

1. Consider the binomial experiment from the lecture ($N = 10$ trials, $x = 8$ successes).
 - (a) In the JASP “Learn Stats” module, build the three models listed below in the “Hypothesis” section:
 - $\mathcal{H}_1 : \theta \sim \text{Beta}(2, 2)$
 - $\mathcal{H}_2 : \theta \sim \text{Beta}(2, 4)$
 - $\mathcal{H}_3 : \theta \sim \text{Beta}(4, 2)$
 - (b) Plot each of the resulting posterior distributions in one figure. An easy way to do this is to go to “Prior and Posterior”, choose “Posterior Distribution + Joint + Overlying”.
 - (c) How are the posteriors similar? How are they different?
 - (d) Now add a null (spike) model to your list: $\mathcal{H}_0 : \theta = 0.5$. Use the prior predictive distributions to calculate the following Bayes factors for models 1, 2, and 3 against this null model.
 - (e) Are the Bayes factors similar, or are there differences among them? (*Hint: the point of this exercise is to demonstrate that inference about the posterior distribution doesn't depend too much on the prior used, but Bayes factors do*).
2. In an experiment on ESP (extrasensory perception), participants are required to predict the color (red or black) of a card drawn randomly from a complete deck. Suppose that a set of participants correctly identifies the color on 38 out of 75 trials. Consider a hypothesis test on two models for these data: $\mathcal{H}_0 : \theta = 0.5$ versus $\mathcal{H}_1 : \theta \sim \text{Beta}(1, 1)$.
 - (a) Visually estimate $\pi(\theta = 0.5)$ and $\pi(\theta = 0.5 \mid \text{data})$ from the “Prior and posterior distribution” plot in the JASP Learn Bayes module. Calculate the (estimated) updating factor.
 - (b) Calculate the predictive performance of each model against the observed data (that is, $p(\text{data} \mid \mathcal{H}_0)$ and $p(\text{data} \mid \mathcal{H}_1)$). Which model predicted the observed data ($x = 38$ successes) best? How much better was it compared to the other model?
 - (c) What do you notice about the answers you obtained in parts (a) and (b)? (*Hint: if you notice that they match, good job...you just demonstrated something called the Savage-Dickey density ratio!*)