

- \* As you learn more about valuation, the more you will realise it is more of an art than a science
- \* That is to say that there is no correct answer
- \* You should always keep a critical eye on concepts, formulas and assumptions

\* So lets spend a little time looking at Cost of Capital critically

- You cannot go any where is valuation without considering cost of capital, hurdle rates, ROI, NPV and they all rely on the same concept
- \* Discounting to the present value to account for the time value of money

- \* The subjectivity of the CAPM leaves it wide open for debate
  - \* Risk Free Rate
  - \* Country Risk Premium
  - \* Equity Risk Premium
  - \* Company Specific Beta
- \* Small changes can make significant differences to result of your valuation

\* I do not have all the answers but I do want to challenge you to think critically

#### \* In the CAPM

- \* A 10 year treasury bill or UK Government gilt cannot be "risk free" as it is still at risk from inflation. There is a premium for the 10 year bond which is not in the shorter term bonds which recognises this
- \* If you take weekly or monthly betas you get completely different results oops! If markets were truly efficient this would not be the case
- \* The Equity Market Risk Premium is wide open to debate at 5.6%
- \* As soon as you start making further adjustments for country risk or small company risk, arguably you move into the realm of pure guess work.

- \* This error is compounded by assumptions relating to the Terminal Value
- \* The Perpetual Growth Model assumes constant growth of the firm in perpetuity which at best is simplistic
- \* Any analysis of any firm will show you that historically there has never been a period when any firm grew at a constant rate of growth for multiple periods.

- \* Further more when we calculate the cost of equity using the CAPM we include a beta for the company specific risk
- \* I do not understand why we do not use a similar (but not the same) beta for the debt part of the equation when it comes to the WACC
- \* The more leverage in a firm, the higher the risk of default and so the greater the risk.
- \* This should be reflected in the cost of debt in my view

- \* As we have seen, small changes to the WACC have profound implications for our valuation
- \* I believe that you should be prepared to challenge the assumptions behind the calculation of every hurdle rate
- \* Too often they are arbitrarily adjusted and left unquestioned

