



The Federated GraphQL Subscriptions Zoo



Tom Houlé

Subscriptions are special... in GraphQL

“a long-lived request that fetches data in response to a sequence of events over time”

— GraphQL spec (draft)

Subscriptions are special... in GraphQL

"a long-lived request that fetches data in response to a sequence of events over time"

— GraphQL spec (draft)

"GraphQL supports type name introspection within any selection set in an operation, with the single exception of selections at the root of a subscription operation."

— GraphQL spec (draft)

Subscriptions are special... in GraphQL

Subscriptions are special... in GraphQL

"Subscription operations must have exactly one root field.

To enable us to determine this without access to runtime variables, we must forbid the @skip and @include directives in the root selection set."

— GraphQL spec (draft)

Subscriptions are special... in GraphQL

"Subscription operations must have exactly one root field.

To enable us to determine this without access to runtime variables, we must forbid the @skip and @include directives in the root selection set."

— GraphQL spec (draft)

"While each subscription must have exactly one root field, a document may contain any number of operations, each of which may contain different root fields. When executed, a document containing multiple subscription operations must provide the operation name as described in `GetOperation()`."

— GraphQL spec (draft)

Subscriptions are special... in GraphQL-over-HTTP

Subscriptions are special... in GraphQL-over-HTTP

"GraphQL Subscriptions are beyond the scope of this specification at this time."

— GraphQL over HTTP spec (draft)

Subscriptions are actually not that special in Federated GraphQL

Subscriptions are actually not that special in Federated GraphQL

Schema of the sales subgraph:

```
1 type Product @key(fields: "id") {  
2   id: ID!  
3 }  
4  
5 type Subscription {  
6   productSales: Product  
7 }
```



Schema of the products subgraph:

```
1 type Product @key(fields: "id") {  
2   id: ID!  
3   name: String!  
4 }  
5  
6 type Query {  
7   productById(  
8     id: ID!  
9   ): Product @lookup  
10 }
```



Subscriptions are actually not that special in Federated GraphQL

Client → Gateway

```
1 subscription ProductSalesWithName {  
2   productSales {  
3     name  
4   }  
5 }
```



Gateway → sales subgraph

```
1 subscription {  
2   productSales {  
3     id  
4   }  
5 }
```



Gateway → products subgraph

```
1 query {  
2   productById(id: $id) {  
3     name  
4   }  
5 }
```



Subscriptions are actually not that special in Federated GraphQL

Data returned to the client:

```
1  {"name": "Labubu"}
2  {"name": "Labubu"}
3  {"name": "Crocs"}
4  {"name": "Zune"}
5  {"name": "Furbies (12 pack)"}
6  {"name": "Labubu"}
7  {"name": "Google Glass"}
```







The problems with Federated Subscriptions

- Lack of transport standardisation has led to fragmentation:
 - WebSockets (HTTP/1.1)
 - Subprotocols with protocol negotiation
- ```
1 Sec-WebSocket-Version: 13
2 Sec-WebSocket-Protocol: graphql-ws, graphql-transport-ws
```
- Init payloads are not headers
  - SSE (HTTP/2 and 3)
  - Multipart
- One connection between the Gateway and the relevant subgraph per subscribed client, even when they all subscribe to the same events
  - Multi-protocol subscriptions





# Multi-protocol subscriptions

-  Client —  → Gateway —  →  Subgraph

# Multi-protocol subscriptions

-  Client —  → Gateway —  →  Subgraph
- At each step, one of
  - SSE,
  - WebSockets
    - `subscriptions-transport-ws`
    - `graphql-ws` / `graphql-transport-ws`
- And different handshake shapes between each!
  - Headers vs init payload formats

# Multi-protocol subscriptions

-  Client —  → Gateway —  →  Subgraph
- At each step, one of
  - SSE,
  - WebSockets
    - subscriptions
    - graphql-ws / g
- And different hand
  - Headers vs init





# Event queue to gateway

- The idea: the gateway talks to a message queue (Kafka, NATS, ...), not the subgraphs directly
- Two implementations
  - EDFS
  - Grafbase extensions

# Takeaways

- Pros of traditional federated subscriptions
  - Federate existing GraphQL subgraphs, no need to modify them
  - Subscription fields are managed directly in your subgraphs, next to your other logic

# Takeaways

- Pros of traditional federated subscriptions
  - Federate existing GraphQL subgraphs, no need to modify them
  - Subscription fields are managed directly in your subgraphs, next to your other logic
- Pros of subscriptions offloaded to a message queue
  - Stream deduplication
  - Non-GraphQL services can publish to subjects directly

# Takeaways

- Pros of traditional federated subscriptions
  - Federate existing GraphQL subgraphs, no need to modify them
  - Subscription fields are managed directly in your subgraphs, next to your other logic
- Pros of subscriptions offloaded to a message queue
  - Stream deduplication
  - Non-GraphQL services can publish to subjects directly

**You can mix and match both approaches**

# Conclusion

Take care.

**Also**

**Also**

Workshop!

**Also**

Workshop! Tomorrow!



**Also**

Workshop! Tomorrow!

Grote Zaal - 2nd Floor.

**Also**

Workshop! Tomorrow!

Grote Zaal - 2nd Floor. 10:45am

**Also**

Workshop! Tomorrow!

Grote Zaal - 2nd Floor. 10:45am .

# **Appendices**

# Links

- WebSockets
  - subscriptions-transport-ws
  - Issues and security implications with subscriptions-transport-ws
- SSE
  - GraphQL-SSE spec
- Multipart subscriptions
  - Incremental delivery over HTTP
  - Apollo docs
- Grafbase extensions
- Cosmo EDFS
- Pen Pineapple Apple Pen