

ST9580

University of Warwick

April 2019

Topics in Mathematical Finance

---

### **Instructions**

This is a CLOSED book examination.

Time allowed: 2 hours

Only silent calculators that are provided by the Programme Team are permitted. Electronic devices such as, for example, a mobile phone, tablet, smart watch, fitbit or similar device are not permitted.

Answer **ALL Three** questions from Section 1 and **One** question from Section 2. Full marks may be obtained by correctly answering three complete questions from Section 1 and one complete question from Section 2. Candidates may attempt all questions. Marks will be awarded for the best answer from section 2 only.

Read carefully the instructions on the answer book and make sure that the particulars required are entered on each answer book.

---

**PLEASE TURN OVER**

## SECTION 1

## [Question 1]

Let  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  be a probability space,  $\mathcal{X} := L^1(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$  and  $\mathcal{M}^\infty(\mathbb{P})$  the set of all probability measures  $\mathbb{Q}$  on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  that are absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mathbb{P}$  and whose Radon-Nikodým derivative is  $\mathbb{P}$ -a.s. bounded.

- A. Define the map  $\rho : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $\rho(X) = \mathbb{E}[-X + 2019]$ .
- (i) Show that  $\rho$  is a monetary measure of risk by stating and checking the defining properties of a monetary measure of risk. [2]
  - (ii) Is  $\rho$  convex? Either give a proof or provide a counterexample. [2]
- B. State a dual representation result for a convex risk measure  $\rho : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  and define all objects involved. [2]
- C. Calculate  $\text{VaR}_\alpha(-X)$  and  $\text{ES}_\alpha(-X)$  for  $\alpha = 0.001$ , where  $X$  is exponentially distributed with rate parameter  $\lambda = 2$ . [4]

## [Question 2]

Let  $L \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$  be a liability matrix, where the  $ij^{\text{th}}$  entry  $L_{ij}$  represents the nominal liability of bank  $i$  to  $j$ . Assume that  $L_{ij} \geq 0$  and  $L_{ii} = 0$  for any  $i, j$ . Let  $e \in \mathbb{R}^n$  be an external cash flow vector, where the  $i^{\text{th}}$  entry  $e_i$  represents the external assets of bank  $i$  minus its external liabilities.

- A. Write down the corresponding relative liability matrix  $\Pi$ . [2]
- B. Write down the definition of a clearing payment vector, and the corresponding clearing payment equation. [3]
- C. For any two clearing payment vectors  $p^*$  and  $\hat{p}^*$ , prove that their corresponding net value vectors are the same, i.e.

$$\Pi^{\text{tr}} p^* + e - p^* = \Pi^{\text{tr}} \hat{p}^* + e - \hat{p}^*.$$

[Hint: you may use without proof the existence of the greatest clearing payment vector.] [5]

Please turn over

[Question 3]

- A. Let  $X = (X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be a semi-martingale and let  $f : \mathbb{R} \mapsto \mathbb{R}$  be a twice continuously differentiable function. State Itô's formula for  $f(X_t)$ . [3]
- B. Let  $Y = (Y_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be a time homogeneous diffusion which satisfies the stochastic differential equation (SDE)

$$dY_t = a(Y_t)dB_t + b(Y_t)dt, \quad Y_0 = y,$$

where  $B = (B_t)_{t \geq 0}$  is a Brownian motion. Suppose  $S = (S_t)_{t \geq 0}$  solves

$$dS_t = S_t(\sigma(Y_t)dW_t + \mu(Y_t)dt), \quad S_0 = s,$$

where  $\sigma$  is a twice continuously differentiable, invertible function and  $W$  is a Brownian motion. Suppose  $B$  and  $W$  have correlation  $\rho \in (-1, 1)$ .

Let  $\Sigma = (\Sigma_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be given by  $\Sigma_t = \sigma(Y_t)$ . Derive an autonomous SDE for  $\Sigma$  and write down an equation for  $S$  in terms of  $\Sigma$ . [4]

- C. In the Hull-White model the price process is modelled as

$$dS_t = S_t(\sqrt{V_t}dW_t + rdt), \quad S_0 = s,$$

where  $V = (V_t)_{t \geq 0}$  solves

$$dV_t = \theta V_t dB_t + \kappa V_t dt$$

subject to  $V_0 = v$ . (Here, as above, the Brownian motions  $B$  and  $W$  have correlation  $\rho \in (-1, 1)$ , and  $r, \theta, \kappa$  and  $\rho$  are all constants.)

Using Part B or otherwise, derive an SDE for  $\Sigma$  where  $\Sigma_t = \sqrt{V_t}$ , and an equation for  $S$  in terms of  $\Sigma$ . [3]

Please turn over

## SECTION 2

[Question 4]

Let  $\mathcal{X}$  be a linear subspace of bounded real-valued random variables on a measurable space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  containing the constants.

- A. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a nonempty convex subset of  $\mathcal{X}$  such that  $\inf\{m \in \mathbb{R} : m \in \mathcal{A}\} > -\infty$  and  $X \in \mathcal{A}, Y \in \mathcal{X}, Y \geq X \implies Y \in \mathcal{A}$ . Let  $\rho_{\mathcal{A}} = \inf\{m \in \mathbb{R} : m + X \in \mathcal{A}\}$  be the associated risk measure. Show that  $\rho_{\mathcal{A}}$  is convex. [4]

*Hint:* In your answer, you may use without proof that  $\rho_{\mathcal{A}}$  is monotone and cash-invariant.

- B. Let  $S \in \mathcal{F}$  be a nonempty set of stress scenarios and define the map  $\rho_S : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by

$$\rho_S(X) = -\inf_{\omega \in S} X(\omega).$$

- (i) Show that  $\rho_S$  is a coherent risk measure. [4]  
 (ii) Show that  $\rho_S$  is continuous from above. [4]  
 (iii) Show that  $\rho_S$  satisfies the dual representation

$$\rho_S(X) = \sup_{\mathbb{Q} \in \mathcal{Q}_S} \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[-X], \quad (*)$$

where  $\mathcal{Q}_S$  denotes the set of all probability measures on  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F})$  satisfying  $\mathbb{Q}[S] = 1$ . [5]

*Hint:* Consider the probability measures  $\delta_{\{\omega\}}$  for  $\omega \in S$ .

- (iv) Show that the supremum in  $(*)$  can be replaced by a maximum if  $S$  is a finite set. [3]

---

Please turn over

## [Question 5]

Let  $\tau$  be a non-negative random variable defined on a complete probability space  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ , and  $\mathbb{F} = \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$  be the filtration given by  $\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma(\{\tau \leq u\} : u \leq t)$ .

A. For any  $A \in \mathcal{F}_t$ , write down the two possibilities of  $A \cap \{\tau > t\}$ . [2]

B. Let  $Y$  be an  $\mathcal{F}_\infty$ -measurable and bounded random variable, where  $\mathcal{F}_\infty = \sigma(\cup_{t \geq 0} \mathcal{F}_t)$ . Prove that

$$\mathbb{E}[1_{\{\tau > t\}} Y | \mathcal{F}_t] = 1_{\{\tau > t\}} \frac{\mathbb{E}[1_{\{\tau > t\}} Y]}{\mathbb{P}(\tau > t)}. \quad [3]$$

C. Prove that  $\tau$  follows exponential distribution with a constant intensity  $\lambda > 0$  if and only if the process  $M = (M_t)_{t \geq 0}$ , where

$$M_t = 1_{\{\tau \leq t\}} - \int_0^t 1_{\{\tau > s\}} \lambda ds,$$

is an  $(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{P})$ -martingale and  $\mathbb{P}(\tau > 0) = 1$ . [5]

D. Let  $T > 0$  and  $\mu \in [0, 1]$  be fixed numbers. Under the assumption in part C, prove that the process  $Z^\mu = (Z_t^\mu)_{t \in [0, T]}$ , where

$$Z_t^\mu = (1_{\{\tau > t\}} + (1 - \mu)1_{\{\tau \leq t\}}) e^{\int_0^t \mu 1_{\{\tau > s\}} \lambda ds},$$

is an  $(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{P})$ -martingale.

[Hint: Let  $H_t := 1_{\{\tau \leq t\}}$  and  $V_t := 1 - H_t + (1 - \mu)H_t$ . You may first prove that  $\Delta V_s = -\mu V_{s-} \Delta H_s$ .] [5]

E. Let  $Z_T^\mu$  be given as in part D and define  $\mathbb{Q}$  on  $\mathcal{F}_T$  by  $\frac{d\mathbb{Q}}{d\mathbb{P}} = Z_T^\mu$ . Prove that the process  $M^\mu = (M_t^\mu)_{t \in [0, T]}$ , where

$$M_t^\mu = 1_{\{\tau \leq t\}} - \int_0^t (1 - \mu) 1_{\{\tau > s\}} \lambda ds,$$

is an  $(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{Q})$ -martingale.

[Hint: you may use without proof the fact that  $M^\mu$  is an  $(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{Q})$ -martingale if and only if  $M^\mu Z^\mu$  is an  $(\mathbb{F}, \mathbb{P})$ -martingale.] [5]

---

Please turn over

## [Question 6]

A. Let  $X = (X_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be a diffusion process with state space  $\mathbb{R}$ . Suppose that  $X$  is sufficiently regular so that at time  $t$  the law of  $X$  has a density  $p_t^X(\cdot)$ , and that  $p_t^X(x)$  is continuous in both  $x$  and  $t$ . The functions  $h$  and  $a$  below may also be assumed to be continuous.

- (i) Explain briefly why  $\mathbb{E}[h(X_T, T)] = \int_{\mathbb{R}} h(s, T) p_T^X(s) ds$
- (ii) Explain briefly why  $p_T^X(x) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \mathbb{E}[(X_T - x)^+]$ .
- (iii) Explain briefly why  $\lim_{\Delta \downarrow 0} \mathbb{E}[\frac{1}{\Delta} \int_T^{T+\Delta} h(X_u, u) du] = \mathbb{E}[h(X_T, T)]$ .
- (iv) Explain briefly why if  $f(y) = (y - \kappa)^+$  we have the heuristic

$$\mathbb{E}[X_T^2 a(X_T, T)^2 f''(X_T)] = \kappa^2 a(\kappa, T)^2 p_T^X(\kappa)$$

[7]

B. Let  $S = (S_t)_{t \geq 0}$  be a martingale diffusion process with state space  $\mathbb{R}^+$  and dynamics  $dS_t = S_t \sigma(S_t, t) dW_t$  where  $W$  is a Brownian motion. Suppose that at time  $T$  the law of  $S$  has a density  $p_T^S(\cdot)$ . [You may assume sufficient regularity for  $S$  that the results of Part A of the question apply, that changing orders of taking limits and integrating is possible, and that any local martingales are martingales.]

- (i) Let  $C(K, T) = \mathbb{E}[(S_T - K)^+]$ . Show that  $\frac{\partial^2}{\partial K^2} C(K, T) = p_T^S(K)$ .
- (ii) For  $h$  twice differentiable, write down an expression for  $h(S_{T+\Delta}) - h(S_T)$  using Itô's formula. Hence deduce an expression for  $\mathbb{E}[\frac{1}{\Delta} \{h(S_{T+\Delta}) - h(S_T)\}]$ .
- (iii) Setting  $h(s) = (s - K)^+$  explain why we expect

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial T} C(K, T) = \frac{1}{2} K^2 \sigma(K, T)^2 p_T^S(K).$$

- (iv) Deduce Dupire's formula for the squared local volatility

$$\sigma(K, T)^2 = \frac{2 \frac{\partial}{\partial T} C(K, T)}{K^2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial K^2} C(K, T)}.$$

[8]

C. Suppose interest rates are zero and call prices are given by

$$C(K, T) = S_0 \frac{\Phi(d_{1,+}) + \Phi(d_{2,+})}{2} - K \frac{\Phi(d_{1,-}) + \Phi(d_{2,-})}{2},$$

where  $\Phi(\cdot)$  is the cumulative normal distribution,

$$d_{i,\pm} = d_{i,\pm}(K, T) = \frac{\ln(S_0/K) \pm \frac{1}{2} \sigma_i^2 T}{\sigma_i \sqrt{T}},$$

and  $0 < \sigma_1 < \sigma_2$ .

- (i) Find an expression for the local volatility  $\sigma(K, T)$  in this model.
- (ii) Explain why  $\sigma_1 < \sigma(K, T) < \sigma_2$ .

Hint: you may use known properties of the Black-Scholes model.

[5]

---

End of Paper

---