Discrete random processes with memory: Models and applications

Tomáš Kouřim^{1,2}, Petr Volf²

¹ Faculty of Nuclear Sciences and Physical Engineering,
Czech Technical University in Prague

²Institute of Information Theory and Automation,
Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic

November 25, 2019

Abstract

The contribution focuses on non-Markov discrete-time random processes, i.e. processes with memory, and in particular, on Bernoulli-like random walks where the past events affect significantly the future development. The main concern of the paper is therefore the formulation of models describing the dependence of transition probabilities on the process development. Such an impact can be incorporated explicitly and transition probabilities modulated using a few parameters reflecting the current state of the walk as well as the information about the past path. The behavior of proposed random walks, as well as the task of their parameters estimation, are studied both theoretically and with the aid of simulations.

Key words: Random walk, transitions dependent on history,

1 Introduction

Random process is one of the most important object of mathematics. It is well described theoretically and has real life representations in almost every aspect of human life, from physics and biology to economy and social sciences. The random process itself is merely a series of realizations of random variables. Depending on the types of the random variables and their mutual interactions random processes can be splitted into a large nuber of different categories. Most common type of a discrete random process is a random walk, a mathematical object first introduced by K.Pearson in 1905 [5]. Similarly to random processes in general, there exist many well described variations of a random walk with various applications to real life problems [7, 6]. Yet there are still new possibilities and options how to alter and improve the classical random walk and present yet another new model representing different real life events. One of such modifications is the random walk with varying step size introduced in 2010

by Turban [7] which togehter with the idea of "self-exciting point processes" [1] and the perspective of model's applications in reliability analysis and also in sports statistics, served as an inspiration to the random walk with varying probabilities introduced by Kouřim [2, 3]. In this paper, the theoretical properties of the model are described and further examined, numerical procedures of model parameters estimation are specified and the results are tested on generated data.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows....

2 Random walk with varying probabilities

The random walk with varying probabilities is based on a standard Bernoulli (zdroj) random walk with some starting transition probability p_0 . This probability is then altered after each step of the walk using a coefficient λ so that the repetition of the same step becomes less probable. Formally, it can be defined [3]

Definition 1. Let $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{P_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be sequences of discrete random variables, and $p_0 \in [0, 1]$ and $\lambda \in (0, 1)$ constant parameters, such that the first random variable X_1 is given by

$$P(X_1 = 1) = p_0$$

$$P(X_1 = -1) = 1 - p_0.$$

Further

$$P_1 = \lambda p_0 + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \lambda)(1 - X_1) \tag{1}$$

and for $i \geq 2$

$$P(X_i = 1 | P_{i-1} = p_{i-1}) = p_{i-1}$$

$$P(X_i = -1|P_{i-1} = p_{i-1}) = 1 - p_{i-1}$$

$$P_i = \lambda P_{i-1} + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \lambda)(1 - X_i). \tag{2}$$

The sequence $\{S_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, $S_N = S_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{N} X_i$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, with $S_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ some given starting position, is called a random walk with varying probabilities, with $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ being the steps of the walker and $\{P_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ transition probabilities.

From [3], it can be further derived that at each step t + k, t, k > 0 the value of a transition probability P_{t+k} can be computed from the knowledge of transition probability P_t and the realization of the walk X_{t+1}, \ldots, X_{t+k} using formula

$$P_{t+k} = P_t \lambda^{t+k} + \frac{1}{2} (1 - \lambda) \sum_{i=t+1}^{t+k} \lambda^{t+k-i} (1 - X_i).$$

2.1 Properties

Basic properties of the random walk with varying are described in [3], namely that

$$EP_t = (2\lambda - 1)^t p_0 + \frac{1 - (2\lambda - 1)^t}{2}$$
(3)

and

$$ES_t = S_0 + (2p_0 - 1)\frac{1 - (2\lambda - 1)^t}{2(1 - \lambda)}$$

for $\forall t \geq 1$. This further yields $EP_t \to \frac{1}{2}$ and $ES_t \to S_0 + \frac{2p_0 - 1}{2(1 - \lambda)}$ for $t \to +\infty$. Now to describe the variance of the transition probability, let us firstprove the following suport propositions.

Proposition 1. For $\forall t \geq 1$, it holds that

$$Var(P_t) = (3\lambda^2 - 2\lambda)^t p_0^2 + \sum_{i=1}^t K(i-1)(3\lambda^2 - 2\lambda)^{t-i} - k(t)^2,$$
 (4)

where

$$k(t) = EP_t = (2\lambda - 1)^t p_0 + \frac{1 - (2\lambda - 1)^t}{2}$$

and

$$K(t) = k(t) \cdot (-3\lambda^2 + 4\lambda - 1) + (1 - \lambda)^2.$$

Proof. To prove the proposition several support formulas has to be derived first. From the definition of variance follows

$$Var(P_t) = E(P_t^2) - E(P_t)^2.$$
 (5)

 $E(P_t)$ is given by 3, in order to prove the proposition it is sufficient to prove the following statement

$$E(P_t^2) = (3\lambda^2 - 2\lambda)^t p_0^2 + \sum_{i=1}^t K(i-1)(3\lambda^2 - 2\lambda)^{t-i}.$$
 (6)

To do so, let us first express the relation between $E(P_t^2)$ and $E(P_{t-1}^2)$ and $E(P_{t-1})$. From the definition of the expected value and the definition of the walk 2 follows

$$E(P_t^2) = E[E(P_t^2|P_{t-1}^2)] = E[E(P_t^2|P_{t-1})] =$$

$$= E[E(\lambda P_{t-1} + \frac{1}{2}(1-\lambda)(1-X_t))^2|P_{t-1}]. \tag{7}$$

Using that $E(X_t|P_{t-1})=2P_{t-1}-1$, $E(X_t^2)=1$ and further that

$$E[(1-X_t)^2|P_{t-1}] = E[(1-2X_t + X_t^2)|P_{t-1}] = E[(2-2X_t)|P_{t-1}] =$$

$$=4(1-P_{t-1}),$$

equation 7 further yields

$$E(P_t^2) = E[\lambda^2 P_{t-1}^2 + \lambda P_{t-1} (1 - \lambda) E(1 - X_t | P_{t-1}) + \frac{1}{4} (1 - \lambda)^2 E((1 - X_t)^2 | P_{t-1})] =$$

$$= E[\lambda^2 P_{t-1}^2 + 2\lambda P_{t-1} (1 - \lambda) (1 - P_{t-1}) + (1 - \lambda)^2 (1 - P_{t-1})]$$

and finally

$$E(P_t^2) = E(P_{t-1}^2)(3\lambda^2 - 2\lambda) + EP_{t-1}(-3\lambda^2 + 4\lambda - 1) + (1 - \lambda)^2.$$
 (8)

Now 6 can be proved using induction. Based on the trivial fact that $Ep_0 = p_0$ and $E(p_0)^2 = p_0^2$, for t = 1

$$E(P_1^2) = (3\lambda^2 - 2\lambda)^1 p_0^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{1} K(i-1)(3\lambda^2 - 2\lambda)^{1-i} = (3\lambda^2 - 2\lambda)p_0^2 + K(0) = 0$$

$$= (3\lambda^2 - 2\lambda)p_0^2 + ((2\lambda - 1)^0 p_0 + \frac{1 - (2\lambda - 1)^0}{2}) \cdot (-3\lambda^2 + 4\lambda - 1) + (1 - \lambda)^2 =$$

$$= (3\lambda^2 - 2\lambda)p_0^2 + p_0(-3\lambda^2 + 4\lambda - 1) + (1 - \lambda)^2,$$

and from 8 follows that the induction assumption holds. Now for the induction step $t \to t+1$ we get by substituting 6 into 8

$$E(P_{t+1}^2) = E(P_t^2)(3\lambda^2 - 2\lambda) + EP_t(-3\lambda^2 + 4\lambda - 1) + (1 - \lambda)^2 =$$

$$= ((3\lambda^2 - 2\lambda)^t p_0^2 + \sum_{i=1}^t K(i-1)(3\lambda^2 - 2\lambda)^{t-i}) \cdot (3\lambda^2 - 2\lambda) + K(t) =$$

$$= (3\lambda^2 - 2\lambda)^{t+1} p_0^2 + \sum_{i=1}^t K(i-1)(3\lambda^2 - 2\lambda)^{t+1-i} + K(t) =$$

$$= (3\lambda^2 - 2\lambda)^{t+1} p_0^2 + \sum_{i=1}^{t+1} K(i-1)(3\lambda^2 - 2\lambda)^{t+1-i}$$

and the formula thus holds. Now substituing 3 and 6 into 5 yields 4 and proves the Proposition. $\hfill\Box$

And similarly for the variance of the position of the walker, following statements can be proved.

Corollary 1. For $t \to +\infty$,

$$Var(P_t) \to \frac{\frac{1}{2}(1-\lambda^2)}{(1-3\lambda^2+2\lambda)} - \frac{1}{4}.$$

3 Random walk with varying transition probability - alternatives

3.1 Success rewarded

The basic definition of the random walk (1) presents a model, where the "success is punished", meaning the probability of an event is decreased every time that event occurs. Opposite situation can be considered, where the probability of an event is increased every time that event occurs. Formally, such a random walk is defined in a following manner [3].

Definition 2. Let $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$, p_0 and λ be as in Definition 1. Further let $\{P_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of discrete random variables given by

$$P_1 = \lambda p_0 + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \lambda)(1 + X_1) \tag{9}$$

$$P_{i} = \lambda P_{i-1} + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \lambda)(1 + X_{i}) \quad \forall i \ge 2.$$
 (10)

The sequence $\{S_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, $S_N = S_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{N} X_i$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, with $S_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ some given starting position, is a random walk with varying probabilities.

This version of the random walk presents a "success rewarded" model. In this section, all variables are considered to be related to this model, whereas the variables with the same notations (P, X, S) from previous section 2 are considered to be related to the model from Definition 1.

The "success rewarded" version of the model behaves differently than the "success punished" version, which can be observed with the help of the following propositions.

Proposition 2. For $\forall t \geq 2$,

$$P_t = p_0 \lambda^t + \frac{1}{2} (1 - \lambda) \sum_{i=1}^t \lambda^{t-i} (1 + X_i)$$
(11)

Proof. The proposition is proved using induction. For t=2 using 1 it holds that

$$P_2 = \lambda P_1 + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \lambda)(1 + X_2) = \lambda(\lambda p_0 + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \lambda)(1 + X_1)) + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \lambda)(1 + X_2) = \lambda P_1 + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \lambda)(1 + X_2) = \lambda P_2 + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \lambda)(1 + X_2) = \lambda P_1 + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \lambda)(1 + X_2) = \lambda P_2 + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \lambda)(1 + X_2) = \lambda P_2 + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \lambda)(1 + X_2) = \lambda P_2 + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \lambda)(1 + X_2) = \lambda P_2 + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \lambda)(1 + X_2) = \lambda P_2 + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \lambda)(1 + X_2) = \lambda P_2 + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \lambda)(1 + X_2) = \lambda P_2 + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \lambda)(1 + X_2) = \lambda P_2 + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \lambda)(1 + X_2) = \lambda P_2 + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \lambda)(1 + X_2) = \lambda P_2 + \frac{1}{2}(1 - \lambda)(1 + \lambda)(1$$

$$= p_0 \lambda^2 + \frac{1}{2} (1 - \lambda) \sum_{i=1}^{2} \lambda^{2-i} (1 + X_i),$$

which is in accordance with 11. Now for the induction step $t \to t+1$ we obtain from 2 and the induction assumption

$$P_{t+1} = \lambda P_t + \frac{1}{2}(1-\lambda)(1+X_{t+1}) =$$

$$= \lambda (p_0 \lambda^t + \frac{1}{2} (1 - \lambda) \sum_{i=1}^t \lambda^{t-i} (1 + X_i)) + \frac{1}{2} (1 - \lambda) (1 + X_{t+1}) =$$

$$= p_0 \lambda^{t+1} + \frac{1}{2} (1 - \lambda) \sum_{i=1}^t \lambda^{t-i+1} (1 + X_i) + \frac{1}{2} (1 - \lambda) (1 + X_{t+1}) =$$

$$= p_0 \lambda^{t+1} + \frac{1}{2} (1 - \lambda) \sum_{i=1}^{t+1} \lambda^{t+1-i} (1 + X_i).$$

Proposition 3. For $\forall t \geq 1$, $E(P_t) = p_0$.

Proof. Using $E(X_t|P_{t-1}) = 2P_{t-1} - 1$ and 10 we obtain

$$EP_t = E[E(P_t|P_{t-1})] = E[E(\lambda P_{t-1} + \frac{1}{2}(1-\lambda)(1+X_t)|P_{t-1})] =$$

$$= E[\lambda P_{t-1} + \frac{1}{2}(1-\lambda)(1+2P_{t-1}-1)] = E[\lambda P_{t-1} + (1-\lambda)P_{t-1}) =$$

$$= EP_{t-1}.$$

Recursively we get

$$EP_t = Ep_0 = p_0.$$

Now to calculate the position of the walker at a given step $t \geq 1$, it is easy to see that $E(S_t) = S_{t-1} + 2P_{t-1} - 1$. From this, we can prove the following statement about the expected position of the walker.

Proposition 4. For $\forall t \geq 1$,

$$E(S_t) = S_0 + t(2p_0 - 1).$$

Proof. Using the result of Proposition 3 we get

$$E(S_{t+1}) = E[E(S_{t+1}|S_t)] = E[S_t + (2P_{t-1} - 1)] =$$

$$= ES_t + (2p_0 - 1)$$

which recursively proves the statement.

Corollary 2. For $t \to +\infty$,

$$E(S_t) \to \begin{cases} +\infty & p_0 > \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & p_0 = \frac{1}{2} \\ -\infty & p_0 < \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$$

Proposition 5. For $\forall t \geq 1$,

$$Var(P_t) = (2\lambda - \lambda^2)^t p_0^2 + p_0(1-\lambda)^2 \sum_{i=1}^t (2\lambda - \lambda^2)^{t-i} - p_0^2.$$
 (12)

Proof. The proof will be done in several steps similar as in Proposition 1. It is based on the definition of variance

$$Var(P_t) = E(P_t^2) - E(P_t)^2. (13)$$

From Proposition 3 follows $E(P_t) = p_0$ and it is thus sufficient to prove that

$$E(P_t^2) = (2\lambda - \lambda^2)^t p_0^2 + p_0(1-\lambda)^2 \sum_{i=1}^t (2\lambda - \lambda^2)^{t-i}.$$
 (14)

The proof will be done using induction again. First observe that

$$E(P_t^2) = E[E(P_t^2|P_{t-1}^2)] = E[E(P_t^2|P_{t-1})] =$$

$$= E[E(\lambda P_{t-1} + \frac{1}{2}(1-\lambda)(1+X_t))^2|P_{t-1}] =$$

$$= EP_{t-1}^2(2\lambda - \lambda^2) + p_0(1-\lambda)^2, \tag{15}$$

where the facts that $E[(1+X_t)^2|P_{t-1}]=4P_{t-1}$, $E[(1+X_t)|P_{t-1}]=2P_{t-1}$ and Proposition 3 were used. Now for t=1 we get

$$EP_1 = p_0^2(2\lambda - \lambda^2) + p_0(1-\lambda)^2 = (2\lambda - \lambda^2)^1 p_0^2 + p_0(1-\lambda)^2 \sum_{i=1}^{1} (2\lambda - \lambda^2)^{1-i}$$

and the induction assumption holds. For the induction step $t \to t+1$ we get from 15 and the induction assumption

$$E(P_{t+1}^2) = EP_t^2(2\lambda - \lambda^2) + p_0(1 - \lambda)^2 =$$

$$= ((2\lambda - \lambda^2)^t p_0^2 + p_0(1 - \lambda)^2 \sum_{i=1}^t (2\lambda - \lambda^2)^{t-i}) \cdot (2\lambda - \lambda^2) + p_0(1 - \lambda)^2 =$$

$$= (2\lambda - \lambda^2)^{t+1} p_0^2 + p_0(1 - \lambda)^2 \sum_{i=1}^t (2\lambda - \lambda^2)^{t-i+1} + p_0(1 - \lambda)^2 =$$

$$= (2\lambda - \lambda^2)^{t+1} p_0^2 + p_0(1 - \lambda)^2 \sum_{i=1}^{t+1} (2\lambda - \lambda^2)^{t+1-i}.$$

The Proposition statement is then obtained by substituing 3 and 14 into 13.

Corollary 3. For $t \to +\infty$,

$$Var(P_t) \rightarrow p_0(1-p_0).$$

3.2 Two lambdas

Another level of complexity can be added by using separate λ parameters for each direction of the walk. Again, two ways of handling success are available. The "success punished" version is defined as follows.

Definition 3. Let $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and p_0 be as in Definition 1. Further let λ_0 , $\lambda_1 \in (0, 1)$ be constant coefficients and $\{P_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of discrete random variables given by

$$P_1 = \frac{1}{2}[(1+X_1)\lambda_0 p_0 + (1-X_1)(1-\lambda_1(1-p_0))]$$
 (16)

$$P_{i} = \frac{1}{2} [(1 + X_{i})\lambda_{0}P_{i-1} + (1 - X_{i})(1 - \lambda_{1}(1 - P_{i-1}))] \quad \forall i \ge 2.$$
 (17)

The sequence $\{S_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, $S_N = S_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{N} X_i$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, with $S_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ some given starting position, is a random walk with varying probabilities.

And the "success rewarded" version as

Definition 4. Let $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and p_0 be as in Definition 1. Further let $\lambda_0, \lambda_1 \in (0, 1)$ be constant coefficients and $\{P_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of discrete random variables given by

$$P_1 = \frac{1}{2}[(1 - X_1)\lambda_0 p_0 + (1 + X_1)(1 - \lambda_1(1 - p_0))]$$

$$P_i = \frac{1}{2}[(1 - X_i)\lambda_0 P_{i-1} + (1 + X_i)(1 - \lambda_1(1 - P_{i-1}))] \quad \forall i \ge 2.$$

The sequence $\{S_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, $S_N = S_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{N} X_i$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, with $S_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ some given starting position, is a random walk with varying probabilities.

Let us prove the following propositions describing the properties of a random walk affected by two coefficients lambda.

TODO stejne veci opet dokazat pro tuhle variantu nebo jenom obrazky

3.3 Other alternatives

The presented model of a random walk can be further developed and more versions can be derived and described. These variants include but are not limited to multidimensional walk (with either one or multiple λ parameters, again with success rewarded or success punished), a walk with the transition probability explicitly dependent on more than the last step, i.e. $P_t(k) \sim P_t(X_t, X_{t-1}, \ldots, X_{t-(k-1)})$, or the walk with λ parameter not constant, but a function of the time t, i.e. $P_t(\lambda(t))$. Detailed properties of such walks together with their possible applications on real life problems will by subject of a further study.

	$SP - 1\lambda$	$SR - 1\lambda$	$SP - 2\lambda$	$SR - 2\lambda$
Find $\overrightarrow{\lambda}$	96.9 %	34.4 %	80.2 %	77.1 %
Find p_o	92.2 %	82.8 %	89.6 %	93.8 %
Find $\overrightarrow{\lambda}$, p_0	91.4 %	84.4 %	83.3 %	79.9 %
Find model type	1.6 %	1.6 %	87.5 %	89.6 %

Table 1: Fitting results. SP stands for success punished. SR for success rewarded. 1λ vs. 2λ distinguish between the basic model with a single λ parameter and the more advanced model with two λ parameters.

4 Simulations

Testing dataset was generated in order to validate the quality of the model and its ability to be fitted on a real life problem. The data generation was performed using the Python programming language and its package Numpy. Following values of input parameters were chosen. The memory coefficient values varied in $\lambda \in \{0.5, 0.8, 0.9, 0.99\}$ and similarly the pair of memory coefficients $\bar{\lambda} = \{[0.5, 0.8], [0.5, 0.99], [0.99, 0.9]\}$. The starting transition probability was chosen from the set $p_0 = \{0.5, 0.8, 0.9, 0.99\}$ and the length of the walk was $n = \{5, 10, 50, 100\}$. For each permutation of the parameters 100 walks were generated.

Four different fitting tasks were performed on the generated dataset. Using the maximum likelihood estimate and again Python language with Numpy package the fitting tasks were>

- Find $\overrightarrow{\lambda}$ with known p_0 and model type
- Find p_0 with known $\overrightarrow{\lambda}$ and model type
- Find p_0 , $\overrightarrow{\lambda}$ with known model type
- Find model type without any prior knowledge

Table 1 shows the results of the model & parameter fitting algorithms. Ctvrty ukol bych mohl udela pomoci AIC, tam by to treba dopadlo lepe. Uvidim, jak budu stihat.

Nasimulovani ruznych druhu prochazky s ruznymi parametry. Nasledne pokus o zpetne odhaleni druhu prochazky a jejich paramteru. Budu to delat zrejme podle MLE, vyhodnocovat asi podle te nejvetsi verohodnosti, pripadne podle goodness-of-fit

5 Conclusion

This work follows up on the recent results on random walks with varying probabilities. It describes and proves certain properties of such a walk, other properties have been studied numerical methods. The study also shows the results

of the maximum likelihood estimation of parameters using numerical optimization procedures. The method has been tested successfully on a set of randomly generated data. The presented model has also many possible uses in real life application. Such a type of random walk describes especially well processes where either a single or just a small number of events can significantly affect the future development of the process. Such processes can be found in reliability analysis, medical as well as econometric studies, and very often in sports modeling. The authors recently published a study where the success rewarded model was applied to predict the *in-play* development of a Grand Slam tennis matches with compelling results when used for live betting against a bookmaker [4]. The source code containing all functionality mentioned in this article is freely available as open source at GitHub (https://github.com/tomaskourim/amistat2019).

Acknowledgement

The research was supported by the grant No. 18-02739S of the Grant Agency of the Czech Republic.

References

- [1] Alan G Hawkes. Spectra of some self-exciting and mutually exciting point processes. *Biometrika*, 58(1):83–90, 1971.
- [2] Tomáš Kouřim. Random walks with varying transition probabilities. *Doktorandské dny FJFI*, 2017. Available at http://kmwww.fjfi.cvut.cz/ddny/historie/17-sbornik.pdf.
- [3] Tomáš Kouřim. Statistical analysis, modeling and applications of random processes with memory. *PhD Thesis Study*, *ČVUT FJFI*, 2019.
- [4] Tomáš Kouřim and Petr Volf. Tennis match as random walk with memory: Application to grand slam matches modelling. Submitted to IMA Journal of Management Mathematics, 2019.
- [5] Karl Pearson. The problem of the random walk. Nature, 72(1865):294, 1905.
- [6] Gunter M Schütz and Steffen Trimper. Elephants can always remember: Exact long-range memory effects in a non-markovian random walk. *Physical Review E*, 70(4):045101, 2004.
- [7] Loïc Turban. On a random walk with memory and its relation with markovian processes. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, 43(28):285006, 2010.