



Chapter 8

Example of exponential formula. $\alpha(s) = 1_{\tau \leq s} - \alpha^c(s)$ where τ is a r.v.
 α^c is absolutely continuous.

$\alpha(s)$ is BV.

$$1_{\tau \leq s} = \int_{\tau}^s 1_{\tau \leq s} d\alpha(s)$$

$$Z(t) = Z(0) - \int_0^t Z(s-) \mu(s) d\alpha(s)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow Z(t) = Z(0) \prod_{0 < s \leq t} (1 - \mu(s) \Delta \alpha(s)) e^{-\int_0^t \mu(s) d\alpha^c(s)} = Z(0) (1_{\tau \leq t} (1 - \mu(\tau)) + 1_{\tau > t}) e^{-\int_0^t \mu(s) d\alpha^c(s)}$$

Note that $\Delta \alpha(s) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } s < \tau \\ 1 & \text{if } s = \tau \\ 0 & \text{if } s > \tau \end{cases}$, $\prod_{0 < s \leq t} (1 - \mu(s) \Delta \alpha(s)) = 1_{\tau \leq t} (1 - \mu(\tau)) + 1_{\tau > t}$

$$\sum_{0 < s \leq t} g(s) \Delta f(s) = - \sum_{0 < s \leq t} f(s-) g(s-) \mu(s) \Delta \alpha(s),$$

and therefore,

$$f(t)g(t) = f(0)g(0) - \int_0^t f(s-)g(s-) \mu(s) d\alpha(s).$$

That is $f(t)g(t)$, $t \in [0, T]$, is a solution to the equation (8).
 The uniqueness of the solution is left as an exercise. \square

3 Single jump processes and Girsanov's theorem

3.1 Stopping times

Definition 5. A filtered probability space $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \mathbf{P})$ is said to satisfy the usual conditions if the following conditions hold:

- (1) completeness: \mathcal{F}_0 includes all of the \mathbf{P} -null sets;
- (2) right continuity: $\mathcal{F}_t = \mathcal{F}_{t+}$ where $\mathcal{F}_{t+} = \bigcap_{u > t} \mathcal{F}_u$. \square

For any "reasonable" strong Markov process X (e.g. Feller processes including Levy, Brownian and Poisson processes), its natural filtration $\mathcal{F}_t := \sigma(X_s : s \leq t)$ after augmentation is right continuous².

Definition 6. A random variable $\tau : \Omega \rightarrow [0, \infty]$ is called an \mathcal{F}_t -stopping time if $\{\tau \leq t\} \in \mathcal{F}_t$ for $t \geq 0$. The random variable τ is called an optional time if $\{\tau < t\} \in \mathcal{F}_t$. \square

If τ is an \mathcal{F}_t -stopping time, then

$$\{\tau < t\} = \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \left\{ \tau \leq t - \frac{1}{n} \right\} \in \bigcup_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{F}_{t - \frac{1}{n}} \subset \mathcal{F}_t.$$

However, $\{\tau < t\} \in \mathcal{F}_t$ does not necessarily imply that $\{\tau \leq t\} \in \mathcal{F}_t$ unless the filtration is right continuous. To see this,

$$\{\tau \leq t\} = \bigcap_{n \geq 1} \left\{ \tau < t + \frac{1}{n} \right\} \in \bigcap_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{F}_{t + \frac{1}{n}},$$

which is \mathcal{F}_t only if $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is right continuous.

Example 3. Let $\{\tau_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ be a sequence of \mathcal{F}_t -stopping times. Then,

$$\left\{ \sup_{n \geq 1} \tau_n \leq t \right\} = \bigcap_{n \geq 1} \{\tau_n \leq t\} \in \mathcal{F}_t,$$

² Note that the natural filtration of Poisson processes is right continuous before augmentation, and so are single jump processes.

so $\sup_{n \geq 1} \tau_n$ is again an \mathcal{F}_t -stopping time. However, since

$$\left\{ \inf_{n \geq 1} \tau_n \leq t \right\} = \cap_{n \geq 1} \cup_{k \geq 1} \left\{ \tau_n < t + \frac{1}{m} \right\} \in \cap_{n \geq 1} \mathcal{F}_{t + \frac{1}{m}},$$

which is \mathcal{F}_t only if $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ is right continuous, $\inf_{n \geq 1} \tau_n$ is an \mathcal{F}_t -stopping time only if the filtration is right continuous.

On the other hand, if τ_n is only optional, then since $\{\inf_{n \geq 1} \tau_n \geq t\} = \cap_{n \geq 1} \{\tau^n \geq t\}$, it follows that

$$\left\{ \inf_{n \geq 1} \tau_n < t \right\} = \cup_{n \geq 1} \{\tau^n < t\} \in \mathcal{F}_t,$$

$\inf_{n \geq 1} \tau_n$ is an optional time. \square

Definition 7. The past at the stopping time τ is the σ -field \mathcal{F}_τ defined by

$$\mathcal{F}_\tau = \{A \in \mathcal{F}_\infty : A \cap \{\tau \leq t\} \in \mathcal{F}_t \text{ for } t \geq 0\}$$

The strict past at the stopping time τ is the σ -field $\mathcal{F}_{\tau-}$ generated by the set

$$\mathcal{F}_{\tau-} = \sigma(\{A_0 \in \mathcal{F}_0\} \cup \{A_s \cap \{\tau > s\} \text{ for } s \geq 0, A_s \in \mathcal{F}_s\})$$

Proposition 2. Both \mathcal{F}_τ and $\mathcal{F}_{\tau-}$ are σ -fields satisfying $\mathcal{F}_{\tau-} \subset \mathcal{F}_\tau$, and τ is an $\mathcal{F}_{\tau-}$ -measurable random variable (therefore also \mathcal{F}_τ -measurable). When X is progressively measurable, X_t is \mathcal{F}_τ -measurable.

Proof. The verification of \mathcal{F}_τ and $\mathcal{F}_{\tau-}$ being σ -fields is by the definition. For example, for $A \in \mathcal{F}_\tau$, $A' \cap \{\tau \leq t\} = \{\tau \leq t\} - A \cap \{\tau \leq t\}$. Since $\{\tau \leq t\} \in \mathcal{F}_t$ and $A \cap \{\tau \leq t\} \in \mathcal{F}_t$, it follows that $A' \in \mathcal{F}_\tau$.

To prove that $\mathcal{F}_{\tau-} \subset \mathcal{F}_\tau$, it suffices to show that the generators of $\mathcal{F}_{\tau-}$ are in \mathcal{F}_τ . Indeed, $\mathcal{F}_0 \subset \mathcal{F}_\tau$. For $A_s \in \mathcal{F}_s$,

$$A_s \cap \{\tau > s\} \cap \{\tau \leq t\} = A_s \cap \{s < \tau \leq t\} \in \mathcal{F}_t.$$

The set $\{\tau = 0\}$ and $\{\tau > a\}$, $a \geq 0$, are generators of $\mathcal{F}_{\tau-}$, and therefore τ is $\mathcal{F}_{\tau-}$ -measurable.

Finally, we show that X_t is \mathcal{F}_τ -measurable. For this, for fixed $t \geq 0$, we aim to show that for any Borel set V , $X_t^{-1}(V) \cap \{\tau \leq t\} \in \mathcal{F}_t$. Define two maps $\mathcal{Z}_t : \omega \mapsto \mathcal{Z}_{t\omega}(\omega)$

$$\phi : \{\omega : \tau(\omega) \leq t\} \rightarrow [0, t] \times \Omega, \text{ by } \phi(\omega) = (\tau(\omega), \omega), \quad \omega \xrightarrow{\phi} (\tau(\omega), \omega) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{Z}_t} \mathcal{Z}_{t\omega}(\omega)$$

and

$$\phi' : [0, t] \times \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d, \text{ by } \phi'(s, \omega) = X_s(\omega).$$

Note that $X_t = \phi' \circ \phi$. We verify that ϕ is $\mathcal{F}_t \cap \{\tau \leq t\} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}[0, t] \otimes \mathcal{F}_t$ measurable. Indeed, for $A \in \mathcal{F}_t$ and $a \in [0, t]$, since τ is a stopping time,

$$\phi^{-1}([0, a] \times A) = \{\tau \leq a\} \cap A \subset \{\tau \leq t\} \cap A \in \mathcal{F}_t \cap \{\tau \leq t\}.$$

Together with X being progressively measurable, i.e. ϕ' is $\mathcal{B}[0, t] \otimes \mathcal{F}_t \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ measurable, we conclude that $X_t = \phi' \circ \phi$ is $\mathcal{F}_t \cap \{\tau \leq t\} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ measurable. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} X_t^{-1}(V) \cap \{\tau \leq t\} &= \{\omega : \tau(\omega) \leq t, X_{\tau(\omega)}(\omega) \in V\} \\ &= \{\omega : \tau(\omega) \leq t, \phi' \circ \phi(\omega) \in V\} \\ &= \{\tau \leq t\} \cap \phi^{-1}(\phi'^{-1}(V)) \in \mathcal{F}_t \end{aligned}$$

\square

3.2 Single jump processes

Let $\tau : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ be a non-negative random variable with property $\mathbf{P}(\tau = 0) = 0$ and $\mathbf{P}(\tau > t) > 0$ for any $t \in \mathbb{R}_+$. Introduce the corresponding single jump process $H_t = \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau \leq t\}}$, $t \geq 0$, and its natural filtration $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ by

$$\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma(H_u : u \leq t)$$

with $\mathcal{F}_\infty = \sigma(H_u : u \in \mathbb{R}_+)$. It is easy to check the following properties of \mathcal{F}_t .

1. $\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma(\{\tau \leq u\} : u \leq t)$;
2. $\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma(\sigma(\tau) \cap \{\tau \leq t\})$;
3. $\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma(\sigma(\tau \wedge t) \cup \{\tau > t\})$;
4. $\mathcal{F}_t = \mathcal{F}_{t+}$;
5. $\mathcal{F}_\infty = \sigma(\tau)$;
6. $A \cap \{\tau \leq t\} \in \mathcal{F}_t$ for any $A \in \mathcal{F}_\infty$.

The following formulas are useful to calculate the conditional distribution of τ . The key point (which may not be obvious at the beginning) is that any \mathcal{F}_t -measurable r.v. X_t is of the form $X_t = x_0^t \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > t\}} + x_1^t(\tau) \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau \leq t\}}$ (called *Jacod's decomposition for optional processes*).

Lemma 1. For any random variable $Y \in \mathcal{F}_\infty$,

$$\mathbf{E}[Y | \mathcal{F}_t] = \frac{\mathbf{E}[\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > t\}} Y]}{\mathbf{P}(\tau > t)} \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > t\}} + \mathbf{E}[Y | \sigma(\tau)] \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau \leq t\}}.$$

Proof. We first prove on $\{\tau \leq t\}$, $\mathbf{E}[Y | \mathcal{F}_t] = \mathbf{E}[Y | \sigma(\tau)]$, i.e.

$$\mathbf{E}[\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau \leq t\}} Y | \mathcal{F}_t] = \mathbf{E}[\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau \leq t\}} Y | \sigma(\tau)]$$

In other words, $\mathbf{E}[\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau \leq t\}} Y | \mathcal{F}_t]$ is the conditional expectation of $\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau \leq t\}} Y$ on $\sigma(\tau)$. Indeed, for any $A \in \sigma(\tau)$, $A \cap \{\tau \leq t\} \in \mathcal{F}_t$, it follows that

$$\mathbf{E}[\mathbf{1}_A \mathbf{E}[\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau \leq t\}} Y | \mathcal{F}_t]] = \mathbf{E}[\mathbf{1}_A \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau \leq t\}} Y] = \mathbf{E}[\mathbf{1}_A \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau \leq t\}} Y].$$

$\tau \sim \exp(\lambda)$

$$\mathbf{E}[\tau | \mathcal{F}_t] = \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > t\}} \mathbf{E}[\tau | \mathcal{F}_t] e^{\lambda t} + \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau \leq t\}} \mathbf{E}[\tau | \sigma(\tau)]$$

Case 1. $\tau > t$

$$\mathbf{E}[\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > t\}} | \mathcal{F}_t] = \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > t\}} \mathbf{E}[\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > t\}} | \sigma(\tau)] e^{\lambda t} = \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > t\}} e^{-\lambda(t-\tau)}.$$

Case 2. $\tau \leq t$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E}[\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau \leq t\}} | \mathcal{F}_t] &= \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau \leq t\}} \mathbf{E}[\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau \leq t\}} | \sigma(\tau)] e^{\lambda t} \\ &\quad + \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau \leq t\}} \mathbf{E}[\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau \leq t\}} | \sigma(\tau)] \\ &= \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau \leq t\}} (-e^{-\lambda(t-\tau)}) \end{aligned}$$



Next, we show on $\{\tau > t\}$, $E[Y|\mathcal{F}_t] = \frac{E[\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > t\}}Y]}{P(\tau > t)}$, i.e.

$$E[\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > t\}}Y|\mathcal{F}_t] = \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > t\}} \frac{E[\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > t\}}Y]}{P(\tau > t)}.$$

In other words, $\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > t\}}E[\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > t\}}Y]$ is the conditional expectation of $\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > t\}}YP(\tau > t)$ on \mathcal{F}_t . For this, for any $A \in \mathcal{F}_t$, it is sufficient to consider $A = \{\tau \leq s\}$ for $s \leq t$ which yields $A \cap \{\tau > t\} = \emptyset$, and $A = \{\tau > s\}$ which yields $A \cap \{\tau > t\} = \{\tau > t\}$. For the case $A \cap \{\tau > t\} = \emptyset$,

$$E[\mathbf{1}_A \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > t\}} E[\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > t\}} Y]] = E[\mathbf{1}_A \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > t\}} Y P(\tau > t)] = 0,$$

so that (10) holds.

For the case $A \cap \{\tau > t\} = \{\tau > t\}$,

$$E[\mathbf{1}_A \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > t\}} E[\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > t\}} Y]] = P(\tau > t) E[\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > t\}} Y],$$

and

$$E[\mathbf{1}_A \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > t\}} Y P(\tau > t)] = E[\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > t\}} Y P(\tau > t)],$$

from which we conclude. \square

One of the most typical examples of the stopping time τ used to model default time is generated by an exponential random variable with constant intensity $\lambda > 0$, as shown in the following example.

Example 4. If τ follows exponential distribution with constant intensity $\lambda > 0$, then formula (10) implies that

$$E[\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > t\}} Y | \mathcal{F}_t] = \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > t\}} e^{\lambda t} E[\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > t\}} Y].$$

In particular, taking $Y = \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > T\}}$ yields

$$P(\tau > T | \mathcal{F}_t) = \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > t\}} e^{-\lambda(T-t)}. \quad (11)$$

Taking $Y = \mathbf{1}_{\{t < \tau \leq T\}}$ yields

$$P(t < \tau \leq T | \mathcal{F}_t) = \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > t\}} (1 - e^{-\lambda(T-t)}). \quad (12)$$

We also have the martingale characterisation of the single jump process $H_t := \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau \leq t\}}$, $t \geq 0$, when τ follows exponential distribution.

Lemma 2. The \mathcal{F}_t -stopping time τ follows exponential distribution with constant intensity $\lambda > 0$ iff

$$M_t := H_t - \int_0^t \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > s\}} \lambda ds, \quad t \geq 0,$$

is an $(\mathcal{F}_t, \mathbf{P})$ -martingale and $P(\tau > 0) = 1$.

$$+ \mathbf{1}_{\tau \leq t} E[\mathcal{I}_{\tau \leq t} | \mathcal{F}_t]$$

before default

$$\Leftrightarrow E[\mathbf{1}_{\tau \leq t} Y P(\tau \leq t) | \mathcal{F}_t] = \mathbf{1}_{\tau \leq t} E[\mathcal{I}_{\tau \leq t} Y]$$

Hence, we need to verify

$$\int_A \mathbf{1}_{\tau \leq t} Y P(\tau \leq t) dP = \int_A \mathbf{1}_{\tau \leq t} E[\mathcal{I}_{\tau \leq t} Y] dP$$

for $\forall A \in \mathcal{F}_t$.

$$\textcircled{1} A = \{\tau \leq s\} \text{ for } s \leq t$$

$$\{\tau \leq t\} \cap A = \emptyset \Rightarrow \text{LHS} = \text{RHS} = 0$$

$$\textcircled{2} A = \{\tau > t\}$$

$$\text{LHS} = E[\mathcal{I}_{\tau \leq t} Y] P(\tau \leq t)$$

$$\text{RHS} = E[\mathcal{I}_{\tau \leq t} Y] P(\tau \leq t). \quad \#$$

Proof. Only if part: For any $T \geq 0$, by the formula (11),

$$\begin{aligned} E[M_T | \mathcal{F}_t] &= E[\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > T\}} | \mathcal{F}_t] - \int_0^T \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > s\}} \lambda ds - \int_t^T E[\mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > s\}} \lambda | \mathcal{F}_t] ds \\ &= 1 - \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > T\}} e^{-\lambda(T-t)} - \int_0^t \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > s\}} \lambda ds - \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > t\}} \int_t^T \lambda e^{-\lambda(s-t)} ds \\ &= \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau \leq t\}} - \int_0^t \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > s\}} \lambda ds = M_t. \end{aligned}$$

Since τ follows exponential distribution, it follows that $P(\tau > 0) = e^{-\lambda \cdot 0} = 1$.

If part: For $t \geq 0$, define $\Phi(t) = P(\tau > t)$. Then, following the martingale property of M ,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(t) &= E\left[1 - M_t - \int_0^t \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > s\}} \lambda ds\right] \\ &= 1 - M_0 - \lambda \int_0^t \Phi(s) ds. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from the condition $\tau > 0$ a.s. that $M_0 = H_0 = 0$, a.s., so

$$\Phi(t) = 1 - \lambda \int_0^t \Phi(s) ds$$

which implies that $\Phi(t) = e^{-\lambda t}$, i.e. τ follows exponential distribution with intensity λ . \square

In practice, we often need to model λ as an \mathcal{F}_t -prog measurable stochastic process. Based on the above martingale characterisation, we impose the following assumption on the \mathcal{F}_t -stopping time τ through its corresponding single jump process $H_t = \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau \leq t\}}$, $t \geq 0$. It is clear that for each ω , $H(\omega)$ is a BV function (recall BV means Caglad with bounded variation).

Assumption 1 Let τ be a non-negative random variable defined on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$, and $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ be the natural filtration of $H_t = \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau \leq t\}}$, $t \geq 0$. i.e. $\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma(H_s : s \leq t)$, such that

$$M_t := H_t - \int_0^t \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > s\}} \lambda ds, \quad t \geq 0,$$

is an $(\mathcal{F}_t, \mathbf{P})$ -martingale, for λ being an \mathcal{F}_t -prog measurable, strictly positive and bounded process. Moreover, we assume that $P(\tau > 0) = 1$.

Since $H(\omega)$ is BV, it is obvious that $M(\omega)$ is also BV, that is, M is a Caglad martingale with bounded variation, and moreover, $\Delta M_t = \Delta H_t$.

3.3 Girsanov's theorem

We next discuss the Girsanov's theorem for the single jump process H under Assumption 1.

Theorem 7. Let $\mu \in [0, 1]$ be a constant, and suppose that Assumption 1 is satisfied. For $T > 0$, define $Z_t^\mu = C_t^\mu V_t^\mu$ for $t \in [0, T]$, where

$$C_t^\mu = e^{\int_0^t \mu \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > s\}} \lambda_s ds},$$

and

$$V_t^\mu = \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > t\}} + (1 - \mu) \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau \leq t\}}.$$

Then, Z^μ is an $(\mathcal{F}_t, \mathbf{P})$ -martingale, and satisfies,

$$Z_t^\mu = 1 - \int_0^t Z_{s-}^\mu \mu dM_s, \quad \text{for } t \in [0, T].$$

Proof. Note that for $T > 0$, $\int_0^T |\mu| dM_s = \mu M_T < \infty$. We decompose the martingale M into its continuous part and pure jump part as

$$\begin{aligned} M_t &= M_t^c + \sum_{0 < s \leq t} \Delta M_s \\ &= - \int_0^t \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > s\}} \lambda_s ds + H_t \end{aligned}$$

In turn, we have

$$e^{-\int_0^t \mu dM_s} = e^{\int_0^t \mu \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > s\}} \lambda_s ds} = C_t^\mu,$$

and since $\Delta M_s = \Delta H_s$,

$$\prod_{0 < s \leq t} (1 - \mu \Delta M_s) = \prod_{0 < s \leq t} (1 - \mu \Delta H_s) = \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > t\}} + (1 - \mu) \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau \leq t\}} = V_t^\mu.$$

Theorem 6 then implies that $C_t^\mu V_t^\mu$ satisfies, for $t \in [0, T]$,

$$C_t^\mu V_t^\mu = 1 - \int_0^t C_{s-}^\mu V_{s-}^\mu \mu dM_s,$$

so $Z^\mu = C_t^\mu V_t^\mu$, $t \in [0, T]$, is an $(\mathcal{F}_t, \mathbf{P})$ -local martingale. Since both C_t^μ and V_t^μ are bounded for $t \in [0, T]$, we conclude that Z^μ is also an $(\mathcal{F}_t, \mathbf{P})$ -martingale. \square

Theorem 8. Let $T > 0$ be fixed. Given the $(\mathcal{F}_t, \mathbf{P})$ -martingale Z^μ as in Theorem 7, define a new probability measure \mathbf{Q}^μ by the Radon-Nikodym density

$$\left. \frac{d\mathbf{Q}^\mu}{d\mathbf{P}} \right|_{\mathcal{F}_t} = Z_t^\mu.$$

Then,

$$M_t^\mu = H_t - \int_0^t (1 - \mu) \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > s\}} \lambda_s ds, \quad t \in [0, T],$$

is an $(\mathcal{F}_t, \mathbf{Q}^\mu)$ -martingale.

Proof. Note that by the Bayes' formula, M_t^μ , $t \in [0, T]$, is an $(\mathcal{F}_t, \mathbf{Q}^\mu)$ -martingale iff $M_t^\mu Z_t^\mu$, $t \in [0, T]$ is an $(\mathcal{F}_t, \mathbf{P})$ -martingale.

Hence, it is sufficient to show that $M_t^\mu Z_t^\mu$, $t \in [0, T]$, is an $(\mathcal{F}_t, \mathbf{P})$ -martingale. Using the integration by parts formula (3), we obtain

$$M_t^\mu Z_t^\mu = \int_0^t M_{s-}^\mu dZ_s^\mu + \int_0^t Z_{s-}^\mu dM_s^\mu + \sum_{0 < s \leq t} \Delta M_s^\mu \Delta Z_s^\mu. \quad (13)$$

Note that M^μ can be rewritten as

$$M_t^\mu = M_t + \int_0^t \mu \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > s\}} \lambda_s ds,$$

so

$$\int_0^t Z_{s-}^\mu dM_s^\mu = \int_0^t Z_{s-}^\mu dM_s + \int_0^t Z_{s-}^\mu \mu \mathbf{1}_{\{\tau > s\}} \lambda_s ds. \quad (14)$$

On the other hand, since $\Delta Z_s^\mu = -Z_{s-}^\mu \mu \Delta M_s$, we have

$$\sum_{0 < s \leq t} \Delta M_s^\mu \Delta Z_s^\mu = - \sum_{0 < s \leq t} Z_{s-}^\mu \mu |\Delta M_s|^2.$$

But $\Delta M_s = \Delta H_s$ and $|\Delta H_s|^2 = \Delta H_s$, it follows that

$$\sum_{0 < s \leq t} \Delta M_s^\mu \Delta Z_s^\mu = - \sum_{0 < s \leq t} Z_{s-}^\mu \mu \Delta H_s = - \int_0^t Z_{s-}^\mu \mu dH_s. \quad (15)$$

Plugging (14) and (15) into (13), we get

$$M_t^\mu Z_t^\mu = \int_0^t M_{s-}^\mu dZ_s^\mu + \int_0^t Z_{s-}^\mu dM_s - \int_0^t Z_{s-}^\mu \mu dM_s,$$

which implies that $M_t^\mu Z_t^\mu$, $t \in [0, T]$, is an $(\mathcal{F}_t, \mathbf{P})$ -local martingale. Finally, since $M^\mu Z^\mu$ is bounded, it is also an $(\mathcal{F}_t, \mathbf{P})$ -martingale. \square

Note that when $\mu = 0$, then $Z^0 = 1$. Therefore, $\mathbf{Q}^0 = \mathbf{P}$, and $M^0 = M$ is an $(\mathcal{F}_t, \mathbf{P})$ -martingale following from Assumption 1.

On the other hand, when $\mu = 1$, \mathbf{Q}^1 is only absolutely continuous w.r.t. \mathbf{P} . Therefore, for $A \subset \Omega$, $\mathbf{P}(A) = 0 \Rightarrow \mathbf{Q}^1(A) = 0$. However, for the sets $B_t = \{\tau \leq t\}$, $t \in [0, T]$, we have $\mathbf{Q}^1(B_t) = 0$ but $\mathbf{P}(B_t) \neq 0$, so \mathbf{Q}^1 and \mathbf{P} are not equivalent.

Exercise 1. (Exponential formula)

1. Prove the solution to the equation (8) is unique.
2. Apply the change of variables formula in Theorem 5 to $\ln Z(t)$ to derive the solution of the equation (8) directly.

References

1. Bremaud, Pierre. *Point processes and queues: martingale dynamics*. Springer Verlag, 1981.

Girsanov's theorem Given a Cddig BV martingale $M_t = H_t - \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{C}} \lambda_{cs} ds$, $\langle M \rangle_t = \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{C}} \lambda_{cs} ds$. ($[M]_t = H_t$)
 Consider SDE $\begin{cases} dZ_t = -Z_t \mu dm_t, \\ Z_0 = 1 \end{cases}$

i.e. $Z_t = \mathcal{E}(-\int \mu dm)_t$ stochastic exponential, local martingale.

By exponential formula,

$$Z_t = \underbrace{(1_{\tau \leq t} (1+\mu) + 1_{\tau > t})}_{\text{bdd}} \underbrace{e^{-\int_0^t \mu \lambda_{cs} ds}}_{\text{bdd}} \text{ is bdd}$$

Hence, Z_t is a martingale.

Define an equivalent prob measure $\mathbb{Q}^\mu \sim \mathbb{P}$ by RN density

$$\frac{d\mathbb{Q}^\mu}{d\mathbb{P}} \Big|_{\mathcal{F}_t} = Z_t = \mathcal{E}(-\int \mu dm)_t$$

Then, under \mathbb{Q}^μ : by Girsanov's theorem

$$M_t^\mu \triangleq M_t - \int_0^t \frac{1}{Z_s} d\langle Z, M \rangle_s \text{ is a martingale.}$$

$$d\langle Z, M \rangle_t = -Z_t \mu 1_{\tau \leq t} \lambda_t dt$$

$$= M_t + \int_0^t \mu 1_{\tau \leq t} \lambda_t dt \text{ is a martingale. } (\star)$$

$$= H_t - \int_0^t \int_{\mathcal{C}} \lambda_{cs} ds + \int_0^t \mu 1_{\tau \leq t} \lambda_s ds$$

$$= H_t - \int_0^t (1+\mu) 1_{\tau \leq t} \lambda_s ds$$

In particular, for $\mu \equiv 1$, $H_t = 1_{\tau \leq t}$ is a \mathbb{Q}^μ -martingale.

To prove (\star) is a martingale under \mathbb{Q}^μ , it is sufficient to show $M_t^\mu Z_t$ is a martingale under \mathbb{P} .

$$\text{Since } dM_t^\mu = dM_t + \mu 1_{\tau \leq t} \lambda_t dt \text{ is BV}$$

$$dZ_t = -Z_t \mu dm_t \text{ is BV.}$$

By integration by parts formula,

$$M_t^\mu Z_t = \underbrace{M_0^\mu Z_0}_{\substack{0 \\ 0}} + \underbrace{\int_0^t M_s^\mu dZ_s}_{\text{local mart}} + \int_0^t Z_s dM_s^\mu + \underbrace{\sum_{0 \leq s < t} \Delta M_s^\mu \Delta Z_s}_{\text{local martingale}}$$

$$\int_0^t Z_s dM_s^\mu = \underbrace{\int_0^t Z_s dM_s}_{\text{local martingale}} + \underbrace{\int_0^t Z_s \mu 1_{\tau \leq t} \lambda_s ds}_{\text{local martingale}}$$

last remaining

$$\text{Note that } \begin{cases} \Delta Z_s = -\mu Z_s \Delta M_s \\ \Delta M_s^H = \Delta M_s \end{cases} \Rightarrow \sum_{0 \leq s \leq t} \Delta M_s^H \Delta Z_s = - \sum_{0 \leq s \leq t} \mu Z_s (\Delta M_s)^2.$$

$$\Delta M_s = \Delta H_s \Rightarrow (\Delta M_s)^2 = \Delta H_s$$

$$= - \sum_{0 \leq s \leq t} \mu Z_s \Delta H_s$$

$$= - \int_0^t \mu Z_s dH_s.$$

$$\Rightarrow M_t^H Z_t = \int_0^t M_s^H dZ_s + \int_0^t Z_s dM_s - \int_0^t \underbrace{\mu Z_s (dH_s - \underbrace{1_{\tau \leq s} \lambda ds}_{dM_s})}_{dM_s} \quad \#$$