



**FACULTY
OF MATHEMATICS
AND PHYSICS**
Charles University

BACHELOR THESIS

Lucia Tódová

OCR for tabular data

Department of Software Engineering

Supervisor of the bachelor thesis: Mgr. Miroslav Kratochvíl

Study programme: Computer Science

Study branch: Programming and Software Systems

Prague 2019

I declare that I carried out this bachelor thesis independently, and only with the cited sources, literature and other professional sources.

I understand that my work relates to the rights and obligations under the Act No. 121/2000 Sb., the Copyright Act, as amended, in particular the fact that the Charles University has the right to conclude a license agreement on the use of this work as a school work pursuant to Section 60 subsection 1 of the Copyright Act.

In date

signature of the author

Dedication.

Title: OCR for tabular data

Author: Lucia Tódová

Department: Department of Software Engineering

Supervisor: Mgr. Miroslav Kratochvíl, Department of Software Engineering

Abstract: Abstract.

Keywords: key words

Contents

| | |
|---|-----------|
| Introduction | 2 |
| 1 Text Recognition Algorithms | 3 |
| 1.1 Preprocessing | 4 |
| 1.1.1 Scaling | 4 |
| 1.1.2 Deskew | 6 |
| 1.1.3 Contrast enhancement | 7 |
| 1.1.4 Binarization | 9 |
| 1.1.5 Noise Reduction | 10 |
| 1.1.6 Scanning border Reduction | 12 |
| 1.2 Basic Techniques | 12 |
| 1.2.1 Page Segmentation | 13 |
| 1.2.2 Representation and Feature Extraction | 15 |
| 1.2.3 Character Classification | 16 |
| 1.2.4 Deep Learning | 17 |
| 1.3 Available Implementations | 17 |
| 1.3.1 Tesseract | 18 |
| 1.3.2 OpenCV | 18 |
| 1.3.3 ImageMagick | 19 |
| 1.3.4 Commercial Software | 19 |
| 2 Layout Recognition For Tabular Data | 21 |
| 3 Table Recognition Implementation | 22 |
| 4 Results | 23 |
| Conclusion | 24 |
| Bibliography | 25 |
| List of Figures | 26 |
| List of Tables | 27 |
| List of Abbreviations | 28 |
| A Attachments | 29 |
| A.1 First Attachment | 29 |

Introduction

Over the last few years, the importance and usage of digital documents has grown rapidly. Today, almost everything can be found in digital form. However, there are still tons of documents that need to undergo the process of digitization - conversion of analog signals or information into a digital format. Creating a digital document for viewing from an analog input is not hard - a simple scan should suffice. Complications arrive when the user wishes to modify the document. What a scan provides is merely an image, which has no information about its elements - text, paragraphs, numberings, headers/footers, forms, tables... This is the moment when an OCR software is needed.

Optical Character Recognition is a widespread technology used for converting images containing written text into a machine-readable text data. It became popular during early 1990s while trying to digitize historic newspaper, and is now frequently used for data entry automation, ticket and voucher validation, tax-free shopping, automatic number plate recognition, as well as assisting blind and visually impaired people.

Different OCR softwares use different techniques to achieve their goal. They mostly consist of a variety of combined heuristic algorithms with an equally important part of preprocessing. Although most of these softwares guarantee their accuracy rate to be above 98%, the measurement techniques are not always the same and therefore the rates can be misleading. Furthermore, most of these softwares already work on different kinds of assumptions about documents - that they must have a specific layout, contain a header and a footer, have all text lines horizontally aligned et cetera...

In this thesis we specifically focus on recognition of tabular data and the problems that come along with it. We describe the possibilities and

```
// co je digitalizacia, je popularna, potrebujeme zistit viac ako len obrazok,  
treba informaciu o dokumente  
// co robia OCR softwares  
// ake su problemy s OCR softwares  
// my konkretne riesime tabulky  
// thesis bude mat nasledovnu strukturu
```

Related Work

1. Text Recognition Algorithms

The root of any complex OCR software is text recognition. Although this is easily done in printed documents, there are a lot of factors that complicate this process. Based on different OCR documentations(...zdroje?), the few of the most important problems were found to be: To name a few of the most important:

- **different fonts** - Nowadays, there exist a number of fonts and styles. To hold the information about each and every one would be unsustainable for any OCR software. Therefore, it needs to make assumptions and use heuristics to match its vision of the character with the one it is reading.
- **handwritten documents** - The software has no notion about whether the document was handwritten or not. It sees the characters as merely a more complicated font. On contrary to the font, however, the characters that are supposed to be the same do not always have to look that way, (because of people/given the mistakes of people). This is why the OCR software has to count on (???) minor mistakes the odchylka depends on different factors - line height, length, character size... Furthermore, handwriting can sometimes be unrecognizable even by a human eye. This case often prompts the software to fail.
- **low quality of the scanned document** - This can be caused by a poor scan or just a poor initial image and mean a variety of things. The image can be low-contrasted, not sharp enough, lines can be disrupted or pixelated (mostly in case of a low scan DPI), it can contain a lot of noise... These problems are usually (partly) solved during the preprocessing part of OCR algorithm.
- **skew problems** - These problems also fall under the part of preprocessing. As the result of a scan or even a photography is almost never an image with a perfectly aligned text as it was on the paper, this needs to fixed, so the actual algorithm can count on correctly aligned images.
- **colors** - The general rule is - the better the contrast of the image, the better the OCR results. Colored images generally have a lower contrast than when they are in black and white. Before passing to OCR, they therefore undergo binarization.
- **similar characters** - Even people sometimes make the mistakes when distinguishing characters like S and 5, O and 0, or I and l. OCR software can often make the same mistake, especially when different, special fonts are used, or in case of handling handwriting.
- **inconsistent font use** - To determine word spacing, most OCR engines calculate gaps between characters and heuristically decide which characters belong together. With different fonts, spaces also differ, which may produce unsatisfactory results.
- ... noise?

These and multiple other factors are also the reason why many OCR softwares work on "assumptions" about documents - that the document has only one column, is not handwritten, that its lines are horizontally aligned et cetera. Also, this is why no OCR software can work perfectly and will always keep encountering documents that are "unrecoverable".

However, there are many ways in which we can improve the results of the OCR.

1.1 Preprocessing

OCR algorithms usually do not work well on most images provided by user. It is because they(???) are either malformed, disrupted or have other of the problems mentioned above.

Most of the OCR engines already come with some kind of built-in preprocessing filter. The problem with those built-in filters is that they most likely do not match your case and are usually very simple.

This is why the best practice is to firstly preprocess the image and then pass the result to the OCR algorithm.

In this section, we will discuss the most important image transformation that we can perform to improve the result of the OCR.

// existuju aj ine transformacie, ako sharpening, alpha correction etc, add small border

1.1.1 Scaling

Usually in case of OCR, more is better. The more information the OCR engine can use to interpret text, the more accurate the result will be. This can be specifically applied to the case of resolution and bit-depth.

(zdroje?) Almost all OCR engines(Tesseract, OpenCV, ...zdroje?) encourage their users to use a 300 DPI images. Their reason for that is pretty simple - it is the point where you gain the most accuracy without sacrificing speed and file size. For example, try running a few tests for the same image with different resolution on OCR engines. (after running a few tests? zdroje?) You will see that the improvement gap between 200 DPI scan and 300 DPI scan will be at least 2 times the improvement gap of any other resolutions. Also, comparing other images above 300 DPI with a 300 DPI image, you find the improvement gap to be nearly absent. It is obviously still there, but in almost all cases, the higher DPI is not worth the time and space.

Another reason for the use of 300 DPI is the fact that most OCR engines are trained to this resolution. Some engines (to do - ktore?), no matter what resolution you give them will actually sample up or down to get to 300 DPI.

Achieving a 300 DPI by a scan is simple enough. If the user has already provided us with an image of a different resolution, our next steps depend on what the resolution is:

- **DPI of new image < 300** : If we were to just scale the image, the result would be pixelated, blurred and not sharp enough (unsharp???). The most affected parts would be the diagonal edges of elements. They would appear

jagged (*aliased*). (add a sample picture somewhere over here !!!). (This gives the image overall a poor quality?). To minimize this unwanted effect, a technique called *interpolation* is used.

Interpolation works by using known data to estimate values at unknown points. It specifically approximates the resulting pixel's color and intensity based on the values at surrounding pixels. It therefore already includes the process of *anti-aliasing* (process used to minimize aliases), as it is based on the same technique.

The more data we have, the better the interpolation - therefore we will still see the difference between a resized image from 72 DPI to 300 DPI and 200 DPI to 300 DPI.

Interpolation algorithms can be grouped into two categories: *adaptive* and *non-adaptive*. Non-adaptive methods treat all pixels equally, while adaptive methods change depending on what they are interpolating, specifically smooth texture vs. sharp edges. Adaptive methods are primarily designed to minimize the presence of interpolation artifacts in regions where they are most apparent, and they differ by the way they detect edges.

(adaptive https://www.researchgate.net/publication/224647180_Adaptive_Interpolation_Algorithms_for_Image_Resizing)

Non-adaptive methods do not distinct different pixels. Their complexity depends on the number of adjacent pixels during interpolation, which is also the criterion by which the existing methods are divided.

- **Nearest Neighbor Interpolation:** This algorithm considers only one pixel - the closest one to the interpolated point.
- **Bilinear Interpolation** considers the closest 2x2 neighborhood of known pixel values surrounding the unknown pixel. It then assigns the weighted average of these 4 pixels to the final interpolated value.
- **Bicubic Interpolation** goes one step beyond bilinear and takes the closest 4x4 neighbours, which sums up to the total of 16 pixels. During the calculation of the final value, pixels closer to the interpolated point are given a higher weighting.

(-j https://www.researchgate.net/publication/301889708_Image_Interpolation_Techniques_in_Digital_Image_Processing)

There also exist higher order interpolations, such as *Spline*, *Sinc*, *Lanczos*... They take more surrounding pixels into consideration and calculate the resulting value through (more complicated functions? to-do - pochopit ako to presne robia, netreba ak dam referenciu?). The results are better than just simple calculation, but they take up way more time. During OCR, such complex and time-consuming functions are not necessary, as we are not yet working with any rotations and distortions and just need to resize the existing image.

For the best quality/time ratio, the popular decision in most cases (zase spomenut tesseract, opencv etc?) is *Bicubic Interpolation*. Although *Nearest Neighbor* and *Bilinear* methods are extremely fast, the results were found to be poor.

The more pixels, the more accurate the interpolation result. This obviously comes at the expense of a processing time.

- **DPI of new image > 300 :** Although we are solving the exact opposite problem as in previous case, the approaches to this are quite similar. In this case, though, we need to decrease the number of pixels and decide what will the values of the new ones be. The easiest approach would be to "pick every nth pixel", but the same problems as previously arise - blurring, aliasing, pixelation...

The easiest and most widely used approaches are very similar to what is already explained above. To calculate the value of the resulting pixel, we choose a number of surrounding pixels (as in previous case, the 4x4 turns out to be working ... best). We calculate their weighted average and assign this value to the unknown pixel.

There are obviously many other ways that our desired result can be achieved. Already mentioned *Spline*, *Sinc*, *Lanczos* and other "reverse interpolations" are a few of them. Worth noting are also *Fourier transformation*, *perceptual based methods*, *content-adaptive methods* and other adaptive and heuristic techniques. Many of these methods produce even better results, but, as mentioned before, most preprocessing engines still stick to the simpler "reversed Bicubic Interpolation" in a successful attempt to speed up the algorithm.

1.1.2 Deskew

Skewed images are probably the most common problem that many OCR engines struggle with. They usually .. (arise? happen?) when an image is scanned or photographed crookedly.

One of the steps of OCR algorithms is *page segmentation*. It is the process that decomposes a document image into elements. This division works with (based on?) vertically and horizontally aligned characters, spaces and other elements. If the documents have Manhattan layout, decomposition is even easier and can be done by vertical and horizontal cuts. We will discuss this topic thoroughly in the following chapter. (For now, what we need to know is that?) skewed images pass misaligned data to the OCR algorithm. This causes more errors and ultimately leads to poorer results.

There are different approaches that deal with this problem. All of these methods work after binarization of the image (for more accurate results) and generally assume the skew angle to be no more than 15°. As mentioned in (ref), algorithms that work on greater skew angles also exist. They are not as widely used, though, as most of the time, correction needs to be done on scanned documents - which are mostly only slightly tweaked.

To name a few of the most promising algorithms:

- **Hough Transform:** As described in (hugh ref), this method is used to find straight lines in image and given their rotation, determine the skew angle of the whole documents. It works by saving results of Hough Transform on different lines, and comparing which line has the most pixels. That gives us the line that is most represented in the input image, which gives us the resulting angle. Although highly effective, computations of transform can be quite time consuming. This is why Hough Transform usually is not done on every point of the image, but only a set of chosen input points. Another problem occurs if pictures are present in the input image (as Hough

Transform counts with aligned pixels). To solve this, we can not choose input points from the given picture.

- **Projection Profile:** Projection Profile method is based on creating a histogram of black pixels along horizontal or vertical scan lines. For an image with horizontal scan lines, this histogram will have peaks at text line positions. The algorithm estimates the skew by rotating the image in different angles and comparing the resulting histograms. The one with the most peaks and valleys belongs to our final angle. The problem with this technique is that it works on text areas only, as images or other elements can disrupt the histograms.
- **Cross Corelation** works similarly to Projection Profile method mentioned above. Firstly, it selects a number of vertical segments from the image. It then performs the Projection Profile algorithm on these segments and by comparing then, it can estimate the final skew angle. The drawbacks of this method are similar to those of Projection Profile. However, it usually needs to process less data with no loss in accuracy, given a good choice for the width of the columns.
- **Connected Component Clustering** - or *Nearest Neighbor Clustering*. Thoroughly described in (ccref), these algorithms are based on finding coherency between connected components of the image. They either compute the skew of every component (using their bottom line) and compare the results, or group components by neighbours and create a group histogram for examination, or many other more. (maybe drawbacks? As the algorithms greatly differ, each has its own drawbacks. cc vzdy rovnaky algo)

Worth noting are also other methods like *Fourier*, *Wavelet Decomposition*, *Radon Transform*, *PCP*, *one-pass* or *multi-pass* skew correction, *morphology algorithms* etc... These are all briefly described in (ref).

(talk more about fourier?)

- fourier - <http://www.ijscce.org/wp-content/uploads/papers/v3i4/D1739093413.pdf>

- ccref - <http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.121.3963rep=rep1type=pdf>

- hugh ref - <https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/a995/14ebd9caf97ec8fa80da58bcb0f9b2fb93e1.pdf>

- one pass skew - https://ac.els-cdn.com/S1319157803800034/1-s2.0-S1319157803800034-main.pdf?_id=7f3311c1-8a8f-48be-888d-7a320f491dceacdnat=1543157733_f26c35ff33referencia-https://www.researchgate.net/publication/263540666_image_skew_detection_ACOR

referencia-https://www.researchgate.net/publication/263540666_image_skew_detection_ACOR

1.1.3 Contrast enhancement

A *histogram* is an accurate representation of the distribution of data. In case of image histograms, it represents the tonal distribution of the image - x-axis in histogram stands for all available tonal levels, and y-axis represents the number of pixels for each tonal level. (mby picture?)

Grayscale images are represented by only one histogram, where the x-axis contains all the available grey values. However, in colored (RGB) images, the histogram is displayed by the terms of three separate histograms - one for each color component (R, G and B). Increasing contrast for colored pictures is therefore a more complex task. This, however, is not a case we need to solve, as the next

part of preprocessing is binarization. As we will mention later, binarization works better on grayscale images. Therefore, the conversion to grayscale can already be performed in this section. Only after that, the contrast enhancement will take place.

Grayscale conversion is the process of computing and assigning the grey value for each colored pixel from its attributes. Over the years, different approaches have been created. That is why, when using image editing software such as Adobe Photoshop or GIMP, there are many options available for grayscale conversion, each of them producing slightly different results.

The most simple approach is to simply find the average of the R, G and B values. This does not really preserve the brightness of the image. Therefore, corrections like luma are used. This algorithm is based on the fact that humans perceive different colors differently (green more strongly than red, and red more strongly than blue), therefore, each color is weighted dependant on how it is perceived by the human eye. Another way to approach grayscale conversion is to represent the color based on its HSL values and convert it to its least saturated variant.

As described in (zdroj other grayscale more), there exist many more algorithms approaching this problem. Most of them require more complicated computations and try to preserve attributes of the image that may be lost during the process - like contrast or luminance.

Now that we have a grayscale image, the next step is *contrast enhancement* with the help of already mentioned histograms. In them, contrast is represented as distribution of pixels. The more evenly the pixel counts cover a broad range of grayscale levels, the better the contrast of the image. Pixel counts that are restricted to a smaller range indicate low contrast.

To achieve an image with a higher contrast, we therefore need to "stretch" its histogram. Following are a few methods that approach this problem. Other types of methods can be found (refs)

- **Linear Stretching** method linearly expands the original digital values of the histogram into a new distribution. As mentioned in (ref), there exist three methods of linear stretching - *Min-Max*, *Percentage* and *Piecewise Contrast Stretch*. All these methods make subtle variations within the image data more obvious, are best applied to remotely sensed images with Gaussian or near-Gaussian histograms.
- **Non-linear Stretching** is done by functions with non-linear form. These include, for example, *logarithmic transform*, *exponential transform* or *Power Law* mentioned in (ref). The downside of these methods is that each value in the input image can have several values in the output image - therefore, the objects in the original scene lose their correct relative brightness value.
- **Histogram Equalization** is one of the most popular methods of non-linear stretching. As described in (ref), this method is based on transforms dependant on the probability distribution function of the histogram. Although it produces unrealistic effects in photographs, it is widely used for scientific images.

- logarithmic and power law - <http://academica-e.unavarra.es/bitstream/handle/2454/2368/>
- linear stretch: http://www.academia.edu/9301934/Comparative_study_of_linear_and_non-linear_contrast_enhancement_techniques-histogrameq : <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/312111111-simplegrayscale> : <https://journals.plos.org/plosone/article?id=10.1371/journal.pone.0020781>
othergrayscalemore : http://cadik.posvete.cz/color_image_valuation/cadik08perceptualEvaluationofOtherGrayscaleMethods
othergrayscale : <http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.221.4952rep=1&rep1type=pdf>—otherenhance—<https://arxiv.org/ftp/arxiv/papers/1003/1003.4053.pdf>—otherenhance—<https://www.sciencepubco.com/index.php/ijet/article/view/14811/6062>

1.1.4 Binarization

As already mentioned, element detection in color, or even greyscale images is a way more complicated task than with monochrome one (1 bit) images. This is due to the the lack of contrast and therefore possible blending of different edges during recognition, as mentioned in ref (zdroj).

This is when binarization comes in handy. Binarization is a process that converts an image to its monochrome one form. This means that every pixel on the binarized image will be either black or white.

Many binarization algorithms work under the assumption that the input image is already in greyscale. If not, they firstly transform the image to greyscale, then apply the binarization techniques. This does not concern us, though. In the last section, where we talked about contrast enhancement of the image, our results were already in greyscale. Therefore, for the next part, let us assume that the images we work with are strictly in greyscale.

As mentioned in (zdroj global), the simplest approach to binarization is *global thresholding*. It works on choosing a threshold value and iterating over the whole image, comparing the value of each pixel to this threshold. If it is greater, the pixel in resulting image will be black, otherwise white. As the brightness and contrast of different images vary, it is impossible to choose a threshold suitable for all images.

Therefore, other more complex methods are used:

- **Otsu's method** is one of the most widely used and mentioned methods for image binarization. First, it computes the threshold value from the input image and then applies global thresholding. To get the optimal value of the threshold, Otsu's method works by dividing the pixels into two groups - background and foreground pixels. It chooses these groups so that the within-group variance is minimized and between-group variance is maximized. Upside to this method is that it is simple and easy to implement. However, upon applying it to images with uneven dark or bright spots, it (shows/deems to be?) unusable.
- **Local Thresholding:** In contrast to Otsu's method, where all pixels behaved regarding to their common threshold value, local thresholding methods assign different segments of the image different threshold value. This solves the problem with unevenly lit spots - darker spots will be assigned a lower threshold value, while brighter will be assigned a higher threshold value. The difference between different local thresholding algorithms is the way the values are assigned (somewhere here reference thresholding?). For

example, *Niblack's Method* determines the threshold of every pixel by using the mean and standard deviation of surrounding pixels, which causes errors in blank regions of the image. *Sauvola Method* improves this by using the dynamic range of image gray-value standard deviation. However, this often results in text thinning. Another method worth mentioning is *Bernsen's*, where the threshold is set to the mean value between the lowest and highest gray-level pixel in the neighborhood.

- **Adaptive methods:** Every document is different. Some techniques might work for a few and corrupt other. To determine which technique to use for each document image would be a useful feature in most cases. This is the exact problem that adaptive methods try to solve. Their approach is to analyze the document image surface and determine the most useful method. (hybrid switching? asi sa zamotam). More information on this subject can be found (ref adaptive) (for more information on?i)

Different kinds of improvements have been created in the form of new methods. They mostly consist of combinations of already mentioned methods, or have a similar basis. Further exploration of possibilities was, for example, done by (ref), (ref) or even in the articles already mentioned in this section.

- further possibilities - <http://www.busim.ee.boun.edu.tr/sankur/SankurFolder/Thresholds>
- adaptive - <http://www.mediateam.oulu.fi/publications/pdf/24.p>
- otsu - https://www.researchgate.net/publication/277076039_Image_Binarization_using_Otsu
- global thresholding https://www.researchgate.net/publication/236029409_Combining_Multi
- thresholding - <https://ieeexplore.ieee.org/stamp/stamp.jsp?arnumber=7784945>
- ref - why binarize https://www.researchgate.net/publication/287206352_Prediction_of_the_optimal

1.1.5 Noise Reduction

Image noise is an usually unwanted random variation of brightness or color information in images, reminding film grain in digital cameras. It is often caused by the sensor of a scanner or a digital camera attempting to record small amounts of light.

For OCR engines, processing noise can be quite tricky. Its presence is especially crucial during binarization and edge detection. Edge detection is based on the differences between the "outside" of the element and its "inside". When noise is present, it can disrupt these edges and the exact (boundary?border?) is therefore changed and hard to detect.

There exist different type of noise:

- **salt and pepper noise** - also known as impulse noise, it can be recognized as randomly occurring black and white pixels. It is caused by sharp and sudden disturbances in the image signal, and can be most likely seen on old photographs.
- **statistical noise** - is an unexplained variability within an image. It is characterized by a probability density function. Most common is *Gaussian noise*, *Shot noise*, *Quantization noise* or film grain.

- **other** - like anisotropic or periodic noise. These are often found on old photographs or documents.

Given the type of noise, different approaches are used for its reduction. To name a few of the most popular (zdroje?):

- **linear filters** - like *mean filter*, *Gaussian filter* or *Wiener filter*. As described in (zdroje?), these filters are usually simple and easy to implement, fast and therefore widely used. They work on averaging the color values of neighbour pixels, so they can help assure an averaged view of the contrast along regions of different color. This, however, rather than correcting the color of the affected pixel, results in creating an area with an average (and incorrect) color. This blurs the edges and smooths out other fine details.
- **non-linear filters** - (zdroje o median filtry) the most popular is *median filter*. It works similarly like a mean filter, but for each pixel, instead of replacing its value with a mean of surrounding pixels, it calculates the median. In comparison with linear filters, it provides considerably sharper images and in cases preserves the edges. The downside is the increased run time, and with high level of noise, results are almost the same as with linear filters. However, it is highly(??) effective on salt and pepper noise.
- **non-local means method** - (mentioned in ..zdroj... ocr article) takes a mean value of all pixels, weighted by how similar these pixels are to the target pixel. This method produces an even sharper and clearer image results as mentioned filters, zase spomenut cas

What combination of techniques to use for the optimal results greatly depends on the input image - if there is little to no noise, de-noising an image can cause more harm than good. Therefore, it is always important to approximately compute the signal:noise ratio before applying any kind of filters.

To calculate this properly is a more complex task than thought. Although simple algorithms have already existed for a few years (examples, zdroje), they return poor results and most of them are almost not worth using because of the time complexity. They are mostly based on comparison - testing the difference between each pixel and its neighbors for various threshold numbers based on the dynamic range and calculating deviation, picking "windows" of different sizes and calculating their means and deviation, based on which we decide whether the center pixel is corrupted or not etc...

For a more complex (and sufficient) solution, we have to run many more mathematical calculations on the input image. These calculations require a lot of knowledge in the field of probability and statistics, specifically probability distribution. The basic idea for these noise recognition algorithms is *separating frequencies*. This is usually done by dividing the image into blocks and running a transformation (for example a *discrete cosine transformation*) on each of the blocks. The frequency components are then grouped by increasing frequency. Then, the extraction of statistical features (such as kurtosis, mean, standard deviation) is performed. (ako presne funguju -i zdroje)

Noise is most likely to be found on higher frequencies and we use this observation along with our computed statistical features to determine the thresholding on higher frequencies. If the threshold of the input image is greater, the image is determined to be noise-free.

1.1.6 Scanning border Reduction

Scanned documents often have visible dark borders (or *marginal noise*). These are created either during scanning (due to the presence of neighbouring pages), or are an unwanted result of the binarization process.

Similarly to noise reduction, running this step could only be harmful if there is no marginal noise present. Therefore, the algorithm has to have two steps - *marginal noise detection* and, if successful, *marginal noise reduction*.

Marginal noise is present as a dark connected component of the page. This is a fact that most detection algorithms take advantage of. Firstly, they extract the connected components of the page. This can be either done by a *black filter* (a moving rectangular window that calculates the ratio of black pixels on border zdroj), a sequential algorithm, a two-pass algorithm... After obtaining the connected components, different heuristics are used - most based on removing the connected components near the edges. In the end, usually a "cleanup" is performed (like *white filter* - rovnaky zdroj ako black) - for removing all unnecessary elements around the borders (an unwanted text from the neighbour page, noisy components in the corners...). The results of this algorithm depend greatly on the values of thresholds, margins and other hardcoded parameters. Different images work well under different values. This is why the cleanup part's parameters are usually dependant of the results of previous parts.

Another approach is the one mentioned in (zdroj edge detector). It is based on edge density calculations, and the fact that text areas have generally a much lower density than edge areas. An edge detector (in this case, sobel - zdroj) is used for examining vertical marginal noise, and later horizontal marginal noise. It returns the positions of the margins. Anything beyond these positions is detected as an unwanted noise, and can be therefore removed by filters or other removal algorithms.

edge detector - <http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.60.3969rep=rep1>

black filter - https://www.researchgate.net/publication/224100168_A_simple_and_effective_approach_to_remove_black_filter_from_scanned_documents

<https://www.rug.nl/research/portal/files/14632744/thesis.pdf>

1.2 Basic Techniques

Nowadays, two approaches are proposed for OCR. The first one is an approach based on heuristics - page segmentation, line and element detection and only after that, character detection, which is based on different approximation and sometimes naive algorithms. The second one is an approach that is widely used and researched today - and that is the use of neural networks.

In this paper, we will mostly be concentrating on the heuristics approach of the OCR problem. However, deep learning also deserves a mention, and we will also briefly discuss this method in this section.

A lot of OCR engines nowadays differ in the way their heuristic approaches work. They all agree on one thing, though, and that is the succession of steps that need to be implemented. Firstly, a page segmentation has to be performed. This segments(divides?) the pages for determining the elements of the image. Now, the recognition algorithm could simply take place. However, most of the existing OCR engines (like Tesseract or) "pre-process" the output of page segmentation. They use steps like *representation* and *feature extraction*, which are based on simplifying the extracted elements of image documents. This contributes to better results of the OCR, and, last but not least, helps to improve the speed of the algorithm.

Only after this, the character recognition algorithm is applied.

We will go over these steps in the following individual subsections.

1.2.1 Page Segmentation

In many cases, OCR system heavily depends on the accuracy of page segmentation process [ref1]. There are three main approaches[ref2] to this problem - either we start with an image as a whole and recursively divide it into parts until criterion is met, or we start with individual pixels and group them according to similarity of their attributes, or we use a combination of these two methods.

In this section, we are going to introduce a few concrete algorithms that are based on these methods and improve them with their own heuristics:

- **X-Y cut** algorithm, also referred to as *RXYC algorithm*. It is a tree-based algorithm, where the node of the tree presents the whole image page and leafs of the tree the individual segments. Algorithm starts by splitting the root of the tree into rectangular parts. Every step of the recursive algorithm includes projection profile and threshold computations, depending on which the node will either be (decided?) to be the end segment, or a split into two other rectangular parts will be executed. The algorithm finishes once there are no more nodes to split.

Although this algorithm is easy to implement and pretty fast, according to the benchmarking test of [4], it should not be used on other images than clean, properly skewed, with no noise whatsoever. In the presence of any imperfections, it usually takes the whole image as a segment.

- **Smearing** algorithm, also referred to as run-length smearing algorithm (RLSA). It works with binarized image (which should not be a problem if preprocessing of image is present), trying to link together black areas that are no more than C pixels away from each other. This algorithm is applied both row-wise and column-wise, and the resulting bitmaps are then combined.

The downside to(of?) this algorithm is that it classifies text-lines merged with noise blocks as non-text. Although its results turn out better than those of RXYC algorithm, it is still not usable for classical text-documents. However, it is widely popular among vehicle. plate recognition.

- **Voronoi-diagram based algorithm** is an algorithm based on connected components. It creates a Voronoi diagram from sample points extracted

from the edges of individual connected components. It then "cleans up" the created diagram - deletes the edges that pass through a connected component, or the edges with its associating connected components that have a small distance or a too large area ratio.

This method provides better results for image documents with noise. However, it does not work well on images with different fonts or styles, as the spacing is estimated from the document image. This results in over-segmentation errors, and it is advised to use this method on a homogenous collection of documents.

- **Whitespace Analysis:** This algorithm is based on the assumption of white background (which, ones again, should not be a problem). It firstly tries to cover the the background with the union of white rectangles. These covers are then sorted in respect to a sort key based on a weighting function, which has the purpose of assigning higher weight to taller and longer blocks. Then, covers are added to form background cover until the sort key is less than the threshold.

Most of the time, whitespace analysis provides satisfactory results. However, it is very sensitive to the stopping threshold, therefore results in over-segmentation or under-segmentation.

- **Constrained text-line detection** approach is similar to whitespace analysis, however, it starts with finding the whitespace rectangles that cover the page background in order of decreasing area. From these rectangles, columns separators are chosen based on their properties - aspect ratio, width, and proximity to text-sized connected components.

The upside of this approach is that it is nearly parameter free - therefore, it is a good option for documents with different font sizes or layouts.

- **Docstrum** algorithm is also based on extracting connected components. It then performs a near-neighbor clustering. We already mentioned a similar approach in [deskew] section, and this algorithm also depends on the skew of the image. Firstly, connected components are divided into two groups - one with characters of the dominant font size, the other with characters from titles or section headings. For each connected component, its nearest neighbours are found. The algorithm then determines a histogram of distances and angles between nearest neighbors. Peak of the angle represents the dominant skew, which is used for determination of a within-line nearest neighbor pairs. These pairs are then merges into text-lines, which are merged into blocks.

The downside to this approach is similar to the one in Voronoi diagram, and that is spacing variation. Due to different font sizes and styles, this can lead to over-segmentation. Therefore, it is advised to use this algorithm on a homogeneous collection of documents.

Other page segmentation algorithms approach this problem with a few other steps. For example, Tesseract OCR engine first finds different lines of the image, and then fits text to them. In section (...), we will later discuss and take apart this method (or here?).

In the Voronoi and docstrum algorithms, the inter-character and inter-line spacings are estimated from the document image. Hence spacing variations due to different font sizes and styles within one page result in over-segmentation errors in both algorithms. For instance, in many cases, they fail to estimate the inter-line distance correctly, and hence split the zones into individual text-lines, resulting in a large number of over-segmentation errors. The number of segmented zones for these two algorithms is much higher than the number of zones in the ground truth. In some cases, text-lines in page title are incorrectly segmented (see Figure 7) due to large variation in font size.

ref1: http://www.music.mcgill.ca/~ich/classes/mumt611_07/Evaluation/MaoKanungo2001
<http://ijcsit.com/docs/Volumeref4> : https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Thomas_Breuel/publication/220147144_Page_Segmentation_Algorithms/links/551dd6dd0cf213ef063eb1ee.pdf xycuts : G.Nagy, S.Sethi, K.Y.Wong, R.G.Casey, and F.M.Wahl, \Document analysis system, "IBM Journal of Research and Development", 1984, 27(2), 159-187.
H.S.Baird, \Background structure in document images, "in Document Image Analysis, H.Bunke and L.O'Gorman, \The document spectrum for page layout analysis, "IEEE Trans.on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence, 1994, 16(3), 281-296.
diagram : K.Kise, A.Sato, and M.Iwata, \Segmentation of page images using the area Voronoi diagram, "in Proceedings of the 1994 IEEE Conference on Document Analysis and Recognition, 1994, 100-104.
line finding : T.M.Breuel, \Two geometrical algorithms for layout analysis, "in Document Analysis and Recognition, 1994, 105-109.
<https://static.googleusercontent.com/media/research.google.com/en//pubs/archive/33418>

1.2.2 Representation and Feature Extraction

Upon extracting elements by page segmentation, we are left with an unnecessary amount of information about each element. We could feed all these information into a recognizer. This would, however, decrease accuracy of the recognizer and significantly increase its time complexity. For these reasons, a set of features is extracted for each element (class?) that distinguishes it from other classes while keeping characteristic differences.

There are various approaches to representation and further feature extraction. As described in [super kniha], these representations can be either:

- **Global transformation and series expansion:** These methods are based on the representation of continuous signals by a linear combination of simpler functions. The coefficients of the linear combination provide a compact encoding known as transformation or series expansion. Deformations like translation and rotations are invariant under global transformation and series expansion. (nakopirovane, pochopit, preformulovat) This approach includes methods like *Fourier* or *Gabor* transform, *Fourier Descriptor*, *Wavelets*, *Moments* and *Karhunen-Loeve expansion*.
- **Statistical representation** of an image uses a statistical distribution of points to take care of font and style variations to some extent. It involves methods like *zoning*, *crossings and distances* and *projections*.
- **Geometrical and topological representation**, where the various properties of characters are represented by geometrical and topological features. These features are based on the basic line types that form the character skeleton. An output of feature extraction process is a feature vector. This representation and feature extraction technique includes *extracting and counting topological structures*, *measuring and approximating the geometrical properties*, *coding* and *graphs and trees*.

(napisat k nim viac?)

To sum up, the goal of any representation and feature extraction technique is to create a "skeleton" for each character by selecting the most representative information from the raw data which maximizes the recognition rate with the least amount of elements. However, there are still a number of differences between the features. The most noticeable difference is reconstructability - for some methods, the image can be reconstructed to its previous version solely because of features. Others, like statistical representation, lose information about the original image and would need complicated approximation algorithms for (backwards ...?). Another difference is the transformations to which features are invariant.

More about different representation and feature extraction can be found [refs] or [].

super kniha ref: https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Arindam_Chaudhuri2/publication/321111111_Character-Recognition-Systems-for-Different-Languages-with-Soft-Computing.pdf druharef : <http://www.ee.bgu.ac.il/~dinstein/stip2002/FeatureExtra>

1.2.3 Character Classification

OCR engines widely use the methodologies of pattern recognition, which assigns an unknown sample into one of its predefined classes. There exist various approaches dealing with these methods, which are not necessarily independent of each other. Most often than not, OCR engines combine multiple methods to achieve the most accurate results.

The first and most simple way to approach classification is by **Template Matching**. It is based on the existence of predefined *templates* - multiple bitmaps containing characters of the alphabet. Improved version of this method have an extended database of templates, including numbers and special characters. Once a character is detected, it is passed to the algorithm and for every existing template, its similarity ratio is calculated. The template with the greatest ratio is then assumed to be the recognized character. This method has various implementations depending on how the ratio is calculated - for example, cross correlation, normalized correlation or euclidean formula can be used.

Although the implementation of this method is very simple, even small disfigurements and noise can greatly affect its efficiency. Also, in this case, a feature extraction would be unnecessary, as all templates are created manually.

To make use of the previous steps, **statistical techniques** come in handy. They are based on statistical modeling of the data. To determine the output, extraction of the features from input image (such as size, shape, intensity) is first performed. Then, with the help of statistical decision functions, these features are compared to the statistical model.

The problem with these algorithms is that they have no information about whole-part relations. For this reason, a newer approach has been tested out over the past few years - *machine learning*.

Machine Learning is a method of data analysis based on artificial intelligence. Over time, it builds models of "training data". Based on them, it makes decisions and predictions on its input.

In the case of character classification, training data is created by passing various different characters into the engine and also providing it with the correct

output. In this way, the engine learns how different characters should look and applies this knowledge to its input.

This method is for example used in a one of the simplest machine learning algorithms, and that is the **KNN Classification Algorithm**, or K-Nearest Neighbor algorithm. The input image is compared to the training data and chooses its K nearest (objects) (objects that are most similar). After that, the image is classified with being assigned to the class most common among its K nearest neighbors.

More complex methods include the **Support Vector Machine algorithm (SVM)**. This approach divides the data received into two classes - training and testing data. The goal of SVM technique is to deliver a model that predicts the output of the test set.

The learning is done by a *SVM kernel*. The task of the kernel is to take the input data and transform it into the desired form using a combination of mathematical functions. Other than kernel functions, there are other parameters that tune the output of the SVM algorithm. *Margin* parameter tells the engine the separation of line to the closest class points. *Gamma* parameter decides how far the influence of a single training example reaches and *regularization* parameter controls the misclassification of elements.

Many experiments have been executed in the field of machine learning for character classification. However, none of them can guarantee the accuracy of different approaches, as they all greatly depend on the training data. The more training data the machine can get, the more accurate it is.

?? (end somehow, maybe talk about deep learning more and delete its subsection)

template: <https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/b5ac/80e654108b898a9fcc827eadf1580d500bcc.pdf>
ref1: <https://arxiv.org/ftp/arxiv/papers/1101/1101.2491.pdf> ref2: <http://www.ijera.com/papers/vol11/11011101.2491.pdf>
<http://ijarcet.org/wp-content/uploads/IJARCET-VOL-1-ISSUE-4-131-133.pdf>

1.2.4 Deep Learning

1.3 Available Implementations

Over the past few years, OCR has become a part of our everyday lives. The demand for a reliable OCR engines has therefore risen, which lead to many new implementations or improvements of the already existing ones.

Most people take the expression "optical character recognition" to mean solely text recognition. This term, however, has a wider meaning - it also includes the recognition of other document elements, like images, forms, tables and many more.

There exist few OCR engines that provide all the features an OCR software should have - such as different types of preprocessing, support for all file extensions, recognition of different fonts, including handwritten documents - this is not needed in most cases. For example, customers using OCR for ticket validation do not need to process handwriting or different fonts, and customers trying to achieve automatic number plate recognition do not need to process tables or forms or any other elements.

For this purpose, a lot of OCR engines focusing entirely on one or more cases were implemented, as it is less complicated, time consuming and does the job. However, all of these existing OCR engines, however difficult, have one thing in common - they all have to recognize text elements to some extent.

In this chapter, we will go over the most popular and widely used OCR engines that focus (among other things) on word and character recognition. We will also discuss engines used for the preprocessing part of the algorithm.

1.3.1 Tesseract

Originally developed by Hewlett-Packard CO around 1990 [ref], Tesseract is one of the most robust and accurate OCR open-source engines. When it was firstly developed, Tesseract could only accept TIFF images containing simple one-column text in only English language. Since then, however, it has undergone a lot of improvements and added many features. As of today, Tesseract supports multi-column documents (via its page-layout analysis), claims to support over 100 languages (including right-to-left text such as Arabic or Hebrew), works on different input and output image formats (with the help of Leptonica [ref] library) and is available for Windows, Linux and even Mac OS. In its latest version (4.0.0), Tesseract also added a new neural network (specifically a LSTM network) focused on line recognition.

Tesseract does not have a GUI and works as a command line program. It is used mostly for development purposes and provides an OCR engine - libtesseract - and/that? gives developers a chance to create their own applications using tesseract API. Also, Tesseract contains no preprocessing algorithms. It advises users preprocess the input images themselves [ref].

This is the reason why many wrappers and other 3rd party projects using Tesseract have been created [reflist]. These projects mostly focus on creating GUIs for Tesseract or adding preprocessing algorithms to make the Tesseract engine more user-friendly. Although Tesseract is still in the process of development, it plans on doing no such thing and its future work consists mainly on focusing on LSTM networks.

Tesseract is one of the few OCR open-source engines. That is why it is so widely used for development and research purposes. However, for quick, everyday and user-friendly purposes, this is not the choice to go with.

reflist: <https://github.com/tesseract-ocr/tesseract/wiki/User-Projects-official>
overview: <https://github.com/tesseract-ocr/docs/blob/master/tesseracticdar2007.pdf>

1.3.2 OpenCV

OpenCV is an open source computer vision and machine learning software library. It claims [ref webpage?] to have more than 2500 optimized algorithms used for face detection and recognition, 3D object manipulation, photography editing (like red eye removal), tracking of moving objects or camera movements and other image processing functions.

On contrary to Tesseract, OpenCV is a user-friendly library. It is widely used as a framework for creating OCR applications and contains a lot of preprocessing functions. These can be then passed to the recognition algorithm, which makes

the whole process of recognition easier and simpler. However, its main area of expertise is not OCR and definitely not document manipulation. For recognition, it mostly applies the *template matching* technique. This technique is simple and easy to implement and works very well on ticket validation, credit card recognition or car plate recognition [ref]. Although these are all areas that OpenCV is widely used for with great success rate, the outputs from text document OCRs are poor [ref? to find]. It does not even have a library specialized on OCR.

The reason for mentioning OpenCV is exactly for its vast variety of preprocessing options. There are many projects[ref] that incorporate the work of OpenCV and Tesseract to achieve the most accurate and, most importantly, user-friendly results. Although OpenCV is mostly used via its Python interface, it also has a C++ and Java interfaces which can connect with Tesseract either directly via its C++ API, or through a wrapper like PyTesseract [ref].

1.3.3 ImageMagick

Even though it is not used for OCR and has no feature that would allow such a thing, ImageMagick is a software worth mentioning. In case of OCR, it is used widely for the preprocessing part of the work as well as OpenCV. It is a free, open-source software suite containing numerous features useful for text image processing, like transformations, color management, large image support, format conversion (it claims to be able to process over 200 different file formats), noise reduction, and many more, mentioned in [imagemagick stranka?]. Its functionality is mainly utilized from command-line environment. ImageMagick also has various features that enabled users to create and modify images via a language interface like Magick++(C++) or PythonMagick(Python), but these interfaces are not as rich as the library itself.

Although they might be used for the same ...(purpose?) in case of OCR, ImageMagick and OpenCV are ... different programs. OpenCV's goal is computer vision algorithms, like object identification and recognition, while ImageMagick is used solely for image manipulation - that is, image processing. This focus of ImageMagick leads to better accuracy of its results. The downside of this is that ImageMagick can only apply functions to images and does not make assumptions about images itself. This leads to a more complicated integration of the software with Tesseract or other OCR engine.

1.3.4 Commercial Software

On contrary to Tesseract, there exist many OCR softwares that are used for commercial purposes. Although these are practically useless for developers, they produce pretty satisfactory results, in many cases better than open-source OCR engines.

In this section, we will mention few of the most popular ones, like *ABBYY FineReader*, *ReadIRIS* or *Omnipage*. We will look at their comparison report and mention a few of their features.

We will start this chapter off by looking more closely into **ABBYY FineReader**. This OCR engines claims to convert scanned PDF files into editable electronic formats like MS Word, MS Excel, RTF, HTML etc... It supports over 192 lan-

guages and even has a built-in spell check for 48 of them and includes the support for table and spreadsheet recognition, as well as batch processing of multiple documents. It also supplies SDKs for embedded and mobile devices. These are all the reasons why, to this date, it has over 20 million users. However, as described in [comparison] report, this engine has also its downsides. In some cases, Tesseract seemed to perform significantly better than ABBYY FineReader. This was mostly in the cases of Gothic fonts and good quality images, which FineReader had trouble processing. Moreover, FineReader seemed to have a problem with pages with great amount of small characters, as well as pages with small about of big characters, although the engine was trained to recognize them. However, when dealing with either noise or complicated segmentation, Tesseract mostly failed, while FineReader performed quite nicely.

Another software we would like to discuss is **ReadIris**. It provides similar features to ABBYY FineReader - batch processing of documents, conversion to MS Word, MS Excel or MS Powerpoint, splitting or merging PDFs and Calc table recognition. It also includes features like voice annotations. Its main difference from ABBYY FineReader is that it is less robust - it is not available for Linux, has only 138 languages compared to FineReader's 192 and the number of output formats is undoubtedly smaller. Although this might be a downside for user experience, the performance of ReadIris can sometimes even beat the one of FineReader. For example, in a study done by ... on card recognition, ReadIris performed better than FineReader in both numeral and orientation detection. ReadIris is also widely used for the recognition of Arabic and Hebrew characters, where it performs even better than ABBYY FineReader. [find ref?]

Last, but not least, we are going to have a look at **Omnipage**. It promises its customer the same things that both already mentioned softwares - conversion of scanned documents into editable documents with various formats. In comparison to ReadIris, it supports similar number of language. However, its con is the availability for Linux and greater amount of supported formats. As mentioned in [omnipage test], Omnipage has had great trouble working with colored images. It firstly transforms them into greyscale and only then performs the recognition. It also has problems dealing with rotation over 12 degrees, which simple preprocessing algorithms in combination with Tesseract should not find to be a problem. On the other hand, Omnipage provides a user-friendly environment and is optimized for speed, which contributes to the overall user experience.

These are by far not the only existing engines. When choosing a commercial OCR software, numerous other options promising similar (features??...) and results arise - like *SimpleOCR*, *Orpalis*, *Adobe Acrobat Pro DC* or *CVision OCR Engine*. However, these programs have almost no value for developers (except for comparison studies), whose work is still either concentrated on improving and expanding the Tesseract engine, or based on Tesseract's robust library.

omnipage test: <http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/download?doi=10.1.1.12.2361rep=rep1>
 readiris: <http://www.irislink.com> cardCompar: [https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Iliasafon/sidedCardCopy/links/551d656a0cf2bb3a536b3d54/Intellectual – Two – sided – Card – Copy.pdfcomparison](https://www.researchgate.net/profile/Iliasafon/sidedCardCopy/links/551d656a0cf2bb3a536b3d54/Intellectual%20Two%20sided%20Card%20Copy.pdfcomparison) : *Report on the comparison of Tesseract and ABBYY FineReader*
[https://www.simpleocr.com/Compare – OCR – Software](https://www.simpleocr.com/Compare%20OCR%20Software)
 // mention somewhere that .tif images are the best

2. Layout Recognition For Tabular Data

3. Table Recognition Implementation

4. Results

Conclusion

Bibliography

List of Figures

List of Tables

List of Abbreviations

A. Attachments

A.1 First Attachment