

forthright48

learning never ends

Linear Diophantine Equation



forthright48 on July 27, 2015

Problem

Given the value of integers A , B and C find a pair of integers (x, y) such that it satisfies the equation $Ax + By = C$.

For example, if $A = 2$, $B = 3$ and $C = 7$, then possible solution of (x, y) for equation $2x + 3y = 7$ would be $(2, 1)$ or $(5, -1)$.

The problem above is a type of [Diophantine problem](#). In the Diophantine problem, only integer solutions to an equation are required. Since $Ax + By = C$ is a linear equation, this problem is a **Linear Diophantine Problem** where we have to find a solution for a **Linear Diophantine Equation**.

For now, let us assume that A and B are non-zero integers.

Existence of Solution

Before we jump in to find the solution for the equation, we need to determine whether it even has a solution. For example, is there any solution for $2x + 2y = 3$? On the left side we have $2x + 2y$

is odd. This equation is impossible to satisfy using integer values.

So how do we determine if the equation has a solution? Suppose $g = \gcd(A, B)$. Then $Ax + By$ is a multiple of g . In order to have a valid solution, since left side of the equation is divisible by g , the right side too must be divisible by g . Therefore, if $g \nmid C$, then there is no solution.

Simplifying the Equation

Since both side of equation is divisible by g , i.e, $g \mid (Ax + By), C$, we can safely divide both side by g resulting in a equivalent equation.

Let $a = \frac{A}{g}$, $b = \frac{B}{g}$ and $c = \frac{C}{g}$. Then,

$$(Ax + By = C) \equiv (ax + by = c)$$

After simplification, $\gcd(a, b)$ is either 1 or -1 . If it is -1 , then we need multiply -1 with a, b and c so that $\gcd(a, b)$ becomes 1 and the equation remains unchanged. Why did we make the $\gcd(a, b)$ positive? You will find the reason below.

Using Extended Euclidean Algorithm

Recall that in a previous post "[Extended Euclidean Algorithm](#)", we learned how to solve the Bezout's Identity $Ax + By = \gcd(A, B)$. Can we apply that here in any way?

Yes. Using `ext_gcd()` function, we can find Bezout's coefficient for $ax + by = \gcd(a, b)$. But we need to find solution for $ax + by = c$. Note that $\gcd(a, b) = 1$, so when we use `ext_gcd()` we find a solution for $ax + by = 1$. Let this solution be (x_1, y_1) . We can extend this solution to solve our original problem.

Since we already have a solution where $ax_1 + by_1 = 1$, multiplying both sides with c gives us $a(x_1c) + b(y_1c) = c$. So our result is $(x, y) = (x_1c, y_1c)$. This is why we had to make sure that $\gcd(a, b)$ was 1 and not -1 . Otherwise, multiplying c would have resulted $ax + by = -c$ instead.

Summary of Solution

Here is a quick summary of what I described above. We can find solution for Linear Diophantine Equation $Ax + By = C$ in 3 steps:

1. **No Solution:** First check if solution exists for given equation. Let $g = \gcd(A, B)$. If $g \nmid C$

then no solution exists.

2. **Simplify Equation:** Let $a = \frac{A}{g}$, $b = \frac{B}{g}$ and $c = \frac{C}{g}$. Then finding solution for $Ax + By = C$ is same as finding solution for $ax + by = c$. In simplified equation, make sure $GCD(a, b)$ is 1. If not, multiply -1 with a, b, c .
3. **Extended Euclidean Algorithm:** Use `ext_gcd()` to find solution (x_1, y_1) for $ax + by = 1$. Then multiply the solution with c to get solution for $ax + by = c$, where $x = x_1 \times c, y = y_1 \times c$.

Let us try few examples.

Example 1: $2x + 3y = 7$

Step 1: $g = GCD(2, 3) = 1$. Since 1 divides 7, solution exists.

Step 2: Since g is already 1 there is nothing to simplify.

Step 3: Using `ext_gcd()` we get $(x, y) = (-1, 1)$. But this is for $ax + by = 1$. We need to multiply 7. So our solution is $(-7, 7)$.

$2 \times -7 + 3 \times 7 = -14 + 21 = 7$. The solution is correct.

Example 2: $4x + 10y = 8$

Step 1: $g = GCD(4, 10) = 2$. Since 2 divides 8, solution exists.

Step 2: $a = \frac{4}{2}, b = \frac{10}{2}, c = \frac{8}{2}$. We will find solution of $2x + 5y = 4$.

Step 3: Using `ext_gcd()` we get $(x, y) = (-2, 1)$. But this is for $ax + by = 1$. We need to multiply 4. So our solution is $(-8, 4)$.

$ax + by = 2 \times -8 + 5 \times 4 = -16 + 20 = 4 = c$.

Also, $Ax + By = 4 \times -8 + 10 \times 4 = -32 + 40 = 8 = C$. The solution is correct. Both $ax + by = c$ and $Ax + By = C$ are satisfied.

Finding More Solutions

We can now find a possible solution for $Ax + By = C$, but what if we want to find more? How many solutions are there? Since the solution for $Ax + By = C$ is derived from Bezout's Identity, there are infinite solutions.

Suppose we found a solution (x, y) for $Ax + By = C$. Then we can find more solutions using the

formula: $(x + k\frac{B}{g}, y - k\frac{A}{g})$, where k is any integer.

Code

Let us convert our idea into code.

```

1  bool linearDiophantine ( int A, int B, int C, int *x, int *y ) {
2      int g = gcd ( A, B );
3      if ( C % g != 0 ) return false; //No Solution
4
5      int a = A / g, b = B / g, c = C / g;
6      ext_gcd( a, b, x, y ); //Solve ax + by = 1
7
8      if ( g < 0 ) { //Make Sure gcd(a,b) = 1
9          a *= -1; b *= -1; c *= -1;
10     }
11
12     *x *= c; *y *= c; //ax + by = c
13     return true; //Solution Exists
14 }
15
16 int main () {
17     int x, y, A = 2, B = 3, C = 5;
18     bool res = linearDiophantine ( A, B, C, &x, &y );
19
20     if ( res == false ) printf ( "No Solution\n" );
21     else {
22         printf ( "One Possible Solution (%d %d)\n", x, y );
23
24         int g = gcd ( A, B );
25
26         int k = 1; //Use different value of k to get different solutions
27         printf ( "Another Possible Solution (%d %d)\n", x + k * ( B / g ),
28     }
29
30     return 0;
31 }

```

linearDiophantine() function finds a possible solution for equation $Ax + By = C$. It takes in 5 parameters. A, B, C defines the coefficients of equation and $*x, *y$ are two pointers that will carry our solution. The function will return *true* if solution exists and *false* if not.

In line 2 we calculate $\gcd(A, B)$ and in line 3 we check if C is divisible by g or not. If not, we return *false*.

Next on line 5 we define a, b, c for simplified equation. On line 6 we solve for $ax + by = 1$ using `ext_gcd()`. Then we check if $g < 0$. If so, we multiply -1 with a, b, c to make it positive. Then we multiply c with x, y so that our solution satisfies $ax + by = c$. A solution is found so we return *true*.

In `main()` function, we call `linearDiophantine()` using $A = 2, B = 3, C = 5$. In line 22 we print a possible solution. In line 27 we print another possible solution using formula for more solutions.

A and B with Value 0

Till now we assumed A, B have non-zero values. What happens if they have value 0?

When Both $A = B = 0$

When both A and B are zero, the value of $Ax + By$ will always be 0. Therefore, if $C \neq 0$ then there is no solution. Otherwise, any pair of value for (x, y) will act as a solution for the equation.

When A or B is 0

Suppose only A is 0. Then equation $Ax + By = C$ becomes $0x + By = C \equiv By = C$. Therefore $y = \frac{C}{B}$. If B does not divide C then there is no solution. Else solution will be $(x, y) = (k, \frac{C}{B})$, where k is any intger.

Using same logic, when B is 0, solution will be $(x, y) = (\frac{C}{A}, k)$.

Coding Pitfalls

When we use $\text{gcd}(a, b)$ in our code, we mean the result from Euclidean Algorithm, not what we understand mathematically. $\text{gcd}(4, -2)$ is -2 according to Euclidean Algorithm whereas it is 2 in common sense.

Resources

1. Wiki - Diophantine Equation - https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Diophantine_equation
2. forthright48 - Extended Euclidean Algorithm - <https://forthright48.com/2015/07/extended-euclidean-algorithm.html>

Share

Tweet

Share

Share

Email

Print

Share

Category: [CPPS](#), [Number Theory](#)

Previous:

Extended Euclidean Algorithm

Next:

Simple Hyperbolic Diophantine Equation

Guest



Your comment...

5 comments

Sort by oldest |



Anonymous

12 months ago

Suppose only A is 0. Then equation $Ax + By = C$ becomes $0x + By = C \equiv By = C$. Therefore $y = C/B$. If B does not divide C then there is no solution. Else solution will be $(x, y) = (C/B, k)$, where k is any integer. Instead of this solution will be $(x, y) = (k, C/B)$. If I am not mistaken please correct it. And similarly for when B is 0.

Reply 0



Mohammad Samiul Islam

12 months ago

You are right. It has been fixed now. Thank you :)

Reply 0



Sowmen D. Dragneel

12 months ago

When A is 0 shouldn't it be $(x, y) = (k, C/B)$? I think you wrote it reversed. Or did I understand wrong?

Reply 0



BIDDUT SARKER BIJOY

12 months ago

vai, when more than two variables then... what can I do?

Reply 0



Mohammad Samiul Islam

12 months ago



Yes. It has been fixed. Thank you :)

↩ Reply  0



Add Anycomment to your site

Archives

[September 2018](#) (2)

[February 2018](#) (1)

[January 2018](#) (1)

[November 2017](#) (2)

[September 2015](#) (7)

[August 2015](#) (13)

[July 2015](#) (15)

Categories

[CPPS](#) (40)

- [Combinatorics](#) (3)
- [Number Theory](#) (33)

[Meta](#) (1)

Recent Comments

forthright48 on [Number of Divisors of an Integer](#)

Sohana Akter on [Number of Divisors of an Integer](#)

forthright48 on [Number of Divisors of an Integer](#)

forthright48 on [Prufer Code: Linear Representation of a Labeled Tree](#)

Mohammad Samiul Islam on [SPOJ LCMSUM – LCM Sum](#)

© 2018 Mohammad Samiul Islam



Privacy Policy