Advanced Programming 2017 Assignment 1

Tom Jager Tobias Ludwig dgr418@alumni.ku.dk fqj315@alumni.ku.dk

September 20, 2017

1 Subs $M \dots$

SubsM is a datatype that when given an argument, it returns a function that takes a context and returns either an error or a tuple containing an item and a variable environment.

```
SubsM \ a = SubsM \ \{runSubsM \ :: \ Context \ -> \ \textbf{Either} \ Error \ (a, \ Env)\}
```

It is used to evaluate expressions in the Subscript language in a given context and return either errors or the value returned from the expression with an updated variable environment. As such it can be seen as a type of state transformer. For use in the evaluator, SubsM must be implemented as an instance of a Functor and as a Monad, it also has been implemented as an Applicative functor but is not used as such yet.

1.1 Functor

The functor instance is a demonstration on how the SubsM functor should behave under the fmap function. As such when fmap is provided with a function $f:: a \rightarrow b$ and a functor fra:: SubsM a, fmap extracts the item of type a from the functor and applies it to f, re-wrapping the result into the functor. By implementing it in this fashion, all side-effects from evaluating the SubsM a are kept.

1.2 Applicative Functor

The Applicative functor instance demonstrates how SubsM behaves under the applicative operation. Pure a is used to create a functor of type a in a fashion that is side-effect free. <*> :: SubsM (a -> b) -> SubsM a -> SubsM (b) is an operation that unwraps a function and a value from the functor, applies them to each other and then re-wraps the result. It tracks all the side-effects in the process

1.3 Monad

The most powerful instance of SubsM is defining it as a Monad. This involves declaring its behavior over three functions, return, (>>=) and fail. return x is identical to pure a in that it takes a value and returns it as a SubsM monad, side-effect free. (>>=) :: SubsM a -> (a -> SubsM b) -> SubsM b takes a SubsM a, extracts the a value and returns the result of applying the function f to it. This maintains all side-effects and error handling. Finally fail s occurs when f does not receive the Monad's type as an argument and returns an error string.

1.4 Monad Laws

fail s = s

There are 3 Monad Laws which every Monad must obey. These are the Left Identity, the Right Identity and the Associativity Laws. I will demonstrate the first two with proofs and the last by example.

1.4.1 1. Left Identity (return a >>= f === f a)

```
return a >>= f
--\{By\ definition\ of\ return\}
= SubsM (\c -> Right (a, fst c)) >>= f
--\{By\ definition\ of>>=\ and\ where\ runSubsM\ (return\ a)\ c=(a,fst\ c)\}
= SubsM (\c -> runSubsM (f a) (fst c,snd c))
--\{By\ definition\ of\ runSubsM\}
= f a
1.4.2 2. Right Identity (m >>= return === m)
Case 1: runSubsM m c = Left e
(SubsM x) \gg = \mathbf{return}
--\{By \ definition \ of >>= \}
= SubsM (\c -> Left e)
--\{By\ definition\ of\ (SubsM\ x)\}
= (SubsM x)
Case 2: runSubsM m c = Right (x, env)
(SubsM x) \gg = \mathbf{return}
```

```
--{By definition of >>=}
runSubsM (return x) (env,snd c)
--{By definition of return}
= return x
--{By definition of return}
= SubsM x
```

1.4.3 3. Associativity

As associativity is a complex law to prove, I have provided and tested an example. Associativity follows with monads where $(m >>= f) >>= g === m >>= (\x -> f x >>= g)$. The following code provides an example of the two cases.

```
assocEx1 :: Int -> SubsM Int
assocEx1 n = do
    y <- do
        x <- succSubsM n
        squareSubsM x
    mult10SubsM y

assocEx2 :: Int -> SubsM Int
assocEx2 n = do
    x <- succSubsM n
    y <- squareSubsM x
    mult10SubsM y</pre>
```

As quickCheck passes on a function checking that these two examples are equivalent. We can assume the associativity law holds. This quickCheck can be performed by calling assocTest from SubsTests.

2 Implementing the Primitives

Primitives are functions from a list of values to an Either type. For each operator we generate Errors if the arguments supplied do not match the expected number or types.

strictEquals is strict because it ensures that same types are compared and no coercion works here. We do this by checking the types of the arguments and their values. A special case is the UndefinedVal which we consider to be equal to nothing, not even another UndefinedVal, thus returning always FalseVal.

lessThan works for two IntVals or two StringVals (here lexicographically).

plus is polymorphic for IntVals and StringVals like described.

mult and sub only work on IntVals.

mod catches the special case of "mod by zero" which is not defined, thus returning an error.

3 Utility functions for working with the context

modifyEnv takes an (abstract) function which is applied to the Env of the current context and returns a new one. The result is wrapped in a context where we do not need the value of the expression, setting it to ().

putVar can now use modifyEnv by just calling it with the Map.insert function passing also the name and value of the variable to be inserted. This works because Env is of type Map Ident Value. The modified environment is returned.

```
putVar :: Ident -> Value -> SubsM ()
putVar name val = modifyEnv (Map.insert name val)
```

getVar also uses modifyEnv only with the Map.lookup function providing the name and the current environment. If the variable is not in there, we report an error, if it is, just the value.

```
getVar :: Ident -> SubsM Value
getVar name = SubsM (\c -> case Map.lookup name (fst c) of
Nothing -> Left "Variable not initialised"
  (Just x) -> Right (x, fst c))
```

getFunction also performs a lookup but in the second map containing the mapping from the Subscript operators to the Primitive functions.

4 Implementation of evalExpr and runExpr

4.1 evalExpr

evalExpr :: Expr -> SubsM Value is a function that takes an expression from the Abstract Syntax Tree and provides a value for it. It returns a state transformer that will evaluate the expression on a given context.

The first five expressions are simple to evaluate as they do not change the context but simply return their corresponding value.

```
\begin{array}{lll} {\rm evalExpr} & ({\rm Number} \ x) & = {\rm SubsM} \ (\ \ c \ \ \ \ ) \ ({\rm IntVal} \ x) \ , {\bf fst} \ \ c))) \\ {\rm evalExpr} & ({\bf String} \ s) & = {\rm SubsM} \ (\ \ c \ \ \ \ ) \ ({\bf Right} \ (({\rm StringVal} \ s) \ , {\bf fst} \ \ c))) \\ {\rm evalExpr} & {\rm Undefined} & = {\rm SubsM} \ (\ \ c \ \ \ \ ) \ ({\bf Right} \ ({\bf UndefinedVal} \ , {\bf fst} \ \ c))) \\ {\rm evalExpr} & {\rm TrueConst} & = {\rm SubsM} \ (\ \ c \ \ \ \ \ ) \ ({\bf Right} \ ({\bf TrueVal} \ , {\bf fst} \ \ c))) \\ {\rm evalExpr} & {\rm FalseConst} & = {\bf SubsM} \ (\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ ) \ ({\bf Right} \ ({\bf FalseVal} \ , {\bf fst} \ \ c))) \\ \end{array}
```

The Array value requires the use of the mapM function from the Control.Monad package. This function applies the evalExpr function to each expression in the array and returns a SubsM [Value] with all the evaluated values and the side effects. This is bound to return (ArrayVal vals) so the list of evaluated values is returned as an array value and all the side effects are preserved.

```
evalExpr (Array exprs) = do
  vals <- (mapM evalExpr exprs)
  return (ArrayVal vals)</pre>
```

The expression Var Ident is evaluated by simply running getVar with the identifier and returning the resulting SubsM.

```
evalExpr (Var name) = getVar name
```

Evaluating array comprehensions is slightly more complex. In order to ensure scope rules are obeyed, the resulting monad contains the evaluated value from the comprehension but an un-altered context. This is not a perfect scope solution as it means that anything inside a comprehension does not affect the context, not just assignments in the for loop but due to time constraints this was not fixed

```
evalExpr (Compr aComp) = SubsM (\c -> case runSubsM m c of
  Left e -> (Left e)
  Right res -> (Right (fst res, fst c))) where
  m = do
    val <- evalCompr aComp
  return val</pre>
```

evalCompr is a function that evaluates an array comprehension. If the comprehension is simply an ACBody it performs evalExpr on the expression inside. For comprehensions are separated into loops across strings and loops across arrays but both perform in a similar manner. evalCompr uses mapM to perform the iter monadic function over each element of the array returning a SubsM of a list of values. This is then returned as a SubsM ArrayVal. The iterated function takes an element of an array or string and uses putVar to assign the given identifier to it in the context. The rest of the comprehension is evaluated under that context and the result is returned. In the case of neither a string nor an array being iterated through, the fail function will be called and return the default Haskell error message of incorrect type.

```
putVar name x
evalCompr comp
```

If comprehensions evaluate the expression given with the statement and binds the value of it to the variable bool. checkBool is then used to determine if the value is True, False or not a boolean value. If the value is true then the rest of the comprehension is evaluated and returned. If the value is false then an empty array is returned. This is not in the semantics of the Subscript language as it should simply not evaluate the array but we were unable to come up with a satisfactory solution in time. If the value is neither true nor false it produces the error message "If must be supplied with a boolean expression".

```
evalCompr (ACIf expr comp) = do
bool <- evalExpr expr
if checkBool bool == 1
    then evalCompr comp
    else if checkBool bool == 2
        then return (ArrayVal [])
        else SubsM (const (Left "If_must_be_supplied_with_a_boolean_expression"))
checkBool :: Value -> Int
checkBool TrueVal = 1
checkBool FalseVal = 2
checkBool == 3
```

The Call function is evaluated as follows. First the argument expressions are evaluated as a SubsM [Value] using mapM. The supplied identifier is used with getFunction to obtain a SubsM Primitive which is then run on the updated context obtained from evaluating the arguments. This reveals the correct primitive function which is applied to the list of argument values revealed earlier. The result of this is, whether it be an error or a value is then returned with the correct variable environment.

The Assign instruction simply binds the result of evaluating the given expression to a variable called val. putVar is then used to update the current context appropriately, return is used to produce the resultant SubsM Value with val as the value.

```
evalExpr (Assign name expr) = do
  val <- (evalExpr expr)
  putVar name val
  return val</pre>
```

Finally the Comma expression which evaluates one expression, followed by another. It does this by evaluating the first expression and binding the resultant value to _as it is unused. Next the second expression is performed and its value is the one returned.

```
evalExpr (Comma expr1 expr2) = do
```

```
_ <- evalExpr expr1
evalExpr expr2</pre>
```

4.2 runExpr

runExpr is a function that receives as input an expression or a program in the Subscript language. It evaluates this program over the initial context which is an empty variable environment and a procedural environment filled with all the primitive operations. If an error occurs during evaluation then the error is returned and displayed, otherwise the value evaluated from the entire expression is returned.

5 Testing

We mainly do unit testing for the operations, testing if expressions are interpreted to the right results and if the context is preserved. These come in addition to the tests that were given in the *-ast.txt files but are more specific. Property based testing seems to be not very applicable to this task because we have to create very specific constructions.

5.1 Expressions (context-independent)

The easiest thing to test are expressions like Call "+" [(Number i1), (Number i2)] because they do not change the context. To do so we wrote unit tests for the + and the * operators which can be called with two integers and return a bool if the result of the interpreted expression was correct. The same we did for string concattenation. Automating these procedures is not really useful because arithmetic should work for all numbers if it works for some.

5.2 Assignments (context-dependent)

Testing the persistence of assigned variables is done also in form of unit tests (automated random assignments do not make sense). We test this for Integers, Strings and Arrays by assigning a initial value to it which we later read out by just stating <code>Var "var"</code>. To ensure we do not confuse the readout value with the value actually returned by the assignment itself we include another expression in between assign and read.

5.3 Array Comprehensions + Scope

Lastly we have some functions for testing array comprehensions. We tested the combinations of a single ACFor, a ACFor with ACIf and multiple ACFors. They all work like expected.

The only test still failing is a function where we test whether comprehensions protect/maintain their own variables. For that purpose we assign an outer Assign "x" (Number 5) followed by a very simple Comprehension assigning ACFor "x" (Array [Number 1]). When we then test for the value of x after the Comprehension we get 1 instead of 5.

6 Conclusion

This version of the SubScript interpreter is a competent one. Error values are detected and reported but in the vast majority of correct expressions, correct values are evaluated. Whilst the scope properties for array comprehensions are not fully finished and falsely evaluating if comprehensions produce incorrect results, the rest of the interpreter works correctly.