

# Notes on identifiability of PTA problem

T. Kimpson

September 20, 2023

## Contents

- 1 *Preamble*
- 2 *General identifiability method*
- 3 *Relation to PTA-GW model*
- 4 *Calculating  $\alpha$*

## 1 Preamble

These notes collect some ideas around how to check if parameters of our PTA-GW state space model are identifiable.

We will deal with just 2 parameters  $\iota$  and  $h_0$ .

## 2 General identifiability method

How do we tell if parameters are identifiable? Lets use the approach from Karlsson 2012 <sup>1</sup>

Take a state space model

$$\dot{x}(t) = f(x(t), u(t), \theta) \quad (1)$$

$$y(t) = g(x(t), u(t), \theta) \quad (2)$$

where state  $x$  has dimension  $n$ ,  $\theta$  has dimension  $d$  and  $y$  has dimension  $p$ .

Now define an extended Lie derivative operator along the vector field  $f$

$$\mathcal{L}_f = \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} + \sum_{i=0}^{i=\infty} u^{(i+1)} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^{(i)}} \quad (3)$$

<sup>2</sup>. We use the notation  $\mathcal{L}_f^{(k)}$  to refer to the Lie operator applied  $k$  times. We also define a variable

$$\alpha^{(k)} = \mathcal{L}_f^{(k)} g(x, y, \theta) \quad (4)$$

Note that Karlsson 2012 refer to this as  $y^{(j)}(0)$ .

<sup>1</sup> <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1474667015380745>

<sup>2</sup> Is the vector field  $f$  the same as the state space function  $f$ ? <https://journals.plos.org/ploscompbiol/article?id=10.1371/journal.pcbi.1005153> suggests that is IS. We will proceed assuming that they are the same thing.

We now define a vector  $\mathcal{Y}$  which contains  $\alpha^{(k)}$ ,  $k = 0, \dots, n + d - 1$ . We construct a Jacobian

$$J = \frac{\mathcal{Y}(x, \theta)}{\partial(x, \theta)} \quad (5)$$

3

**Rank test for structural identifiability:** if  $J$  is full rank (see here) then the parameters are identifiable.

<sup>3</sup> See Equation 6 in <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0025556412000922> for a nice visual example of what this Jacobian looks like.

### 3 Relation to PTA-GW model

Our model is

$$\dot{f}_p = -\gamma f_p + u(t) \quad (6)$$

where  $u(t) = \gamma f_{EM}(t) + \dot{f}_{EM}(t)$ . The measurement equation is

$$f_m(t) = [1 - H(t, \theta)] f_p \quad (7)$$

By comparison with Section 2:

- $x = f_p$
- $y = f_m$
- function  $f(x) = -\gamma x + u(t)$
- function  $g(x) = [1 - H(t, \theta)] x$
- $p = m = N_{psr}$
- $d = 2$  (We are just dealing with  $h_0$  and  $\iota$ )

### 4 Calculating $\alpha$

Lets get some values for  $\alpha^{(k)}$  and see if there is a pattern.

$$\alpha^{(1)} = \mathcal{L}_f^{(1)} g(x, y, \theta) \quad (8)$$

$$= \mathcal{L}_f [1 - H(t, \theta)] x \quad (9)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n f_i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \{ [1 - H(t, \theta)] x \} + \sum_{i=0}^{i=\infty} u^{(i+1)} \frac{\partial}{\partial u^{(i)}} \{ [1 - H(t, \theta)] x \} \quad (10)$$