Programming Assignment

CS4001/4042: Neural Networks and Deep Learning

Deadline: 13th October 2023

- This assignment is to be done **individually**. You can discuss the questions with others but **your submission must be <u>your own unique work</u>**.
- Data files and other supporting code for both parts are found in the folder 'Programming Assignment' under 'Assignments' on NTULearn. You should use the helper codes to begin and provide answers to the assignment. Please follow the formats given in helper codes to fill in your solutions. Those who do not follow the specified format for the answers risk losing marks for presentation.
- The assessment will be based on the correctness of the codes and solutions. Each part carries 45 marks, and 10 marks are assigned to the presentation and clarity of the solutions. The total number of marks is 100.
- You do not need GPUs for this assignment. Local PCs will be sufficient. Attempt your assignments using Jupyter Lab / Notebook with version 3 and greater.
- TAs Mr. Chan Yi Hao, Ms. Charlene Ong, Mr. Shreyas Goyal, and Dr Jia Xing are in charge of this assignment. Please email neuralnetworks4042@gmail.com for any queries regarding the assignment.

Submission procedure

- Complete **both parts A and B** of the assignment and submit your solutions online via NTULearn before the deadline.
- All submissions should be within the notebooks provided. **<u>Do not</u>** include data nor model checkpoints in your submission. Submit **8 notebooks** in this format:
    - For part A
        - **<lastname>_<firstname>_PartA_1.ipynb**
        - **<lastname>_<firstname>_PartA_2.ipynb**
        - **<lastname>_<firstname>_PartA_3.ipynb**
        - **<lastname>_<firstname>_PartA_4.ipynb**
        - **common_utils.py**
    - For Part B
        - **<lastname>_<firstname>_PartB_1.ipynb**
        - **<lastname>_<firstname>_PartB_2.ipynb**
        - **<lastname>_<firstname>_PartB_3.ipynb**
        - **<lastname>_<firstname>_PartB_4.ipynb**
- Late submissions will be penalized: **5% for each day up to three days**.

Part A: Classification Problem

Part A of this assignment aims at building neural networks to perform polarity detection from voice recordings, based on data in the National Speech Corpus, which is obtained from https://www.imda.gov.sg/programme-listing/digital-services-lab/national-speech-corpus

The National Speech Corpus is an initiative by the Info-Communications and Media Development Authority, and it is the first large scale Singapore English corpus. Within the dataset, there are 6 parts. In the fifth segment, speakers are made to communicate in several different styles, including Positive Emotions and Negative Emotions. The original recordings are approximately 20 minutes long. Using the *librosa* library, the recordings are split into shorter segments and preprocessed to features such as chromagrams, Mel spectrograms, MFCCs and various other features.

The preprocessed CSV file is provided in this assignment. We will be using the CSV file named **simplified.csv**, which is both provided to you. The features from the dataset are engineered. The aim is to determine the speech polarity of the engineered feature dataset. The csv file is called simplified.csv with a row of 77 features that you can use, together with the filename. The "filename" column has the labels associated with them.

Type of features	Explanation
Chroma (e.g. chroma_stft_mean)	Describes the tonal content of a musical audio signal in a condensed form (Stein et al, 2009) [2]
Rms (e.g. rms_mean)	Square root of average of a squared signal (Andersson) [3]
Spectral (e.g. spectral_centroid_mean)	Spectral Centroid is a metric of the centre of gravity of the frequency power spectrum (Andersson) [3]
Rolloff (e.g. rolloff_mean)	Spectral rolloff is a metric of how high in the frequency spectrum a certain part of energy lies (Andersson) [3]
Zero crossing (e.g. zero_crossing_mean)	Zero-crossing rate is the number of time domain zero-crossings within a processing window (Andersson) [3]
Harmonics (e.g. harmony_mean)	Sound wave that has a frequency that is a n integer multiple of a fundamental tone *Refer to link: https://professionalcomposers.com/what-are-harmonics-in-music/*
Tempo	Periodicity of note onset pulses (Alonso et al, 2004)
MFCC (Mel Frequency Cepstral Coefficient)	Small set of features (usually about 10-20) which concisely describe the overall shape of a spectral envelope *Refer to link: https://musicinformationretrieval.com/mfcc.html*

Question A1 (15 marks)

Design a feedforward deep neural network (DNN) which consists of three hidden layers of 128 neurons each with ReLU activation function, and an output layer with sigmoid activation function. Apply dropout of probability 0.2 to each of the hidden layers.

Divide the dataset into a 70:30 ratio for training and testing. Use appropriate scaling of input features. We solely assume that there are only two datasets here: training and test.

Use the training dataset to train the model for 100 epochs. Use a mini-batch gradient descent with 'Adam' optimizer with learning rate of 0.001, and batch size = 256. Implement early stopping with patience of 3.

Plot train and test accuracies and losses on training and test data against training epochs and comment on the line plots.

Question A2 (10 marks)

In this question, we will determine the optimal batch size for mini-batch gradient descent. Find the optimal batch size for mini-batch gradient descent by training the neural network and evaluating the performances for different batch sizes. Note: Use 5-fold cross-validation on the training partition to perform hyperparameter selection. You will have to reconsider the scaling of the dataset during the 5-fold cross validation.

Plot mean cross-validation accuracies on the final epoch for different batch sizes as a scatter plot. Limit search space to batch sizes {128, 256, 512, 1024}.

Next, create a table of time taken to train the network on the last epoch against different batch sizes.

Finally, select the optimal batch size and state a reason for your selection.

Question A3 (10 marks)

Find the optimal number of hidden neurons for the first **hidden layer** of the **4-layer network (3 hidden layers, output layer)** designed in **Question 1 and 2.**

Plot the mean cross-validation accuracies on the final epoch for different numbers of hidden-layer neurons using a scatter plot. Limit the search space of the number of neurons to {64, 128, 256}. Continue using 5-fold cross validation on the training dataset.

Select the optimal number of neurons for the hidden layer. State the rationale for your selection.

Plot the train and test accuracies against training epochs with the optimal number of neurons using a line plot.

Note: **use this optimal number of neurons for the rest of the experiments**.

Question A4 (10 marks)

In this section, we will explore the utility of such a neural network in real world scenarios.

Please use the real record data named 'record.wav' as a test sample. Preprocess the data using the provided preprocessing script (data_preprocess.ipynb) and prepare the dataset.

Do a model prediction on the sample test dataset and obtain the predicted label using a threshold of 0.5. The model used is the optimized pretrained model using the selected optimal batch size and optimal number of neurons.

Find the most important features on the model prediction for the test sample using *SHAP.* Plot the local feature importance with a force plot and explain your observations. (Refer to the documentation and these three useful references:
https://christophm.github.io/interpretable-ml-book/shap.html#examples-5,
https://towardsdatascience.com/deep-learning-model-interpretation-using-shap-a21786e91d16,
https://medium.com/mlearning-ai/shap-force-plots-for-classification-d30be430e195)

Part B: Regression Problem

This assignment uses publicly available data on HDB flat prices in Singapore, obtained from data.gov.sg on 17th August 2023. The original dataset is combined with other datasets to include more informative features and they are given in the '**hdb_price_prediction.csv**' file.

Feature	Type	Explanation
month	Categorical (Int)	Which month the resale transaction was performed.
year	Categorical (Int)	Which year the resale transaction was performed. Used for train/test split. **NOT used to train the model**.
town	Categorical (Str)	An area zoned for public housing. Generally, a town consists of a self-sufficient group of HDB flats with amenities and a town centre for that town. Each town has a population of around 50,000 - 250,000.
full_address	Categorical (Str)	Address of the flat. **Not used** for modelling as other metrics derived from it are used instead (dist_to_nearest_stn, dist_to_dhoby).
nearest_stn	Categorical (Str)	Closest MRT station to the flat. **Not used** for modelling as other metrics derived from it are used instead (degree_centrality, eigenvector_centrality).
dist_to_nearest_stn	Numeric	Distance from the flat to the nearest MRT station, in kilometres. Flats near stations tend to fetch higher prices.
dist_to_dhoby	Numeric	Distance from the flat to Dhoby Ghaut MRT station, in kilometres. Dhoby Ghaut is chosen as it is centrally located. Flats in the Central region are typically more costly.
degree_centrality	Numeric	A metric (computed for the MRT station closest to the flat) that represents the degree of the node, i.e., how many edges are connected to the node. (Rationale: flats near 'interchange' stations - stations with more than 1 MRT line - offer more transport options and thus have higher value. Stations in the central areas tend to have > 1 MRT line too).
eigenvector_centrality	Numeric	A more global metric than degree_centrality as it captures neighbourhood information. When the eigenvector centrality of a node is high, the nodes adjacent to it are likely to have high values too.
flat_model_type	Categorical (Str)	Type of flat. See this reference for more details. You're not expected to understand all flat types.
remaining_lease_years	Numeric	HDB flats are originally sold by HDB with a 99-year lease. Generally, with other variables held constant, flats with higher remaining lease will fetch a higher value. The original data was stored in years and months – this was turned into a scalar by converting it into months and dividing by 12.
floor_area_sqm	Numeric	Size of the flat in square meters. Bigger flats generally fetch higher prices.
storey_range	Categorical (Str)	Which floor the flat is at. For the same HDB block, flats on higher floors typically fetch higher prices..
resale_price	Numeric	Flat prices in Singapore Dollars. **Target to predict.**

Question B1 (15 marks)

Real world datasets often have a mix of numeric and categorical features – this dataset is one example. To build models on such data, categorical features have to be **encoded** or **embedded**.

PyTorch Tabular is a library that makes it very convenient to build neural networks for tabular data. It is built on top of PyTorch Lightning[1], which abstracts away boilerplate model training code and makes it easy to integrate other tools, e.g. TensorBoard for experiment tracking.

For questions B1 and B2, the following features should be used:
- **Numeric / Continuous** features: dist_to_nearest_stn, dist_to_dhoby, degree_centrality, eigenvector_centrality, remaining_lease_years, floor_area_sqm
- **Categorical** features: month, town, flat_model_type, storey_range

Divide the dataset ('hdb_price_prediction.csv') into train, validation and test sets by using entries from year 2019 and before as training data, year 2020 as validation data and year 2021 as test data. **Do not** use data from year 2022 and year 2023.

Refer to the documentation of **PyTorch Tabular** and perform the following tasks: https://pytorch-tabular.readthedocs.io/en/latest/#usage
- Use **DataConfig** to define the target variable, as well as the names of the continuous and categorical variables.
- Use **TrainerConfig** to automatically tune the learning rate. Set batch_size to be 1024 and set max_epoch as 50.
- Use **CategoryEmbeddingModelConfig** to create a feedforward neural network with 1 hidden layer containing 50 neurons.
- Use **OptimizerConfig** to choose Adam optimiser. There is no need to set the learning rate (since it will be tuned automatically) nor scheduler.
- Use **TabularModel** to initialise the model and put all the configs together.

Report the test RMSE error and the test R^2 value that you obtained.

Print out the corresponding rows in the dataframe for the top 25 test samples with the largest errors. Identify a trend in these poor predictions and suggest a way to reduce these errors.

Question B2 (10 marks)

In Question B1, the default settings in PyTorch Tabular were enough to perform a quick experiment. In this part of the assignment, we will try out a new model.

In Question B1, we used the Category Embedding model. This creates a feedforward neural network in which the categorical features get learnable embeddings. In this question, we will make use of a library called **Pytorch-WideDeep**. This library makes it easy to work with multimodal deep-learning problems combining images, text, and tables. We will just be utilizing the deeptabular component of this library through the **TabMlp** network:

Divide the dataset ('hdb_price_prediction.csv') into train and test sets by using entries from the year 2020 and before as training data, and entries from 2021 and after as the test data.

Refer to the documentation of **Pytorch-WideDeep** and perform the following tasks:

---

[1] See this post by the creator of PyTorch Lighting to understand what it offers on top of PyTorch
https://towardsdatascience.com/from-pytorch-to-pytorch-lightning-a-gentle-introduction-b371b7caaf09

- Use **TabPreprocessor** to create the deeptabular component using the continuous features and the categorical features. Use this component to transform the training dataset.
- Create the **TabMlp** model with 2 linear layers in the MLP, with 200 and 100 neurons respectively.
- Create a **Trainer** for the training of the created TabMlp model with the root mean squared error (RMSE) cost function. Train the model for 100 epochs using this trainer, keeping a batch size of 64. (Note: set the *num_workers* parameter to 0.)

Report the test RMSE and the test R^2 value that you obtained.

P.S. It is a good practice to try out standard machine learning models before using deep learning techniques. XGBoost is a popular option for tabular datasets.

Question B3 (10 marks)

Besides ensuring that your neural network performs well, it is important to be able to explain the model's decision. **Captum** is a very handy library that helps you to do so for PyTorch models.

Many model explainability algorithms for deep learning models are available in Captum. These algorithms are often used to generate an attribution score for each feature. Features with larger scores are more 'important'[2] and some algorithms also provide information about directionality (i.e. a feature with very negative attribution scores means the larger the value of that feature, the lower the value of the output).

In general, these algorithms can be grouped into two paradigms:
- **perturbation based approaches** (e.g. Feature Ablation)
- **gradient / backpropagation based approaches** (e.g. Saliency)

The former adopts a brute-force approach of removing / permuting features one by one and does not scale up well. The latter depends on gradients and they can be computed relatively quickly. But unlike how backpropagation computes gradients with respect to weights, gradients here are computed **with respect to the input**. This gives us a sense of how much a change in the input affects the model's outputs.

First, load the dataset following the splits in Question B1. To keep things simple, we will **limit our analysis to numeric / continuous features only**. Drop all categorical features from the dataframes. Do not standardise the numerical features for now.

Follow this tutorial to generate the plot from various model explainability algorithms (https://captum.ai/tutorials/House_Prices_Regression_Interpret).
Specifically, make the following changes:
- Use a feedforward neural network with 3 hidden layers, each having 5 neurons. Train using Adam optimiser with learning rate of 0.001.
- Use Saliency, Input x Gradients, Integrated Gradients, GradientSHAP, Feature Ablation

Train a separate model with the same configuration but now standardise the features via **StandardScaler** (fit to training set, then transform all). State your observations with respect to GradientShap and explain why it has occurred.
(Hint: Many gradient-based approaches depend on a baseline, which is an important choice to be made. Check the default baseline settings carefully.)

Read https://distill.pub/2020/attribution-baselines/[3] to build up your understanding of Integrated Gradients (IG). You might find the following descriptions and comparisons in Captum useful as well.

Then, answer the following questions **in the context of our dataset**:

- Why did Saliency produce scores similar to IG?
- Why did Input x Gradients give the same attribution scores as IG?

---

[2] The meaning of 'important' varies across algorithms, but generally means that removing that feature would lead to a significant drop in model performance.

[3] Reading the sections before the section on 'Game Theory and Missingness' will be sufficient. Keep in mind that this article mainly focuses on classification problems.

Question B4 (10 marks)

Model degradation is a common issue faced when deploying machine learning models (including neural networks) in the real world. New data points could exhibit a different pattern from older data points due to factors such as changes in government policy or market sentiments. For instance, housing prices in Singapore have been increasing and the Singapore government has introduced 3 rounds of cooling measures over the past years (16 December 2021, 30 September 2022, 27 April 2023).

In such situations, the distribution of the new data points could differ from the original data distribution which the models were trained on. Recall that machine learning models often work with the assumption that the test distribution should be similar to train distribution. When this assumption is violated, model performance will be adversely impacted. In the last part of this assignment, we will investigate to what extent model degradation has occurred.

Your co-investigators used a linear regression model to rapidly test out several combinations of train/test splits and shared with you their findings in a brief report attached in Appendix A below. You wish to investigate whether your deep learning model corroborates with their findings.

Evaluate your model from B1 on data from year 2022 and report the test R^2.
Evaluate your model from B1 on data from year 2023 and report the test R^2.
Did model degradation occur for the deep learning model?

Model degradation could be caused by [various data distribution shifts](4): covariate shift (features), label shift and/or concept drift (altered relationship between features and labels).

Using the **Alibi Detect** library, apply the **TabularDrift** function with the training data (year 2019 and before) used as the reference and **detect which features have drifted** in the 2023 test dataset. Before running the statistical tests, ensure you **sample 1000 data points** each from the train and test data. Do not use the whole train/test data. (Hint: use this example as a guide https://docs.seldon.io/projects/alibi-detect/en/stable/examples/cd_chi2ks_adult.html).

Assuming that the flurry of housing measures have made an impact on the relationship between all the features and resale_price (i.e. $P(Y|X)$ changes), which type of data distribution shift possibly led to model degradation?

From your analysis via TabularDrift, which features contribute to this shift?

Suggest 1 way to address model degradation and implement it, showing improved test R^2 for year 2023.

---

[4] There are various conflicting terminologies in the literature. Let's stick to this reference for this assignment.

Appendix A

Here are our results from a linear regression model. We used StandardScaler for continuous variables and OneHotEncoder for categorical variables.

While 2021 data can be predicted well, test R^2 dropped rapidly for 2022 and 2023.

Training set	Test set	Test R^2
Year <= 2020	2021	0.76
Year <= 2020	**2022**	**0.41**
Year <= 2020	**2023**	**0.10**

Similarly, a model trained on 2017 data can predict 2018-2021 well (with slight degradation in performance for 2021), but drops drastically in 2022 and 2023.

Training set	Test set	Test R^2
2017	2018	0.90
	2019	0.89
	2020	0.87
	2021	0.72
	2022	**0.37**
	2023	**0.09**

With the test set fixed at year 2021, training on data from 2017-2020 still works well on the test data, with minimal degradation. Training sets closer to year 2021 generally do better.

Training set	Test set	Test R^2
2020	2021	0.81
2019	2021	0.75
2018	2021	0.73
2017	2021	0.72

References

[1] Koh JX, Mislan A, Khoo K, Ang B, Ang W, Ng C, Tan YY. Building the singapore english national speech corpus. Malay. 2019;20(25.0):19-3.

[2] Stein M, Schubert BM, Gruhne M, Gatzsche G, Mehnert M. Evaluation and comparison of audio chroma feature extraction methods. InAudio Engineering Society Convention 126 2009 May 1. Audio Engineering Society.

[3] Andersson T. Audio classification and content description. 2004.

[4] Miguel Alonso BD, Richard G. Tempo and beat estimation of musical signals. In Proceedings of the International Conference on Music Information Retrieval (ISMIR), Barcelona, Spain 2004.