.

Incident Response

Score: 80.0%

Analysis:

The policy section does address the requirement of having incident response procedures and reporting mechanisms. The section on "Roles and Responsibilities" mentions a well-structured incident response team responsible for handling cyber attacks. The "Compliance and Monitoring" section also mentions regular reports on risk management activities, including mitigation actions taken. However, the policy does not provide detailed information on the specific procedures for incident response and the reporting mechanisms. -

Recommendations:

The policy should include a more detailed description of the incident response procedures, including the steps to be taken in the event of a cybersecurity incident, the roles and responsibilities of the incident response team, and the communication protocols. The policy should also clearly define the reporting mechanisms, including the types of incidents that need to be reported, who they should be reported to, and the timeline for reporting. Additionally, the policy should include a process for reviewing and updating the incident response procedures and reporting mechanisms on a regular basis.

Compliance Monitoring

Score: 85.0%

Analysis:

The policy section does a good job of outlining the organization's commitment to compliance monitoring. It mentions regular assessments and audits to ensure compliance with the cybersecurity framework, and the monitoring of the effectiveness of cybersecurity measures. It also includes periodic self-assessment, regular reports on risk management activities, and external audits. However, the policy does not provide specific details on how often these assessments and audits will take place, or the methods used for monitoring and evaluation. -

Recommendations:

To improve the policy, it would be beneficial to provide more specific details on the frequency of assessments and audits, as well as the methods and tools used for monitoring and evaluation. Additionally, it would be helpful to outline the process for addressing any identified areas of non-compliance, including potential consequences and remediation steps.