

### Final Project Report

Project Name: Healthcare – Persistency of a Drug

Group Name: Decent Healthcare Analysis

Name: Tolga Yaz

E-Mail: tolgayaz1991@gmail.com

Country: Turkey

Specialization: Data Science

#### **Background – Persistency of a Drug**

- One of the challenges for pharmaceutical companies is to understand the persistency of drugs as per the physician prescription. To solve this problem a pharma company wants to automate this process of identification via using analytics.
- Main Goal: Predicting drug persistency of patients to provide better healthcare.
- Main Objective: Building a classification model to predict drug persistency of patients via using provided data and data science methods.
- The planned project lifecycle:
- 1. Problem Description, Business Understanding and Data Intake Report Preparation
- 2. Data Understanding and Exploratory Data Analysis
- 3. Eda Presentation and Proposal of Modeling Technique(s)
- 4. Model Selection and Model Building
- 5. Evaluation of Results and Final Project Report

### Basics of the Examined Data and Exploratory Data Analysis Approach

- 1 data file in .xlsx format with 2 sheets were obtained:
- **1.** Healthcare\_dataset.xlsx: Includes 2 sheets:
- a. Feature Description: Includes descriptions of main features provided.
- **b. Dataset :** Includes the data provided.
- Characteristics of the data provided:
- 3424 Observations,
- 69 Features.
- Exploration Approach:
- 1. The data was transformed into Python Pandas Dataframe to be processed.
- 2. The dataframe were observed carefully to identify and deal with the nulls and duplicates.
- 3. Analysis were done upon the dataframe file via usage of Python Libraries and Jupyter Notebooks.

# Exploratory Data Analysis Summary (Dealing with Missing Values)

- The data provided are mostly composed of categorical features (67 of 69 features are categorical with data type of object). And only 2 features have data type of int64.
- When the data were examined, no dominant outlier problem was seen.
- When the data were examined, it was seen that there are some values named "Unknown".
- The "Unknown" values were changed into NaN values to easily examine them via Pandas.
- Since we have many columns and the data are sensitive, we dropped the columns with >200 missing values and got a new dataframe without these missing values. For the "Ethnicity" column, we used mode value to fill missing values.
- The shape of the data after exploratory data analysis: (3202, 34) with a target variable (Persistency\_Flag) and 33 predictors
- The shape of the data before exploratory data analysis: (3424, 69)
- The shape of the data before exploratory data analysis: (3202, 34)

# Model Selection and Model Building (Random Forest and Logistic Regression)

- Since the data is tabular and the target value with most of the other features are categorical, the recommended ml model was "Random Forest".
- As a comparison model, Logistic Regression model was also built and tried.
- The performance of Random Forest Model was better than Logistic Regression after hyperparameter tuning.
- While deciding the success of the models, we examined the outputs below:
- 1. Confusion Matrix
- 2. Precision
- 3. Recall
- 4. F1 Score
- 5. Accuracy

# Model Selection and Model Building (Random Forest and Logistic Regression)

- Since the data is tabular and the target value with most of the other features are categorical, the recommended ml model was "Random Forest".
- As a comparison model, Logistic Regression model was also built and tried.
- The performance of Random Forest Model was better than Logistic Regression after hyperparameter tuning.
- While deciding the success of the models, we examined the outputs below:
- 1. Confusion Matrix
- 2. Precision
- 3. Recall
- 4. F1 Score
- 5. Accuracy

#### **Confusion Matrices**

<u>For Random Forest</u>	Actual Positive	Actual Negative	
Predicted Positive	528	67	
Predicted Negative	120	246	
For Logistic Regression	Actual Positive	Actual Negative	
Predicted Positive	515	80	
Predicted Negative	114	252	

#### Precision, Recall, f1 Score and Accuracy

	Precision	Recall	F1 Score	Accuracy
Random Forest	0.80	0.78	0.79	0.81
Logistic Regression	0.79	0.78	0.78	0.80

As seen Random Forest is more successful than Logistic Regression.

#### Thanks

