

LEARN SQL FROM SCRATCH Nov 27, 2018 – Jan 30, 2019

LUKASZ BOGACZYK



First- And Last-Touch Attribution Project

- 1. Get familiar with the company.
- How many campaigns and sources does CoolTShirts use and how are they related? Be sure to explain the difference between utm_campaign and utm_source. What pages are on their website?
- 2. What is the user journey?

How many first touches is each campaign responsible for?

How many last touches is each campaign responsible for?

How many visitors make a purchase?

How many last touches on the purchase page is each campaign responsible for?

What is the typical user journey?

3. Optimize the campaign budget

CoolTShirts can re-invest in 5 campaigns. Which should they pick and why?



query typed against database

```
SELECT COUNT (DISTINCT utm_campaign) AS
'number_of_campaigns'
FROM page visits
SELECT COUNT (DISTINCT utm_source) AS
'number of sources'
FROM page visits
SELECT DISTINCT utm_source,
utm_campaign
FROM page_visits
ORDER BY utm_source
```

11 FROM page_visits 12 ORDER BY utm_source 13 ; 14

query results

number_of_campaigns		
8		
	number_of_sources	
	6	
utm_source	utm_campaign	
buzzfeed	ten-crazy-cool-tshirts-facts	
email	weekly-newsletter	
email	retargetting-campaign	
facebook	retargetting-ad	
google	paid-search	
google	cool-tshirts-search	
medium	interview-with-cool-tshirts-founder	
nytimes	getting-to-know-cool-tshirts	
nytimes	getting-to-know-cool-tshirts	



CoolTShirts uses 8 different campaigns and 6 different media (sources) to get to customers. There are different campaigns that use one source to reach to customers — weekly-newsletter and retargetting-campaign are released via email, and paid-search together with cool-thirts-search are related to google source.

```
1  SELECT DISTINCT utm_source,
2  utm_campaign
3  FROM page_visits
4  WHERE utm_source IN ('email', 'google')
5  ;
6
```

utm_source	utm_campaign
email	weekly-newsletter
email	retargetting-campaign
google	paid-search
google	cool-tshirts-search

Campaign is like a theme, a set of various activities related to each other in order to get particular feedback from a customer (in our case making people to get to the website and buy one of our fancy and cool t-shirts.)

On the other hand source is the mean of conveying the ideas linked to a campaign. In our case we use Internet technologies, i.e. newsletters, paid searches, articles published across various sites.



```
1 SELECT DISTINCT page_name
2 FROM page_visits
3 ;
4
```

```
page_name
1 - landing_page
2 - shopping_cart
3 - checkout
4 - purchase
```

To check some other queries against the database, and gather more info, it may come in handy to know how many distinct clicks we gained on each page (to later calculate conversion rates).

```
SELECT COUNT (DISTINCT user_id) AS
   'dist_visits_per_page',
page_name
FROM page_visits
GROUP BY 2
ORDER BY 1 DESC
;
e :
```

dist_visits_per_page	page_name
1979	1 - landing_page
1881	2 - shopping_cart
1431	3 - checkout
361	4 - purchase
361	4 - purchase



What I found interesting is that some users have more than 4 actions on our site, so they visited landing_page and shopping_cart more than once before they decided to continue to checkout and make a purchase.

One may spot different numbers of total visits per landing_page and shopping_cart when compared to unique ones.

```
SELECT COUNT (*) AS 'total_visits'
FROM page_visits
GROUP BY page_name

;
SELECT COUNT (DISTINCT user_id) AS 'unique_visits'
FROM page_visits
GROUP BY page_name
;

GROUP BY page_name
;
```



total_visits	
2000	
1900	
1431	
361	
unique_visits	
1979	
1881	
1431	
1431 361	
361	



How many first touches is each campaign responsible for?

When we try to get first-touch-visits per campaign we see that only 4 out of 8 campaigns generated the clicks. On the other hand all campaigns are listed when it comes to checking unique clicks per purchase page. For further analysis one of the question may be:

Were some of those campaigns designed just to persuade user to buy a t-shirt when he is already familiar with our products? Or maybe they are simply unefficient?

ft_campaign	name_of_campaign	source
622	interview-with-cool-tshirts-founder	medium
612	getting-to-know-cool-tshirts	nytimes
576	ten-crazy-cool-tshirts-facts	buzzfeed
169	cool-tshirts-search	google
169		

```
WITH first touch AS (
      SELECT user id,
      MIN (timestamp) AS 'first_touch_at'
    FROM page visits
    GROUP BY user id)
    SELECT COUNT (ft.user id) AS 'ft per campaign',
      pv.utm campaign AS 'name of campaign'
    FROM first touch ft
    JOIN page visits pv
      ON ft.user id = pv.user id
11
      WHERE ft.first touch at = pv.timestamp
    GROUP BY 2
    ORDER BY 1 DESC
```



How many first touches is each campaign responsible for?

Now I would like to present how many clicks we have per landing_page and purchase per each campaign, so to clarify that some sources get more credit when we take into consideration last-touch that ends with moving to the purchase page.

```
SELECT COUNT (*) AS 'total_visits',
      utm_campaign,
      utm source
    FROM page visits
    WHERE page_name = '1 - landing_page'
    GROUP BY 2
    ORDER BY 1 DESC
    SELECT COUNT (*) AS 'total_visits',
      utm campaign,
11
      utm source
    FROM page_visits
    WHERE page name = '4 - purchase'
    GROUP BY 2
    ORDER BY 1 DESC
```

cotal_visits	utm_campaign	utm_source
625	interview-with-cool-tshirts-founder	medium
617	getting-to-know-cool-tshirts	nytimes
587	ten-crazy-cool-tshirts-facts	buzzfeed
171	cool-tshirts-search	google
total_visits	utm_campaign	utm_source
115	weekly-newsletter	email
113	retargetting-ad	facebook
54	retargetting-campaign	email
52	paid-search	google
9	getting-to-know-cool-tshirts	nytimes
9	ten-crazy-cool-tshirts-facts	buzzfeed
7	7 interview-with-cool-tshirts-founder	
2	2 cool-tshirts-search	
2	2 cool-tshirts-search	



How many first touches is each campaign responsible for?

Campaigns released via email and facebook source are responsible for the movement at checkout and purchase site. As far as I am concerned, a user who gets a newsletter or is influenced by retargetting campaign has already made a subscription to our site (or Google collected the data from our cookies and now shows each of customers attracted by our site some paid-ads related to our site). That is why those four campaigns may have different aims.

Therefore those campaigns may seem not quite efficient when it comes to get new customers, but they may be crucial in convincing people to check our site once again and buy some products.

Efficient campaigns to attract users (interview at medium.com, an article at NY Times, some facts on Buzzfeed) appear to have almost none effect to generate last-touch visits.

This may be a good point to think of any future campaign theme.

As the interview and some facts about the company aroused clients attention, maybe we can think of a virtual walk through the office? So clients can see how we work, deal with orders, how the tricks of the trade look like.



How many first touches is each campaign responsible for?

On the other hand following campaigns: weekly-newsletter, retargetting-campaign (both released via mail source), retargetting-ad campaign and paid-search give satisfactory results to generate last-touch visits.

In terms of investing money in future campaigns we should take into consideration that division as well as the aim of particular campaign.



Attribution model diversity

I searched the Internet to find any articles related to first-and-touch visits, to get some more info how those attributes are used by companies, to check if there are other approaches when forming attribution analysis. What is more, to get the answer for my question from the previous slide – could campaigns be designed to generate particular clicks?

I checked how Google deals with that. In their <u>attribution model example</u> one may spot that any single click may be associated to a diverse attribution model. Furthermore, one may notice approaches linked with linear analysis or time decay model.



Attribution model diversity

Google Attribution Modeling Overview



Last Interaction attribution model



Last Non-Direct Click



Last Google Ads Click – they count the first and only click related to paid search channel (we also use same channel via google engine, but we do not take into account such complex approach)



First Interaction



Linear Model



Attribution model diversity

Google Attribution Modeling Overview



Time Decay — this is the one that fits my conclusion about email campaigns and facebook retargetting-ad as it is explained as — model that gives more credit to the touchpoints closest to the conversion. It makes the assumption that the closer to the conversion, the more influence it had on the conversion.

Results of queries confirm my initial thoughts about type of campaign and source being used — email and facebook gets more credit as it generated more clicks on the checkout and purchase page. That is why we should not discount them for being ineffective for first-touch visits as their purpose is to be efficient to get last-touch visits.



Position Based



Attribution model diversity – thoughts

Together with some useful information from <u>How To Choose A Proven</u> <u>Marketing Attribution Model</u> I may make a conclusion that choosing an attribution model depends on the nature of our business, the data one would like to get from analysis, the complexity of campaign and sources/media used.

It is worth to mention that various attribution approaches may suit better depending on the type of campaign we run and type of source/media chosen to convey the ideas.

Instead of thinking about first-and-last touch attribution it might pay off to think of a multi-touch attribution model when we would like invest more money onto campaigns.



How many last touches is each campaign responsible for?

```
WITH last_touch AS (
  SELECT utm_campaign,
 MAX (timestamp) AS 'lt_touch_at',
 user id
FROM page visits
GROUP BY user id)
SELECT COUNT (lt.lt_touch_at) AS 'lt_campaign',
  pv.utm_campaign AS 'name_of_campaign'
FROM last_touch lt
JOIN page visits pv
ON lt.user id = pv.user id
 WHERE lt.lt touch at = pv.timestamp
GROUP BY 2
ORDER BY 1 DESC
```

lt_campaign	name_of_campaign
447	weekly-newsletter
443	retargetting-ad
245	retargetting-campaign
232	getting-to-know-cool-tshirts
190	ten-crazy-cool-tshirts-facts
184	interview-with-cool-tshirts-founder
178	paid-search
60	cool-tshirts-search
60	cool-tshirts-search



How many visitors make a purchase?

The query from previous slide does not include landing on the purchase site, as last-touch at that point may equal visiting i.e. checkout page when the last timestamp occured. That is why we need to narrow our results just to the

purchase page.

lt_campaign	name_of_campaign
114	weekly-newsletter
112	retargetting-ad
53	retargetting-campaign
52	paid-search
9	getting-to-know-cool-tshirts
9	ten-crazy-cool-tshirts-facts
7	interview-with-cool-tshirts-founder
2	cool-tshirts-search
2	cool-tshirts-search
	interview-with-cool-tshirts-founder

```
WITH last_touch AS (
      SELECT utm campaign,
      MAX (timestamp) AS 'lt touch at',
      user id
    FROM page visits
    GROUP BY user id)
    SELECT COUNT (lt.lt touch at) AS 'lt campaign',
      pv.utm campaign AS 'name of campaign'
    FROM last touch lt
    JOIN page visits pv
      ON lt.user id = pv.user id
      WHERE lt.lt touch at = pv.timestamp
12
      AND pv.page_name = '4 - purchase'
    GROUP BY 2
    ORDER BY 1 DESC
```



How many visitors make a purchase?

To be honest with You at that point I got a bit confused.

I counted visits per purchase page (361), but when I added this line

AND pv.page_name = '4 - purchase' to the query with last-touch-visits I got different number — 358. It was quite hard for me to get any useful piece of advice among our Slack community, but I guess this was because of my lack of ability to explain the issue. Were have those 3 customers gone?

When I compare tables of results it is easier to spot that discrepancy. But after watching the walkthrough video I understand this is a joint result of MAX(timestamp) clause together with counting only those who get to the

purchase page.

total_visits	lt_campaign	name_of_campaign
115	114	weekly-newsletter
113	112	retargetting-ad
54	53	retargetting-campaign
52	52	paid-search
9	9	getting-to-know-cool-tshirts
9	9	ten-crazy-cool-tshirts-facts
7	7	interview-with-cool-tshirts-founder
2	2	cool-tshirts-search
2	2	cool-tshirts-search



CoolTShirts can re-invest in 5 campaigns. Which should they pick and why?

We got 1979 unique visits per landing_page. The piramid shows that the number of customers who get to the purchase page drops significantly, mostly between checkout and purchase page.

Issues for further consideration:

- What stops people from moving to the purchase?
- ➤ Is it related to payment and shipment options thay may choose from? (high costs of products, insufficient payment forms, limited possibilites for international shipment, etc. as those are known for customer before moving to finalize the transaction.

landing_page 1979

shopping_cart 1881

checkout 1431

purchase 361



CoolTShirts can re-invest in 5 campaigns. Which should they pick and why?

As I mentioned before in order to re-invest in campaigns we should not take into account a campaign itself but we ought to consider the aim it may have together with source we would like to use. We should think complex as email and facebook campaigns failed to get first-touches, but they proved to be efficient in making people checking the site again.

That is why we should make a division of campaigns:

- Campaigns to attract new customers
- Campaigns to make people visit our site again and make a purchase

Conversion rates per first type of campaign (total of 1979 unique visits

	ft_per_campaign		name_of_campaign
	622	31,33%	interview-with-cool-tshirts-founder
	612	30,92%	getting-to-know-cool-tshirts
>	576	29,11%	ten-crazy-cool-tshirts-facts
	169	8,54%	cool-tshirts-search



CoolTShirts can re-invest in 5 campaigns. Which should they pick and why?

Now let's consider last-touches per campaign, but just stick to those that were successful in getting people's attention to our products.

As we get 358 users with last-touches on purchase page it is clear that the conversion rate for successful first-touch campaigns considerably decreased.

lt_	_campa	ign	name_of_campaign
	114		weekly-newsletter
	112		retargetting-ad
	53		retargetting-campaign
	52		paid-search
	9	2,51%	getting-to-know-cool-tshirts
	9	2,51%	ten-crazy-cool-tshirts-facts
	7	1,96%	interview-with-cool-tshirts-founder
	2	0,56%	cool-tshirts-search



Conversion rates per first type of campaign (total of 358 unique visits on purchase page



CoolTShirts can re-invest in 5 campaigns. Which should they pick and why?

However, when we count the conversion rates for campaigns of the second type (campaigns to encourage to check the site again) the numbers clearly

show their effectiveness.

I would recommend to re-invest in following campaigns.

In order to arouse people's attention:

- ➤ (1) Any interview and (2) tricksof-the-trade-like campaign with
 the use of medium and NY
 times.
- → (3) Another crazy article concerning our crazy and cool t-shirts (launched via Buzzfeed)

lt_campaign		ign	name_of_campaign
	114	31,83%	weekly-newsletter
	112	31,28%	retargetting-ad
	53	14,80%	retargetting-campaign
	52	14,53%	paid-search
	9		getting-to-know-cool-tshirts
	9		ten-crazy-cool-tshirts-facts
	7		interview-with-cool-tshirts-founder
	2	1	cool-tshirts-search

Conversion rates per second type of campaign (total of 358 unique visits on purchase page)

To encourage people to check the

site again > 4) Weekly-newsletter or (5) retargetting-campaign via mail and/or facebook