




Lecture 5. Ensemble Learning

Crowd intelligence

Joaquin Vanschoren

Ensemble learning

- If different models make different mistakes, can we simply average the predictions?
- Voting Classifier: gives every model a vote on the class label
 - Hard vote: majority class wins (class order breaks ties)
 - Soft vote: sum class probabilities $p_{m,c}$ over M models: $\operatorname{argmax}_c \sum_{m=1}^M w_c p_{m,c}$
 - Classes can get different weights w_c (default: $w_c = 1$)





Ensemble learning

- Why does this work?
 - Different models may be good at different 'parts' of data (even if they underfit)
 - Individual mistakes can be 'averaged out' (especially if models overfit)
- Which models should be combined?
- Bias-variance analysis teaches us that we have two options:
 - If model underfits (high bias, low variance): combine with other low-variance models
 - Need to be different: 'experts' on different parts of the data
 - Bias reduction. Can be done with **Boosting**
 - If model overfits (low bias, high variance): combine with other low-bias models
 - Need to be different: individual mistakes must be different
 - Variance reduction. Can be done with **Bagging**
- Models must be uncorrelated but good enough (otherwise the ensemble is worse)
- We can also *learn* how to combine the predictions of different models: **Stacking**

Decision trees (recap)


- Representation: Tree that splits data points into leaves based on tests
- Evaluation (loss): Heuristic for purity of leaves (Gini index, entropy,...)
- Optimization: Recursive, heuristic greedy search (Hunt's algorithm)
 - Consider all splits (thresholds) between adjacent data points, for every feature
 - Choose the one that yields the purest leafs, repeat



Evaluation (loss function for classification)


- Every leaf predicts a class probability \hat{p}_c = the relative frequency of class c
- Leaf impurity measures (splitting criteria) for L leafs, leaf l has data X_l :
 - Gini-Index: $Gini(X_l) = \sum_{c \neq c'} \hat{p}_c \hat{p}_{c'}$
 - Entropy (more expensive): $E(X_l) = - \sum_{c \neq c'} \hat{p}_c \log_2 \hat{p}_c$
 - Best split maximizes *information gain* (idem for Gini index)

$$Gain(X, X_i) = E(X) - \sum_{l=1}^L \frac{|X_{i=l}|}{|X_i|} E(X_{i=l})$$




Regression trees

- Every leaf predicts the *mean* target value μ of all points in that leaf
- Choose the split that minimizes squared error of the leaves: $\sum_{x_i \in L} (y_i - \mu)^2$
- Yields non-smooth step-wise predictions, cannot extrapolate




Impurity/Entropy-based feature importance

- We can measure the importance of features (to the model) based on
 - Which features we split on
 - How high up in the tree we split on them (first splits are more important)



On under- and overfitting

- We can easily control the (maximum) depth of the trees as a hyperparameter
- Bias-variance analysis:
 - Shallow trees have high bias but very low variance (underfitting)
 - Deep trees have high variance but low bias (overfitting)
- Because we can easily control their complexity, they are ideal for ensembling
 - Deep trees: keep low bias, reduce variance with **Bagging**
 - Shallow trees: keep low variance, reduce bias with **Boosting**









Bagging (Bootstrap Aggregating)

- Obtain different models by training the *same* model on *different training samples*
 - Reduce overfitting by averaging out individual predictions (variance reduction)
- In practice: take I bootstrap samples of your data, train a model on each bootstrap
 - Higher I : more models, more smoothing (but slower training and prediction)
- Base models should be unstable: different training samples yield different models
 - E.g. very deep decision trees, or even randomized decision trees
 - Deep Neural Networks can also benefit from bagging (deep ensembles)
- Prediction by averaging predictions of base models
 - Soft voting for classification (possibly weighted)
 - Mean value for regression
- Can produce uncertainty estimates as well
 - By combining class probabilities of individual models (or variances for regression)


Random Forests

- Uses *randomized trees* to make models even less correlated (more unstable)
 - At every split, only consider `max_features` features, randomly selected
- Extremely randomized trees: considers only 1 random threshold for random set of features (faster)



Effect on bias and variance

- Increasing the number of models (trees) decreases variance (less overfitting)
- Bias is mostly unaffected, but will increase if the forest becomes too large (oversmoothing)




In practice

- Different implementations can be used. E.g. in scikit-learn:
 - `BaggingClassifier`: Choose your own base model and sampling procedure
 - `RandomForestClassifier`: Default implementation, many options
 - `ExtraTreesClassifier`: Uses extremely randomized trees
- Most important parameters:
 - `n_estimators` (>100 , higher is better, but diminishing returns)
 - Will start to underfit (bias error component increases slightly)
 - `max_features`
 - Defaults: \sqrt{p} for classification, $\log_2(p)$ for regression
 - Set smaller to reduce space/time requirements
 - parameters of trees, e.g. `max_depth`, `min_samples_split`, ...
 - Prepruning useful to reduce model size, but don't overdo it
- Easy to parallelize (set `n_jobs` to -1)
- Fix `random_state` (bootstrap samples) for reproducibility


Out-of-bag error

- RandomForests don't need cross-validation: you can use the out-of-bag (OOB) error
- For each tree grown, about 33% of samples are out-of-bag (OOB)
 - Remember which are OOB samples for every model, do voting over these
- OOB error estimates are great to speed up model selection
 - As good as CV estimates, although slightly pessimistic
- In scikit-learn: `oob_error = 1 - clf.oob_score_`



Feature importance

- RandomForests provide more reliable feature importances, based on many alternative hypotheses (trees)



Other tips

- Model calibration
 - RandomForests are poorly calibrated.
 - Calibrate afterwards (e.g. isotonic regression) if you aim to use probabilities
- Warm starting
 - Given an ensemble trained for I iterations, you can simply add more models later
 - You *warm start* from the existing model instead of re-starting from scratch
 - Can be useful to train models on new, closely related data
 - Not ideal if the data batches change over time (concept drift)
 - Boosting is more robust against this (see later)

Strength and weaknesses

- RandomForest are among most widely used algorithms:
 - Don't require a lot of tuning
 - Typically very accurate
 - Handles heterogeneous features well (trees)
 - Implicitly selects most relevant features
- Downsides:
 - less interpretable, slower to train (but parallelizable)
 - don't work well on high dimensional sparse data (e.g. text)

Adaptive Boosting (AdaBoost)

- Obtain different models by *reweighting* the training data every iteration
 - Reduce underfitting by focusing on the 'hard' training examples
- Increase weights of instances misclassified by the ensemble, and vice versa
- Base models should be simple so that different instance weights lead to different models
 - Underfitting models: decision stumps (or very shallow trees)
 - Each is an 'expert' on some parts of the data
- Additive model: Predictions at iteration I are sum of base model predictions
 - In Adaboost, also the models each get a unique weight w_i

$$f_I(\mathbf{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^I w_i g_i(\mathbf{x})$$

- Adaboost minimizes exponential loss. For instance-weighted error ε :

$$\mathcal{L}_{Exp} = \sum_{n=1}^N e^{\varepsilon(f_I(\mathbf{x}))}$$

- By deriving $\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial w_i}$ you can find that optimal $w_i = \frac{1}{2} \log\left(\frac{1-\varepsilon}{\varepsilon}\right)$

AdaBoost algorithm

- Initialize sample weights: $s_{n,0} = \frac{1}{N}$
- Build a model (e.g. decision stumps) using these sample weights
- Give the *model* a weight w_i related to its weighted error rate ε

$$w_i = \lambda \log\left(\frac{1 - \varepsilon}{\varepsilon}\right)$$

- Good trees get more weight than bad trees
- Logit function maps error ε from [0,1] to weight in [-Inf, Inf] (use small minimum error)
- Learning rate λ (shrinkage) decreases impact of individual classifiers
 - Small updates are often better but requires more iterations
- Update the sample weights
 - Increase weight of incorrectly predicted samples: $s_{n,i+1} = s_{n,i} e^{w_i}$
 - Decrease weight of correctly predicted samples: $s_{n,i+1} = s_{n,i} e^{-w_i}$
 - Normalize weights to add up to 1
- Repeat for I iterations

AdaBoost variants


- Discrete Adaboost: error rate ε is simply the error rate (1-Accuracy)
- Real Adaboost: ε is based on predicted class probabilities \hat{p}_c (better)
- AdaBoost for regression: ε is either linear ($|y_i - \hat{y}_i|$), squared ($(y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2$), or exponential loss
- GentleBoost: adds a bound on model weights w_i
- LogitBoost: Minimizes logistic loss instead of exponential loss

$$\mathcal{L}_{Logistic} = \sum_{n=1}^N \log(1 + e^{\varepsilon(f_I(\mathbf{x}))})$$


Adaboost in action

- Size of the samples represents sample weight
- Background shows the latest tree's predictions


Base model 1, error: 0.35, weight: 0.31




Base model 5, error: 0.34, weight: 0.34




Base model 37, error: 0.41, weight: 0.19



Base model 59, error: 0.38, weight: 0.25




Examples



Bias-Variance analysis

- AdaBoost reduces bias (and a little variance)
 - Boosting is a *bias reduction* technique
- Boosting too much will eventually increase variance



Gradient Boosting

- Ensemble of models, each fixing the remaining mistakes of the previous ones
 - Each iteration, the task is to predict the *residual error* of the ensemble
- Additive model: Predictions at iteration I are sum of base model predictions
 - Learning rate (or *shrinkage*) η : small updates work better (reduces variance)

$$f_I(\mathbf{x}) = g_0(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{i=1}^I \eta \cdot g_i(\mathbf{x}) = f_{I-1}(\mathbf{x}) + \eta \cdot g_I(\mathbf{x})$$

- The *pseudo-residuals* r_i are computed according to differentiable loss function
 - E.g. least squares loss for regression and log loss for classification
 - Gradient descent: *predictions* get updated step by step until convergence

$$g_i(\mathbf{x}) \approx r_i = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}(y_i, f_{i-1}(\mathbf{x}_i))}{\partial f_{i-1}(\mathbf{x}_i)}$$

- Base models g_i should be low variance, but flexible enough to predict residuals accurately
 - E.g. decision trees of depth 2-5

Gradient Boosting Trees (Regression)

- Base models are regression trees, loss function is square loss: $\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2}(y_i - \hat{y}_i)^2$
- The pseudo-residuals are simply the prediction errors for every sample:


$$r_i = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \hat{y}} = -2 * \frac{1}{2}(y_i - \hat{y}_i) * (-1) = y_i - \hat{y}_i$$

- Initial model g_0 simply predicts the mean of y
- For iteration $m = 1..M$:
 - For all samples $i=1..n$, compute pseudo-residuals $r_i = y_i - \hat{y}_i$
 - Fit a new regression tree model $g_m(\mathbf{x})$ to r_i
 - In $g_m(\mathbf{x})$, each leaf predicts the mean of all its values
 - Update ensemble predictions $\hat{y} = g_0(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{m=1}^M \eta \cdot g_m(\mathbf{x})$
- Early stopping (optional): stop when performance on validation set does not improve for nr iterations


Gradient Boosting Regression in action

- Residuals quickly drop to (near) zero


Residual prediction step 1




Total prediction step 1




Residual prediction step 4




Total prediction step 4



Residual prediction step 10



Total prediction step 10



Gradient Boosting Algorithm (Classification)

- Base models are *regression* trees, predict probability of positive class p
 - For multi-class problems, train one tree per class
- Use (binary) log loss, with true class $y_i \in 0, 1$:
$$\mathcal{L}_{log} = - \sum_{i=1}^N [y_i \log(p_i) + (1 - y_i) \log(1 - p_i)]$$
- The pseudo-residuals are simply the difference between true class and predicted p :


$$-\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \hat{y}} = -\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \log(p_i)} = -(y_i + p_i) = y_i - p_i$$

- Initial model g_0 predicts $p = \log(\frac{\#positives}{\#negatives})$
- For iteration $m = 1..M$:
 - For all samples $i=1..n$, compute pseudo-residuals $r_i = y_i - p_i$
 - Fit a new regression tree model $g_m(\mathbf{x})$ to r_i
 - In $g_m(\mathbf{x})$, each leaf predicts $\frac{\sum_i r_i}{\sum_i p_i(1-p_i)}$
 - Update ensemble predictions $\hat{y} = g_0(\mathbf{x}) + \sum_{m=1}^M \eta \cdot g_m(\mathbf{x})$
- Early stopping (optional): stop when performance on validation set does not improve for nr iterations


Gradient Boosting Classification in action

- Size of the samples represents the residual weights: most quickly drop to (near) zero


Base model 1, error: 131.60




Base model 5, error: 86.46




Base model 17, error: 35.41



Base model 59, error: 8.27




Examples




Bias-variance analysis

- Gradient Boosting is very effective at reducing bias error
- Boosting too much will eventually increase variance



Feature importance

- Gradient Boosting also provide feature importances, based on many trees
- Compared to RandomForests, the trees are smaller, hence more features have zero importance



Gradient Boosting: strengths and weaknesses

- Among the most powerful and widely used models
- Work well on heterogeneous features and different scales
- Typically better than random forests, but requires more tuning, longer training
- Does not work well on high-dimensional sparse data

Main hyperparameters:

- `n_estimators` : Higher is better, but will start to overfit
- `learning_rate` : Lower rates mean more trees are needed to get more complex models
 - Set `n_estimators` as high as possible, then tune `learning_rate`
 - Or, choose a `learning_rate` and use early stopping to avoid overfitting
- `max_depth` : typically kept low (<5), reduce when overfitting
- `max_features` : can also be tuned, similar to random forests
- `n_iter_no_change` : early stopping: algorithm stops if improvement is less than a certain tolerance `tol` for more than `n_iter_no_change` iterations.

Extreme Gradient Boosting (XGBoost)

- Faster version of gradient boosting: allows more iterations on larger datasets
- Normal regression trees: split to minimize squared loss of leaf predictions
 - XGBoost trees only fit residuals: split so that residuals in leaf are more *similar*
- Don't evaluate every split point, only q *quantiles* per feature (binning)
 - q is hyperparameter (`sketch_eps`, default 0.03)
- For large datasets, XGBoost uses *approximate quantiles*
 - Can be parallelized (multicore) by chunking the data and combining histograms of data
 - For classification, the quantiles are weighted by $p(1 - p)$
- Gradient descent sped up by using the second derivative of the loss function
- Strong regularization by pre-pruning the trees
- Column and row are randomly subsampled when computing splits
- Support for out-of-core computation (data compression in RAM, sharding,...)

XGBoost in practice

- Not part of scikit-learn, but `HistGradientBoostingClassifier` is similar
 - binning, multicore,...
- The `xgboost` python package is sklearn-compatible
 - Install separately, `conda install -c conda-forge xgboost`
 - Allows learning curve plotting and warm-starting
- Further reading:
 - [XGBoost Documentation](#)
 - [Paper](#)
 - [Video](#)

LightGBM

Another fast boosting technique

- Uses *gradient-based sampling*:
 - use all instances with large gradients/residuals (e.g. 10% largest)
 - randomly sample instances with small gradients, ignore the rest
 - intuition: samples with small gradients are already well-trained.
 - requires adapted information gain criterion
- Does smarter encoding of categorical features


CatBoost

Another fast boosting technique

- Optimized for categorical variables
 - Uses bagged and smoothed version of target encoding
- Uses symmetric trees: same split for all nodes on a given level aka
 - Can be much faster
- Allows monotonicity constraints for numeric features
 - Model must be a non-decreasing function of these features
- Lots of tooling (e.g. GPU training)

Stacking

- Choose M different base-models, generate predictions
- Stacker (meta-model) learns mapping between predictions and correct label
 - Can also be repeated: multi-level stacking
 - Popular stackers: linear models (fast) and gradient boosting (accurate)
- Cascade stacking: adds base-model predictions as extra features
- Models need to be sufficiently different, be experts at different parts of the data
- Can be *very* accurate, but also very slow to predict



Other ensembling techniques

- Hyper-ensembles: same basic model but with different hyperparameter settings
 - Can combine overfitted and underfitted models
- Deep ensembles: ensembles of deep learning models
- Bayes optimal classifier: ensemble of all possible models (largely theoretic)
- Bayesian model averaging: weighted average of probabilistic models, weighted by their posterior probabilities
- Cross-validation selection: does internal cross-validation to select best of M models
- Any combination of different ensembling techniques

Algorithm overview

Name	Representation	Loss function	Optimization	Regularization
Classification trees	Decision tree	Entropy / Gini index	Hunt's algorithm	Tree depth,...
Regression trees	Decision tree	Square loss	Hunt's algorithm	Tree depth,...
RandomForest	Ensemble of randomized trees	Entropy / Gini / Square	(Bagging)	Number/depth of trees,...
AdaBoost	Ensemble of stumps	Exponential loss	Greedy search	Number/depth of trees,...
GradientBoostingRegression	Ensemble of regression trees	Square loss	Gradient descent	Number/depth of trees,...
GradientBoostingClassification	Ensemble of regression trees	Log loss	Gradient descent	Number/depth of trees,...
XGBoost, LightGBM, CatBoost	Ensemble of XGBoost trees	Square/log loss	2nd order gradients	Number/depth of trees,...
Stacking	Ensemble of heterogeneous models	/	/	Number of models,...

Summary

- Ensembles of voting classifiers improve performance
 - Which models to choose? Consider bias-variance tradeoffs!
- Bagging / RandomForest is a variance-reduction technique
 - Build many high-variance (overfitting) models on random data samples
 - The more different the models, the better
 - Aggregation (soft voting) over many models reduces variance
 - Diminishing returns, over-smoothing may increase bias error
 - Parallelizes easily, doesn't require much tuning
- Boosting is a bias-reduction technique
 - Build low-variance models that correct each other's mistakes
 - By reweighting misclassified samples: AdaBoost
 - By predicting the residual error: Gradient Boosting
 - Additive models: predictions are sum of base-model predictions
 - Can drive the error to zero, but risk overfitting
 - Doesn't parallelize easily. Slower to train, much faster to predict.
 - XGBoost, LightGBM, ... are fast and offer some parallelization
- Stacking: learn how to combine base-model predictions
 - Base-models still have to be sufficiently different