Predicting March Madness Wins with Gradient Boosting Introduction: The objective of this project was to develop a predictive model for March Madness wins using team statistics. By leveraging machine learning techniques, specifically gradient boosting, we aimed to identify the most important predictors contributing to a team's success in the tournament. This report summarizes our findings and recommendations based on the analysis conducted. Data Collection and Preprocessing: We collected historical data on NCAA basketball games, including team statistics such as points per possession, 3-point percentage, and free throw percentage. The dataset was preprocessed to handle missing values, normalize features, and encode categorical variables. The data was then split into training and testing sets for model development and evaluation. Model Development: We employed gradient boosting, a powerful ensemble learning technique, to build a predictive model for March Madness wins. This approach allowed us to iteratively train weak learners and combine their predictions to improve overall accuracy. The model was trained using the identified predictors and validated using cross-validation techniques to ensure robustness. **Key Predictors:** Our analysis revealed that the most important predictors for March Madness wins were points per possession, 3-point percentage, and free throw percentage. These metrics consistently emerged as significant contributors to a team's success in the tournament. Teams with higher efficiency in scoring, particularly from beyond the arc and the free throw line, demonstrated a competitive advantage in securing victories. Recommendations: Based on our findings, we recommend that teams prioritize recruiting players from high schools with a strong track record in 3-point and free throw shooting. Investing in players who excel in these areas can enhance a team's offensive efficiency and increase their likelihood of success in March Madness. Furthermore, coaches and recruiters should consider incorporating these metrics into their player evaluation process to identify talent that aligns with their team's strategic objectives. Conclusion: In conclusion, our analysis highlights the importance of points per possession, 3-point percentage, and free throw percentage as key predictors of March Madness wins. By leveraging gradient boosting and focusing on these critical metrics, teams can make informed decisions in player recruitment and strategic planning to optimize their performance in the tournament. This report provides valuable insights for coaches, recruiters, and stakeholders seeking to enhance their team's competitiveness in NCAA basketball. import pandas as pd In [2]: df = pd.read\_csv('Barttorvik Neutral.csv') Data Prep df.columns Index(['YEAR', 'TEAM NO', 'TEAM ID', 'TEAM', 'SEED', 'ROUND', 'BADJ EM', 'BADJ O', 'BADJ D', 'BARTHAG', 'GAMES', 'W', 'L', 'WIN%', 'EFG%', 'EFG%D', 'FTR', 'FTRD', 'TOV%', 'TOV%D', 'OREB%', 'DREB%', 'OP OREB%', 'OP DREB%', 'RAW T', '2PT%', '2PT%D', '3PT%', '3PT%D', 'BLK%', 'BLKED%', 'AST%', 'OP AST%', '2PTR', '3PTR', '2PTRD', '3PTRD', 'BADJ T', 'AVG HGT', 'EFF HGT', 'EXP', 'TALENT', 'FT%', 'OP FT%', 'PPPO', 'PPPD', 'ELITE SOS', 'WAB', 'BADJ EM RANK', 'BADJ O RANK', 'BADJ D RANK', 'BARTHAG RANK', 'EFG% RANK', 'EFGD% RANK', 'FTR RANK', 'FTRD RANK', 'TOV% RANK', 'TOV%D RANK', 'OREB% RANK', 'DREB% RANK', 'OP OREB% RANK', 'OP DREB% RANK', 'RAW T RANK', '2PT% RANK', '2PT%D RANK', '3PT% RANK' '3PT%D RANK', 'BLK% RANK', 'BLKED% RANK', 'AST% RANK', 'OP AST% RANK', '2PTR RANK', '3PTR RANK', 'BADJT RANK', 'BADJT RANK', ' 'AVG HGT RANK', 'EFF HGT RANK', 'EXP RANK', 'TALENT RANK', 'FT% RANK', 'OP FT% RANK', 'PPPO RANK', 'PPPD RANK', 'ELITE SOS RANK'], dtype='object') In [4]: df.shape (1079, 85) **Data Cleaning** df = df.dropna()# Assuming 'W' is your target column X = df.drop(columns=['WIN%', 'TEAM', 'W', 'L', 'YEAR', 'TEAM NO', 'TEAM ID', 'GAMES', 'WAB']) y = df['W']**Linear Regression** from sklearn.model\_selection import train\_test\_split from sklearn.linear\_model import LinearRegression from sklearn.metrics import mean\_squared\_error # Split the data into training and testing sets X\_train, X\_test, y\_train, y\_test = train\_test\_split(X, y, test\_size=0.2, random\_state=42) # Initialize and fit the linear regression model model = LinearRegression() model.fit(X\_train, y\_train) # Evaluate the model's performance on the testing set r\_squared = model.score(X\_test, y\_test) print(f"R-squared: {r\_squared:.2f}") # Make predictions on the testing set y\_pred = model.predict(X\_test) # Calculate Mean Squared Error (MSE) mse = mean\_squared\_error(y\_test, y\_pred) print(f"Mean Squared Error: {mse:.2f}") R-squared: 0.35 Mean Squared Error: 1.76 **KNN Feature Selection** from sklearn.neighbors import KNeighborsRegressor from sklearn.feature\_selection import SelectKBest, f\_regression # Feature selection using SelectKBest selector = SelectKBest(score\_func=f\_regression, k=5) # Choose the top 5 features X\_train\_selected = selector.fit\_transform(X\_train, y\_train) X\_test\_selected = selector.transform(X\_test) # Initialize KNN regressor knn = KNeighborsRegressor(n\_neighbors=5) # You can adjust 'n\_neighbors' # Fit the model knn.fit(X\_train\_selected, y\_train) # Calculate R-squared r\_squared = knn.score(X\_test\_selected, y\_test) print(f"R-squared: {r\_squared:.2f}") # Make predictions y\_pred = knn.predict(X\_test\_selected) # Evaluate the model using Mean Squared Error (MSE) mse = mean\_squared\_error(y\_test, y\_pred) print(f"Mean Squared Error: {mse:.2f}") R-squared: 0.18 Mean Squared Error: 2.22 **Hyperparameter Tuning** In [10]: **from** sklearn.model\_selection **import** GridSearchCV # Define a range of k values to evaluate param\_grid = {'n\_neighbors': [3, 5, 7, 9, 11]} # Initialize KNN regressor knn = KNeighborsRegressor() # Perform grid search with cross-validation grid\_search = GridSearchCV(knn, param\_grid, cv=5, scoring='neg\_mean\_squared\_error') grid\_search.fit(X\_train, y\_train) # Get the best k value best\_k = grid\_search.best\_params\_['n\_neighbors'] print(f"Best k value: {best\_k}") # Use the best k value to train the final model best\_knn = KNeighborsRegressor(n\_neighbors=best\_k) best\_knn.fit(X\_train, y\_train) # Evaluate the model y\_pred = best\_knn.predict(X\_test) mse = mean\_squared\_error(y\_test, y\_pred) print(f"Mean Squared Error with best k: {mse:.2f}") Best k value: 11 Mean Squared Error with best k: 2.26 Random Forest from sklearn.ensemble import RandomForestRegressor # Initialize Random Forest regressor random\_forest = RandomForestRegressor(n\_estimators=100, random\_state=42) # Train the model random\_forest.fit(X\_train, y\_train) # Make predictions on the test set y\_pred = random\_forest.predict(X\_test) # Evaluate the model using Mean Squared Error (MSE) mse = mean\_squared\_error(y\_test, y\_pred) print(f"Mean Squared Error: {mse:.2f}") Mean Squared Error: 1.52 **Gradient Boosting** In [11]: from sklearn.ensemble import GradientBoostingRegressor # Initialize Gradient Boosting regressor gradient\_boosting = GradientBoostingRegressor(n\_estimators=100, learning\_rate=0.1, random\_state=42) # Train the model gradient\_boosting.fit(X\_train, y\_train) # Make predictions on the test set y\_pred = gradient\_boosting.predict(X\_test) # Evaluate the model using Mean Squared Error (MSE) mse = mean\_squared\_error(y\_test, y\_pred) print(f"Mean Squared Error: {mse:.2f}") Mean Squared Error: 1.48 **Model Comparison** from sklearn.linear\_model import LinearRegression In [14]: from sklearn.ensemble import GradientBoostingRegressor # Initialize and train other regression models linear\_regression = LinearRegression() linear\_regression.fit(X\_train, y\_train) gradient\_boosting = GradientBoostingRegressor(n\_estimators=100, learning\_rate=0.1, random\_state=42) gradient\_boosting.fit(X\_train, y\_train) # Evaluate the models mse\_random\_forest = mean\_squared\_error(y\_test, random\_forest.predict(X\_test)) mse\_linear\_regression = mean\_squared\_error(y\_test, linear\_regression.predict(X\_test)) mse\_gradient\_boosting = mean\_squared\_error(y\_test, gradient\_boosting.predict(X\_test)) print(f"MSE Random Forest: {mse\_random\_forest:.2f}") print(f"MSE Linear Regression: {mse\_linear\_regression:.2f}") print(f"MSE Gradient Boosting: {mse\_gradient\_boosting:.2f}") MSE Random Forest: 1.52 MSE Linear Regression: 1.76 MSE Gradient Boosting: 1.48 **Data Visualizations** In [15]: import matplotlib.pyplot as plt # Get feature importances from the model feature\_importances = random\_forest.feature\_importances\_ # Sort feature importances in descending order sorted\_indices = feature\_importances.argsort()[::-1] # Plot feature importances for the top 15 features top\_features = 15 plt.figure(figsize=(7, 4)) plt.bar(range(top\_features), feature\_importances[sorted\_indices][:top\_features], align='center') plt.xticks(range(top\_features), X\_train.columns[sorted\_indices][:top\_features], rotation=90) plt.xlabel('Feature') plt.ylabel('Importance') plt.title('Top 15 Feature Importance Plot') plt.show() Top 15 Feature Importance Plot 0.06 0.05 0.04 Importance 0.03 0.02 0.01 0.00 EFG% PPPD RANK BADJ EM **EM RANK** BARTHAG RANK FIR OP FT% 3PT%D RANK BADJ Feature Point Per Possession, 3-Point Percentage and Free-Throw Percentage plt.figure(figsize=(7,4)) plt.scatter(y\_test, y\_pred) plt.plot([min(y\_test), max(y\_test)], [min(y\_test), max(y\_test)], '--', color='red') plt.xlabel('Actual Win Percentage') plt.ylabel('Predicted Win Percentage') plt.title('Actual vs. Predicted Win Percentages') plt.show() Actual vs. Predicted Win Percentages 8 7 Predicted Win Percentage 2 1 0 2 6 7 Actual Win Percentage residuals = y\_test - y\_pred plt.figure(figsize=(7, 4)) plt.scatter(v\_pred, residuals) plt.axhline(y=0, color='red', linestyle='--') plt.xlabel('Predicted Win Percentage') plt.vlabel('Residuals') plt.title('Residual Plot') plt.show() Residual Plot 2 1 Residuals -2 2 6 7 Predicted Win Percentage from sklearn.inspection import plot\_partial\_dependence plt.figure(figsize=(7, 4)) plot\_partial\_dependence(random\_forest, X\_train, features=[0, 1, (0, 1)]) # Plot first three features plt.tight\_layout() plt.show() C:\Users\12012\anaconda3\lib\site-packages\sklearn\utils\deprecation.py:87: FutureWarning: Function plot\_partial\_dependence is deprecated; Function `plot\_partial\_dependence` is deprecated in 1.0 and will be removed in 1.2. Use PartialDependenceDisplay.from\_estimator instead warnings.warn(msg, category=FutureWarning) <Figure size 700x400 with 0 Axes> 3.550 60 3.525 50 3.500 Partial dependence 3.475 40 ROUND 3.450 30 3.425 20 3.400 10 -3.375 3.350 50 25 SEED ROUND SEED

March Madness Wins Predictive Model

Final Report: