

Algebraic Topology Notes

Thomas Chaplin

1 Simplicial Homology

The **standard k -simplex** is

$$\Delta^k := \left\{ (x_0, \dots, x_k) \in \mathbb{R}^{k+1} \mid \sum_{i=0}^k x_i = 1, \quad x_i \geq 0 \right\}$$

Given $v_0, \dots, v_k \in \mathbb{R}^N$ we define the **simplex** by

$$[v_0, \dots, v_k] := \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^k x_i v_i \mid \sum_{i=0}^k x_i = 1, \quad x_i \geq 0 \right\}$$

so that $\Delta^k = [e_0, \dots, e_k]$.

This yields an obvious map $\sigma : \Delta^k \rightarrow [v_0, \dots, v_k]$

$$\sigma(x_0, \dots, x_k) = \sum_{i=0}^k x_i v_i$$

We will often, confusingly, denote this map $[v_0, \dots, v_k]$.

We say v_0, \dots, v_k are **in general position** if they do not lie on any $(k-1)$ -dimensional affine subspace.

Proposition 1.1. v_0, \dots, v_k are in general position $\iff \sigma$ is a homeomorphism.

We can then define the map $i_j : \Delta^{k-1} \rightarrow \Delta^k$ to be the map $[e_0, \dots, e_{j-1}, e_{j+1}, \dots, e_k]$. This map then parametrises the face opposite the vector e_j . The union of the $k-1$ dimensional faces of $[v_0, \dots, v_k]$ is its **boundary** and its **interior** is $[v_0, \dots, v_k]$.

A **Δ -complex structure** on a space X is a collection of maps $\sigma_\alpha : \Delta^k \rightarrow X$ for varying k such that

1. $\sigma_\alpha : (\Delta^k)^\circ \rightarrow X$ is injective and each point of X lies in the image of exactly one interior.
2. If $\sigma_\alpha : \Delta^k \rightarrow X$ is in the collection then $\sigma_\alpha \circ i_j : \Delta^{k-1} \rightarrow X$ is also for $j = 0, \dots, k$.
3. $U \subseteq X$ is open $\iff \sigma_\alpha^{-1}(U)$ is open in Δ^k for all α .

We then define the spaces of formal sum of simplices

$$\Delta_n(X) := \left\{ \sum m_\alpha \sigma_\alpha^n \mid \text{formal sums with integer coeffs and } \sigma_\alpha^n \text{ are } n\text{-simplices} \right\}$$

and then we can define the boundary operator $\partial_n : \Delta_n(X) \rightarrow \Delta_{n-1}(X)$ by

$$\partial_n(\sigma_\alpha^n) = \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j (\sigma_\alpha^n \circ i_j) = \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j \sigma_\alpha^n|_{[e_0, \dots, \hat{e}_j, \dots, e_n]}$$

where \hat{e}_j means that we omit the j 'th vertex.

Then the loops are elements in $\ker \partial_n$ but we don't care about loops that are themselves the boundaries of higher order simplices since these loops can be contracted through the higher-order simplices.

We define the n 'th homology of the Δ -complex structure to be

$$H_n^\Delta := \frac{\ker(\partial_n)}{\text{im}(\partial_{n+1})}$$

2 Singular Homology

Simplicial homology is very computable but has a number of problems that prevent from having far reaching consequences to topology.

1. $\Delta_n(X)$ depends on the choice of Δ complex structure so perhaps H_n^Δ does too?
2. We would like functoriality. If X, Y have Δ -complex structures and $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous, how do we then define a homomorphism $H_n^\Delta(X) \rightarrow H_n^\Delta(Y)$?

These problems can be remedied by studying the more complicated and seemingly incomputable singular homology. Instead of considering only simplices $\Delta^n \rightarrow X$ in the Δ complex structure we allow all continuous maps $\Delta^n \rightarrow X$ which we call **singular n -simplices**.

$C_n(X) := \{\text{finite formal sums of singular } n\text{-simplices}\} = \text{Free AbGr on singular } n\text{-simplices}$

We can then define $\partial_n(X) \rightarrow C_{n-1}(X)$ in exactly the same way as before and then define **singular homology**

$$H_n(x) := \frac{\ker \partial_n}{\text{im } \partial_{n+1}}$$

Note:

$$\partial_n \circ \partial_{n+1} = 0$$

2.1 Functoriality

If we have a continuous map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ then we can define

$$f_\# : C_n(X) \rightarrow C_n(Y), \quad \sigma \mapsto f \circ \sigma$$

on the n -simplices and then extend it to all of $C_n(X)$ linearly so that

$$f_\# \left(\sum_\alpha m_\alpha \sigma_\alpha \right) = \sum_\alpha m_\alpha (f \circ \sigma_\alpha)$$

Lemma 2.1.

$$\partial(f_{\#}\sigma) = f_{\#}(\partial\sigma)$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}\partial(f_{\#}\sigma) &= \partial((f \circ \sigma)) = \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j (f \circ \sigma) \circ i_j \\ f_{\#}(\partial\sigma) &= f_{\#} \left(\sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j (\sigma \circ i_j) \right) = \sum_{j=0}^n (-1)^j f \circ (\sigma \circ i_j)\end{aligned}$$

□

We can also extend this result to any formal sum of n -simplices in $C_n(X)$. Hence $f_{\#}$ induces a **morphism of chain complexes**. The morphism arises due to this commutativity and it is of chain complexes because we have $\partial^2 = 0$.

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \dots & \longrightarrow & C_{n+1}(X) & \xrightarrow{\partial_{n+1}} & C_n(X) & \xrightarrow{\partial_n} & C_{n-1}(X) \longrightarrow \dots \\ & & \downarrow f_{\#} & & \downarrow f_{\#} & & \downarrow f_{\#} \\ \dots & \longrightarrow & C_{n+1}(X) & \xrightarrow{\partial_{n+1}} & C_n(X) & \xrightarrow{\partial_n} & C_{n-1}(X) \longrightarrow \dots \end{array}$$

Corollary 2.2. *From this diagram we can see that*

1. $f_{\#}(\underbrace{\ker \partial_n}_{\subseteq C_n(X)}) \subseteq \underbrace{\ker \partial_n}_{\subseteq C_n(Y)}.$
2. $f_{\#}(\text{im } \partial_n) \subseteq \text{im } \partial_n.$

and hence $f_{\#}$ induces a homomorphism $f_* : H_n(X) \rightarrow H_n(Y)$ because if you differ by an element of $\text{im } \partial_{n+1}$ in $H_n(X)$ then you will still differ by an image element in $H_n(Y)$.

Elements of $\ker \partial$ are called **cycles** and elements of $\text{im } \partial$ are called **boundaries**.

$$B_n(X) := \text{im } \partial_{n+1} \subseteq C_n(X)$$

$$Z_n(X) := \ker \partial_n \subseteq C_n(X)$$

so the $H_n(X) = \frac{Z_n}{B_n}$ and the induced map f_* is given by

$$f_*(c + B_n(X)) := f_{\#}(c) + B_n(Y)$$

Theorem 2.3.

$$(f \circ g)_* = f_* \circ g_* \quad \text{and} \quad (id_X)_* = id_{H_n(X)} \quad \forall n$$

and hence singular homology is a functor.

This implies the important result that

$$X \underbrace{\cong}_{\text{homeo}} Y \implies H_n(X) \underbrace{\cong}_{\text{iso}} H_n(Y) \quad \forall n$$

2.2 Basic computation of singular homology

Here are some important results.

Theorem 2.4.

$$H_n(\{pt\}) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } n = 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } n > 0 \end{cases}$$

Note: Δ^n is path connected so every continuous map $\sigma : \Delta^n \rightarrow X$ falls in one path component. Hence

$$C_n(X) = \bigoplus_{\text{path components } X_i} C_n(X_i)$$

Moreover $\partial_n(C_n(X_i)) \subseteq C_{n-1}(X_i)$ so the chain complexes of the path components are independent of one another and hence we have

$$H_n(X) = \bigoplus_{X_i} H_n(X_i)$$

Theorem 2.5. X path connected $\iff H_0(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}$.

2.3 Reduced Homology

It often makes sense to add one extra space to the chain complex under to state results more succinctly, so we modify the chain complex as so

$$\dots \longrightarrow C_n(X) \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow C_1(X) \xrightarrow{\partial_1} C_0(X) \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0$$

where we introduce anew map $\epsilon : C_0(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ which just sums coefficients:

$$\epsilon \left(\sum m_\alpha [p_\alpha] \right) \mapsto \sum m_\alpha$$

then we define the **reduced homologies** to be the same $\tilde{H}_n(X) = H_n(X)$ for $n > 0$ but then

$$\tilde{H}_0(X) := \frac{\ker \epsilon}{\text{im } \partial_1} \neq H_0(X)$$

We can realise the relation of H_0 and \tilde{H}_0 by the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \ker \epsilon & \xrightarrow{i} & C_0(X) \\ \downarrow \overline{\text{im } \partial_1} & & \downarrow \overline{\text{im } \partial_1} \\ \tilde{H}_0(X) & \xrightarrow{i} & H_0(X) \end{array}$$

This diagram commutes and hence the map ϵ passes to the quotient to define

$$\bar{\epsilon} : H_0(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$$

and then $\tilde{H}_0(X) = \ker \bar{\epsilon}$.

3 Exact sequences

A sequence of abelian groups A_n and homomorphisms ϕ_n is a **complex** if $\text{im } \phi_{n+1} \subseteq \ker \phi_n$ for all n .

$$\dots \xrightarrow{\phi_{n+2}} A_{n+1} \xrightarrow{\phi_{n+1}} A_n \xrightarrow{\phi_n} A_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\phi_{n-1}} \dots$$

The complex is **exact** if $\text{im } \phi_{n+1} = \ker \phi_n$ for all n .

Note: Whenever we have such a chain complex we can assign the n -th homology to be

$$\frac{\ker \phi_n}{\text{im } \phi_{n+1}}$$

So assuming that X is non-empty we get the following exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \tilde{H}_0(X) \hookrightarrow H_0(X) \xrightarrow{\bar{\epsilon}} \mathbb{Z} \longrightarrow 0$$

Given $A \subseteq X$ both topological spaces then we say (X, A) is a **pair**. Further, we say they are a **good pair** if A is a deformation retract of some neighbourhood in X .

Theorem 3.1. *If (X, A) is a good pair then there exists a long exact sequence*

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \tilde{H}_n(A) & \xrightarrow{i_*} & \tilde{H}_n(X) & \xrightarrow{q_*} & \tilde{H}_n\left(\frac{X}{A}\right) \\ & & & & \searrow \partial & & \\ & & \tilde{H}_{n-1}(A) & \xrightarrow{i_*} & \tilde{H}_{n-1}(X) & \xrightarrow{q_*} & \tilde{H}_{n-1}\left(\frac{X}{A}\right) \\ & & & & \searrow \dots & & \\ & & \tilde{H}_0(A) & \xrightarrow{i_*} & \tilde{H}_0(X) & \xrightarrow{q_*} & \tilde{H}_0\left(\frac{X}{A}\right) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

We can then use this long exact sequence with the pair (S^{n-1}, D^n) .

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \tilde{H}_n(S^{n-1}) & \xrightarrow{i_*} & \tilde{H}_n(D^n) & \xrightarrow{q_*} & \tilde{H}_n\left(\frac{D^n}{S^{n-1}}\right) \\ & & & & \searrow \partial & & \\ & & \tilde{H}_{n-1}(S^{n-1}) & \xrightarrow{i_*} & \tilde{H}_{n-1}(D^n) & \xrightarrow{q_*} & \tilde{H}_{n-1}\left(\frac{D^n}{S^{n-1}}\right) \\ & & & & \searrow \dots & & \\ & & \tilde{H}_0(S^{n-1}) & \xrightarrow{i_*} & \tilde{H}_0(D^n) & \xrightarrow{q_*} & \tilde{H}_0\left(\frac{D^n}{S^{n-1}}\right) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Thanks to the exactness of this sequence we see that

$$\tilde{H}_n(S^{n-1}) \cong \tilde{H}_n\left(\frac{D^n}{S^{n-1}}\right) \cong \tilde{H}_n(S^n)$$

and hence

$$\tilde{H}_k(S^n) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k < n \\ \mathbb{Z} & \text{if } k = n \\ 0 & \text{if } k > n \end{cases}$$

We will often find **short exact sequences** which are sequences that look like

$$0 \longrightarrow A \hookrightarrow B \twoheadrightarrow C \longrightarrow 0$$

in which case the first isomorphism theorem tells us that $C \cong \frac{B}{A}$.

4 Relative homologies

Given a pair (X, A) we can define the **relative chain group**

$$C_n(X, A) := \frac{C_n(X)}{C_n(A)}$$

i.e. we consider two continuous maps into X to be the same if they agree on $X \setminus A$.

Now our maps ∂_n pass to the quotient by

$$\bar{\partial}_n(c + C_n(A)) := \partial_n(c) + C_{n-1}(A)$$

which is well defined because the boundary of a chain in A is still a chain in A . We still get $\bar{\partial}_n \circ \bar{\partial}_{n+1} = 0$ and hence we can define the **relative homology groups**

$$H_n(X, A) := \frac{\ker \bar{\partial}_n}{\operatorname{im} \bar{\partial}_{n+1}}$$

i++i

5 Useful Results

Lemma 5.1. *A continuous bijection from a compact space to a Hausdorff space is a homeomorphism.*

Proposition 5.2. *Given an equivalent relation \sim and a continuous map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ that respects the relation, $\frac{f}{\sim} : \frac{X}{\sim} \rightarrow Y$ is also continuous.*