

# **Applied Mathematical Finance I**

Lecture 5: Exotic Derivatives

Vladimir Shangin Vega Institute Foundation

October 19, 2023

### Vanilla and Exotic Derivatives



- Last time we discussed vanilla interest rate derivatives. We can split them into the following two groups
  - Model-free products that can be priced based on the prevailing zero curve only (e.g. standard FRAs and swaps).
  - Contracts with non-linear payoffs that depend on a marginal distribution of a single rate (e.g. caplets, floorlets, and swaptions). To price them, one has to specify a model for rate dynamics.
- Today we will consider more complex derivatives that are referred to as exotic.
   Some of them turn out to be near-vanilla products that can be priced in a model-free way while others require quite sophisticated modelling.

# **Digital Options: First Look**



ullet Digital caplet with strike price K and expiry T pays at T+ au an amount given by the indicator function

$$\mathbb{1}_{\{L(T,T+\tau)>K\}}.$$

By the fundamental pricing theorem

$$\mathrm{PV}_t^{\mathrm{Digital}}(K) = p(t, T + \tau) \mathbb{E}_t^{T + \tau} \left[ \mathbb{1}_{\{L(T, T + \tau) > K\}} \right].$$

• Assuming that forward rate F=F(t,T,T+ au) follows Black model under  $\mathbb{Q}^{T+ au}$ , we get

$$\mathrm{PV}_t^{\mathrm{Digital}}(K) = p(t, T + \tau)\Phi(d_-),$$

where  $d_-=rac{\ln(F/K)}{\sigma\sqrt{T-t}}-rac{\sigma\sqrt{T-t}}{2}$  and  $\Phi$  stands for standard normal CDF.

# **Digital Options: Real Case**



- As we saw earlier, implied caplet volatility is actually a function of strike,  $\sigma = \sigma(K)$ , so pricing of a digital caplet becomes more complicated.
- Indeed, note that

$$\begin{split} \text{PV}_t^{\text{Digital}}(K) &= \lim_{\epsilon \to 0+} \frac{\text{PV}_t^{\text{Caplet}}(K - \epsilon, \sigma(K - \epsilon)) - \text{PV}_t^{\text{Caplet}}(K, \sigma(K))}{\epsilon} \\ &= -\frac{d\text{PV}_t^{\text{Caplet}}(K, \sigma(K))}{dK} \\ &= -\underbrace{\frac{\partial \text{PV}_t^{\text{Caplet}}(K, \sigma(K))}{\partial K} - \underbrace{\frac{\partial \text{PV}_t^{\text{Caplet}}(K, \sigma(K))}{\partial \sigma} \cdot \underbrace{\frac{\partial \sigma(K)}{\partial K}}_{\text{Vol skew}}}_{\text{Vol skew}} \end{split}$$

We see that volatility skew (smile) results into a price adjustment.

# **Digital Options: Practicalities**



- Despite the apparent simplicity, risk management of digital options requires a careful approach.
- Indeed, when close to expiry date T, delta  $\frac{\partial \mathrm{PV}^{\mathrm{Caplet}}}{\partial F}$  grows to infinity if F approaches the strike price K.
- When selling a digital option, a trader will actually price, sell and then hedge a super-replicating option spread

$$\frac{\operatorname{PV}_{t}^{\operatorname{Caplet}}(K-\epsilon)-\operatorname{PV}_{t}^{\operatorname{Caplet}}(K)}{\epsilon}>\mathbb{1}_{\{L(T,T+\tau)>K\}},$$

where  $\epsilon$  is chosen so as to guarantee that delta will not exceed the desired level.

• Obvious advantages: bounded delta plus "free lunch" if  $L(T, T + \tau) \in (K - \epsilon, K)$ .

### **Fixed-in-Arrears Instruments**



- LIBOR rate  $L(T, T + \tau)$  is not restricted to being paid at  $T + \tau$ .
- Interest rate derivatives paying LIBOR-linked cashflow on the date when the underlying rate fixes are called in-arrears instruments.
- ullet Consider simple LIBOR-in-arrears (LIA) paying L(T,T+ au) at T. Its value at  $t\leq T$  is given by

$$PV_t^{LIA} = p(t, T)\mathbb{E}_t^T \left[ L(T, T + \tau) \right] = p(t, T)\mathbb{E}_t^T \left[ F(T, T, T + \tau) \right].$$

• The difficulty here is that  $F(t,T,T+\tau)$  is a martingale under  $\mathbb{Q}^{T+\tau}$ , not  $\mathbb{Q}^T$ , and hence the expectation does not equal to the forward rate observed at t

$$\mathbb{E}_t^T \left[ F(T, T, T + \tau) \right] \neq F(t, T, T + \tau) = \mathbb{E}_t^{T + \tau} \left[ F(T, T, T + \tau) \right].$$

•  $\mathbb{E}_t^T [F(T,T,T+\tau)] - F(t,T,T+\tau)$  is referred to as convexity adjustment.

### LIBOR-in-Arrears



• Let us now return to valuing LIA cashflow. Paying L(T,T+ au) at T is equivalent to paying  $\frac{L(T,T+ au)}{p(T,T+ au)}$  at T+ au so we have

$$\mathrm{PV}_t^{\mathrm{LIA}} = p(t, T+\tau) \mathbb{E}_t^{T+\tau} \left[ \frac{L(T, T+\tau)}{p(T, T+\tau)} \right].$$

This can be formally justified as follows. Defining Radon-Nikodym derivative

$$\xi_T = \left. rac{d\mathbb{Q}^{T+ au}}{d\mathbb{Q}^T} 
ight|_{\mathcal{F}_T} = rac{p(T,T+ au)}{p(0,T+ au)} \cdot rac{p(0,T)}{p(T,T)} = rac{p(T,T+ au)}{p(0,T+ au)} \cdot p(0,T),$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} \text{PV}_t^{\text{LIA}} &= p(t, T) \mathbb{E}_t^T \left[ L(T, T + \tau) \right] = p(t, T) \mathbb{E}_t^T \left[ \xi_T \right] \mathbb{E}_t^{T + \tau} \left[ \frac{L(T, T + \tau)}{\xi_T} \right] \\ &= p(t, T + \tau) \mathbb{E}_t^{T + \tau} \left[ \frac{L(T, T + \tau)}{p(T, T + \tau)} \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

# LIBOR-in-Arrears (continued)



• Now note that the factor  $\frac{1}{p(T,T+ au)}$  is a function of rate L(T,T+ au)

$$\frac{1}{p(T,T+\tau)} = 1 + \tau L(T,T+\tau)$$

so that (1) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \text{PV}_t^{\text{LIA}} &= p(t, T+\tau) \mathbb{E}_t^{T+\tau} \left[ (1+\tau L(T, T+\tau)) L(T, T+\tau) \right] \\ &= p(t, T+\tau) F(t, T, T+\tau) + \tau p(t, T+\tau) \mathbb{E}_t^{T+\tau} \left[ L(T, T+\tau)^2 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

• Therefore, the problem boils down to computing the expectation of  $L(T,T+\tau)^2$ . Fortunately, density of  $L(T,T+\tau)$  under  $\mathbb{Q}^{T+\tau}$  can be obtained directly from observable market prices of caplets and floorlets with maturity T.

## **Carr-Madan Static Replication**



• Now, let us invoke the famous variation of the Taylor expansion formula. Consider a function  $g(L)\in C^2(\mathbb{R})$  and arbitrary  $M\in\mathbb{R}$ . Then the following representation holds

$$g(L) = g(M) + g'(M) \cdot (L - M) + \int_{-\infty}^{M} g''(k) \cdot (k - L)_{+} dk + \int_{M}^{\infty} g''(k) \cdot (L - k)_{+} dk$$
 (2)

- Financial meaning: we can replicate European payoff given by function g with a static position in a continuum of vanilla call and put options.
- Before we proceed, let us briefly recall the notion of Dirac delta function  $\delta(x)$ .

### **Dirac Delta Function**



- Formally,  $\delta_L$  can be seen as a probability measure on  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}))$  such that, for any  $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R})$ ,  $\delta(B) = 1$  if  $L \in B$ , and  $\delta(B) = 0$  otherwise.
- It follows from the definition that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x) \, \delta_L(dx) = g(L).$$

The corresponding CDF is then given by

$$\delta_L((-\infty,x)) = \mathbb{1}_{\{x>L\}} = \begin{cases} 1, x > L \\ 0, x \le L, \end{cases}$$

so we have

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x) \, \delta_L(dx) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x) \, d\mathbb{1}_{\{x > L\}}.$$

### Carr-Madan Formula: Proof



• For simplicity, we consider Carr-Madan formula on  $\mathbb{R}_+$ . We have

$$\begin{split} g(L) &= \int_0^\infty g(k) \, d\mathbb{1}_{\{k > L\}} = \int_0^M g(k) \, d\mathbb{1}_{\{k > L\}} + \int_M^\infty g(k) \, d\mathbb{1}_{\{k > L\}} \\ &= \int_0^M g(k) \, d\mathbb{1}_{\{k > L\}} - \int_M^\infty g(k) \, d\mathbb{1}_{\{k \le L\}}. \end{split}$$

• Integrating by parts, we then have

$$\begin{split} g(L) &= g(k) \mathbb{1}_{\{k > L\}} \big|_0^M - \int_0^M g'(k) \mathbb{1}_{\{k > L\}} \, dk \\ &= -g(k) \mathbb{1}_{\{k \le L\}} \big|_M^\infty + \int_M^\infty g'(k) \mathbb{1}_{\{k \le L\}} \, dk \\ &= g(M) - \int_0^M g'(k) \mathbb{1}_{\{k > L\}} \, dk + \int_M^\infty g'(k) \mathbb{1}_{\{k \le L\}} \, dk. \end{split}$$

(3)

# **Carr-Madan Formula: Proof (continued)**



• Integrating (3) by parts again, we get

$$\begin{split} g(L) &= g(M) \\ &- g'(k)(k-L)_+ \big|_0^M + \int_0^M g''(k)(k-L)_+ \, dk \\ &- g'(k)(L-k)_+ \big|_M^\infty + \int_M^\infty g''(k)(L-k)_+ \, dk \\ &= g(M) + g'(M)(L-M) + \int_0^M g''(k)(k-L)_+ \, dk + \int_M^\infty g''(k)(L-k)_+ \, dk. \end{split}$$

## LIBOR-in-Arrears (continued)



• Let us get back to the valuation problem. Using Carr-Madan formula with  $g(L)=L^2$  and  $M=F=F(t,T,T+\tau)$  and switching integration and expectation, we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}_{t}^{T+\tau} \left[ L(T, T+\tau)^{2} \right] = g(F)$$

$$+ 2 \int_{0}^{F} \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_{t}^{T+\tau} \left[ (k-L)_{+} \right]}_{\text{Undiscounted price of a floorlet with strike } k} dk + 2 \int_{F}^{\infty} \underbrace{\mathbb{E}_{t}^{T+\tau} \left[ (L-k)_{+} \right]}_{\text{Undiscounted price of a caplet with strike } k} dk. \tag{4}$$

In practice, the integration is carried out numerically via quadratures.

# LIBOR-in-Arrears (continued)



- Formula (4) does not rely on any modelling assumptions and hence is model independent.
- Also, note that (4) heavily relies on the volatility skew/smile at T. Indeed, integration of caplet/floorlet prices over strikes require using the whole slice  $\sigma(T,k), k \in [0;+\infty)$ , of the implied volatility surface.
- We can apply the same technique to price in-arrears options.

## **In-Arrears Caplet**



• Let us now consider in-arrears caplet paying  $(L(T,T+ au)-K)_+$  at T. Its value is given by

$$PV_t^{\text{LIA cplt}} = p(t, T) \mathbb{E}_t^T \left[ (L(T, T + \tau) - K)_+ \right]. \tag{5}$$

• Re-expressing (5) under  $\mathbb{Q}^{T+\tau}$ , we get

$$\begin{split} \text{PV}_t^{\text{LIA cplt}} &= p(0, T + \tau) \, \mathbb{E}_t^{T + \tau} (L - K)_+ \\ &+ p(0, T + \tau) \, \mathbb{E}_t^{T + \tau} \left[ (1 + \tau L) (L - K)_+ \right] \\ &= p(0, T + \tau) \, \mathbb{E}_t^{T + \tau} \left[ (L - K)_+ \right] + p(0, T + \tau) \, \tau \, \mathbb{E}_t^{T + \tau} \left[ L (L - K)_+ \right]. \end{split}$$

# **In-Arrears Caplet (continued)**



• Applying Carr-Madan formula with M=K to g(L)=L(L-K) and multiplying the result with  $\mathbb{1}_{L>K}$ , we have

$$\mathbb{E}_{t}^{T+\tau} \left[ L(L-K)_{+} \right] = K \, \mathbb{E}_{t}^{T+\tau} \left[ (L-K)_{+} \right] + 2 \int_{K}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}_{t}^{T+\tau} \left[ (L-k)_{+} \right] \, dk.$$

Summarizing the above, the price of in-arrears caplet is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{PV}_t^{\text{LIA cplt}} &= p(0, T + \tau) \left( 1 + \tau K \right) \mathbb{E}_t^{T + \tau} \left[ (L(T, T + \tau) - K)_+ \right] \\ &+ p(0, T + \tau) \, 2\tau \int_K^{\infty} \mathbb{E}^{T + \tau} \left[ (L(T, T + \tau) - k)_+ \right] \, dk. \end{aligned}$$

# **LIBOR With Delay**



- Let us now move on to a more interesting case of a LIBOR cashflow  $L(T,T+\tau)$  with an arbitrary payment date  $T_p \geq T$ . Note that  $T_p = T$  corresponds to LIBOR-in-arrears and  $T_p = T + \tau$  corresponds to a regular LIBOR payment. Assuming that  $T_p > T$  we generally call this cashflow LIBOR with delay (LD).
- Its value is given by

$$PV_t^{LD} = p(t, T_p) \mathbb{E}_t^{T_p} [L(T, T + \tau)].$$

• Switching to  $\mathbb{Q}^{T+\tau}$ , we get

$$PV_t^{LD} = p(t, T + \tau) \mathbb{E}_t^{T+\tau} \left[ \frac{p(T, T_p)}{p(T, T + \tau)} L(T, T + \tau) \right].$$
 (6)

# **LIBOR With Delay (continued)**



- The problem here is that, generally, we cannot express  $p(T,T_p)$  as a function of LIBOR rate L(T,T+ au) and hence cannot use Carr-Madan formula as is.
- What we can do is to approximate

$$p(T,T_p)pprox \left(rac{1}{1+ au L(T,T+ au)}
ight)^{rac{I_p-I}{ au}}.$$

Intuition: discount all cash flows after T at the same rate  $L(T+\tau)$ .

Under this assumption, formula (6) becomes

$$\mathrm{PV}_t^{\mathrm{LD}} = p(t, T+\tau) \mathbb{E}_t^{T+\tau} \left[ L(T, T+\tau) (1+\tau L(T, T+\tau))^{1-\frac{T_p-T}{\tau}} \right].$$

so we can use Carr-Madan formula for pricing.

• Note that this approach is exact for two most common cases  $T_p=T$  and  $T_p=T+ au.$ 

## **Averaging Swaps**



- Averaging swap is a swap where floating rate is defined as a weighted average of observed LIBOR rates. For simplicity of notations, let us consider a single-period instrument.
- Consider a time interval [S,T] and the set of fixing dates  $\{t_i\}_{i=1}^n$ , where  $S=t_1 < t_2 < \cdots < t_n = T$ , when the LIBOR rate for tenor  $\tau$  is observed.
- Floating leg of averaging swap pays at T an amount given by

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i L(t_i, t_i + \tau),$$

where the weights  $\omega_i > 0$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i = 1$ .

## **Averaging Swaps: Valuation**



• Value of averaging swap at  $t \leq S$  is

$$\begin{aligned} \text{PV}_t^{\text{Avg swap}} &= p(t, T) \mathbb{E}_t^T \left[ \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i L(t_i, t_i + \tau) \right] \\ &= p(t, T) \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_i \mathbb{E}_t^T \left[ L(t_i, t_i + \tau) \right]. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

- Now note that every summand in (7) corresponds to a LIBOR with delay cashflow and hence can be priced with the approach outlined above.
- Sometimes a rude approximation is used

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_{i} \mathbb{E}_{t}^{T} [L(t_{i}, t_{i} + \tau)] \approx \sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_{i} F(t, t_{i}, t_{i} + \tau).$$

<sup>\*</sup>The last payment is actually LIBOR-in-arrears.

### **CMS Rate**



- We can go even further and consider swaps whose floating rate is given by the swap rate itself.
- A constant-maturity swap (CMS) rate is defined as a break-even (par) rate of a swap with fixed maturity (tenor), e.g. 10Y.
- Consider a tenor structure  $t \leq T_0 < T_1 < \cdots < T_{n+m}$ ,  $\tau_i = T_i T_{i-1}$ . We denote by  $R_{k,m}(t)$  a par rate at t of a fix-for-floating swap that starts at  $T_k, k \leq n$ , and ends at  $T_{k+m}$  and by  $A_{k,m}(t)$  the corresponding annuity. We know that

$$A_{k,m}(t) = \sum_{i=k+1}^{k+m} p(t, T_i) au_i, \ R_{k,m}(t) = rac{\sum_{i=k+1}^{k+m} p(t, T_i) F(t, T_{i-1}, T_i) au_i}{A_{k,m}(t)},$$

and that  $R_{k,m}(t)$  is a martingale under  $\mathbb{Q}^{A_{k,m}}$ .

# **CMS Swaps**



• CMS swap is a swap with floating leg linked to a CMS rate R rather than LIBOR spot rate L. Consider a swap with start date  $T_0$  and end date  $T_n$  which references m-period CMS rate. In our notations, its value at  $t \leq T_0$  can be written as

$$PV_{t}^{\text{CMS swap}} = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} p(t, T_{i+1}) \, \tau_{i+1} \, \mathbb{E}_{t}^{T_{i+1}} \left[ R_{i,m}(T_{i}) - K \right],$$

where *K* is the fixed rate.

 While standard fix-for-floating swaps are model-free, meaning that they can be valued solely from using observable term-structure of zero-coupon bond prices, pricing of CMS swaps require making some modelling assumptions.

# **CMS Swaps: Pricing**



• For simplicity we consider a single CMS rate-linked cashflow paid at  $T_p$ . Denoting underlying m-period CMS rate observed at  $T \leq T_p$  simply as  $R_T$  and corresponding annuity as  $A_T$ , we have at t=0

$$\mathrm{PV}_0^{\mathrm{CMS}} = p(0,T_p)\mathbb{E}^{T_p}\left[R_T
ight] = A_0\mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}^A}\left[rac{p(T,T_p)}{A_T}R_T
ight].$$

• Assuming that  $\frac{p(T,T_p)}{A_T}$  is a function of  $R_T$ ,  $\frac{p(T,T_p)}{A_T}=g(R_T)$ , and applying Carr-Madan formula, we get

$$\begin{split} \text{PV}_0^{\text{CMS}} &= g(0)R(0) \\ &+ \int_0^{R_0} z''(k)p(k)dk + \int_{R_0}^\infty z''(k)c(k)dk, \end{split}$$

where z(k) = g(k)k, p(k) and c(k) are prices of put and call options on  $S_T$  with strike k i.e. receiver and payer swaptions respectively.

## **Autocaps**



- Autocap is a structured product comprising a series of contingent caplets which terminates if the number of options expired in the money reaches a pre-agreed threshold N.
- Consider a tenor structure  $\{T_i\}_{i=0}^n$ . Mathematically, the payoff at  $T_{i+1}$  can be expressed as

$$\tau_{i+1} (L(T_i, T_{i+1}) - K)_+ \mathbb{1}_{\{N(T_{i+1}) < N\}},$$

where  $\tau_{i+1} = T_{i+1} - T_i$  and  $N(T_{i+1})$  is the total number of caplets expired in-the-money before  $T_{i+1}$ .

 Autocaps are highly path-dependent products. Their payoff depends on the joint evolution of LIBOR rates and hence a proper modelling must capture possible movements of the zero curve.

