

eyetools: an R package for open-source analysis of eye data

Tom Beesley and Matthew Ivory

Lancaster University

Author Note

Tom Beesley  <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2836-2743>

Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Tom Beesley, Lancaster University, Department of Psychology, Lancaster University, UK, LA1 4YD, UK, Email: t.beesley@lancaster.ac.uk

Abstract

10

11 Abstract goes here

12 *Keywords:* eye-tracking; fixations; saccades; areas-of-interest

eyetools: an R package for open-source analysis of eye data

Eye tracking is now an established and widely used technique in the behavioural sciences. Psychology is perhaps the scientific discipline which has seen the most substantial adoption of eye-data research, where eye-tracking systems are now commonplace in centres of academic research. Beyond academic institutions, eye-tracking continues to be a useful tool in understanding consumer behaviour, user-interface design, and in various forms of entertainment.

By recording the movement of an individual's gaze during research studies, researchers can quantify where and how long individual's look at particular regions of space (usually with a focus on stimuli presented on a 2D screen, but also within 3D space). Eye-tracking provides a rich stream of continuous data and therefore can offer powerful insights into real-time cognitive processing. Such data allow researchers to inspect the interplay of cognitive processes such as attention, memory, and decision making, with high temporal precision ([Beesley et al., 2019](#)).

While there are abundant uses and benefits of collecting eye-movement data in psychology experiments, the continual stream of recording can lead to an overwhelming amount of raw data: modern eye-trackers can record data at 1000 Hz and above, which results in 3.6 million rows of data per hour. The provision of suitable computational software for data reduction and processing is an important part of eye-tracking research. The companies behind eye-tracking devices offer licensed software that will perform many of the necessary steps for eye-data analysis. However, there are several disadvantages to using such proprietary software in a research context. Firstly, the software will typically have an ongoing license cost for continual use. Secondly, the algorithms driving the operations within such software are not readily available for inspection. Both of these important constraints mean that the use of proprietary analysis software will lead to a failure to meet the basic open-science principle of analysis reproduction, for example as set out by the UK Reproducibility Network: "We expect researchers to... make their research methods, software, outputs and data open, and available at the earliest possible point... The reproducibility of both research methods and research results ...is critical to research in certain contexts, particularly in the experimental sciences with a quantitative focus..."

In the current article we introduce a new toolkit for eye-data processing and analysis called “*eyetools*”, which takes the form of an R package. R packages (like R itself) are free to use without licence and are therefore available for any user across the world. The package provides a (growing) number of functions that provide an efficient and effective means to conduct basic eye-data analysis. *eyetools* is built with academic researchers in the psychological sciences in mind, though there is no reason why the package would not be effective more generally. The functions within the package reflect steps in a comprehensive analysis workflow, taking the user from initial handling of raw eye data, to summarising data for each period of a procedure, to the visualisation of the data in plots. We hope that the functions are simple enough to mean that the package is easy to use for researchers who are unfamiliar with eye data analysis. It should also appeal to researchers accustomed to working with eye data in other environments who wish to transfer to working in R.

eyetools is, of course, not the only package in R that allows users to work with eye data. A recent survey of CRAN (The Comprehensive R Archive Network) identified six other packages that offer relevant functions for the analysis of eye data. **eyeTrackr**, **eyelinker**, and **eyelinkReader**, all offer functionality for data only from experiments that have used ‘EyeLink’ trackers (S-R Research). In contrast, *eyetools* provides functions that are hardware-agnostic, relying on a format of data that can be achieved from any source. The **eyeRead** package is designed for the analysis of eye data from reading exercises. The **emov** package offers a limited set of functions and is primarily designed for fixation detection, using the same dispersion method employed in *eyetools*. Finally, **eyetrackingR** is perhaps the most comprehensive alternative package available on CRAN. *eyetrackingR* offers a large suite of functionality and, like *eyetools*, can be applied across the entire pipeline. It has functions for cleaning data and various plotting functions, including analysis over time. It does not feature algorithms regarding the detection of events such as saccades or fixations. This limits the ability to conduct more bespoke analysis steps and it means that analysis needs to be conducted on raw data. This is disadvantageous both in terms of computing time and in the open sharing of data (event data are an order of magnitude

smaller in size than raw data).

	Hardware-	Data	Data	Identifies		Inferential
Package	agnostic	Import	processing	events	Plotting	Analysis
eyetools	✓	✓*	✓	✓	✓	
eyeTracker		✓	✓	✓		
eyelinker		✓				
eyelinkReader		✓		✓	✓	
eyeRead	✓		✓	✓**		
emov	✓		✓	✓		
eyetrackingR	✓		✓		✓	✓

* for Tobii data only, ** for text reading experiments only

In this tutorial we demonstrate the pipeline of analysis functions within *eyetools*. The package has been designed to be simple to use by someone with basic knowledge of data handling and analysis in R. This tutorial is separated into X distinct sections. [this next will need to be updated once the ms is complete] In the first section, we briefly describe the basic methodology of collecting eye data in general, and in regard to the specific dataset we use to illustrate all the functionality of the *eyetools* package. The second section covers the process for getting data from an eye tracker into an *eyetools*-friendly format. The third section introduces the foundational functions of the *eyetools* package, from repairing and smoothing eye data, to calculating fixations and saccades, and detecting time spent in Areas of Interest (AOIs). The fourth section takes the processed data, and applies basic analysis techniques commonplace in eye data research. In the fifth and final section, we reflect on the benefits of the *eyetools* package, including contributions to open science practices, reproducibility, and providing clarity to eye data analysis.

Installing eyetools

eyetools is available on CRAN and can be installed with the command `install.packages("eyetools")`. Instructions for installing development versions can be

found at the package repository: <https://github.com/tombeesley/eyetools/>. Once installed, the package can be loaded into R with the command `library(eyetools)`.

Preparing data for eyetools

Since there is a wide range of eye tracking hardware available for researchers to use, *eyetools* currently offers only a limited number of functions for converting raw data from specific hardware. The `hdf5_to_dataframe()` function is designed to work with output from PsychoPy experiments connected to modern Tobii hardware, and will take this default format of raw data and convert it into the simplified raw data format required for *eyetools*.

The *eyetools* package has been developed primarily with the analysis of experimental psychology data in mind. To this end, many of the functions expect a “trial” variable in the data, such that the algorithms will operate over multiple trials and produce output that retains this trial information. Similarly, data in psychology experiments tends to come from multiple participants, and to facilitate analysis, a “pID” column is required (even if data from only a single participant is used). This means that the user can avoid having to generate additional programming steps to analyse and combine the data from multiple participants. It is quite typical in psychology experiments for there to be multiple periods within a trial, e.g., fixation; stimulus presentation; response feedback; inter-trial-interval. *eyetools* does not interpret these changes automatically, and so it is necessary to first select the data for the period or periods that are of interest for analysis. Analysis on each period would be conducted separately using the functions in *eyetools*.

The starting point for the analysis pipeline is the preparation of the raw eye data, which will consist of recorded samples from the eye-tracker, with each row in the data reflecting a single time-stamped recording. If the eye-tracker is set at 1000Hz, then consecutive recordings will be 1 millisecond of time apart; at 300Hz, the recordings are 3.33 milliseconds apart. The only requirement for the time column is that the values reflect a consistent and increasing set of values. There is no need to specify the sampling rate, since *eyetools* functions will calculate this automatically. *eyetools* expects raw data to have the following columns:

- x = horizontal spatial coordinate of the estimated eye position

- `y` = vertical spatial coordinate of the estimated eye position
- `time` = timestamp of the recording
- `trial` = the index of the current trial in the data
- `pID` = the unique identifier for the data from each participant

The first four columns should be set as type numeric, while “`pID`” can be numeric, character, or factor. The order of the columns is not important. Missing values in the `x` and `y` columns of the raw data must be expressed as “`NA`”.

For many methods of eye-tracking, binocular data will be produced. In such cases, since the primary aim of our analyses is the estimation of the spatial coordinates of gaze, the function `combine_eyes()` should be used to combine the data to form a set of monocular data. This function takes raw data with coordinates for each eye (i.e., `left_x`, `right_x`, `left_y`, `right_y`), and converts the data into single `x` and `y` coordinates. By default, the function does this by taking an average of the coordinates from the two eyes of each timestamp, but it is also possible to select data from the eye with the lowest proportion of missing samples. This returns a flattened list of participant data that has `x` and `y` variables in place of the `left_*` and `right_*` variables.

```
head(HCL,4) # first 4 rows of the built-in data
```

```
# A tibble: 4 x 7
  pID    time left_x left_y right_x right_y trial
  <chr> <dbl>  <dbl>  <dbl>   <dbl>   <dbl> <dbl>
1 118      0  909.   826.  1003.   808.     1
2 118      3  912.   829.  1001.   812.     1
3 118      7  912.   826.  1010.   813.     1
4 118     10  908.   824.  1006.   807.     1
```

```
data <- combine_eyes(HCL) # create monocular data

head(data, 4) # first 4 rows of the monocular data
```

```
128   pID time trial      x      y
129 1 118   0     1 955.8583 816.5646
130 2 118   3     1 956.5178 820.6221
131 3 118   7     1 960.7383 819.7616
132 4 118  10     1 956.9727 815.3331
```

133 **Repairing missing data and smoothing data**

134 Despite the best efforts of the researcher, there are occasional failures in the accurate
135 recording of the eye position during data collection (e.g., blinks). This results in missing data
136 within the stream of samples, which must be represented in eyetools as NA values for the x and y
137 coordinates. To mitigate the impact of missing data on further analysis, the `interpolate()`
138 function can estimate the missing gaze data, based upon the eye coordinates before and after the
139 missing data, and perform a repair. The default method of linear interpolation (“approx”) replaces
140 missing values with a line of constant slope and evenly spaced coordinates reaching the existing
141 data (alternatively a cubic “spline” method can be applied).

```
data <- interpolate(data,
                    method = "approx")
```

142 When using `interpolate()`, a report can be requested on the proportion of missing data
143 that has been replaced. This parameter changes the output format of the function, and returns a list
144 of both the data and the report. The report alone can be easily accessed in the following way:


```

interpolate(data,
            method = "approx",
            report = TRUE)[[2]]

```

```

145   pID missing_perc_before missing_perc_after
146 1 118           0.02314313           0.001157156
147 2 119           0.01214128           0.000000000

```

As shown, not all missing data has been replaced, since there are certain periods in which the missing data span a period longer than the default setting of the “maxgap” parameter, which is 150 ms.

Once interpolation has been performed, a common step is to smooth the eye data to minimise the effect of measurement error on the data. The function `smoother()` reduces the noise in the data by applying a moving average function. The degree of smoothing can be specified, and a plot can be generated (using data from a randomly selected trial) to observe how well the smoothed data fits the raw data.

```

data <- smoother(data,
                 span = .02,
                 plot = TRUE)

```

Working with eyetools

Having explained these rudimentary steps of getting the data ready for the main analysis, we will now describe the core functions available in the latest version of eyetools. For illustration, eyetools has a built in data set that meets the required format. The data set consists of data from two participants from a few trials of a human causal learning study (Beesley et al., 2015). The nature of this experiment is largely unimportant for the current purposes, but for clarity, the data were collected from the decision period of the procedure, where two rectangular cue stimuli were presented in the top half of the screen, one on the left side and one on the right side. Two smaller

response options were presented in the lower half of the screen, one above the other. Participants simply had to look at the cues and choose a response. These raw data can be accessed by calling HCL and the associated “areas of interest” (described later) can be called with HCL_AOIs.

Counterbalanced designs

Many psychology experiments will counterbalance the position of important stimuli on the screen. In the example data, there are two stimuli, with one of these appearing on the left side of the screen and the other on the right. In the design of the experiment, one of these stimuli can be considered a “target” and the other a “distractor”, and the experiment counterbalances whether these are positioned in a left/right or a right/left arrangement across trials. In order to provide a meaningful analysis of the eye position over all trials, it is necessary to standardise the data, such that the resulting analyses reflect meaningful eye gaze on each type of stimulus (target or distractor).

eyetools has a built in function, `conditional_transform()`, which allows us to *transform* the x and/or y values of the stimuli so as to take into account a counterbalancing variable. This function currently allows for a single-dimensional transformation, across either the horizontal or vertical midline. It can be used on raw data or fixation data; we simply need to append a column to the data to reflect the counterbalancing variable. The result of the function is a set of data in which the x (and/or y) position is consistent across counterbalanced conditions (e.g., in our example, we can transform the data so that the target cue is always on the left). This transformation is especially useful for future visualisations and calculation of time on areas of interest.

In the example code, we have merged the eye data with a set of “trial_events” data that describe the events on each trial. We can apply `conditional_transform()` and specify the relevant column (`cue_order`) that controls the counterbalancing, and the relevant value that signals a switch of position (here the value “2”). By default the function expects a resolution of 1920x1080, but custom resolutions can be specified. The resulting transformation of the data will mean that the data is normalised such that the target stimulus is always positioned on the left side

191 of the screen.

```
# merges with the common variables pNum and trial
data <- merge(data, HCL_behavioural)

# perform a transformation of the data across the x coordinate midline
# for all trials with value 2 in the column cue_order
data <- conditional_transform(data,
                              flip = "x",
                              cond_column = "cue_order",
                              cond_values = "2")
```

192 **Fixations**

193 Once the data has been repaired and smoothed, a core step in eye data analysis is to
194 identify fixations ([Salvucci & Goldberg, 2000](#)). Broadly, a fixation is defined as a period in which
195 the eye stops moving and is held in a specific location for a significant period of time (typically
196 longer than 100 ms, Salvucci and Goldberg (2000)). The period in which the eyes are moving
197 between fixations reflects a “saccade”. While the eyes move during these brief (typically less than
198 50 ms) periods of movement, significant perceptual suppression occurs and there is minimal
199 information processing ([Duren & Sanders, 1995](#); [Irwin et al., 1995](#); [Sanders & Houtmans, 1985](#)).
200 Therefore for many cognitive psychologists, the periods of fixation are particularly important and
201 reflect the most relevant periods of information processing in a task.

202 The raw data can be transformed into these meaningful eye data characteristics. Beyond
203 their importance for understanding psychological processes, transforming the data into fixations
204 and saccades leads to greater computational efficiency. For example, the built in HCL data in
205 eyetools is 479 kb, which contains 31,041 rows of data (from just 12 trials of data). After
206 processing the data for fixations, the resulting data is 269 rows and can be saved as 3.8 kb, less
207 than 1% the size of the raw data. Not only is this more computationally efficient, but it also means

the data are now in a far more practical format for storage in online data repositories.

There are two fixation algorithms offered in the *eyetools* package, both based on methods presented by (Salvucci & Goldberg, 2000). The first, `fixation_dispersion()` seeks periods of low variability in the spatial component of the data; the algorithm looks for sufficient periods of time in which the gaze position remains within a tolerated maximum range of dispersion. Once this range is exceeded, this is deemed to be the end of a possible period of fixation. If the total time of this fixation period is longer than the minimum required (set by the `min_dur` parameter), then this fixation is stored as an entry in the returned object.

The second algorithm, `fixation_VTI()`, employs a velocity-threshold approach to identifying fixations, based on the algorithm described in (Salvucci & Goldberg, 2000). Since points of fixation occur when the eye is not in consistent motion, the algorithm computes the euclidean distance between points and then determines the velocity of the eye. Periods in which this velocity is consistently below the velocity threshold (for which the default is 100 degrees of visual angle per second) are identified as a potential period of fixation. The algorithm then applies a dispersion check to ensure that the eye maintains a relatively stable position across this period. Fixations must be of a minimum length for classification (by default 150 ms).

Here we can see the example data passed to the `fixation_dispersion()` algorithm and the resulting fixations that are returned.

```
fixations <-  
  fixation_dispersion(data,  
    min_dur = 150, # Min duration in ms  
    disp_tol = 100, # Max dispersion tolerance in pixels  
    NA_tol = 0.25, # proportion of NAs tolerated  
    progress = FALSE) # toggle progress bar  
  
head(fixations, 4)
```

```

226   pID trial fix_n start  end duration    x    y prop_NA min_dur disp_tol
227 1 118     1     1    0  173      173  959 811      0    150     100
228 2 118     1     2   197 397      200  961 590      0    150     100
229 3 118     1     3   400 653      253  958 490      0    150     100
230 4 118     1     4   803 1083     280 1372 839      0    150     100

```

231 Saccades

232 Between periods of fixation, the velocity of the eye increases rapidly as it makes a saccade
 233 towards the next point of fixation. The `saccade_VTI()` function will extract saccades using the
 234 velocity threshold algorithm described above. Details of each saccade are given, such as the
 235 timing of the saccade onset, duration, and the origin and terminal coordinates. As with the
 236 fixation algorithms, default parameters have been chosen, but can be adapted to fit the
 237 requirements of the user.

```

saccades <- saccade_VTI(data,
                        threshold = 150,
                        min_dur = 20)

head(saccades, 4)

```

```

238   pID trial sac_n start  end duration origin_x origin_y terminal_x terminal_y
239 1 118     1     1  2180 2240      60 833.2688 296.7871   487.3967   705.9158
240 2 118     1     2  2710 2750      40 614.5028 605.7001   862.3837   408.3421
241 3 118     1     3  3673 3726      53 885.6256 253.4150   558.1883   655.7776
242 4 118     1     4  4213 4233      20 460.3286 722.8386   577.2034   617.8567
243   mean_velocity peak_velocity
244 1      225.0736      331.8455
245 2      200.3353      263.8863
246 3      243.7927      340.3059

```

247 4 195.6512 251.7763

248 **Area of interest (AOI) analysis**

249 A critical component in many analyses of eye gaze is the assessment of time spent in
250 regions of space. *eyetools* has a number of functions for assessing the time spent in Areas of
251 Interest (AOIs), as well as the sequence in which the eye enters and exits these areas. AOIs will
252 typically reflect regions of space in which critical stimuli appear. AOIs are defined in *eyetools*
253 using a dataframe object, which codes the centrepoint of the AOI in x, y coordinates along with
254 the width and height (if the AOIs are rectangular) or just the radius (if circular). This object can
255 be created using the function `create_AOI_df()`:

```
# set areas of interest
AOI_areas <- create_AOI_df(3)

# populate this dataframe with AOI dimensions
# (x, y, width/radius, height)
AOI_areas[1,] <- c(460, 840, 400, 300) # Left rectangular AOI
AOI_areas[2,] <- c(1460, 840, 200, NA) # Right circular AOI
AOI_areas[3,] <- c(960, 840, 200, 400) # Centre rectangular AOI
```

256 Time spent in AOIs can also be calculated from either fixations or raw data using the
257 `AOI_time()` function. This calculates the time spent in each AOI per trial. The resulting output
258 can be expressed in the form of absolute time, or, by passing a vector of times to the “`trial_time`”
259 parameter, can be expressed as proportional time.

```
data_AOI_time <-
  AOI_time(data = fixations,
           data_type = "fix",
           AOIs = HCL_AOIs,
```

```

    AOI_names = c("target", "distractor", "outcomes"),
    as_prop = TRUE,
    trial_time = HCL_behavioural$RT)

head(data_AOI_time, 9)

```

```

260   pID trial      AOI      time
261 1 118     1   target 0.1043446
262 2 118     1 distractor 0.2488674
263 3 118     1  outcomes 0.4041589
264 4 118     2   target 0.1380248
265 5 118     2 distractor 0.1702220
266 6 118     2  outcomes 0.4816758
267 7 118     3   target 0.1391737
268 8 118     3 distractor 0.1080352
269 9 118     3  outcomes 0.5397965

```

270 We can see from the resulting data that the function provides time on each AOI for each
 271 trial. Used in combination with the `conditional_transform()` function, `AOI_time()` provides
 272 a very efficient way to quickly assess time on critical regions of space. Since the data is in long
 273 format, it can be easily processed further with common techniques in R:

```

library(dplyr)

data_AOI_time %>%
  group_by(AOI) %>%
  summarise(mean_time = mean(time))

```

```

274 # A tibble: 3 x 2

```

```

275   AOI          mean_time
276   <fct>        <dbl>
277  1 target      0.201
278  2 distractor  0.237
279  3 outcomes    0.365

```

280 The `AOI_time_binned()` function can assess total duration of time on AOIs, divided into
 281 sequential time bins. Since fixations will naturally overlap these segments in many circumstances,
 282 this functions works only with raw data. Here we are assessing time in the three AOIs for periods
 283 of 1000 ms, limiting analysis to the first 8000 ms.

```

data_AOI_time_binned <-
  AOI_time_binned(data,
    AOIs = HCL_AOIs,
    AOI_names = c("predictive", "non-predictive", "target"),
    bin_length = 1000, # in milliseconds
    max_time = 8000) # in milliseconds

head(data_AOI_time_binned, 10)

```

```

284   pID trial bin_n predictive non-predictive target
285  1  118    1    1          0           217    337
286  2  118    1    2          0           187    757
287  3  118    1    3         460            0    430
288  4  118    1    4         270            0    680
289  5  118    1    5         220            0    593
290  6  118    1    6          0           630    337
291  7  118    1    7          0           797     0
292  8  118    1    8          0           370     0

```



```

293 9 118      2      1      617      0      0
294 10 118     2      2      167      0     800

```

295 It is also possible to determine the sequence of entries into AOIs using the `AOI_seq()`
 296 function. This function currently works only with fixation data. For a given trial, the sequence of
 297 fixations is assessed against the AOIs provided, where consecutive fixations within the same AOI
 298 are combined into one “entry period”. The result of this function is a sequence of AOI entries per
 299 trial for each participant, providing data on the sampling order of AOIs. The resulting output
 300 provides start and end times and duration of each entry.

```

data_AOI_entry <-
  AOI_seq(fixations,
          AOIs = HCL_AOIs,
          AOI_names = c("target", "distractor", "outcomes"))

head(data_AOI_entry, 9)

```

```

301  pID trial      AOI start  end duration entry_n
302  1 118      1  outcomes  400  653      253      1
303  2 118      1 distractor  803 1083      280      2
304  3 118      1  outcomes 1233 2120      887      3
305  4 118      1   target  2260 2666      406      4
306  5 118      1  outcomes  2760 3646      886      5
307  6 118      1   target  3753 4116      363      6
308  7 118      1  outcomes  4286 5323     1037      7
309  8 118      1 distractor  5403 6772     1369      8
310  9 118      1 distractor  7652 9272     1620      9

```


311 Visualisations

312 The eyetools package has a number of built in visualisations that allow for functional plots
313 of the data, with minimal effort. All plots use the dominant graphical R package ggplot, which
314 means that the resulting plots from these functions are ggplot objects and can therefore be
315 customised using the full suite of options for ggplot and its extensions.

316 `plot_spatial()` offers a simple means to view the data produced by *eyetools*. By default
317 this will plot all of the data that is passed to the function, but participant IDs and trial values can
318 be specified in order to plot specific data. Here we plot the raw data from a single trial for one
319 participant, with the detected fixations overlaid. When using fixation data, the fixations are
320 labelled in their temporal order (by default), enabling a clear presentation of how the fixations
321 arose.

```
# will tidy this up once eyetools functions can filter to individual participants

plot_spatial(raw_data = data,
              fix_data = fixations,
              pID_values = 118,
              trial_values = 6)
```




322

323 In addition to eye data, an image can be added to the plot, which is useful for inspecting
 324 data over a representation of the experimental task. If AOIs have been defined, these can be
 325 plotted as well. Here we demonstrate the plotting of the saccades, AOIs, and a background image:

```
plot_spatial(sac_data = saccades,
             AOIs = HCL_AOIs,
             pID_values = 118,
```

```
trial_values = 6,
bg_image = "images/HCL_sample_image.png")
```




326

327 The function `plot_seq()` is useful for visualising data as a series of plots, mapping out
 328 eye movements over the course of a single trial. By default this function will plot a randomly
 329 selected trial from the raw data that is passed to the function. Otherwise, specific trials and
 330 participant values can be specified. The function requires a “bin_time” parameter, that specifies
 331 the length of each time-period within the trial. An optional parameter of “bin_range” can be

332 specified to restrict the range of these periods that are presented. For example here we plot data in
333 periods of 1000 ms across the first four of these periods.

```
plot_seq(data = data,  
         bin_time = 1000,  
         bin_range = c(1,4),  
         trial_values = 1,  
         pID_values = 118,  
         AOIs = HCL_AOIs,  
         bg_image = "images/HCL_sample_image.png")
```



The `plot_AOI_growth()` function offers the representation of how an individual (on a single trial) spends their time looking at the different AOIs. This can be useful to see how AOIs are interacted with over time, and this can be presented as either a cumulative over time, or as a proportion of the time spent in the trial.

```
# plot absolute and then proportional
plot_AOI_growth(data = data,
                 AOIs = HCL_AOIs,
```


```

type = "abs",
pID_values = 118,
trial_values = 1)
plot_AOI_growth(data = data,
AOIs = HCL_AOIs,
type = "prop",
pID_values = 118,
trial_values = 1)

```

Figure 1

Examples of the absolute and proportional time plots from `plot_AOI_growth()`




339 A heatmap of eye gaze positions can be generated using `plot_heatmap()` which takes
 340 raw data as an input. As a function, and unlike many of the processing steps, it does not
 341 differentiate between trials or participants and plots any coordinate data it is given. This
 342 behaviour is allowed as the heatmap offers an excellent and fast “sanity check” that participants
 343 were, on the whole, looking at the expected areas of the experiment screen during the trials. As

can be seen in Figure [Figure 2](#), we can be reassured that participants do indeed spend most of their time looking at the stimuli on screen rather than in the empty space. `plot_heatmap()` also allows for the modification of the amount of data displayed, using the `alpha_control` parameter. By decreasing `alpha_control` in Figure [?@fig-heatmap-alpha-update](#), we gain more visualised information and we can still see that the majority of the data is kept within the stimuli and saccades between these areas.

```
plot_heatmap(data, bg_image = "images/HCL_sample_image.png")
```


Figure 2

A heatmap overlaid upon a sample stimuli image demonstrating where the participants looked most over all trials



Testing and development

Eyetoools is open source software that is therefore free to use and open to further development. We have created this package for use in analyses in our own laboratory, as well as

applying it to other other experimental data. However, our testing of the functions is not extensive, given the unfunded nature of the project. Below we list the

During development, *eyetools* was tested using data collected from a Tobii Pro Spectrum eye tracker recording at 300Hz. Screen resolutions were constant at 1080x1920 pixels, and the timestamps were converted to millisecond resolution. The functions are designed to be robust enough to work with data from any hardware provided the data is formatted to *eyetools* expectations. However, *eyetools* has not been tested on a diverse range of datasets at this stage.

Some default behaviours are in-built, but are easily overridden such as parameters for resolution in the plotting functions. Similarly `saccade_VTI()` and `fixation_VTI()` were tested with 300Hz data. For these functions, as the frequency increases, the relative saccadic velocities will be lower meaning that the thresholds need to be reduced. This is important to note when working with data that is not recorded at 300Hz. To circumvent the potential issue of sample rates being an issue, by default functions that require a sample rate will deduce the frequency from the data rather than needing it to be specified.

Discussion

In the present tutorial, we began by identifying the current gap in available tools for working with eye data in open-science pipelines. We then provided an overview of the general data collection process required for eye tracking research, before detailing the conversion of raw eye data into a useable *eyetools* format. We then covered the entire processing pipeline using functions available in the *eyetools* package that included the repairing and normalising the data, and the detection of events such as fixations, saccades, and AOI entries.

@SOMETHING_ON_THE_ANALYSIS_GOES_HERE.

From a practical perspective, this tutorial offers a step-by-step walkthrough for handling eye data using R for open-science, reproducible purposes. It provides a pipeline that can be relied upon by novices looking to work with eye data, as well as offering new functions and tools for experienced researchers. By enabling the processing and analysis of data in a single R environment it also helps to speed up data analysis.

Advantages of Open-Source Tools

eyetools offers an open-source toolset that holds no hidden nor proprietary functionality. The major benefits of open-source tools are extensive, but the main ones include the ability to explore and engage with the underlying functions to ensure that

A collaborative community - with open source tools, if an unmet need is identified, then the community can work to provide a solution.

Good Science Practices with eyetools

Creating savepoints (like having processed raw data, and then post-fixation calculation). Reduces the need to completely rework workflows if an issue is detected as savepoints can be used to ensure that computationally-intense or time-heavy processes are conducted as infrequently as possible.

Data Availability

The data required for reproducing this tutorial is available at: @URL. A condensed version of the dataset (starting with the `combine_eyes()` function) is a dataset in the *eyetools* package called HCL.

Code Availability

The code used in this tutorial is available in the reproducible manuscript file available at:(IF STORING IN GITHUB, THEN WE NEED TO CREATE A ZENODO SNAPSHOT FOR A DOI RATHER THAN JUST A GITHUB LINK)

References

- Beesley, T., Nguyen, K. P., Pearson, D., & Le Pelley, M. E. (2015). Uncertainty and predictiveness determine attention to cues during human associative learning. *Quarterly Journal of Experimental Psychology*, 68(11), 2175–2199.
- <https://doi.org/10.1080/17470218.2015.1009919>
- Beesley, T., Pearson, D., & Le Pelley, M. (2019). *Chapter 1 - eye tracking as a tool for examining cognitive processes* (G. Foster, Ed.; pp. 1–30). Academic Press.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-813092-6.00002-2>

Duren, L. L. van, & Sanders, A. F. (1995). Signal processing during and across saccades. *Acta Psychologica*, 89(2), 121–147. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0001-6918\(94\)00029-G](https://doi.org/10.1016/0001-6918(94)00029-G)

Irwin, D. E., Carlson-Radvansky, L. A., & Andrews, R. V. (1995). Information processing during saccadic eye movements. *Acta Psychologica*, 90(1), 261–273.

[https://doi.org/10.1016/0001-6918\(95\)00024-O](https://doi.org/10.1016/0001-6918(95)00024-O)

Salvucci, D. D., & Goldberg, J. H. (2000). *the symposium*. 71–78.

<https://doi.org/10.1145/355017.355028>

Sanders, A. F., & Houtmans, M. J. M. (1985). There is no central stimulus encoding during saccadic eye shifts: A case against general parallel processing notions. *Acta Psychologica*,

60(2), 323–338. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0001-6918\(85\)90060-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/0001-6918(85)90060-5)