

### 0.2.3 Lecture 3 - 140319 - Convex Algorithms

#### Example 3.1: AAKB2012

**Paper:** A. Akbari et al., "Energy Efficiency Contours for Broadcast Channels Using Realistic Power Models," *IEEE Transactions on Wireless Communications*, 2012.

This paper formulates problems of maximizing energy efficiency ( $EE_T$ ) in single-cell, single-carrier, single-antenna, multi-user system, where a Base Station broadcasts a signal  $x$  to each of the  $K$  users in the system.

**Problem:**

$$EE_T = A \frac{\sum_{k=1}^K X_k}{\alpha_0 + \sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k \prod_{j=1}^k e^{X_j}} = A \frac{g(\mathbf{X})}{f(\mathbf{X})} \quad (28)$$

We cannot conclude about the convexity of function  $EE_T$  because it is just the **ratio** between a concave function  $g(\mathbf{X})$  and a convex function  $f(\mathbf{X})$ . The authors used logarithm to transform into a concave function in order to formulating a original concave maximization problem.

$$\ln(EE_T) = \ln(A) + \ln(g(\mathbf{X})) + h(\mathbf{X}) \quad (29)$$

where  $h(\mathbf{X}) = -\ln(f(\mathbf{X}))$ .

**Question:**

- Prove  $h(\mathbf{X})$  is a concave function, assumed number of users  $K = 2$   
Hint: The second-order condition will work.

**Answer 3.1:** A function  $h(\mathbf{X})$  is concave iff the Hessian of  $h(\mathbf{X})$  is a negative semi-definite matrix, according to second-order condition. This example is interesting because it introduces a concept of composition function in convexity properties (Read 3.2.4. in BOYD)

**Background - Composition:** Assume we have two functions  $h : R^k \rightarrow R$  and  $g : R^n \rightarrow R^k$ , we want to find out about convexity of their composition  $f = h \circ g : R^n \rightarrow R$ , defined by:  $f(x) = h(g(x))$ . We discover the convexity property of function  $f$  by assuming  $h$  and  $g$  are twice differentiable.

The second derivative of the composition function  $f = h \circ g$  is given by

$$f''(x) = h''(g(x))g'(x)^2 + h'(g(x))g''(x) \quad (30)$$

Some results in the textbook are

- $f$  is convex if  $h$  is convex and nondecreasing, and  $g$  is convex,

- $f$  is convex if  $h$  is convex and nonincreasing, and  $g$  is concave,
- $f$  is concave if  $h$  is concave and nondecreasing, and  $g$  is concave,
- $f$  is concave if  $h$  is concave and nonincreasing, and  $g$  is convex,

In this problem, function  $h$  is  $\ln(f)$  and  $g$  is  $f(X)$ .  $g$  is convex so that  $g''(x) \geq 0$ .  $h$  is concave so that  $h''(g) \leq 0$ . And  $h$  is nondecreasing so that  $h' \geq 0$ . This case is different from all above results. Therefore, we need to verify its convexity by another approaches.

Step 1: Calculate Hessian  $H(h(\mathbf{X}))$

$$H(h(\mathbf{X})) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial^2 h(X)}{\partial X_1^2} & \frac{\partial^2 h(X)}{\partial X_1 \partial X_2} \\ \frac{\partial^2 h(X)}{\partial X_2 \partial X_1} & \frac{\partial^2 h(X)}{\partial X_2^2} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial h(X)}{\partial X_1} &= -\frac{\partial f(X)/\partial X_1}{f(\mathbf{X})} \\ \frac{\partial h(X)}{\partial X_2} &= -\frac{\partial f(X)/\partial X_2}{f(\mathbf{X})} \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 h(X)}{\partial X_1^2} &= -\frac{1}{f(X)^2} \left\{ f(X) \frac{\partial^2 f(X)}{\partial X_1^2} - \frac{\partial f(X)}{\partial X_1} \frac{\partial f(X)}{\partial X_1} \right\} \\ \frac{\partial^2 h(X)}{\partial X_2^2} &= -\frac{1}{f(X)^2} \left\{ f(X) \frac{\partial^2 f(X)}{\partial X_2^2} - \frac{\partial f(X)}{\partial X_2} \frac{\partial f(X)}{\partial X_2} \right\} \\ \frac{\partial^2 h(X)}{\partial X_2 \partial X_1} &= \frac{\partial^2 h(X)}{\partial X_1 \partial X_2} = -\frac{1}{f(X)^2} \left\{ f(X) \frac{\partial^2 f(X)}{\partial X_1 \partial X_2} - \frac{\partial f(X)}{\partial X_1} \frac{\partial f(X)}{\partial X_2} \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

In order to simplify (32), we will calculate all the derivatives of  $f(X) = \alpha_0 + \sum_{k=1}^K \alpha_k \prod_{j=1}^k e^{X_j}$ . With  $K = 2$ , we obtain  $f(X) = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 e^{X_1} + \alpha_2 e^{X_1+X_2}$ .

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial f(X)}{\partial X_1} &= \alpha_1 e^{X_1} + \alpha_2 e^{X_1+X_2} = f(X) - \alpha_0 \\ \frac{\partial f(X)}{\partial X_2} &= \alpha_2 e^{X_1+X_2} = f(X) - \alpha_0 - \alpha_1 e^{X_1} \\ \frac{\partial^2 f(X)}{\partial X_1^2} &= \frac{\partial f(X)}{\partial X_1} \\ \frac{\partial^2 f(X)}{\partial X_2^2} &= \frac{\partial f(X)}{\partial X_2} \\ \frac{\partial^2 f(X)}{\partial X_1 \partial X_2} &= \frac{\partial f(X)}{\partial X_2} \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

Substitute (32) and (33) into Hessian Matrix and do simplification, we obtain

$$H(h(\mathbf{X})) = -\frac{1}{f(X)^2} \begin{bmatrix} (f(X) - \alpha_0)\alpha_0 & (f(X) - \alpha_0 - \alpha_1 e^{X_1})\alpha_0 \\ (f(X) - \alpha_0 - \alpha_1 e^{X_1})\alpha_0 & (f(X) - \alpha_0 - \alpha_1 e^{X_1})(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 e^{X_1}) \end{bmatrix}$$

Step 2: Pick a random vector  $z = (z_1, z_2)$ ,  $z \in R^2$ . We must show the condition  $zH(h(\mathbf{X}))z^T \leq 0$  holds for all  $z$

$$\begin{aligned} zH(h(\mathbf{X}))z^T &= [z_1, z_2]H[z_1, z_2]^T \\ &= -f(X)^2(z_1^2\alpha_0(\alpha_1 e^{X_1} + \alpha_2 e^{X_1+X_2}) + 2z_1z_2\alpha_0\alpha_2 e^{X_1+X_2} + z_2^2\alpha_2 e^{X_1+X_2}(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 e^{X_1})) \quad (34) \\ &= -f(X)^2(z_1^2\alpha_0\alpha_1 e^{X_1} + z_2^2\alpha_2\alpha_1 e^{2X_1+X_2} + \alpha_0\alpha_2 e^{X_1+X_2}(z_1 + z_2)^2) \end{aligned}$$

By definition,  $\alpha_k \geq 0, \forall k \in [1, \dots, K]$  (differences between channel gains of user  $k^{th}$  and  $(k+1)^{th}$ ), so (34)  $\leq 0$  (**Completed proof**)