# From Dynamical Systems to Decidability of Logical Theories

Toghrul Karimov

Max Planck Institute for Software Systems, Germany

# From Dynamical Systems to Decidability of Logical Theories

### Toghrul Karimov

Max Planck Institute for Software Systems, Germany

(I work with Valérie Berthé)

# First-order logical theories

#### Mathematical structure:

$$\mathcal{S} = \langle \underbrace{\mathbb{Z}}_{\text{domain constants functions}}; \underbrace{0, 1}_{\text{constants functions}}, \underbrace{<, \text{ isAPowerOfTwo}}_{\text{predicates}} \rangle$$

# First-order logical theories

Mathematical structure:

$$\mathcal{S} = \langle \underbrace{\mathbb{Z}}_{\text{domain}}; \underbrace{0, 1}_{\text{constants functions}}, \underbrace{<, \text{ isAPowerOfTwo}}_{\text{predicates}} \rangle$$

Predicates of one variable  $\equiv$  subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$ :

isAPowerOfTwo 
$$\equiv \{2^n : n \ge 0\} =: 2^{\mathbb{N}}$$

# First-order logical theories

Mathematical structure:

$$S = \langle \underbrace{\mathbb{Z}}_{\text{domain}}; \underbrace{0,1}_{\text{constants functions}}, \underbrace{<, \text{ isAPowerOfTwo}}_{\text{predicates}} \rangle$$

Predicates of one variable  $\equiv$  subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$ :

isAPowerOfTwo 
$$\equiv \{2^n : n \ge 0\} =: 2^{\mathbb{N}}$$

The first-order theory of S: the set of all sentences that are 1) true in S and 2) constructed using the constants, functions, predicates, quantifiers  $\forall$ ,  $\exists$  over the elements of the domain, logical operations  $\neg$ ,  $\land$ ,  $\lor$ , and equality

Mathematical structure:

$$\mathcal{S} = \langle \underbrace{\mathbb{N}}_{\text{domain}}; \underbrace{0,1}_{\text{constants functions}}, \underbrace{<, 2^{\mathbb{N}}}_{\text{predicates}} \rangle$$

The first-order theory of  $\mathcal{S}$ : all first-order sentences true in  $\mathcal{S}$ 

Mathematical structure:

$$\mathcal{S} = \langle \underbrace{\mathbb{N}}_{\text{domain}}; \underbrace{0,1}_{\text{constants functions}}, \underbrace{<, 2^{\mathbb{N}}}_{\text{predicates}} \rangle$$

The first-order theory of  $\mathcal{S}$ : all first-order sentences true in  $\mathcal{S}$ 



Mathematical structure:

$$\mathcal{S} = \langle \underbrace{\mathbb{N}}_{\mathrm{domain}}; \underbrace{0,1}_{\mathrm{constants}}, \underbrace{+}_{\mathrm{functions}}, \underbrace{<,2^{\mathbb{N}}}_{\mathrm{predicates}} \rangle$$

The first-order theory of  $\mathcal{S}$ : all first-order sentences true in  $\mathcal{S}$ 

Mathematical structure:

$$\mathcal{S} = \langle \underbrace{\mathbb{N}}_{\mathrm{domain}}; \underbrace{0,1}_{\mathrm{constants}}, \underbrace{+}_{\mathrm{functions}}, \underbrace{<,2^{\mathbb{N}}}_{\mathrm{predicates}} \rangle$$

The first-order theory of  $\mathcal{S}$ : all first-order sentences true in  $\mathcal{S}$ 

$$\forall x \,\exists y \colon \big( y > x \, \land \, y \in 2^{\mathbb{N}} \, \land \, \exists z \colon y = z + z + z + 1 \big)$$

$$\forall x \, \exists y \colon \big( y \le x \, \land \, y \in 2^{\mathbb{N}} \big)$$

The first-order theory of S is *decidable* if there exists an algorithm that takes a sentence and decides whether it belongs to the theory

#### Theorem

Let U be a Pisot numeration system with an irreducible characteristic polynomial. The structure  $\langle \mathbb{N}; 0, 1, <, +, U \rangle$  is automatic and has a decidable first-order theory.

#### Theorem

Let U be a Pisot numeration system with an irreducible characteristic polynomial. The structure  $\langle \mathbb{N}; 0, 1, <, +, U \rangle$  is automatic and has a decidable first-order theory.

Proof. Every sentence can be equivalently written as

$$Qx_1 \cdots Qx_m : \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_m)$$

where  $Q_i \in \{\exists, \forall\}$  and  $\varphi$  is a Boolean combination of  $x_i \in U$ ,  $x_i \notin U$ ,  $x_i = \text{constant}$ ,  $x_i < x_j$ , and  $x_i = x_j + x_k$ .

#### Theorem

Let U be a Pisot numeration system with an irreducible characteristic polynomial. The structure  $\langle \mathbb{N}; 0, 1, <, +, U \rangle$  is automatic and has a decidable first-order theory.

Proof. Every sentence can be equivalently written as

$$Qx_1 \cdots Qx_m : \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_m)$$

where  $Q_i \in \{\exists, \forall\}$  and  $\varphi$  is a Boolean combination of  $x_i \in U$ ,  $x_i \notin U$ ,  $x_i = \text{constant}$ ,  $x_i < x_j$ , and  $x_i = x_j + x_k$ . Inductively build an automaton  $\mathcal{A}$  over  $\{0,1\}^m$  that accepts  $\langle x_1 \rangle_U \times \cdots \times \langle x_m \rangle_U$  iff  $\varphi(x_1, \ldots, x_m)$  is true.

#### Theorem

Let U be a Pisot numeration system with an irreducible characteristic polynomial. The structure  $\langle \mathbb{N}; 0, 1, <, +, U \rangle$  is automatic and has a decidable first-order theory.

Proof. Every sentence can be equivalently written as

$$Qx_1\cdots Qx_m: \varphi(x_1,\ldots,x_m)$$

where  $Q_i \in \{\exists, \forall\}$  and  $\varphi$  is a Boolean combination of  $x_i \in U$ ,  $x_i \notin U$ ,  $x_i = \text{constant}$ ,  $x_i < x_j$ , and  $x_i = x_j + x_k$ . Inductively build an automaton  $\mathcal{A}$  over  $\{0,1\}^m$  that accepts  $\langle x_1 \rangle_U \times \cdots \times \langle x_m \rangle_U$  iff  $\varphi(x_1, \ldots, x_m)$  is true. Eliminate quantifiers by taking projections and complements.

#### Theorem

Let U be a Pisot numeration system with an irreducible characteristic polynomial. The structure  $\langle \mathbb{N}; 0, 1, <, +, U \rangle$  is automatic and has a decidable first-order theory.

#### Theorem

Let U be a Pisot numeration system with an irreducible characteristic polynomial. The structure  $\langle \mathbb{N}; 0, 1, <, +, U \rangle$  is automatic and has a decidable first-order theory.

### Theorem (Semënov 1979)

Let U be a Perron numeration system with an irreducible characteristic polynomial. The structure  $\langle \mathbb{N}; 0, 1, <, +, U \rangle$  has a decidable first-order theory.

#### Theorem

Let U be a Pisot numeration system with an irreducible characteristic polynomial. The structure  $\langle \mathbb{N}; 0, 1, <, +, U \rangle$  is automatic and has a decidable first-order theory.

### Theorem (Semënov 1979)

Let U be a Perron numeration system with an irreducible characteristic polynomial. The structure  $\langle \mathbb{N}; 0, 1, <, +, U \rangle$  has a decidable first-order theory.

The proof is by quantifier elimination. For non-Perron U and Perron U with a reducible characteristic polynomial, decidability remains a major open problem.

### Theorem (Gödel 1931)

The first-order theory of  $\langle \mathbb{N}; 0, 1, <, +, \cdot \rangle$  is undecidable

### Theorem (Gödel 1931)

The first-order theory of  $\langle \mathbb{N}; 0, 1, <, +, \cdot \rangle$  is undecidable

We can prove undecidability of the FO theory of  $S = \langle \mathbb{N}; 0, 1, <, +, U \rangle$  by showing that multiplication is definable in S.

### Theorem (Gödel 1931)

The first-order theory of  $\langle \mathbb{N}; 0, 1, <, +, \cdot \rangle$  is undecidable

We can prove undecidability of the FO theory of  $S = \langle \mathbb{N}; 0, 1, <, +, U \rangle$  by showing that multiplication is definable in S.

$$\triangleright \mathcal{S} = \langle \mathbb{N}; 0, 1, <, +, \{n^2 \colon n \ge 0\} \rangle.$$

### Theorem (Gödel 1931)

The first-order theory of  $\langle \mathbb{N}; 0, 1, <, +, \cdot \rangle$  is undecidable

We can prove undecidability of the FO theory of  $S = \langle \mathbb{N}; 0, 1, <, +, U \rangle$  by showing that multiplication is definable in S.

▶  $S = \langle \mathbb{N}; 0, 1, <, +, \{n^2 : n \geq 0\} \rangle$ . We have that  $a \cdot b = c$  if and only if there exist pairs of consecutive squares (A, A'), (B, B'), (C, C') such that

$$A - A' = 2a + 1 \land B - B' = 2b + 1 \land C - C' = 2c + 1 \land C' - A' - B' = 2c.$$

### Theorem (Gödel 1931)

The first-order theory of  $\langle \mathbb{N}; 0, 1, <, +, \cdot \rangle$  is undecidable

We can prove undecidability of the FO theory of  $S = \langle \mathbb{N}; 0, 1, <, +, U \rangle$  by showing that multiplication is definable in S.

▶  $S = \langle \mathbb{N}; 0, 1, <, +, \{n^2 : n \geq 0\} \rangle$ . We have that  $a \cdot b = c$  if and only if there exist pairs of consecutive squares (A, A'), (B, B'), (C, C') such that

$$A - A' = 2a + 1 \ \land \ B - B' = 2b + 1 \ \land \ C - C' = 2c + 1 \ \land \ C' - A' - B' = 2c.$$

 $ightharpoonup \mathcal{S} = \langle \mathbb{N}; 0, 1, <, +, \{p(n) \geq 0 \colon n \geq 0\} \rangle$  where  $\deg(p) \geq 2$  and  $p(\mathbb{N})$  is infinite.

### Theorem (Gödel 1931)

The first-order theory of  $\langle \mathbb{N}; 0, 1, <, +, \cdot \rangle$  is undecidable

We can prove undecidability of the FO theory of  $S = \langle \mathbb{N}; 0, 1, <, +, U \rangle$  by showing that multiplication is definable in S.

▶  $S = \langle \mathbb{N}; 0, 1, <, +, \{n^2 : n \geq 0\} \rangle$ . We have that  $a \cdot b = c$  if and only if there exist pairs of consecutive squares (A, A'), (B, B'), (C, C') such that

$$A - A' = 2a + 1 \ \land \ B - B' = 2b + 1 \ \land \ C - C' = 2c + 1 \ \land \ C' - A' - B' = 2c.$$

- $ightharpoonup \mathcal{S} = \langle \mathbb{N}; 0, 1, <, +, \{p(n) \geq 0 \colon n \geq 0\} \rangle$  where  $\deg(p) \geq 2$  and  $p(\mathbb{N})$  is infinite.
- $ightharpoonup \mathcal{S} = \langle \mathbb{N}; 0, 1, <, +, V_2, V_3 \rangle$  where  $V_p(a, b) \Leftrightarrow b = p^{v_p(a)}$ .

### Theorem (Schulz, 2022)

The structure  $\langle \mathbb{N}; 0,1,<,+,2^{\mathbb{N}},3^{\mathbb{N}} \rangle$  does not define multiplication

### Theorem (Hieronymi and Schulz, 2022)

The first-order theory of  $\langle \mathbb{N}; 0, 1, <, +, 2^{\mathbb{N}}, 3^{\mathbb{N}} \rangle$  is undecidable

### Theorem (Schulz, 2022)

The structure  $\langle \mathbb{N}; 0, 1, <, +, 2^{\mathbb{N}}, 3^{\mathbb{N}} \rangle$  does not define multiplication

### Theorem (Hieronymi and Schulz, 2022)

The first-order theory of  $\langle \mathbb{N}; 0, 1, <, +, 2^{\mathbb{N}}, 3^{\mathbb{N}} \rangle$  is undecidable

 $\Rightarrow$  Cobham's theorem: a sequence that is both 2-automatic and 3-automatic must be ultimately periodic

### Theorem (Schulz, 2022)

The structure  $\langle \mathbb{N}; 0, 1, <, +, 2^{\mathbb{N}}, 3^{\mathbb{N}} \rangle$  does not define multiplication

### Theorem (Hieronymi and Schulz, 2022)

The first-order theory of  $\langle \mathbb{N}; 0, 1, <, +, 2^{\mathbb{N}}, 3^{\mathbb{N}} \rangle$  is undecidable

 $\Rightarrow$  Cobham's theorem: a sequence that is both 2-automatic and 3-automatic must be ultimately periodic

Step 1: construct a map from  $3^\mathbb{N}\times 3^\mathbb{N}\times \mathbb{N}\times \mathbb{N}\to \mathbb{N}^*$  that is onto

### Theorem (Schulz, 2022)

The structure  $\langle \mathbb{N}; 0, 1, <, +, 2^{\mathbb{N}}, 3^{\mathbb{N}} \rangle$  does not define multiplication

### Theorem (Hieronymi and Schulz, 2022)

The first-order theory of  $\langle \mathbb{N}; 0, 1, <, +, 2^{\mathbb{N}}, 3^{\mathbb{N}} \rangle$  is undecidable

 $\Rightarrow$  Cobham's theorem: a sequence that is both 2-automatic and 3-automatic must be ultimately periodic

Step 1: construct a map from  $3^\mathbb{N}\times 3^\mathbb{N}\times \mathbb{N}\times \mathbb{N}\to \mathbb{N}^*$  that is onto

Step 2: Assume the FO theory is decidable. Given a two-counter machine  $\mathcal{M}$ , write a formula  $\Phi$  saying "there exist  $3^a, 3^b, t, r$  such that the corresponding finite sequence is a halting run of  $\mathcal{M}$ ." Check whether  $\Phi$  is true.

### Theorem (Hieronymi and Schulz, 2022)

The first-order theory of  $\langle \mathbb{N}; 0, 1, <, +, 2^{\mathbb{N}}, 3^{\mathbb{N}} \rangle$  is undecidable

Step 1: construct a map from  $3^\mathbb{N} \times 3^\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}^*$  that is onto

### Theorem (Hieronymi and Schulz, 2022)

The first-order theory of  $\langle \mathbb{N}; 0, 1, <, +, 2^{\mathbb{N}}, 3^{\mathbb{N}} \rangle$  is undecidable

Step 1: construct a map from  $3^\mathbb{N}\times 3^\mathbb{N}\times \mathbb{N}\times \mathbb{N}\to \mathbb{N}^*$  that is onto

Given 
$$x$$
, define  $\alpha(x)$ ,  $\beta(x)$  by  $2^{\alpha(x)} < x < 2^{\alpha(x)+1}$  and  $\beta(x) = \alpha(x - 2^{\alpha}(x))$ . E.g.  $\langle 37 \rangle_2 = 100101$ ,  $\alpha(37) = 5$ , and  $\beta(37) = 2$ .

### Theorem (Hieronymi and Schulz, 2022)

The first-order theory of  $\langle \mathbb{N}; 0, 1, <, +, 2^{\mathbb{N}}, 3^{\mathbb{N}} \rangle$  is undecidable

Step 1: construct a map from  $3^\mathbb{N}\times 3^\mathbb{N}\times \mathbb{N}\times \mathbb{N}\to \mathbb{N}^*$  that is onto

Given 
$$x$$
, define  $\alpha(x)$ ,  $\beta(x)$  by  $2^{\alpha(x)} < x < 2^{\alpha(x)+1}$  and  $\beta(x) = \alpha(x - 2^{\alpha}(x))$ . E.g.  $\langle 37 \rangle_2 = 100101$ ,  $\alpha(37) = 5$ , and  $\beta(37) = 2$ .

#### Lemma

The map  $(a, b, t, r) \rightarrow (\beta(3^n) - t)_{n=a}^b \cap [0, r]$  is onto  $\mathbb{N}^*$ 

### Theorem (Hieronymi and Schulz, 2022)

The first-order theory of  $\langle \mathbb{N}; 0, 1, <, +, 2^{\mathbb{N}}, 3^{\mathbb{N}} \rangle$  is undecidable

Step 2: Given a two-counter machine  $\mathcal{M}$ , write a formula  $\Phi \equiv$  "there exist  $3^a, 3^b, t, r$  such that the corresponding sequence is a halting run of  $\mathcal{M}$ ." Check whether  $\Phi$  is true.

### Theorem (Hieronymi and Schulz, 2022)

The first-order theory of  $\langle \mathbb{N}; 0, 1, <, +, 2^{\mathbb{N}}, 3^{\mathbb{N}} \rangle$  is undecidable

Step 2: Given a two-counter machine  $\mathcal{M}$ , write a formula  $\Phi \equiv$  "there exist  $3^a, 3^b, t, r$  such that the corresponding sequence is a halting run of  $\mathcal{M}$ ." Check whether  $\Phi$  is true.

A two-counter machine is a program with two variables  $c_1, c_2$  and instructions

- $ightharpoonup c_i = c_i + 1$ ,
- ▶ JUMP TO ℓ,
- ▶ IF  $c_i > 0$  THEN  $c_i = c_i 1$  ELSE JUMP TO  $\ell$ , and
- ► HALT.

### Theorem (Hieronymi and Schulz, 2022)

The first-order theory of  $\langle \mathbb{N}; 0, 1, <, +, 2^{\mathbb{N}}, 3^{\mathbb{N}} \rangle$  is undecidable

Step 2: Given a two-counter machine  $\mathcal{M}$ , write a formula  $\Phi \equiv$  "there exist  $3^a, 3^b, t, r$  such that the corresponding sequence is a halting run of  $\mathcal{M}$ ." Check whether  $\Phi$  is true.

A two-counter machine is a program with two variables  $c_1, c_2$  and instructions

- $c_i = c_i + 1,$
- ▶ JUMP TO ℓ,
- ▶ IF  $c_i > 0$  THEN  $c_i = c_i 1$  ELSE JUMP TO  $\ell$ , and
- ► HALT.

The run of  $\mathcal{M}$  is the instruction number and the values of  $c_1, c_2$  after each step. It is undecidable whether a given two-counter machine has a finite run.



### Theorem (K., Luca, Nieuwveld, Ouaknine, Worrell 2025)

The existential fragment of the first-order theory of  $\langle \mathbb{N}; 0, 1, <, +, 2^{\mathbb{N}}, 3^{\mathbb{N}} \rangle$  is decidable. The exists-forall-exists fragment of the full theory is undecidable.

### Theorem (K., Luca, Nieuwveld, Ouaknine, Worrell 2025)

The existential fragment of the first-order theory of  $\langle \mathbb{N}; 0, 1, <, +, 2^{\mathbb{N}}, 3^{\mathbb{N}} \rangle$  is decidable. The exists-forall-exists fragment of the full theory is undecidable.

The ∃-fragment: solving systems of inequalities of the form

$$\exists x_1 \in D_1 \cdots \exists x_m \in D_m : A \cdot (x_1, \ldots, x_m) \sim \mathbf{b}$$

where  $D_i$  is one of  $\mathbb{N}, 2^{\mathbb{N}}, 3^{\mathbb{N}}$ ,  $A \in \mathbb{Z}^{k \times m}$ ,  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{Z}^{k \times 1}$ , and  $\sim$  consists of (in)equalities.

Proof: Baker's theorem + Diophantine approximation arguments

Now consider  $\langle \mathbb{N}; 0, 1, <, +, n \mapsto 2^n, n \mapsto 3^n \rangle$ . In this structure we have access to numbers of the form  $2^{2^n}, 2^{3^n}, 2^{2^n+3^n}$  etc.

Now consider  $\langle \mathbb{N}; 0, 1, <, +, n \mapsto 2^n, n \mapsto 3^n \rangle$ . In this structure we have access to numbers of the form  $2^{2^n}, 2^{3^n}, 2^{2^n+3^n}$  etc.

### Theorem (K., Luca, Nieuwveld, Ouaknine, Worrell 2025)

If the existential fragment of the first-order theory of  $\langle \mathbb{N}; 0, 1, <, +, n \mapsto 2^n, n \mapsto 3^n \rangle$  is decidable, then we can effectively check whether a given finite word occurs (infinitely often) in the base-2 (or base-3) expansion of  $\log_2(3)$ .

Now consider  $\langle \mathbb{N}; 0, 1, <, +, n \mapsto 2^n, n \mapsto 3^n \rangle$ . In this structure we have access to numbers of the form  $2^{2^n}, 2^{3^n}, 2^{2^n+3^n}$  etc.

### Theorem (K., Luca, Nieuwveld, Ouaknine, Worrell 2025)

If the existential fragment of the first-order theory of  $\langle \mathbb{N}; 0, 1, <, +, n \mapsto 2^n, n \mapsto 3^n \rangle$  is decidable, then we can effectively check whether a given finite word occurs (infinitely often) in the base-2 (or base-3) expansion of  $\log_2(3)$ .

#### Problem

Is the first-order theory of  $\langle \mathbb{N}; 0, 1, <, n \mapsto 2^n, n \mapsto 3^n \rangle$  decidable?

### The Ramanujan $\tau$ function

The function  $\tau: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{Z}$  is defined by the generating function  $q \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} (1-q^m)^{24}$ It is multiplicative, and has the values 1, -24, 252, -1472, 4830, -6048, -16744, ...

## The Ramanujan au function

The function  $\tau: \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{Z}$  is defined by the generating function  $q \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^m)^{24}$ It is multiplicative, and has the values 1, -24, 252, -1472, 4830, -6048, -16744, ...

### Theorem (Bilu, Deshouillers, Gun, Luca, 2018)

Assume  $\tau(n) \neq 0$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Then for any permutation  $\sigma \colon \{1, \dots, k\} \to \{1, \dots, k\}$ , there exist infinitely many m such that

$$|\tau(m+\sigma(1))| < \cdots < |\tau(m+\sigma(k))|.$$

 $\Rightarrow$  we can extract arbitrary finite permutations from the au function



## The Ramanujan au function

The function  $\tau\colon \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{Z}$  is defined by the generating function  $q\prod_{m=1}^\infty (1-q^m)^{24}$ 

It is multiplicative, and has the values 1, -24, 252, -1472, 4830, -6048, -16744, ...

### Theorem (Bilu, Deshouillers, Gun, Luca, 2018)

Assume  $\tau(n) \neq 0$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Then for any permutation  $\sigma \colon \{1, \dots, k\} \to \{1, \dots, k\}$ , there exist infinitely many m such that

$$|\tau(m+\sigma(1))| < \cdots < |\tau(m+\sigma(k))|.$$

 $\Rightarrow$  we can extract arbitrary finite permutations from the  $\tau$  function

### Corollary

The first-order theory of  $\langle \mathbb{Z}; 0, 1, <, +, n \mapsto \tau(n) \rangle$  is undecidable.



# Strong Diophantine approximation properties ⇒ FO undecidability

#### Problem

Let U be a linear numeration system. Is  $\langle \mathbb{N}; 0, 1, <, +, U \rangle$  automatic? Does it have a decidable first-order theory?

# Strong Diophantine approximation properties $\Rightarrow$ FO undecidability

#### Problem

Let U be a linear numeration system. Is  $\langle \mathbb{N}; 0, 1, <, +, U \rangle$  automatic? Does it have a decidable first-order theory?

### Theorem (K., Nieuwveld, Ouaknine)

Suppose U is defined by (the non-negative values of) a linear recurrence sequence with an irreducible characteristic polynomial and two non-real, non-repeated dominant roots.

# Strong Diophantine approximation properties $\Rightarrow$ FO undecidability

#### Problem

Let U be a linear numeration system. Is  $\langle \mathbb{N}; 0, 1, <, +, U \rangle$  automatic? Does it have a decidable first-order theory?

### Theorem (K., Nieuwveld, Ouaknine)

Suppose U is defined by (the non-negative values of) a linear recurrence sequence with an irreducible characteristic polynomial and two non-real, non-repeated dominant roots. Then  $\langle \mathbb{N}; 0, 1, <, +, U \rangle$  defines arbitrary finite sequences over  $\mathbb{N} \Rightarrow$  has an undecidable first-order theory and is not automatic.

### The prized structure

Consider  $\langle \mathbb{N}; 0, 1, <, +, P \rangle$  where *P* is the set of primes.

Goldbach's conjecture:  $\forall x \geq 2$ :  $(\exists y : x = y + y \Rightarrow \exists p, q \in P : x = p + q)$ 

Twin primes:  $\forall x \exists y > x : y \in P \land y + 2 \in P$ 

### The prized structure

Consider  $\langle \mathbb{N}; 0, 1, <, +, P \rangle$  where P is the set of primes.

Goldbach's conjecture:  $\forall x \geq 2$ :  $(\exists y : x = y + y \Rightarrow \exists p, q \in P : x = p + q)$ 

Twin primes:  $\forall x \exists y > x : y \in P \land y + 2 \in P$ 

### Dickson's conjecture

Let  $a_ix_i + b_i$ ,  $1 \le i \le m$  be such that no prime p divides  $(a_1x_1 + b_1) \cdots (a_mx_m + b_m)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then there exist infinitely many  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that all  $a_ix_i + b_i$  are prime.



## The prized structure

Consider  $\langle \mathbb{N}; 0, 1, <, +, P \rangle$  where P is the set of primes.

Goldbach's conjecture:  $\forall x \geq 2$ :  $(\exists y : x = y + y \Rightarrow \exists p, q \in P : x = p + q)$ 

Twin primes:  $\forall x \exists y > x : y \in P \land y + 2 \in P$ 

### Dickson's conjecture

Let  $a_ix_i + b_i$ ,  $1 \le i \le m$  be such that no prime p divides  $(a_1x_1 + b_1) \cdots (a_mx_m + b_m)$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Then there exist infinitely many  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that all  $a_ix_i + b_i$  are prime.

### Theorem (Bateman, Jockusch, Woods 1993)

Assuming Dickson's conjecture, the first-order theory of  $\langle \mathbb{N}; 0, 1, <, +, P \rangle$  is undecidable, but the existential fragment is decidable.



### Summary

#### Problem

Let U be a linear numeration system. Is  $\langle \mathbb{N}; 0, 1, <, +, U \rangle$  automatic? Does it have a decidable first-order theory?

### Theorem (Hieronymi and Schulz, 2022)

The first-order theory of  $\langle \mathbb{N}; 0, 1, <, +, 2^{\mathbb{N}}, 3^{\mathbb{N}} \rangle$  is undecidable

#### Problem

Is the first-order theory of  $\langle \mathbb{N}; 0, 1, <, n \mapsto 2^n, n \mapsto 3^n \rangle$  decidable?

#### Problem

How to solve  $c_1 \cdot (2^{n_1}, \dots, 2^{n_k}) + c_2 \cdot (3^{m_1}, \dots, 3^{m_k}) + c_3 \cdot (5^{l_1}, \dots, 5^{l_k}) = d$ , where  $c_1, c_2, c_3 \in \mathbb{Z}^k$  and  $d \in \mathbb{Z}$  are given?

#### Problem

Prove anything about  $\langle \mathbb{N}; 0, 1, <, +, P \rangle$  without assuming Dickson's conjecture