

- * M&A transactions normally involve a range of valuation methods
- * These are often presented in the "Football Field" style of presentation

- * The additional dimension is the impact of the "deal" on the valuation
 - * Different perspective of buyer and seller
 - * Strategies, synergies and premiums
 - * Accretion or dilution
 - * Impact of deal on Terminal Value assumptions
 - * Financing Debt or Equity
 - * Comparable transactions?

- M&A transactions can involve complex financing, particularly if its a sizeable deal being run by a PE Firm
- VC houses also use
 preference share structures
 to protect their downside

- * Consideration can include
 - * Cash
 - * Shares
 - * Preference or Convertible stock
 - * Debt in more than one tranche, including Mezzanine, Junior and Senior
- * These structures need to be modelled and their impact assessed on the valuation and the outcome of the deal Accretion/Dilution

- * Putting that aside, the fundamentals of the analysis are very similar
- * You have to keep your eye on the basics

- * Management
- * Strategy
- * Life Cycle Stage
- Historic Financial
 Performance and Balance
 Sheet structures

- * At the heart of every deal we are still looking at the value of cash flow which is connected to the risk associated with that cash flow
 - * Rate of Return
 - * Growth
 - Cost of Capital

* Focus on whether the target has a low return on investment AND there is room/scope for increasing that return through the deal, particularly synergies to make the target more efficient and profitable, grow faster and/or reduce its cost of capital.

- * Lets take a look at Synergies
 - * Benefits of the deal =
 - * Value of Synergies -
 - * Value of Premium

- * Synergies might include:
 - * Overhead cost reduction
 - * Capacity saving or improved utilisation
 - * Growth through investment (capex)
 - * New sales from improved strategy, customer mix or new customer base
- * Cannot apply the same discount rate to all synergies some may have greater risk

- * The assumptions underlying the results
- * Accretion or Dilution must be challenged
 - * Management changes
 - * Forex rates of exchange
 - * Strategic adjustments and changes

- * Valuation multiples are commonly used in M&A
- * Comparable Companies and Precedent Transactions make this straightforward
- * As we have seen, the selection of the Peer group is critical to the outcome
- * Adjustments for outliers can further distort results
- * EV/EBITDA most common
- Investment Bankers in Public Transactions use PE ratios

- * Prepare to remain critical of multiples
 - * EV/EBITDA multiple depends on
 - * Changing ROIC
 - * Asset Life and Asset Replacement (Depreciation and Capex)
 - * Tax Rate
 - * Changing Growth rates
 - * Working Capital investment
 - * Weighted Average Cost of Capital

- * Your EV/EBITDA check list should include the peer group and the target having similar
 - * Trends in ROIC
 - * Growth rates and consistency with ROIC
 - * Asset lives
 - * Working Capital investment relative to EBITDA
 - * Tax Rates
 - * Cost of Capital
 - * Capital Structures

You should also keep a
 close eye on the Entry EV/
 EBITDA and the Exit EV/
 EBITDA to ensure that
 there is no arbitrage
 between the two

- * A DCF valuation nearly always is part of the M&A modelling
- * Bewary of using this for negotiation
- * You will end up focusing and arguing about assumptions rather than the actual deal consideration
- * The potential wide valuation range will only make this argument more complicated not more straightforward

- * Taxation can become very complex in M&A deals and modelling
- * Purchase accounting might be used for book purposes but for tax purposes the transaction may be treated as a tax free exchange
- * This means that tax depreciation will be different from book depreciation and deferred taxes will arise.

- * Another popular technique, particularly used by PE/VC houses is to make adjustments to the cost of capital (upwards) to reflect the value of smaller private companies or overseas companies (downwards)
- * They are less liquid, higher risk and have financial statements prepared to lower standards are typical reasons for this.

- * A final word should go to the Work Capital Adjustment argument which always takes place between a buyer and a seller
- * The Seller wants to take all the spare cash out of the business prior to the sale
- * The Buyer will always argue that there is no "spare" working capital and a capital injection is required.
- * This has nothing to do with valuation and everything to do with cash and deal value for both sides.
- * You have been warned.

- * The purpose of this lecture has been to open your eyes to an additional level complexity when it comes to valuation in M&A transactions
- * You need to be constantly questioning assumptions and avoid over simplifying conclusions without a clear understanding of why the answer make sense.

