

1. Putting what I usually say in a room in writing helped me reflect on the structure of my visits. I wish I was given a list of things the AI bot was given for the case that I did not grasp from our encounter.
2. The On Doc AI cases may provide benefit in practicing clinical write-ups but do little to nothing for building clinical skills and should not be viewed as a replacement.
3. They were very helpful in informing my clinical write-up, they are great practice for that.
4. 15 I think it is very helpful to interact with the AI because of the immediate feedback. I would, however, prefer to have more real patient interactions.
5. It allowed me to think about the questions I wanted to ask in a low stakes environment which was helpful.
6. I really enjoyed the AI cases. It was nice to drink a cup of coffee and slow down. Made me reflect on the pattern of questions I was asking. The feedback is a little funny at the end because it would say things like "show more compassion" or "be more emotional" when I am talking to a robot and typing.
7. I think they are pretty good for what they are. Feedback needs to be titrated as it continuously claims I do not set an agenda despite doing so
8. They were useful in that they provided an opportunity to practice write ups but were otherwise frustrating to use. I do not think they were very effective in accurately simulating a patient interaction.
9. I actually really loved the On Doc AI cases and I think that they really helped me build my clinical reasoning skills and ability to come up with a differential diagnosis. I thought that it also helped me get more natural with the flow of the interview and try to make that more habit so that when I interview a real patient I can go "off script" (follow tangents etc) but still have a good understanding of the general flow of the interview structure. I loved that the AI cases were all pretty common cases (at least the ones I did) because I think this just helps with practice and familiarity with illness scripts. I also loved being able to get feedback from the AI and ask it questions about my interview and my clinical reasoning. Lastly, I think this was a great way to standardize oral presentations and write ups since preceptorship was very different for everyone. 29 I had a LOT of technical issues with the AI including some instances where the AI rebooted in the middle of a case, or giving contradictory exam findings
10. The feedback was helpful to see what I did or did not do but was sometimes inaccurate. It also helped me think a lot more about my word choice when interacting with the patient, as I could see everything transcribed so I felt the need to be even more polished.
11. It's hard to practice physical exam write up when they already give it to you. It would be nice to change that in the future somehow.
12. I found these exercises helpful, but honestly would have been even more helpful in the fall term. I felt that they were good at practicing what kinds of questions we wanted to ask, which by spring term, I had already practiced so much that I felt I had a good handle on my question bank and felt talking to a real standardized patient at that point would have been more helpful. I think the AI cases should be introduced early in the fall for M1.
13. Surprisingly, it helped me to consider what I want to share with the patient rather than withholding information. Usually, the AI would give me feedback that it knew I was working on a differential and including the patient would be helpful there.

14. I found it useful in helping me understand the general flow of the patient interaction, reminding me important things I need to say to the patient, and helping me improve the way I communicate with and validate the patient. For this reason, I think it would be extremely useful to do the AI cases before starting SIM clinic.
15. low stakes practice environment, opportunity for writeup practice
16. I really appreciated the amount of time we had to work with them (untimed) and how we were able to ask any and all questions to practice differentials and clinical reasoning.
17. Repetition is helpful. We are going to write dozens of notes a day when working as physicians, and I'd like to be well prepared for that. Integrating more note writing into our regular classes could be helpful too. At the end of small group sessions or PBL where we walk through cases, it could be helpful to have more practice in writing progress notes by using those cases as well.
18. Criticism from AI was frustrating to the point where I was very thorough with my history taking.
19. I liked that I could come back when I realized that there were aspects of the case that I wanted to ask. Not having to worry about the physical exam also meant that I could focus more on history taking. It was also cool to actually have physical exam findings rather than the well presenting patients in Sim Clinic.
20. We should be given an option to use an AI case or preceptorship case
21. Honestly, I really like them. Sometimes they are a little buggy but generally they work well and are good practice.
22. Writing out your thoughts/interviewing questions (as opposed to just speaking them) really helped with organization and memorization. I loved that element of the cases! Just good practice with tele health.
23. Patient gathering and report writing have improved I think. Still, it just feels strange talking to an AI system. I think its necessary to have us improve, but I'm not sure this is the best way. Maybe it is considering out lack of preceptors, but its hard to say.
24. I liked the convenience of the AI cases.
25. Good for practicing write ups, but really not at all helpful for history taking given the computer modality, inability to establish a relationship with the patient or read body language.
26. The cases were pretty thorough, and they did give me good examples of what physical exams to do and labs to order in each scenario.
27. It was nice to continue practice history taking whenever I wanted which made my schedule so much easier.
28. Great to practice intentionally and thoughtfully asking questions and to practice our clinical reasoning. I think it will prepare students well for the assessment aspect and narrowing our differentials.
29. I liked that because there was a right answer, our facilitators could guide their feedback and know if we arrived at the right conclusions.
30. none - interacting with the computer felt silly. And the AI bot kept altering its answers when I asked the same question in a different way.
31. I thought practicing interviewing skills, and clinical reasoning was very useful. However, sometimes the physical exam/testing portion would list things that we didn't cover in on doctoring yet and that I wasn't familiar with.

- 31. The feedback as the cases progressed was actually very good - i liked getting to put in my suspected diagnosis. I think this is another place where the cases could be more in line with what we are learning in class.
- 32. I found the AI cases very ... impersonal
- 33. none
- 34. Low pressure to practice history taking skills.