Assignment 3 Part 2

CSE2115: Software Engineering Methods
Group 06b



Assignment 3 Part 2

by

Group 06b

Instructor: Dr. A. Panichella & F. Mulder

Teaching Assistant: M. Segers

Institution: Delft University of Technology

Place: Faculty of Electrical Engineering, Mathematics and Computer Science

Date: 20-01-2023



Contents

1	Manual Mutation Testing	•
Lis	st of Figures	7

1

Manual Mutation Testing

Introduction

We selected order microservice as core domain, because it is central to the project and communicates most frequently with other microservices.

1. StoreCommunication.getStoreIdFromLocation()

- 1. Class StoreCommunication is one of the most critical classes because it is responsible for communication with store microservice. Communication between these two microservices is crucial for the food ordering system.
- 2. A manual bug was injected in <code>getStoreIdFromLocation()</code> method on line 82. The injected bug is a relational operator replacement, in particular changing an equality to an inequality. The method was chosen based on the fact that the communication establishes the validity and identification of the store and is very important to the food ordering application. The injected bug catches if the response is not valid, but still goes though as valid.
- 3. The mutant was caught after introducing the getStoreIdFromLocationTest() in StoreCommunicationTest.

Commit link: e98bce049ed97e7d5437c3ff761f2f7b67f043e0

```
public Long getStoreIdFromLocation(String location, String token) throws Exception {

ResponseEntity<Long> responseStoreId = restTemplate.exchange(

urb storeUrl + "/qetStoreId/" + location,

HttpMethod.6ET,

createGetRequest(token),

Long.class
);

if (responseStoreId.getStatusCode() == HttpStatus.BAD_REQUEST) {

throw new Exception("Problem with location, please try again");
}

return responseStoreId.getBody();

}
```

Figure 1.1: getStoreIdFromLocation and place of the injected bug

Figure 1.2: getStoreIdFromLocationTest

2. OrderProcessorImpl.fetchAllStoreOrders()

- 1. Class OrderProcessorImpl is another critical class because it is responsible for processing the orders. It contains several important methods such as starting the order and cancelling the order.
- 2. The manually generated mutant was injected in fetchAllStoreOrders() method on line 278. The mutant is generated using the return statement replacement operator, namely replacing the returned boolean value of <code>Objects.equals()</code> method by **true** on line 282. This method is chosen because it is a core method for querying order data of a specific store from the order database. Before introducing new test, the mutant survived as the result list contains all orders from a specific store, nevertheless, the result list also contains orders of other stores and this is undesirable.
- 3. The mutant was killed by adding the testFetchAllStoreOrdersKillingMutant() in OrderProcessorTest class.

Commit link: c15a472dbb54d0b58344ca11f3a0d1eaf5b760f3

```
@Override
public Collection<Order> fetchAllStoreOrders(String token, String memberId,

String roleName, Long storeId) throws Exception {

List<Order> orders = orderRepository.findAll().stream()

.filter(x -> Objects.equals(x.getStoreId(), storeId)).collect(Collectors.toList());

283
```

Figure 1.3: fetchAllStoreOrders before mutant injection

```
@Override
public Collection<Order> fetchAllStoreOrders(String token, String memberId,

String roleName, Long storeId) throws Exception {

List<Order> orders = orderRepository.findAll().stream()

.filter(x -> true).collect(Collectors.toList());
```

Figure 1.4: fetchAllStoreOrders after mutant injection

```
429
          @Test
430 😘
          public void testFetchAllStoreOrdersKillingMutant() throws Exception {
431
              Order order1 = new Order();
432
              order1.setStoreId(1L);
433
              order1.setId(1L);
434
              Order order2 = new Order();
              order2.setStoreId(2L);
435
436
              order2.setId(2L);
437
              Order order3 = new Order();
438
              order3.setStoreId(1L);
439
              order3.setId(3L);
440
              List<Order> orders = List.of(order1, order2, order3);
441
442
              when(mockOrderRepository.findAll()).thenReturn(orders);
              when (mockStoreCommunication.validateManager( manager: "man", token: "token")).thenReturn( value: true);
443
              when(mockStoreCommunication.getStoreIdFromManager( manager: "man", token: "token")).thenReturn( value: 1L);
444
445
446
              Collection<Order> res = orderProcessor.fetchAllStoreOrders( token: "token", memberd: "man",
                       roleName: "customer", storeld: 1L);
447
448
              assertThat(res).containsExactlyInAnyOrder(order1, order3);
449
```

Figure 1.5: testFetchAllStoreOrdersKillingMutant

3. OrderEditorImpl.addPizza()

- 1. Class OrderEditorImpl is an extremely important class as it is directly responsible for directly modifying the orders upon user and admin requests. It can add new pizzas and toppings to the order, as well as remove them. Having this class be prone to mutations would be extremely detrimental to the system, potentially allowing for fraud, glitches, etc. by users adding non existent toppings, or attempting to remove data that is not even there.
- 2. A mutation was added in the addPizza() method on line 61. The bug injected is a removed method call, to the validatePizza() method in the other service. This method was chosen as it is one of the most crucial methods in this class since it is directly responsible for all the logic for adding new pizzas to the order. If a pizza is not properly verified to exist in the system, it could lead to fraud from the user's part. This bug allows the entire method to forgo the verification of a pizza and for an invalid pizza to be added to the order.
- 3. After adding the testAddValidPizza() in OrderServiceEditingTests class, the mutation was killed.

Commit link: 261fdc97286b7659306ac1a8f95b1d4f64f215b0

Figure 1.6: addPizza() before mutant injection

Figure 1.7: addPizza() after mutant injection

```
@Test
public void testAddValidPizza() throws Exception {
    Pizza pizza = new Pizza( pizzald: 666L, List.of(10L, 12L), new BigDecimal( val: "11.29"));
    when(menuCommunication.containsAllergen(pizza, memberld: "human", token: "userToken")).thenReturn( value: "");
    ordEdit.addPizza( token: "userToken", memberld: "human", orderld: 7L, pizza);
    verify(menuCommunication).validatePizza(pizza, token: "userToken");
}
```

Figure 1.8: addPizzaTest

3. MenuCommunication.validatePizza()

- 1. The MenuCommunication class is critical for our application as the user sends a list of pizza ids and topping ids to the Order Microservice. The user is allowed to input any id that they wish, so they could input an id that isn't recognized. The MenuCommunication class checks with the menu microservice if these ids are established so that the orders are only created with known id's.
- 2. We manually injected a Relational Operator Replacement mutant in the <code>validatePizza()</code> method in the <code>MenuCommunication</code> class. The <code>validatePizza()</code> method sends a request to the menu microservice to make sure that the pizza id is valid and throws an exception if the HTTP status is not an OK response or if the id is not known to the menu microservice. In order to test the mutant, we negated the equality sign when checking if the id was known to the menu microservice.
- 3. After adding the validatePizzaTest() in the MenuCommunication class, the mutation was killed.

Commit link: 95122b1575e5fa93c3279b68f02f33b47ecdcdd5

```
public void validatePizza(Pizza pizza, String token) throws Exception {
   HttpHeaders headers = makeHeader(token);
   headers.setContentType(MediaType.APPLICATION_JSON);

   Map<String, Object> map = new HashMap<>();
   map.put("id", pizza.getPizzaId());
   map.put("toppingIds", pizza.getToppings());
   HttpEntity<Map<String, Object>> entity = new HttpEntity<(map, headers);

   ResponseEntity<Boolean> response = restTemplate.postForEntity( urd: menuUrl + "/isValid", entity, Boolean.class);

   if (response.getStatusCode() ≠ HttpStatus.OK || response.getBody() = false) {
      throw new Exception("Pizza " + pizza.getPizzaId() + " is not valid");
   }
}
```

Figure 1.9: validatePizza() before mutant injection

```
public void validatePizza(Pizza pizza, String token) throws Exception {
   HttpHeaders headers = makeHeader(token);
   headers.setContentType(MediaType.APPLICATION_JSON);

   Map<String, Object> map = new HashMap<();
   map.put("id", pizza.getPizzaId());
   map.put("toppingIds", pizza.getToppings());
   HttpEntity<Map<String, Object>> entity = new HttpEntity<(map, headers);

   ResponseEntity<Boolean> response = restTemplate.postForEntity( und menuUrl + "/isValid", entity, Boolean.class);

   if (response.getStatusCode() ≠ HttpStatus.OK || response.getBody() ≠ false) {
        throw new Exception("Pizza " + pizza.getPizzaId() + " is not valid");
   }
}
```

Figure 1.10: validatePizza() after mutant injection

Figure 1.11: validatePizzaTest

List of Figures

1.1	getStoreIdFromLocation and place of the injected bug	1
1.2	getStoreIdFromLocationTest	2
1.3	fetchAllStoreOrders before mutant injection	3
1.4	fetchAllStoreOrders after mutant injection	3
1.5	testFetchAllStoreOrdersKillingMutant	3
1.6	addPizza() before mutant injection	4
1.7	addPizza() after mutant injection	5
1.8	addPizzaTest	5
1.9	validatePizza() before mutant injection	6
1.10	validatePizza() after mutant injection	6
1.11	validatePizzaTest	6