

Czech Technical University in Prague
Faculty of Electrical Engineering


Master thesis

2014

Bc. Tomáš Kukrál

Vzor pro desky - nevázat do práce!

Czech Technical University in Prague
Faculty of Electrical Engineering
Department of Telecommunication Engineering



Migration of Virtual Machines in the Computing Cloud

Master thesis

Author: Bc. Tomáš Kukrál
Supervisor: doc. Ing. Leoš Boháč, Ph.D.

Acknowledgement

I would like to thank to doc. Ing. Leoš Boháč, Ph.D., Ing. Miloš Kozák and Ing. Tomáš Hégr for their help and useful comments. I would like also thank to club [tech@SU](#) for providing hardware for testing and development.

Proclamation

I declare that I worked out the presented thesis independently and I quoted all used sources of information accord with Methodical instructions about ethical principles for writing academical thesis.

Date: 2014-12-11

České vysoké učení technické v Praze

Fakulta elektrotechnická

katedra telekomunikační techniky

ZADÁNÍ DIPLOMOVÉ PRÁCE

Student: **Bc. Kukrál Tomáš**

Studijní program: Komunikace, multimédia a elektronika

Obor: Sítě elektronických komunikací

Název tématu: **Přenos virtuálních strojů v distribuovaném datovém centru**

Pokyny pro vypracování:

Porovnejte metody přenosu virtuálních strojů v prostředí distribuovaného datového centra. Navrhněte metodiku hodnocení průběhu přenosu a porovnejte vhodnost různých způsobů ukládání dat a překryvných sítí. Zaměřte se zejména na jejich vhodnost pro přenos virtuálních strojů.

Naplánujte a realizujte systém, který bude umožňovat měřit dostupnost virtuálních strojů během jejich přenosu. Systém bude spolupracovat s OpenNebula orchestrátorem a bude jednoduše upravitelný i pro jiné aktuálně používané orchestrační systémy v datových centerech.

Seznam odborné literatury:

[1] Katsipoulakis, N.R. Tsakalozos, K. Delis, A. Adaptive Live VM Migration in Share-Nothing IaaS-Clouds with LiveFS. Cloud Computing Technology and Science (CloudCom), 2013 IEEE 5th International Conference on, vol.2, no., pp.293,298, 2-5 Dec. 2013 doi: 10.1109/CloudCom.2013.151 URL:<http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/stamp/stamp.jsp?tp=&arnumber=6735439&isnumber=6735374>

[2] Clark, Ch. Fraser, K. Hand, S. Hansen, J.G. Jul, E. Limpach, Ch. Pratt, I. Warfield, A. Live Migration of Virtual Machines.

URL: https://www.usenix.org/legacy/events/nsdi05/tech/full_papers/clark/clark.pdf?q=live-migration-of-virtual-machines

[3] Leelipushpam, P.G.J. Sharmila, J. Live VM migration techniques in cloud environment A survey. Information & Communication Technologies (ICT), 2013 IEEE Conference on , vol., no., pp.408,413,11-12 April 2013 doi: 10.1109/CICT.2013.6558130

URL:

<http://ieeexplore.ieee.org/stamp/stamp.jsp?tp=&arnumber=6558130&isnumber=6558050>

Vedoucí: doc. Leoš Boháč Ing., Ph.D.

Platnost zadání: do konce letního semestru 2014/2015



prof. Ing. Boris Šimák, CSc.
vedoucí katedry



prof. Ing. Pavel Ripka, CSc.
děkan

V Praze dne 25. 9. 2014

Abstract

This thesis deals with the evaluation of virtual machine migrations. Distributed datacenter technologies are described in theoretical part with special focus on the virtual networks and the storage methods.

Practical part introduced an application for testing virtual machine availability during the migration. It can perform repetitive migration according migration plan and collects the results. The application is prepared to work with OpenNebula orchestrator.

Keywords:

virtualization, virtual machine, migration, OpenNebula, cloud, overlay network

Contents

I Theoretical background	1
1 Virtualization	2
1.1 Types of virtualization	3
1.2 Advantages of virtualization	5
2 Cloud computing	7
2.1 Deployment models	8
2.2 Service models	9
2.3 Networking	10
2.4 Storage	22
2.5 Orchestration software	25
3 Migration of virtual machines	33
3.1 Migration of resources	33
3.2 Cold migration	35
3.3 Live migration	35
II Measurement of migration	37
4 Introduction	38
5 Methodology overview	39
5.1 Measurement session	39
5.2 Management	39
6 Themis application	41
6.1 Measure models	42
6.2 Virtual machines	47
6.3 Agent	48
6.4 Frontend	49
7 Conclusion	53
List of Abbreviations	55
List of Figures	57
List of Tables	58
A Appendix	61

Part I

Theoretical background

Virtualization

Virtualization, is in my opinion, the most important technology in data centers because it caused significant progress in the field. It is not technology itself, so it should rather be called an architecture than a technology.

Definition of virtualization as stated in [2] says that "virtualization is a technique for hiding the physical characteristics of computing resources from the way how systems, applications or end users interact with those resources. The concept of virtualization is very broad and covers devices, servers, operating systems, applications and even networks." This definition describes the virtualization and can be applied to any type of virtualization.

Computer virtualization is the oldest and probably the most common type of virtualization. It started in 1960s with mainframes as an attempt to employ resource sharing and the idea is still alive in current time. Virtual computer is logical representation of physical computer in software. [2] Virtual computers are usually called virtual machines (**VMs**) and physical machines hosting **VMs** are called hosts. The physical computer which is used only to run virtual machines is sometimes called a hypervisor. However, this terminology is not right, because hypervisor is a software for running the virtual machines.

It is possible and very advantageous to host many virtual machines on single physical computer because it brings technical and economical benefits. Decoupling a computer and its software from hardware is important advantage because it brings additional level of abstraction and gives ability to shift virtual machines between hypervisors. Economical benefit is quite obvious since it is not necessary to buy single physical server for every service. Electricity saving is also appreciable.

Another important type of virtualization is virtualization of networks. It is typically used together with computer virtualization, since it can decouple network devices from network itself. Physical machines are not as flexible as **VMs** are, so plugging them into virtual network is not as beneficial as **VMs** because there are still physical network cables that can be hardly virtualized. Software Defined Networking (**SDN**) is currently popular topic and it is having a potential to provide virtualization into physical network infrastructure, thus it may be good idea to integrate physical machines into virtual network as well.

Storage virtualization should also be taken into account because it provides abstraction of the storage. Typical unvirtualized storage uses physical device for storing data and metadata, but this approach is not flexible enough since it is usually limited to just one physical machine or group of machines connected to shared storage. It is necessary to find an agile and scalable storage virtualization technique.

Service virtualization, memory virtualization, I/O virtualization or database virtualization are another types of virtualization. It is not possible to enumerate all types of virtualization because it is fairly possible to virtualize almost everything so new type of virtualization will probably arise.

Term virtualization is will be used in meaning of computer virtualization, other

types of virtualization will always be denoted.

1.1 Types of virtualization

There are three different computer virtualization types and they vary by method used to add virtualization layer between guests and physical hardware. It is not possible to easily choose better or worse virtualization types because it depends on intended usage, character of computing tasks and required operating system.

Common computer architectures are designed to run on the physical hardware, so it is not easy to virtualize these architectures. Access to hardware is controlled by priority levels called rings. Lowest priority is assigned to the userspace applications and highest priority (ring 0) is reserved for operating system. It is necessary to insert virtualization layer between operating system and hardware, but there is not any ring with higher priority than operating system uses. This problem needs to be solved and it is not the only one. There are sensitive instructions incompatible with virtualization because they use different semantics if they are not run in ring 0, as mentioned in [12].

1.1.1 Paravirtualization

Paravirtualization is type of virtualization with necessity of modifications in guest kernel. Modifications of kernel are necessary because operating system uses non-virtualizable instructions that are trying to gain direct access to the hardware. These instructions need to be replaced with hypercalls that communicate directly with virtualization layer of hypervisor. [12] It is obvious, that guest operating system knows it is running virtualized.

Biggest advantage of paravirtualization is lower overhead because it is not necessary to translate instructions before running. However, this advantage becomes less significant because there are processors with hardware optimization for instruction translation. Main drawback of this type of virtualization is the need for operating system modifications, which are not always possible or allowed. Running modified OS also brings additional administration and additional cost.

It is possible to take a different look at paravirtualization and use operating system-level virtualization. It does not duplicate whole kernel for each virtual machine because tenants share same kernel and isolation is performed in userspace. These userspaces are called containers and therefore this approach is called container virtualization. It does not provide entire isolated virtual machine, but allows to run software packed in a container. It is advantageous because there is almost no overhead in running software from container while maintaining sufficient level of container isolation. Container virtualization is applicable in situation where entire virtual machine is not needed and where containers bring huge performance improvements since operating system layer is shared. Containers can be the next revolution in virtualization. For example Dustin Kirkland, Cloud Solutions Product Manager at Canonical wrote: "Linux containers, repositories of popular base images, snapshots using modern copy-on-write filesystem features. Brilliant, yet so simple. Docker.io for the win!" [13]. I think, that container virtualization may bring compelling advantages and I also like using it, but it is not suitable for every

situation. It is still technically kind of paravirtualization and thus it is limited to provide only additional layer on host's operating system.

1.1.2 Full virtualization

Virtualization capable or running unmodified operating system is called full virtualization. It utilizes runtime translation, which captures non-virtualizable commands and emulates them using hypervisor virtualization layer. Virtualizable instructions are executed directly on the hardware. Modification of "problematic" calls is carried by the hypervisor which constitutes the main difference compared with paravirtualization.

Most important benefit of full virtualization is running guest operating system without any changes, so guest [OS](#) is not aware of being virtualized. This makes guest operating system fully detached from underlaying hardware. It is possible to run different operating systems on a single host. This virtualization can be used to migrate operating system from physical machine to virtual machines because it is not necessary to make any changes to operating system. Drawback of full virtualization is overhead caused by catching and translating non-virtualizable calls.

1.1.3 Hardware assisted virtualization

Full virtualization has significant overhead caused by binary translation, so [CPU](#) vendors introduced technologies capable of inserting virtualization layer between ring 0 and physical hardware. It speeds-up traps of privileged and sensitive calls and it is not necessary to perform binary translation or to modificate kernel of guest operating system.

Benefit of this type is quite obvious because it lowers virtualization overhead and thus provides better performance compared with full virtualization together with elimination of guest kernel modifications compared with paravirtualization. It is necessary to have a support in host's [CPU](#) which is the primary drawback of this virtualization type. However hardware assisted virtualization is supported by almost every processor used for servers.

Running unmodified guest operating system leaves all necessary translations on hypervisor layer, but it may be better to introduce small changes to guest's operating system, which will reduce work left for the hypervisor, but at the same time limit changes done to guest's kernel. This approach is called hybrid virtualization and it is subset of hardware assisted virtualization. Installation of additional drivers is required, but it is not necessary to make any changes in a whole kernel. These drivers are aware of virtualization and use virtualization layer directly without any translations made by the hypervisor. This method increases driver's [IOPS](#) and therefore is usually used for virtualized network cards and storages. Driver able to deliver hybrid virtualization is *virtio* for [KVM](#), Xen call it *paravirtualized device drivers* and VMWare *Guest Tools*.

1.1.4 Summary

General virtualization types were presented together with pros and cons. Universal virtualization type suitable for all use cases does not exists, thus is always

possible to decide on planned usage. It also depends whether it is required to run different kernel on single physical host or it is sufficient to share one kernel for all containers. Differences are compared in table 1.1.1.

We can divide virtualization into two groups:

- One group provides guests with full virtual machine, every VM uses its own isolated kernel and VMs are fully decoupled from hardware. Full, hardware assisted and hybrid virtualization belongs to this group.
- Members of second group are containers and paravirtualization. This group is specific by lightweight containers and host kernel shared by all running containers.

Virtualization is massively used even by czech companies. First group is used for example by *Wedos* for their virtual server hosting and related services. Second group is used by *Seznam.cz* as they use LXC for web servers as well as for Hadoop cluster.

Table 1.1.1: Comparison of virtualization types

Type	method	guest modif.	usage
Paravirtualization	hypercalls by guest kernel	yes	same workloads and same OS
Full	translation of instructions	no	when full abstraction is needed
Hardware assisted	translation with help of hardware	no	same as full, but with compatible CPU
Hybrid	translations and driver changes	driver only	when possible to install additional drives

1.2 Advantages of virtualization

Most important advantages is decoupling software from physical hardware, at least in my opinion. It is possible to migrate virtual machines with running services between physical hosts without significant impact on service behavior. This brings amazing opportunity to adapt service environment on demand and scale the service.

It is possible to perform any hardware and software upgrades because all running services may be temporarily migrated to other physical host. Virtual machines are much more easier to deploy than physical ones. It takes only a few seconds to create and run VM which is significantly less than time required to deploy a physical machine. Deployment of the virtual machine do not have to be performed manually because it is possible to employ an orchestration and scale out the service (add virtual machines) automatically. Reset of virtual machine is actually just software instruction in hypervisor, so it may be done remotely with ease.

Geographical backups or failover is much more easier to accomplish with virtualization approach. You can rent virtual machine from provider in foreign country

and start your services in a few moments. It is huge simplification compared with running physical machine at foreign data center.

Virtualization brings also economical and environmental advantages. Economical advantages are fairly obvious as it is no longer necessary to purchase physical servers. Non-virtualizational approach requires one physical machine for every running server, but that is not longer necessary with virtualization. It is possible to run many virtual servers or containers on single physical machine. It is also possible to move even to the higher level of **CAPEX** cutting and rent virtual machine from provider and absolutely eliminate need for running any server machine. Renting virtual server increases **OPEX**, but they are more flexible and easier to control. Electrical consumption should also be taken into account because single physical machine, even under higher load, will definitely consume less power compared with two or more similar machines.

I asked Petr Hodač, technical manager at SiliconHill and he stated, that they managed to reduce electricity consumption of whole server room by 19% due to deployment of virtualization. It produced also additional saving because they need less **UPS** batteries and less cooling capacity, but savings on cooling are not included in mentioned savings.

However, there is drawback too. Failure of physical host causes failure of all virtual machines or containers running on this host. It it can look as a single point of failure, but we can fight it by duplication of service nodes between different hosts, datacenters, providers or continents. Another disadvantage is hidden in additional virtualization level, since it is necessary to take care of hypervisors. I think that is not a real disadvantage, since traditional non-virtualized approach needs to take a care of many physical machines.

Deployment of virtualization should always be well planned because it can bring many advantages, but it is also able to cause a disaster in case of poor system design or amateurish administration.

Cloud computing

It is possible to find many services called "cloud based" and it is important to agree on accurate definition of these services. It is quite clear that cloud based service will use principle of cloud computing. Definition of cloud computing by [NIST](#) says, that "Cloud computing is a model for enabling ubiquitous, convenient, on-demand network access to a shared pool of configurable computing resources (e.g., networks, servers, storage, applications and services) than can be rapidly provisioned and released with minimal management effort or service provider interaction." [15]. This definition clarifies what cloud computing is, but says nothing about parameters and used technologies.

I think that it would be more convenient to start definition from lower levels, which provides elementary parts, and get to the cloud service afterwards. This definition gives different look at cloud computing than [NIST](#)s, but it uses same conditions and therefore results are basically the same. It focuses on currently used principles and provides more technical description of cloud services.

Cloud computing services are nowadays heavily dependent on virtualization because it allows to replace physical machines with virtual machines ([VMs](#)) or containers and brings a lot more flexibility than physical machine can ever provide.

Basic element of cloud computing system is virtual machine or container. Physical machine can also be part of the cloud system, but it is not able to deliver required rapid provisioning and it is not possible to deploy physical machine without service provider interaction. Virtual machine uses additional resources. These resources can be for example networking, which is used for interconnection between [VMs](#) as well as for reaching customers or storage used for system internal or customer data. It is important to employ some configuration management and orchestration because it is able to deliver rapid provisioning of virtual machines and minimizes effort required for administration.

Virtual machines together provide the service, which is exposed to users via any kind of network. It doesn't matter whether customers access the service directly or via a proxy, but hiding [VMs](#) brings additional flexibility for migration and scalability.

Difference between cloud computing and bare virtualization is intelligence included in cloud because it is controlled automatically according to events or monitoring observed at cloud system. It is common to provide configuration interface, which allows customers to tune service parameters. However, bare virtualization does not offer any intelligence, even if it is equipped with shiny user interfaces and opportunity to scale virtual machines up or down because changes are performed manually.

Cloud computing is very popular topic, so it is often used just for marketing purposes and thus it is recommended to perform service analysis and do no trust every buzzword used in marketing materials.

2.1 Deployment models

There are three scenarios possible for deploying cloud solutions. Models differ by ownership and administration responsibility. Right solution depends on expected load, available budget as well as on expected classification of data. Public model and private model are mutually contradictory and last model, called hybrid, is combination of first two mentioned.

2.1.1 Private

Private model defines cloud environment build exclusively for single subject. Typical scenario is to build private cloud in datacenter owned by the subject, but it is not strictly required. There is common misunderstanding of the term private because it means private usage of cloud resources and not private ownership of cloud infrastructure. Private cloud may be leased from third-party provider and it can also be running on a third-party hardware.

Running private cloud gives an advantage in an elimination of any inter-tenant isolation problems and it is possible to adapt configuration to fit the tenant needs. It may be necessary to store sensitive data internally and with limited access, so it is necessary to build private or hybrid cloud for this kind of usage. Cloud systems can be deployed across many states and international law about privacy of cloud data is not defined. There is currently running case with [US](#) judge ordering Microsoft to provide data stored in Ireland. [17]

Drawback of private cloud is higher initial cost and probably also higher operational cost, but it depends on the expected usage.

2.1.2 Public

Public cloud deployment model is based on a resource sharing between tenants. There is usually one subject called cloud provider and many customers (tenants). These tenants buy resources and rights to use them. Resources are usually charged according to their usage.

Billing per resource usage is called pay-per-use. There are plans with various [CPU](#), memory and storage options and final cost depends on real usage. Pricing plan based on pay-per-use is favourable for services with low load with occasional peaks. Service under constant high load is not well suited for this payment model because it does not bring any benefits.

The infrastructure is not dedicated and it is shared between tenants. Resources are shared, but must be strictly isolated because it is unacceptable to allow any interference between tenants, unless they make an explicit request to allow it.

It is common to provide services with flexible parameters, for example Amazon calls it EC2 - Elastic Compute Cloud¹. Elasticity allows tenant to use more resources when needed and fall back afterwards.

¹<http://aws.amazon.com/ec2/>

2.1.3 Hybrid

Hybrid cloud is model utilizing both previous mentioned models. The goal is to combine advantages of models and eliminate drawbacks. Public cloud is usually more cost effective, but may not be able to meet the security requirements and on the other hand private cloud can be designed to comply with users requests, but it is more expensive. Hybrid designed solution can use private cloud part for confidential data and public cloud for less sensitive ones.

It is also possible to utilize cloud bursting in which system runs in private cloud and delegates portion of load into public cloud. Lets describe it with application for collecting votes - sensitive part responsible for counting votes and generating results will run in private cloud and public report will be saved to and served from infrastructure of public cloud. High level of security of counting votes is guaranteed and application is also able to deliver results to many subscribers as it can scale out into public cloud.

2.2 Service models

Purpose of the cloud computing is to deliver the service and provide customers with tools to manage this service. Service models differ by level of control provided to customers and thus with areas of responsibility. I am going to call boundary between responsibility of customer and responsibility of provider as responsibility border. Responsibility borders according to service models are depicted in the figure 2.2.1.

Some of the service models leave almost all control and responsibility at provider's side and other supplies customer with more control. It is necessary to select right service model according to expected service usage and required control level.

2.2.1 Infrastructure as a Service

IaaS is a model with the most of a configuration tasks left at customer's side. Customer is responsible for the virtual machines and it's services, so it gives much more flexibility than other models and it is well-suited for services with extraordinary requirements.

Customer manages virtual machines as well as running services, so provider is responsible only for the virtualization and underneath layers. It is even possible to run custom operating system, but provider usually offers prepared images. Prepared OS images are tested and modified to run well in cloud environment. There can be preinstalled hybrid virtualization drivers, kernel tweaked to run virtualized and it is also good idea to remove unused drivers and software.

This model is good choice if special configuration is needed, but service deployment is more difficult because some expertise is needed. IaaS can be used if customer requires additional level of security because virtual machine can use crypted volume and make disk unreadable for provider. Unfortunately it is still possible for provider to acquire confidential data from other sources, for example from memory, but it is much more complicated.

Typical example of **IaaS** are Amazon Web Services² and Active24's VPS³.

2.2.2 Platform as a Service

Border of responsibility of **PaaS** is located two layers higher compared to **IaaS**. Service provider is responsible for platform and all underlaying layers, thus provider takes care of same layers as in **IaaS** plus operating system and platform. Leaving operating system maintenance on provider's side may be beneficial because provider can adjust operating system for virtualization and takes care about software updates.

Provider usually manages many operating systems for many customers, so the updating and maintenance tasks may be automated or executed in a batch. Sharing the operating system layer between customers with preserving adequate level of isolation can save many resource and make operating system administration easier.

Customer using service according to this model runs his own software and does not take care of any lower layers. It is not necessary to do any administration tasks and more effort can be given to application development.

This model is well-suited for running applications without any special requirements. It makes service deployment faster and easier, but it is more limited by used platform. Typical example are project Evia⁴ and Microsoft Azure⁵.

2.2.3 Software as a Service

SaaS is the model with whole stack prepared by provider to run customer's application. Software is hosted and maintained by service provider and customer is using the service. Service is accessed remotely via network (usually the Internet). It is common mistake to say, that service is accessed as Web service because any remote access can be used.

This model is right solution for customers looking for service without hassling with it's administration.

2.3 Networking

Networking is essential part of cloud computing because it would not be possible to access any services without networking. All services in cloud networking paradigm are accessed through data network. Network is also used for the communication between virtual machines, for migrations, storage access and many other purposes.

Various Ethernet versions are used in cloud data centers. There are many versions with different link bandwidth and wiring, but 1G and 10G with twisted pairs or optical fibers are used most widely. Fast Ethernet were used in the past but it does not make sense to use it for server link today because bandwidth is limited to 100Mbps and price is similar to Gigabit Ethernet.

It is common to insert two independent **NICs** into every server and connecting them into independent switches because it improves fault-tolerance. There is

²<http://aws.amazon.com/>

³<http://www.active24.cz/produkty-a-sluzby/servery/virtualni-privatni-servery/>

⁴<http://eviaproject.org/>

⁵<http://azure.microsoft.com/en-us/>




Figure 2.2.1: Service model responsibility

usually one more **NIC** for remote management. Remote management can be connected using detached cable or shared with any of network interfaces. Separate cable brings more flexibility and fault-tolerance, shared cable reduces cabling and simplifies maintenance. Both solutions are used.

All servers in rack need to be connected to network infrastructure. There are different topologies, but most common is hierarchical structure with two or three tiers. [11] There is also approach called "fabric" which implies non-blocking every-to-every mesh connection between switches. However, fabric technologies are proprietary and limited to the vendor.

Every rack can easily contain about 40 servers and these servers are connected to switch called **ToR**. This switch is located in the rack and acts as access layer for servers. Servers are connected to at least two **ToRs** if additional fault-tolerance is required.

Another view on network topology is network layer. Internet is based on **TCP/IP** so it is necessary to use this protocol family and assign **IP** addresses to servers, virtual machines and other network elements. There are two different versions of **IP** protocol:

version 4 is the older version, with 32 bit address space. This version is still used more than version 6 even though it's address space is depleted and new version exists for more than 15 years.

version 6 is the "new" one, uses 128 bit address space and different headers, it is incompatible with version 4.

Modern data center must provide both versions of IP protocol because both versions are used. However, there is a problem with obtaining public IPv4 addresses because available pool is depleted. It is beneficial to employ IPv6 protocol as primary one and try to limit the amount of required IPv4 addresses.

There are different ways how to use both versions concurrently:

- Dual-stack is the simplest and probably the most used way. One IPv4 and one IPv6 address is assigned to each interface. Use of both versions causes additional maintenance burden because it is necessary to manage two separate L3 networks.
- Tunelling IPv6 via existing IPv4 infrastructure with technologies like 6to4, 6rd or ISATAP is another way. This solution can be used for IPv6 deployment in networks with working IPv4. Tunelling is usually focused on deployment in access networks, but deployment in data center network is also applicable, as described in [19].
- Translating IPv4 addresses into IPv6 addressing space is different approach than previous mentioned because it operates on IPv6-only network. This technique does not require every box to have assigned an IPv4 address and thus is good for saving address space. However address translations may not be suitable for data center usage because it does not preserve original IP addresses and makes customer tracking almost impossible. Further information can be found in [1].

It is beneficial to deploy IPv6 protocol as primary one in my opinion because it will become more and more needed over time. Hardware on current market usually supports protocol IPv6 at least partially, but there are still some hidden pitfalls. There may be problem for example with server's remote management that does not have to support IPv6 protocol and thus it is totally unusable on IPv6-only network. None of the servers I have used for practical part of this thesis support remote management over IPv6. However, there is currently only small demand for IPv6 support and IPv6 deployment does not bring any direct benefit. Even though there are many problems and advantages are invisible in short-term view, it is not possible to ignore this protocol.

Virtual machine migration must be taken into account during addressing schema design because it plays crucial role in data center operation. Migrations are performed between hypervisors, i.e. physical servers, and these servers may be located in different racks, halls or even in different data centers. It is usually required to preserve IP address of virtual machine during migration process and thus addressing schema must be prepared to move single IP address around almost whole data center without any significant configuration changes.

First solution for unlimited migrations while preserving IP address is L2 sharing between hypervisors. Data link layer is shared between all hypervisors and then virtual machine is located in the same L2 network before and after migration. This solution does not scale well since it is not recommended to place more than a few hundreds of hosts into a single L2 domain, necessitating division of single L2 into many smaller networks. This can be easily deployed for hypervisors in same rack, but it is more difficult to do with more distant servers and even more when servers are located in different data centers.

Another way how to accomplish unlimited **VM** migrations is to use routing for machine connectivity. This method uses temporary and fixed **IP** addresses. Hypervisors do not have to be in same L2 network and there is higher variability in addresses assigned to virtual machines. Temporary address is assigned according to **VM**'s location and it changes during migration. Fixed address does not change during migration and packet with this destination address are routed to temporary address. Any routing protocol can be used, e.g. **OSPF**, to provide correct routing of fixed address to the destination virtual machine. It is necessary to insert one entry in routing table for every virtual machine because \32 routes are being advertised. Huge routing table may be a problem for data centers with many virtual machines.

Higher layer is used to get more flexibility than lower level can offer. Main drawback of this solution is complexity caused by routing and longer address swap because it takes some time to propagate routing to new temporary address. It is not easy to perform live migration because it is necessary to change **IP** address of **VM**'s interface and thus opened sessions will terminate.

2.3.1 Overlays

Virtualization is used often and it is necessary to provide networking solutions with at least same flexibility as virtualization offers. Multitenancy, **VM** migrations, fast reconfiguration and rapid deployment are most missing features of physical network. It is currently possible to migrate virtual machines without service interruption, but there is not clear solution how to perform migration across whole data center with preserving **IP** address. Overlay networking is one of the proposed solutions capable of decoupling network from physical hardware using additional abstraction layer. Technologies like **VXLAN**, **STT** and **NVGRE** are capable to build overlay network.

Data center network need to be robust so parallel paths are used to provide the redundancy and avoid outage caused by single link failure. It is necessary to avoid loops on L2 network but there are loops caused by redundant paths and L2 network does not use anything like **TTL** field. Spanning Tree Protocol (**STP**) can be used to avoid loops in L2 networks, but there are two major problems. First, it is necessary to adjust **STP** if **VLANs** are used because it is essential to build special tree for each **VLAN**. Second, the problem caused by **STP** is in utilization of parallel links. **STP** allows only one of parallel link running and the others are disabled to avoid topology loops. This is suboptimal solution because links utilization is low and it is impossible to increase connection bandwidth by adding parallel links. Upgrading to higher link speed is limited and does not make economical sense. 10G cards are becoming affordable, but 40G cards are still very expensive.

Servers housed in rack are usually connected to switch called Top of Rack (**ToR**) and this switch is learning **MAC** addresses. **ToR** switch must be able to learn addresses of all devices connected to its ports. However, virtualization techniques let us run many virtual machines on single physical server so the number of **MAC** addresses can increase significantly. The number of addresses may grow even more because each virtual machine can have more than one interface and **ToR** switch must be able to learn all these addresses.

Public cloud solutions tend to serve many tenants and it is necessary to avoid unwanted interaction between them. It is quite easy to guarantee this on virtual-

ization layer but much harder to accomplish on network layer. Tenant isolation can be performed on Layer 3 or Layer 2. **VLAN**s are often used for isolation on Layer 2, but this solution suffers from insufficient scaling issues because only 12 bit **VLAN** identifier is used so it provides less than 4096 different tags. This might be enough for smaller solution but it is not sufficient for huge cloud systems. Each physical server can host up to 100 virtual machines/containers⁶ owned by different tenants so 1 server can consume about 100 **VLAN** tags. All available tags can be depleted by 40 high performance servers which can fit in just one rack. Isolation at Layer 3 does not provide sufficient scaling as well. It is necessary to provide unlimited migration facility between hypervisors in different racks and it is sometimes required to spread out Layer 2 through all tenant's virtual machines. Layer 3 isolation is not capable of this.

VXLAN

Virtual eXtensible Local Area Network is an overlay scheme with multitenancy and domain isolation. It is defined on Layer 3 and uses encapsulation as tunneling mechanism.

Most important thing is encapsulation since it provides **VXLAN** domain isolation and defines overlay network. Layer called **VTEP** is responsible for encapsulation and tunnel organization. It analyzes every frame received from **VM** and prepends outer header with the label. This label is called **VXLAN** Network Identifier (**VNI**) and it is used to isolate domains. Virtual machines in different domains are not allowed to communicate directly with each other. Encapsulated packet is send to destination **VTEP** as an **UDP** packet. Destination **VTEP** unpacks packet, checks whether there is any virtual machine in **VXLAN** domain and delivers the frame. **NVE** is another term for **VTEP** and it was used in [7] as part of general network virtualization framework.

It is necessary for **VTEP** to be able to find destination **VTEP** for every encapsulated packet. This can be solved by data plane learning during forwarding as specified in [8] or by acquiring this information from orchestrator. Getting information from orchestrator is better in my opinion because it avoids additional actions. Some kind of orchestrator or information system must be present in every data center and this system already knows location of all virtual machines as well as addresses of **VTEPs**. I think that it does not make sense to perform learning during forwarding because required informations are already saved in orchestrator. Orchestrator can directly distribute forwarding rules to all **VTEPs** or **VTEP** can ask orchestrator on-demand using any kind of **API**. Overlay unicast traffic can be forwarded directly to destination **VTEP** without any additional learning or even flooding.

There is traffic called **BUM** (Broadcast, Unknown unicast and Multicast) which is not easy to handle by **VXLAN**. This kind of traffic needs to be delivered to more than one host in a single **VXLAN** domain and thus it is necessary to send encapsulated packet to many **VTEPs** at the same time. Multicast should be used as described in [8], but it requires mapping between **VXLAN VNI** and multicast address. This mapping should be managed by orchestrator. It would be beneficial

⁶Server node2.brg/vpsfree.cz is currently running 117 **VPS**s with hardware: Supermicro X9DR3-F, 2x Xeon E5 2630Lv2, 256GB DDR3, 8x 2TB WD2002FAEX, 2x Intel DC S3700 200GB

to have technology for delivering **BUM** traffic without multicast because it brings additional complexity and is not working in the global Internet. Unknown unicast can be mitigated by getting information about addresses from orchestrator as described in previous paragraph because the orchestrator knows all addresses and there is not any unknown traffic. Sending encapsulated broadcast and multicast to many **VTEPs** can be achieved by multicast in underlay network or any advanced delivery methods can be used. It is possible to send this traffic as unicast between source **VTEP** and every other **VTEP**. However, this solution is suboptimal due to packet duplication and network bandwidth usage. Only advantage is that multicast in underlay network is not required. It is also possible to select one node as "router" for encapsulated **VXLAN** traffic between **VTEPs** but this is technically similar to building multicast tree. There is proprietary technology called IBM DOVE which is very similar to **VXLAN** but does not require multicast.

I think that **VXLAN** is quite promising technology for network virtualization in data center. It brings much more flexibility than traditional **VLAN** approach and can be called as evolution of **VLAN**. Principle of overlay network is building virtual network on the top of a physical infrastructure. Benefits were described in previous paragraphs and the main drawback is the lack of cooperation between overlay and underlay network.




Figure 2.3.2: Model of VXLAN topology

NVGRE

Network virtualization using **GRE** is another overlay technology for multi-tenant data centers. It is very similar to previously mentioned **VXLAN** because it uses same topology scheme with different encapsulation mechanism. Further information and current draft version can be found in [9] [6] [4].

The biggest difference is encapsulation mechanism. **VXLAN** uses new encapsulation approach and **NVGRE** uses **GRE**. Using **GRE** can be beneficial because some boxes already support it and there is no need to make significant changes to physical infrastructure. Details about encapsulation mechanism can be found in [6] and [4] describes header extensions used to carry **VSID**. **VSID** is identifier for virtual subnet isolation, it is analogue of **VNI** used by **VXLAN** with same length of 24 b.

Outer packer header with encapsulated payload is sent to destination **NVE** thus usage of **ECMP** may be suboptimal. There may be lack of entropy in outer header because destination address is same for all virtual machines residing at same **NVE**. This problem can be solved in **ECMP** hashing procedure by integrating **VSID** into sources for hash generation.

Multicast and broadcast traffic within overlay network is handled using multicast in underlay network. There is also defined N-Way unicast which do not depend on multicast: "In N-Way unicast, the sender NVE would send one encapsulated packet to every NVE in the virtual subnet. The sender NVE can encapsulate and send the packet as described in the Unicast Traffic Section 4.3. This alleviates the need for multicast support in the physical network." [9] However, this solution is suboptimal because there is unwanted packet duplication and thus it is better to deploy multicast and use it as a carrier mechanism.

NVGRE definition [9] is still labeled as a draft, last version was published on 2014-11-05 and new updates are expected. Proposed draft is simple and there are still major problems waiting to be solved. For example there is not set any method how to distribute locations of addresses within overlay network. Document [9] says: "This information can be provisioned via a management plane, or obtained via a combination of control plane distribution or data plane learning approaches. This document assumes that the location information, including VSID, is available to the NVGRE endpoint." It is obvious that this need to be solved before deployment in production.

STT

Last but not least, technology used for building overlay network is Stateless Transport Tunneling (**STT**). It is designed to meet common requirements as allow overlapping of tenant's address space, decouple virtual network from physical infrastructure and to allow an unlimited virtual machine migration.

Basic principle is still same - some box (usually called **NVE**) encapsulates packets from overlay network and send it through underlay network to other **NVEs**. However, **STT** introduces completely new encapsulation method. **TCP**-like header is used as an encapsulation header and there is no three-way handshake. Header is used only as a storage for metadata about encapsulation. Field called Context Identifier is assigned to every flow and is used as a generalized form of virtual network identifier. [3] It is beneficial to use this generalization because there is space available for future services. Space reserved for Context Identifier is 64 bits long so there is really enormous amount of combinations available.

It is important for every overlay technology to support **ECMP** because efficient flow distribution between multiple paths can be used for underlay network in data center. First important requirement is to route each packet belonging to single flow same way which is accomplished by using same ports and addresses for these packets. Second requirement is to provide enough entropy for uniform flow distribution. Packet's source port is a function of inner header and thus it provides entropy data for **ECMP** mechanism.

Using almost standard **TCP** segmentation for encapsulation is advantageous because it may bring significant performance improvement. Segmentation offloading is heavily used these days and it can be used to speed-up encapsulation process. The

most important advantage of [STT](#) is providing new functions and using existent hardware techniques.

However, I can see some problems with deploying [STT](#). First and the most important is changing meaning of [TCP](#) header field since this will probably cause problems in middle boxes. It is necessary to adjust configuration of state firewalls to allow [STT](#) because [TCP](#) headers are expected to behave differently. Defining document [3] is still in a draft version and it is already expired. Last version is #06 at time of writing this paragraph (2014-11-13) and this version expired on 2014-10-17.

2.3.2 Hop-by-hop network virtualization

It is possible to use new technologies, e.g. [SDN](#), and build different kind of virtual network called hop-by-hop. Hop-by-hop virtual network is totally different from previously described overlays since it does not use any encapsulation and data path is established by joining independent links between hops.

Node is responsible only for forwarding data unit to next hop and whole flow is directed by a controller. Controller is software appliance responsible for communication with physical boxes, distributing routes and analyzing packets received from forwarding plane. It is usually tightly collaborating with orchestrator.

There is different perspective on network since control plane is separated from forwarding plane and physical devices are used for fast packet transfers and data plane is responsible for network control. Every decision is performed in controller or orchestrator and propagated to forwarding plane through data plane. It is obvious that the orchestrator should not be physically centralized because it would create single point of failure so it is better to use any distributed solution.




Figure 2.3.3: Overlay virtual network

2.3.3 Load balancing and high availability

Load balancing is an essential part of service operation because it is required to achieve better scalability and availability than single machine approach can ever achieve. It was quite common in the past that one service had been served just by single machine. However, this solution is suboptimal since it is absolutely unscalable and it is impossible to provide high-availability solution.




Figure 2.3.4: Hop-by-hop virtual network

It is necessary to employ service load balancing because load and service demand is still increasing and only properly designed load balancing solution can meet all of the requirements. Common requirements are

- low latency - request should be processed without any significant delay
- high availability - service should stay up during partial infrastructure failure
- scalability - infrastructure should be ready to increase resource when the load is enormous

There are many different ways how to deploy load balancing and they differ by flexibility and functions available. Load balancing performed at higher levels is more flexible, but the best solution is a combination between two or more technologies on different layers. Appropriate solution depends also on access method because there are different balancing possibilities for [HTTP API](#), remote terminal service and video streaming service. Methods presented in the following text will be general as well as access method specific, right use case will be always mentioned.

Load balancing is closely related with scaling. There are two types of scaling - scaling-up and scaling-out. Scaling-up is accomplished by using more powerful resources, e.g. using interfaces with higher line rate or upgrading the server. It is easier, achievable faster and does not require load balancing, but it is quite easy to reach limit of scaling-up. Gigabit Ethernet [NICs](#) are very common, 10 Gigabit are a bit more expensive but still possible to purchase and 100 Gigabit Ethernet cards are very expensive. It is also necessary to take economical aspect into account since performance improvement and price functions are not equally steep.

Scaling-out is other possible scaling schema, and it is accomplished by adding many parallel workers with common capacity. This approach is more favourable from economical view because performance growth is almost linear and technical benefit is in redundancy. However, it is necessary to use load balancing to distribute workload across nodes. I think that the best scaling solution lies somewhere between so I would recommend to slowly scale-up and use scale-out for massive increase of performance.

Load balancing methods can be divided into two groups by session persistence. Session persistence mean that one client is always routed to same computing node. It is required if there is a client's information, called session, available only on this

computing node and session would be lost in case of redirecting to another node. Application can be designed with taking load balancing into account and thus it does not require session persistence. However, session persistence is usually needed for load balancing of the services designed without load balancing capabilities.

DNS based approach

[DNS](#) load balancing is the first possible solution because it takes place before establishing session between a client and the server. It is easy to deploy and application redesign may not be necessary. Basic implementation can be, for example, round-robin [DNS](#) which is carried out by assigning many AAAA or A records for service host name. Client selects one record during resolving host name and use it and thus basically performs load balancing already at user's device. This method is really simple but it lacks any advanced management options. First problem is with high availability because it is not possible to quickly remove host from a zone in case of a failure. There is a field called [TTL](#) assigned to every record in the zone and this field defines how long can be this record cached, maximum time between change in zone and propagation to all clients should be [TTL](#) and [SOA](#). However, there are Internet Service Providers ignoring this standard so it is possible that some client will still get wrong records even after [TTL](#) expiration. Sample zone file with AAAA and A records and [TTL](#) 6 minutes is in figure 2.3.5.

Different variant of [DNS](#) based load balancing is modification of the zone performed by an authoritative [DNS](#) server. There is usually just one AAAA/A record for service hostname, but returned [IP](#) address can be different for each query. This method can use geolocation and return [IP](#) address of the nearest server according to user's position, although user can use different recursive servers and geolocation can be very inaccurate. Technically this method is only variation of method mentioned in the first paragraph with better control of distribution and the problem with [TTL](#) is still remaining. This method is used by web portal Seznam.cz for load balancing between primary and secondary data center. They use [TTL](#) 5 minutes and also experienced problem with incorrect caching but I am not allowed to publish any detailed information.

Another problem with [DNS](#) load balancing, especially failover, is [DNS](#) pinning. It is mechanism implemented in web browsers to make [DNS](#) rebinding attacks more difficult. This attack is based on the pushing faked [DNS](#) record to client and then forward all traffic to attacker's [IP](#) address. Browser with pinning implemented "pins" first resolved [IP](#) address and use it even after [TTL](#) expiration so it basically prevents load balancing mechanism from switch client to another computing node. Further information can be found in [18].

Application level load balancing

One of the most flexible method is application load balancing. Is is performed on Layer 7 so it is possible to differentiate all lower layers. This solution is beneficial because application is able to decide on exact mapping between the customer connection and the working node. Customer is connected to balancing part of an application at first. This part (group of nodes) is responsible for the redirecting or forwarding request to a computing node. Login can be required before redirection and then the request if forwarded according to information acquired during login.

Figure 2.3.5: Example zone file for DNS load balancing

```

app.example.com 360      IN      AAAA    2001:db8::1
app.example.com 360      IN      AAAA    2001:db8::2
app.example.com 360      IN      AAAA    2001:db8::3
app.example.com 360      IN      A       192.0.2.1
app.example.com 360      IN      A       192.0.2.2
app.example.com 360      IN      A       192.0.2.3

```

Every information about the customer is already available, like an IP address and login name, so computing node can be selected and it is also very simple to achieve session persistence. Balancing procedure is depicted in the figure 2.3.6.

Advantage of this method is direct connection between client and computing node, so balancing part is not overloaded with forwarding requests between users and computing nodes. Direct connection eliminates bottlenecks because there is not any central authority responsible for load balancing. Technically there is a central authority in load balancing part, but it can be redundant and balanced using other method, e.g. DNS load balancing. However, it is necessary to expose computing nodes to user's network and thus some may say that is insecure. I think that exposing computing nodes to outside world is not security hazard because security should be provided by proper application design and network security. Obscurity is not good security approach, in my opinion.




Figure 2.3.6: Load balancing at application level

Anycast load balancing

It is possible to use anycast routing for load balancing and workload distribution. Typical architecture is depicted in the figure 2.3.7 Only anycast IP address is propagated to outside world, so every incoming packet go to this destination address. This address is also assigned to local interfaces of compute nodes and advertised to local router using any routing protocol, e.g. OSPF.

An incoming packet is delivered to local router and this router performs lookup and selects destination address according to it's actual routing table. This is advantageous because it is possible to assign priority to routes propagated by computing nodes and failed node is almost immediately removed from routing table.

However, this solution does not provide session persistence because packet can be routed to different computing node each time. There is a bottleneck in topology described in figure 2.3.7 but this method can be adjusted to eliminate this problem and propagate different anycast addresses from different autonomous systems.

Global pool of root DNS servers use exactly this load balancing principle so request should always be delivered to the nearest server and thus almost perfectly distributed around world servers. According to data published in [20] up to 80% of DNS queries are routed to the nearest anycast instance.




Figure 2.3.7: Anycast load balancing

2.3.4 Load balancers

Load balancing of [TCP](#) flows can be performed on the network layer using box called load balancer. It does not have to be strictly physical box since there are also software solutions. This box modifies headers and basically translate flows from customer's side to internal and back.

The simplest solution is rewriting destination address. Packet received on external interface of load balancer is analyzed, destination address is changed to one of the computation nodes and packet is delivered to computation node. Address rewriting must be performed also on packets received from computing node as well as on every related packet.

Load balancer box must maintain a list of available computing nodes and continuously monitor their status because it have to select suitable node for every incoming flow. Monitoring method and node choosing algorithm depends on the application. It is also possible to integrate monitoring service into orchestrator and then control load balancer with an orchestrator.

It would be probably required to guarantee the session persistence so a load balancer will have to keep mapping table between customers and computing nodes. This table provides information about the current mappings and thus make it possible to deliver all packets from single flow to the same computing node.

Additional technologies can be integrated in the load balancer box, for example offloading, deep packet inspection or intrusion prevention. [SSL](#) offloading is used sometimes to decouple encryption from application running on computing nodes and to enable header rewriting. It is also possible to terminate [TCP](#) session on load balancer and establish new session for communication with computing node with maintaining packet's payload. It is even possible to carry out translation between [IPv6](#) and [IPv6](#).

Load balancer box provides advanced function but is introduce bottleneck and single point of failure. Rewriting of packet headers and maintaining mapping table are even more resource expensive than router described in anycast load balancing. This solution is suitable for legacy application without any load balancing capabilities.

2.4 Storage

Storage is an essential part of a datacenter since it provides space for saving information. We can distinguish among different types of storages and dozens of storage access methods, so it is obvious that building one universal solution for all use cases is impossible.

2.4.1 Physical storage

Storage can be divided into layers. First layer is a physical storage and it is represented by a physical hardware used to save data. It is, for example, physical rotary drive, [SSD](#), tape drive or any other kind of physical storage. Each server can be equipped with a small drive which is used as a local storage. This approach is called Direct Attached Storages and it is connected with share-nothing architecture. The advantages of share-nothing is high level of node's independence, but I think

that this solution is not flexible enough to be used as main storage scenario for a whole data center.

Another way of providing servers with a semi-physical storage is using some sort of shared storage. There is a box stuffed with a physical storage and other servers are using this storage via some standardized protocol like **FCP** or **iSCSI**. Shared storage brings better flexibility compared to direct attached storage because physical storage is not fixed to a single server. However, shared storages are usually centralized too and it is a bottleneck and a single point of failure.

The physical storage can be organized into layers called tiers. Tiers are groups of a physical storage devices usually organized by their performance, reliability or price. It is obviously better to use only high performance and reliable devices, however these are usually the most expensive with low capacity/price coefficient. Tiered storage can provide high performance and capacity together but it is necessary to use different tiers and optimize data placement. Storage with 3 tiers can use following drives:

- tier 1 - **FC** drives
- tier 2 - **SATA** drives
- tier 3 - magnetic tapes

Exactly this 3 tier model is used by CESNET's data storages facilities in Pilsen. However, tier model can be extended with more technologies such as **flashcache**⁷ capable of increasing drive **IOPS** by writethrough caching to another faster drive. Another extension layers may be introduced by using different **RAID** for each tier.

It is obviously not possible to share a physical storage in distributed datacenter but semi-physical storage (like **iSCSI**) is technically possible to share. However, latency can be serious problem because a few millisecond can be significant increase to the disk **IO** operations. For example **RTT** from server located in Czech republic to Norway (Trondheim) is about 50 ms and 150 ms to USA (Stanford). This time is not acceptable for sharing physical storage because each disk access operation performed in distributed storage will be about 100 ms longer than a physical access time. It may be possible to use this kind of storage of longer distances using direct optical connection with minimal **RTT**, like CESNET Lambda or Photonic.

2.4.2 Virtual storage

To deliver the storage for a physical server is only the first problem, since it is required to split this raw storage and use another storage layers. There are three fundamental virtual storage types: block storage, file system and object storage. It does not matter if this virtual storage is used directly by a physical server or a virtual server via any virtualization layer since the access method is actually the same.

A block storage is used way similar to directly attached. Block device is exposed to an operating system and storage is accessed through a block device. Storage operations are managed directly by the operating system and it is the main characteristic of this storage type. Block device can be formatted with filesystem, used as physical volume for **LVM** or used as encrypted storage.

⁷<http://github.com/facebook/flashcache>

Filesystem storage is used to save files and its attributes. Filesystem can be built upon local block device or accessed as **NAS**. Filesystem on a local block device can provide lower latency and it is easier to match permissions with local user accounts, but these advantages count only for a strictly local filesystem. I think that using **NAS** is almost required in case when any user or computer sharing is required. Storage accessed via network will probably be easier to maintain and it is also easier to perform backups.

Object storage is something between previously mentioned storage types since it is capable to save objects and access them similar way as accessing files in a filesystem. However, an object storage is more general way of saving data and can be used to store various objects and it is not limited only to files. It provides very high level of storage abstraction because it is capable to operate on almost any data, access it in standardized way and decouples the object from their location.

2.4.3 Network access

I think that traditional approach with separate block and file storage is becoming nowadays quite limiting. There are some requests which are partially mutually exclusive:

redundancy and distribution to spread a load and resist failures

agility and scalability to provide flexible and elastic storage

security because it is critical to avoid any unauthorized access or a leakage

interoperability between different technologies and vendors

Distributed storages are a solution which can fulfill all of these requirements. This kind of storage can not be referred as neither block nor storage because it is necessary to use different storage elements. These elements are stored on storage nodes according to storage map and rules. It is possible to define how much time should be each element saved, on how many nodes or on which type of physical storage.

Element mentioned in the paragraph above can be easily referred as an object, so an object storage can be built in a distributed way. However, not every object storage is distributed storage because object storages can be local too.

Typical example of distributed object storage is Ceph, referred in [10]. It uses Reliable, Automatic, Distributed Object Store (**RADOS**) mechanism to store objects in a Ceph cluster. Every type of data is stored as an object in flat namespace so it doesn't matter whether it is text, file or binary image.

Common problem of distributed storages is central gateway, which is used for client connections and coordinates whole cluster. It introduces single point of failure. However, Ceph eliminates this by using **CRUSH** algorithm to compute object location without querying the central lookup table. Each client can compute object placement on its own and then directly connect to the storage node.

Ceph cluster consists of two types of nodes. **OSD** and monitor. **OSD** is used to store objects monitor is responsible for maintaining placement map together with monitoring of other monitors and **OSD**. It is necessary to design the cluster right because performance can be poor otherwise. It is, for example, not recommended to

place an **OSD** and monitor on same disk because many parallel **IO** operations will be requested.

Object store is a base and it can be extended by other services, there is for example **RBD** providing block devices and CephFS used to store files. Distributed object storage is well suited for distributed datacenter because it can be designed to provide shared storage. However, it introduces additional abstraction layer in storage system, so it will probably provide worse performance compared to a strictly physical storage. It is a trade off for the flexibility.

2.5 Orchestration software

There are common routines in a cloud data center administration and these routines are repeating very frequently. For example simple workflow for virtual machine creation can involve:

- clone the image from a prepared operating system
- log into the hypervisor console and create **VM** definition
- deploy the virtual machine
- configure a firewall and a router
- configure vswitch or attach virtual machine into a bridge
- set up the network interfaces in **VM**
- set root's password and add authorized keys
- update monitoring definition

There can be dozens of tasks similar to the mentioned above and it can take negligible amount of time. These task are usually very simple and all necessary information can be generated automatically or loaded from an information system. It is very favorable to perform these task automatically because it does not need any assistance of human and the automated solution is much more faster and strictly deterministic.

The orchestration is automated management of services and resources performed according to a predefined procedure. An intelligence is implemented into an orchestrator so it can make decisions and execute actions without an interaction with a human. Orchestrator acts autonomously according to configurated parameters in contrast to remote control interface which only performs requested actions.

It is necessary to use an orchestration for every cloud solution because it is not possible to cope with a manual configuration and management of many cooperating services and resources. Rapid provisioning with minimal management effort is required in cloud computing definition mentioned in the beginning of this thesis and it can not be accomplished without orchestration.

There is actually one more step between completely manual management and orchestration and it is called a configuration management. Configuration management solution is used for uniform management of configuration and executing repetitive

task. It is possible to develop own solution or use any software available. For example Ansible, Puppet or SaltStack are well known open-source alternatives. Configuration management software can be integrated into an orchestrator and used as a interlayer between orchestrator and performed actions. Ansible is used for virtual machine configuration used in practical part of this thesis as well as for installation and configuration of OpenNebula IaaS cloud. It creates a cloud environment with defined parameters and prepare an initial configuration so it significantly shorten time required for installation and also eliminates configuration mistakes.

2.5.1 OpenNebula

OpenNebula is an open source cloud OS capable of building IaaS solution, so it is technically an orchestrator. [16] However, it is not only an orchestrator but a complete solution for datacenter orchestration capable to build **IaaS**. It was initially created as a research project in 2005 and the first public release was in 2008. It is currently developed by the community in cooperation with OpenNebula Systems.

It is completely platform agnostic so major virtualization techniques can be used. **KVM**, XEN and VMware is supported at current time but it is possible to develop modules for other virtualization platforms. There is, for example, a driver OneLXC developed by China Mobile and this driver brings support for **LXC** hosts and containers.

Project architecture is modular and can be modified according to system requirements. There is one node called frontend which is responsible for orchestration and other nodes are used as computing nodes, i.e. hypervisors. It is not required to dedicated separate hardware node for frontend because it can be deployed on physical server together with computing node. However, it is recommended to deployed the frontend as a virtual appliance in **HA** since it is more flexible and robust. Sample physical infrastructure is depicted in the figure 2.5.8.

Frontend acts as an orchestrator and uses additional modules to operate the cloud infrastructure. There modules are universal to work with various underlying systems and each module must provide a standardized interface for the orchestrator. Modules and functions as defined in [16] are:

Infrastructure and cloud drivers enable access to infrastructure and cloud providers

Virtual machine manager is used for managing **VMs** and executing actions on them

Network manager provides network configuration and management

Storage manager supply storage for services and customers

Image manager maintains library of **VM** images

Information manager is collecting runtime information about a physical infrastructure, **VMs** and other devices

Authentication and authorization is used to authenticate users and store information about them, their permission and quotas




Figure 2.5.8: OpenNebula architecture

Accounting and auditing gather information about resource usage and can be used to generate billing data

Federation manager provides mechanisms to access remote cloud providers

Scheduler manages initial placement of new **VMs** according to a scheduling policy

Administrative tools provide interface for users and administrator to perform task on cloud system

Service manager can work with group of interconnected **VMs** as with one service with defined requirements and deployment rules

Orchestration is performed by the frontend and remote tasks are executed at the nodes using **SSH**. There is a single point of failure because frontend may go down so it is recommended to use a **HA** solution and minimize possibility of the frontend unavailability. However, frontend failure does not affects running virtual machines since they stay online, but monitoring will stop and it will not be possible to execute any action on virtual machines.

Datastores

Storage part of an OpenNebula system is called datastore. It is abstraction of a physical storage and it is used to store persistent and non-persistent data. Persistent data are preserved during whole **VM** life cycle and non-persistent objects are restored to default state after virtual machine recreation. There are three types of datastore according to type and format of stored data:

image datastore is used to store the images of non-running virtual machines

system datastore holds images used of running **VMs**

files datastore is used to save single files like kernels, contextualization data and files which are stored alone, meaning not as part of image

The image of a virtual machine is cloned from image datastore to system datastore during deployment phase and then copied back after shutdown if the image is persistent. Non-persistent images do not save back to system datastore so they can be destroyed. It is necessary to select technology for transfer to system datastore at nodes. Options are listed below, but it is possible to create script for any other method. Original scripts are located in `/var/lib/one/remotes/{datastore,tm}/`.⁸

shared is filesystem directory and OpenNebula does not care about sharing technology, it just expects the directory to be available on every node

ssh can be used to transfer the images, it is always available but also vastly slow

vmfs copies images using vmkfstools (VMware)

qcow driver uses qemu-qcow to handle the images

ceph use ceph cluster to store images as **RBDs**

lvm images are shared using clustered LVM

Networking

OpenNebula can assign a virtual network to each **VM** so networking driver is executed during virtual machine deployment and virtual machine is connected to the virtual network. Networking driver can provide virtual machine isolation and a basic network configuration. Network manager takes care about leased **IP** addresses⁹ and generates contextualization.

The simplest network driver is called dummy and **VM**'s interface is only added into specified bridge using bridge-utils. Bridge must be configured in advance. This driver does not provide any additional functionality but it can be used as a starting point for writing customized network drivers. Every network driver can be extended with hooks too.

Little more advanced driver is fw and it does the same job as dummy driver but it can configure a firewall too. Firewall rules are applied at physical host so it is not necessary to install any software into the virtual machine. Iptables package must be installed on node to use this driver. Firewall rules described in the figure 6.3.6 are added after **VM** deployment and removed after a shutdown. **TCP** and **UDP** ports can be whitelisted or blacklisted and it is also possible to drop an incoming **ICMP** packets. Driver's capabilities can be easily extended by editing scripts located at `/var/lib/one/remotes/vnm/fw/{pre,post,clean}`.

802.1Q driver uses **VLANs** to isolate the virtual machines. It creates a bridge for every virtual network, assigns **VLAN** id to this bridge and attaches physical interface defined in **PHYDEV** variable. Physical interface is in a trunk mode because it transfers tagged Ethernet frames. This approach is beneficial because **VLAN**

⁸It is necessary to run `onehost sync` after changing any remote script at frontend.

⁹IPv6 is supported as well as legacy IPv4

```

# Create a new chain for each network interface
-A FORWARD -m physdev --physdev-out <tap_device> -j one-<vm_id>-<net_id>
# Accept already established connections
-A one-<vm_id>-<net_id> -p <protocol> -m state --state ESTABLISHED \
-j ACCEPT
# Accept the specified <iprange>
-A one-<vm_id>-<net_id> -p <protocol> -m multiport --dports <iprange> \
-j ACCEPT
# Drop everything else
-A one-<vm_id>-<net_id> -p <protocol> -j DROP

# Create a new chain for each network interface
-A FORWARD -m physdev --physdev-out <tap_device> -j one-<vm_id>-<net_id>
# Drop traffic directed to the iprange ports
-A one-<vm_id>-<net_id> -p <protocol> -m multiport --dports <iprange> \
-j DROP

# Create a new chain for each network interface
-A FORWARD -m physdev --physdev-out <tap_device> -j one-<vm_id>-<net_id>
# Accept already established ICMP connections
-A one-<vm_id>-<net_id> -p icmp -m state --state ESTABLISHED -j ACCEPT
# Drop new ICMP connections
-A one-<vm_id>-<net_id> -p icmp -j DROP

```

Figure 2.5.9: Iptables rules created by fw network driver

aware network switch can be used to forward tagged traffic. [VLAN](#) support is required on the nodes so it is necessary to load the kernel module called *8021q* or compile support directly into the kernel. [VLAN](#) id is calculated as a sum of *CONF[:start_vlan]* from /var/lib/one/remotes/vnm/OpenNebulaNetwork.rb and virtual network id, however both can be edited of course.

Driver called ebttables is simple but it can be useful in many cases. It uses ebttables package and creates ebttables rules described in figure 2.5.10. It prevents virtual machine from changing its [MAC](#) address and eliminates the possibility of [MAC](#) spoofing.

Figure 2.5.10: Ebttables rules uses by ebttables network driver

```

-s ! <mac_address>/ff:ff:ff:ff:ff:0 -o <tap_device> -j DROP
-s ! <mac_address> -i <tap_device> -j DROP

```

The most advanced driver is Open vSwitch ([OVS](#)). This driver provides same network isolation functionality as 802.1Q driver but also enables to use special functions provided by the Open vSwitch, for example the OpenFlow rules or using logically centralized network controller.

There are two variants of this Open vSwitch driver:

ovswitch can be used only with **KVM** nodes

ovswitch_brcmat can be used with **KVM** and Xen, however this driver requires compatibility layer for bridging

I think that this driver is the best choice because it provides all functionality of Open vSwitch. It means that it is possible to use advanced filtering, NetFlow, traffic shaping and the most important thing is the OpenFlow. The OpenFlow is a control plane protocol for forwarding plane configuration so it is possible to decouple control plane from switch and let network controller to manage switches remotely. It is possible to manage physical and virtual switches together and create single converged network. I think that Open vSwitch driver is the best choice if advanced configuration is needed apart from use cases when simple bridging is sufficient

However, this driver is the most difficult to configure because Open vSwitch must be installed on the nodes. It is necessary to use kernel with **OVS** support and install userspace tools. The latest version of **OVS** is 2.3 and it supports Linux kernel version 2.6.32 to 3.14 so newer kernel version can not be used to run nodes with Open vSwitch. However, major distribution use compatible kernels, at least version with long term support. For example latest Ubuntu server version 14.04 is using kernel 3.13.0 so there is not any incompatibility problem.

Templates

Virtual machine deployment with cloud **OS** is different from method used in bare virtualization because it is not possible to create virtual machine directly. It is typical for bare virtualization that it is necessary to manually create virtual machine, generate or import disk image, configure parameters and boot it afterwards. However, it is no longer possible because **VM** deployment is managed by virtual machine manager module and user is not able to directly interact with hypervisors.

OpenNebula is using concept of templates for all virtual and physical entities. Template is definition of parameters and it is used by modules. Template file for virtual machine used for measurements in practical part is in the figure 2.5.11. For example virtual machine manager reads the template and creates the virtual machine using infrastructure driver and **VM** is then deployed by scheduler. It is of course possible to manually edit parameters of virtual machine however initial creation must be always performed by the manager.

Contextualization

It is common that single virtual disk image is running in many instances and it can be used for scaling out or failover. The group of virtual machines deployed for a same purpose is called pool. It is necessary to clone disk image from image repository to system repository for each machine and second even more important task is to adjust configuration parameters. It is not applicable to run each machine from single pool with the same configuration since at least **MAC** address, **IP** address and hostname need to be changed.

Changing parameters before the first boot is one of an available solutions. Hooks can be used to mount disk image, perform required changes, unmount it and boot virtual machine. However, this solution is slow and computation expensive.

Figure 2.5.11: Template for virtual machine

```

CONTEXT=[  

    CONTEXTUALIZED="1",  

    NETWORK="YES",  

    SET_HOSTNAME="themis-VM",  

    SSH_PUBLIC_KEY="ssh-rsa AAtb-shortened-geNmcJ08QbyG/xLOP",  

    THEMIS_TYPE="VM",  

    THEMIS_USER="root"  

]  

CPU="1"  

DESCRIPTION="VM ready to be used by Themis project"  

DISK=[  

    IMAGE="themis - VM - Ubuntu server 14.04. base",  

    IMAGE_UNAME="tom"  

]  

GRAPHICS=[  

    LISTEN="0.0.0.0",  

    TYPE="VNC"  

]  

MEMORY="512"  

NIC=[  

    IP="10.104.33.8",  

    NETWORK="club Buben - Themis",  

    NETWORK_UNAME="tom"  

]  

OS=[  

    ARCH="x86_64"  

]

```

Another approach is imperative configuration after initial boot. Every machine can use same [IP](#) address during the first boot and it will be changed by a configuration management system, e.g. Ansible or Puppet. There are problems which are not easy to solve and the most serious is simultaneous booting of multiple virtual machines because it is not possible to duplicate [IP](#) within single virtual network. [IP](#) address change will cause interruption of any ongoing communication including management channel ([SSH](#) for example). This approach will not scale well because there is a central authority responsible for initial configuration and it is not possible to boot more than one virtual machines simultaneously due to [IP](#) duplication problem.

Solution used by OpenNebula is called contextualization and it solves all of problems mentioned above. First problem to be solved is how to deliver contextualization information to the virtual machine. There are two contextualization mechanisms with totally different approach.

Auto [IP](#) assignment can configure only [IP](#) address. Hypervisor can assign [MAC](#) address of [VM](#)'s network interface and this address is used for [IP](#) address autogeneration. Virtual machine's disk image need to be updated with file /etc/init.d/vmcontext.sh

which is executed during the boot in runlevel 2. This [Bash](#) script parses 3. - 6. group of hexadecimal numbers from [MAC](#) address. Converts each group to decimal base and assigns this as an [IP](#) address. It usually just generates configuration and restarts networking script, but it depends on distribution and can be changed really easily. However, there is always used 255.255.255.0 network mask thus this contextualization approach can not be used for networks with different mask. Example of [IP](#) generation is in [equation 2.1](#). Autogeneration algorithm can be upgraded to work with different network mask by modifying vmcontext.sh script to read mask from first or second group, but three groups at the beginning of [MAC](#) address are [OUI](#) so generated [MAC](#) addresses may collide with range already assigned to an existing organization.

$$02 : 00 : \underline{0a} : \underline{68} : \underline{21} : \underline{08} \rightarrow 10.10.33.8 \quad (2.1)$$

Second contextualization approach is called general contextualization and it is more mighty than previous one. File named context.sh is used to save all information and configuration. This file is generated before [VM](#) deployment and it can be easily extended with user defined variables. Contextualization file is packed into the binary image with ISO 9660 filesystem and mounted as a disk in virtual machine. It is still necessary to provide additional script to read and apply the contextualization but it is much more powerful than previous approach. Main script is called vmcontext and it is responsible for mounting image with contextualization, loading contextualization variables and executing scripts /etc/one-context.d/*.

There are already prepared scripts for configuration of network and [DNS](#), generating authorized_keys file, mounting swap, setting hostname and executing addition script supplied from files datastore. Contextualization file used in practical part is in the [figure 2.5.12](#). There are two custom variable THEMIS_TYPE and THEMIS_USER defined in template and used by middleware. Purpose of other variables is obvious.

Figure 2.5.12: Contextualization file

```
# Context variables generated by OpenNebula
CONTEXTUALIZED='1'
DISK_ID='1'
ETH0_DNS='10.104.1.2 8.8.8.8'
ETH0_GATEWAY='10.104.1.1'
ETH0_IP='10.104.33.8'
ETH0_MAC='02:00:0a:68:21:08'
ETH0_MASK='255.254.0.0'
ETH0_NETWORK='10.104.0.0'
NETWORK='YES'
SET_HOSTNAME='themis-VM'
SSH_PUBLIC_KEY='ssh-rsa AAtb-shortened-geNmcJ08QbyG/xLOP'
TARGET='hda'
THEMIS_TYPE='VM'
THEMIS_USER='root'
```

Migration of virtual machines

Virtualization is enabling technology for cloud computing and it provides separation of running operating system (**VM**) from physical machine. Software can be fully decoupled from hardware and located anywhere in the datacenter or even migrated between datacenters.

Virtual machines (**VM**) are running on the physical servers called nodes or hypervisors. Migration is process of shifting virtual machine from source hypervisor to destination hypervisor. Migration should be undetectable for virtual machine since software running inside must stay running and remain intact.

It can be theoretically possible to detect ongoing migration but detection will be based on performance changes so it should not be possible to detect hypervisor **VM** is running on.

There are two different types of migration - cold and live. Both types migrate virtual machine from source hypervisor to destination, but difference is in migration parameters and method for solving boundary value problem.

3.1 Migration of resources

Virtual machine can be abstracted as a group of mutually cooperating resources. These resource are required for virtual machine operation and thus all of the resource need to be transferred to destination hypervisor during migration. Resources can be

- **VM** parameters (e.g. number of **CPU**, reserved memory, virtual **NIC**)
- image of system disk (used to boot operating system)
- additional images (e.g. CD-ROM images, encrypted block devices)
- other interfaces (e.g. physical **USB** devices)
- virtual network
- memory

Migrating all of these resource may not be trivial because different migration approach must be used. Migration of **VM** parameters is easy because it is just very small plain text, **XML** or **JSON** file. There are techniques to migrate other resources, except physical devices attached to hypervisor.

3.1.1 Storage

Image of system disk, as well as additional images, need to be available for destination hypervisor. The easiest solution is to transfer images during migration but it can take long time, consume all bandwidth available and thus significantly

affects service performance as well as other tenant's traffic. Workaround based on dynamic rate-limiting is proposed in [5].

More advanced solution is using shared storage. This storage is shared between all of the hypervisors and thus all images are immediately available. It can be used for distributed datacenter where hypervisor may be distant in geographical and network manner. Critical parameter for storage in distributed datacenter is round-trip time because synchronous write operations need to be acknowledged. It is possible to use storage in asynchronous mode, but it is dangerous because data corruption may occur and single control node is needed. Single control node is single point of failure as well.

I think that migration between datacenters (i.e. in distributed datacenter) should combine different storage technologies. It does not make sense to store all images in inter-datacenter shared storage because there is significant performance penalty caused by IO operations transferred over network. Migration can be realized in two steps. The image can be migrated from inter-datacenter to intra-datacenter storage in the first step and then whole virtual machine migration can be carried-out.

3.1.2 Network

Some of tenants may require to maintain Layer 2 connectivity after migration, but this practically mean that Layer 2 connectivity between hypervisors is needed.

It relative easy to build Layer 2 connectivity between hypervisors in single datacenter, but it gets much more complicated for distributed datacenter. Overlay networks described in 2.3.1 are capable to spread Layer 2 between datacenters, so this technologies can be used if required.

However, I think that it is better to build application without L2 connectivity between computing nodes, for example by using load-balancing approach on higher layers. L2 connectivity is unsuitable for moving to another datacenter and cloud-bursting. It is better, at least in my opinion, to use other ways to provide communication between virtual machines than using overlays for geographically large installations.

3.1.3 Memory

Memory migration is required for preserving VM state during migration, i.e. perform live migration. It is not necessary to migrate memory for cold migration because virtual machine is powered-off and thus memory is actually empty during migration and can be easily recreated on destination hypervisor.

Migration procedure must be able to read VM's memory at source node and create identical copy on destination node. However, virtual machine is still running on source node and memory is constantly changing. Transfer mechanism proposed in [5] introduces three phases of memory migration:

push Memory pages are pushed from source to destination and pages changed during transfer are labeled as "dirty". Dirty pages are transferred in next round. However, it is not possible to transfer all pages during this phase because some pages get dirty faster than they can be transferred.

stop-and-copy Virtual machine is paused and all remaining dirty pages are transferred. This phase is used to transfer remaining quickly dirtied pages because page dirtying is paused.

pull Virtual machine is running on destination hypervisor but there can be pages which are not copied yet so they are transferred on-demand.

Serious complication is rapid page dirtying described in [5], caused by rapidly modified pages which are dirtied promptly after their transfer. This is caused by disproportion between memory write speed and network bandwidth because it is possible to write into memory much more faster than transfer dirty pages over the network. Only one available solution is to use stop-and-copy phase and stop memory writing during transfer. However, memory transmission can take long time and thus it can significantly increase service downtime, but total migration time will be reduced.

3.2 Cold migration

Procedure of cold migration is simpler than live migration. Virtual machine must be in power-off state before migration, so disadvantage of this method is obvious because all running processes must be terminated and complete operating system shutdown is needed.

Service downtime for cold migration is much longer compared to live migration because it is required to shutdown VM and virtual machine is not running during migration. However, complete virtual machine shutdown can be beneficial for virtual machines with intensive memory writes because it significantly decreases total migration time.

Cold migration is suitable for virtual machines which are part of cluster with working failover and shutdown of single virtual machine is not going to cause service outage. Another appropriate case is migration without shared storage and thus image must be transferred during migration. However, disk transfer may be beneficial if it is necessary to change datastore or even virtualization technology.

This type of migration is easier to perform in distributed datacenter than live migration because resources, like disk image, can be converted during transfer and shared storage is not required.

3.3 Live migration

Migration can be performed "live" almost without service disruption. According to measurements provided in [5] can downtime be as low as 60 ms but it depends on application and infrastructure parameters.

Live migration provides administrator with tool for shifting virtual machines between hypervisors without any significant outage. It is beneficial for cloud administration and maintenance because it is possible to move VM as required. It allows to make hardware upgrades since all virtual machines can be migrated to another hypervisor. Hypervisor can be upgraded and then VM migrated back. It is extensively used for IaaS because infrastructure administrator can migrate machines without the need of root access into VM.

It is necessary to perform migration in secure way so virtual machine must not stay unusable on both hypervisors. There are 4 basic step which need to be performed:

1. **VM** is created on destination node, but it is paused.
2. Migration of resources is started. This includes disk image migration (or sharing), memory migration and also ensuring that all other resource are available on destination node.
3. **VM** is paused on source node.
4. **VM** is resumed on destination node and deleted on source node.

Cloud orchestrator usually requires shared datastore for live migration of disk images. **NFS** or any distributed filesystem can be used. Live migration of memory is performed by combination of push and stop-and-copy approach. Push phase is responsible to transfer most of the memory and stop-and-copy is used preferably to quickly move the rest of the memory. Migration mechanism should monitor duration of migration and memory writes because it may be necessary to switch to stop-and-copy phase even if significant amount of memory pages is still waiting to be transferred. This is caused by a rapid page dirtying and it is necessary to stop virtual machine otherwise it would never finish migration.

There are extra tasks which can be executed after successful migration. It is, for example, necessary to update **FDB** and **ARP** table on all intermediate network boxes because virtual machine changed it's location. Obvious solution is to sent gratuitous **ARP**, but some routers block this kind of **ARP** message. Virtual machine can send directed **ARP** messages to all addresses in it's cache as suggested in [5].

Part II

Measurement of migration

Introduction

The goal of practical part is to develop system capable to measure virtual machine availability during migration.

Virtualization is enabling technology for VM migration since it decouples virtual machine from physical server. However, there are some dependencies which must be transferred together with virtual machine such as virtual network and disk image. Disk image transfer can be solved by shared storage or using cold migration, but it is not very clear how will migration affect the networking communication.

Network connected to virtual machine is called virtual network but physical infrastructure is required to transfer signal between virtual server and other side of communication (usually customer). It is possible to migrate virtual machine to any server almost without any limitations but there may be serious problem with virtual network.

Virtual machine availability can be measured on many layers. Application layer can be tested by simulating customer requests. Testing can be performed by software called ApacheBench. It can send many parallel request to HTTP server and measure statistics like time for request, transferred bytes etc. There are other similar benchmarks as dkftpbench¹ or SPECweb99² but they are always limited to one type of service or protocol. I think that this limitation is critical for evaluation of virtual machine migrations and it is necessary to use more general approach.

General measurement procedure should be independent on platform and service so only possible solution is to perform measurement directly on network layer. Statistics acquired by network measurement may be approximately converted into higher layers and estimation of service availability can be calculated. Network measurement can give some information about network environment during migration as well. Packet loss is the most relevant sign for virtual machine availability during migration but there are other parameters which should be taken into account. For example packet delay can significantly affect service quality, especially for a storage.

Testing of virtual machine migration is extensive topic because there are additional parameters specific for migration of virtual machines. Total migration time may be used to decide whether it is convenient to use live or cold migration. Total migration time is crucial indicator used in emergency migration cases which may include unplanned outage or a natural disaster. Another special parameter is migration success rate since migration request can be unsuccessful in some cases.

I think that it is important to introduce application capable to evaluate virtual machine migrations so I have developed an application called Themis³. Themis is modular framework which can be instructed to provide migrations in defined way, collect performance data and export them.

¹<http://freecode.com/projects/dkftpbench>

²<https://www.spec.org/web99/>

³Themis is Greek Titaness usually depicted holding scales and it is a reason why application is called Themis.

Methodology overview

Testing framework should be universal as much as possible so I have decided to measure performance on the network layer since this is the most universal technology available. Network measurement can be performed on any platform and it is not restricted to single vendor or technology.

Primary task of an application is to measure an availability of virtual machine during live migration. Two virtual machines are used: **VM** under test and supervisor. Virtual machine is migrated between hypervisors while measurement session between **VM** and supervisor is established. Supervisor is deployed as a virtual machine because it can be moved to any location and actually change testing parameters, but supervisor is fixed and is not migrating during measurement session. It can be deployed as physical machine as well.

IP address must be retained during migration because there is measurement session established and it would break in case of address change. It is possible to measure migration with **IP** change, but it is necessary to use **VPN** or advanced routing to provide **VM** \leftrightarrow supervisor connectivity.

Framework is ready to measure cold and live migration. Live migration can be used without any special configuration, but **VM** must be prepared to perform cold migration. Machine is powered-off during migration and then booted at destination hypervisor so it is necessary to start migration agent right after booting. This may be achieved by init script or process monitoring framework, e.g. God¹.

5.1 Measurement session

Session between **VM** need to be established to obtain data for analysis. Packet generator and receiver need to be running on **VM** and supervisor. I have developed agents capable to run session and export results back to the backend.

Traffic generators are investigated and compared in [14] and [21]. I have decided to use iperf because this tool is widely available, runs on many platforms and gives similar results as others without any significant deviation. It really does not matter which tool is used because it is very easy to adjust management module and agent code to use different tool.

5.2 Management

Management access is used to orchestrate virtual machines as well as for orchestrating the orchestrator. These steps need to be performed for each session:

1. load measure session parameters

¹<http://godrb.com>

2. launch measurement agents on **VM** and supervisor
3. request migration (**VM** is migrated, supervisor is fixed)
4. wait for migration to finish (e.i **VM** is in running state)
5. end measurement session
6. check whether migration was correct

Various protocols can be used to run commands on virtual machines but **SSH** is most common and provides all required features as well as sufficient security level.

Management module must be able to control OpenNebula orchestrator and acquire information about hosts and virtual machines. There are various methods how to control orchestrator. OpenNebula provides low-level **API** via **XML-RPC** with wrappers available in Java and Ruby. There is also an **OCCI** interface implemented, but **XML-RPC** interface seems to be better choice because it is tailored for OpenNebula.

Security aspect must be taken into account because it is unacceptable to allow unauthorized access to OpenNebula cloud interface and **SSH** console of virtual machines. It is not acceptable to save any passwords into source code repository.




Figure 5.2.1: Methodology overview

Themis application

Themis is an application for evaluation of virtual machine migrations. It is prepared to be used for availability measurements and can be easily adjusted to perform other task during migration.

Application is modular and can be adapted for different orchestrator than OpenNebula. Architecture is depicted in figure 6.0.1. Backend is responsible for measurement management and processing the results. Frontend provides web interface. Result can be displayed in browser or exported in [CSV](#) format.




Figure 6.0.1: Architecture of Themis application

Application is written in Ruby using Ruby on Rails framework. Ruby is platform independent and can run on almost every currently used operating system. Ruby on Rails ([RoR](#)) is framework providing database abstraction and it is strictly based on model-view-controller ([MVC](#)) architecture. Application is defined by an object model, outputs are generated using views and controller is responsible for sending commands to models and forward results to views.

I have decided to use this framework because it provides better interaction with system services, e.g. [SSH](#) and [SCP](#), than other web frameworks. There are classes available for interaction with OpenNebula and OpenStack cloud [API](#) so it is not necessary to create [XML-RPC](#) parsers from scratch.

6.1 Measure models

There are three models of measurement tasks and results. It is definition, session and transfer. These models are used to describe migration plans, migration progress and results. Relation between models is depicted in the figure 6.1.2.

All measurement models mentioned below are descendants of an *ActiveRecord::Base* and mapping between objects and tables is handled by this build-in class. It also describes inter-model associations and performs validation.




Figure 6.1.2: Relation between measurement models

6.1.1 Definition

Definition class, formally *MeasureDefinition*, is used to save prescription for measurement task and track time taken. Parameters are listed in the table 6.1.1 and their meaning is described below.

vm is virtual machine which is going to be migrated. List of VMs available for migration is loaded on-demand from orchestrator using *OneOrchestrator* class. Virtual machine must be in running state and variable `THEMIS_TYPE = 'VM'` need to be present in contextualization settings.

source is source host for virtual machine. VM have to be migrated to this host before starting measurement session. List of hosts is loaded using *OneOrchestrator* class and hosts status is checked for each host.

destination is destination host. VM is migrated to this host during measurement session.

bandwidth determines packet generation rate (in Mbps) passed to the agent.

cycles set number of migration repetitions.

supervisor is an IP address of supervisor services, i.e. packet receiver and result exporter

description can be used to attach any note.

started_at is timestamp taken at the beginning of measurement.

finished_at is timestamp taken after finishing all migrations.

Definition class has one-to-many relation with session class and also one-to-many indirect relation with transfer class connected through sessions. It means that it is possible to load every information from subordinate classes (models) and it is useful for generating exports and web views.

Definition class is the highest class in the hierarchy so it is connected with orchestrator. There is a class variable @@shared_orchestrator which is providing link to an orchestrator interface. This variable is shared by all class instances so it is not necessary to initialize more parallel connections.

Methods for manipulation with orchestrator resources are declared in definition class. *Host_source* and *host_destination* returns host object for source and destination host. Method called *virtual_machine* returns virtual machine object which is going to be used for migration evaluation and control.

Most important method of the definition class is *start* because it executes migration evaluation. It is responsible for generation of sessions and starting all of them. One session object will be prepared for each migration cycle, so number of session objects is the same as number stored in cycles parameter. Sessions need to be started one by one so there is a loop which starts new session right after finishing the previous one. Session start is performed by calling *start* of session class. This method is different from previously mentioned method with same name because this one is defined in session class. Finished_at parameter is set to current timestamp right after finishing the last session, i.e. at the end of migration evaluation.

Migration evaluation is not very computation expensive, but it can take really long time to perform many migration cycles, in particular for virtual machines under load. It is not possible to run these long running tasks on request from web interface because request will timeout shortly and task would be terminated. I have decided to use Delayed::Job (**DJ**) to run these tasks asynchronously. **DJ** can run task in detached process and it can run for a long time without any timeout problems. It is also possible to run **DJ** worker on separate machine so migration evaluation is executed in completely separated environment. This approach eliminates interference with other processes or network traffic. Security is improved too, since the orchestrator interface does not need to be accessible from machine serving web interface.

There is one special function called flush and it clears measurement definition and all of its subordinate objects. It can be used for debugging because it is sometimes necessary to repeat the migration with same parameters. However, it is not possible to run migration which was running in the past and all of sessions have already finished.

6.1.2 Session

Session class, precisely MeasureSession, is a link between definition and transfers. This class is responsible for migration and measurement coordination. Remote

Table 6.1.1: MeasureDefinition parameters

Parameter	Required	Type	Editable by user	Notes
vm	yes	string	yes	
source	yes	integer	yes	
destination	yes	integer	yes	
bandwidth	yes	integer	yes	
cycles	yes	integer	yes	required bigger than 0
supervisor	yes	string	yes	IP address
description	no	text	yes	
started_at	no	timestamp	no	
finished_at	no	timestamp	no	

management of virtual machines and orchestrator is performed inside this class.

There are no parameters editable by a user because all necessary information about migration are inherited from the definition. Table 6.1.2 describes all parameters. There are two timestamp fields with evident purpose, reference to MeasureDefinition and two fields special for this class:

seq is sequence number in scope of superior MeasureDefinition. Session with seq = 1 is going to be executed first and seq = measure_definition.cycles is the last one.

status determines status of measurement session:

- **0** - pending - session is waiting for execution, this is the default status
- **1** - running - session is running right now
- **2** - done - migration was finished successfully
- **3** - failed - migration was executed and failed to finish

Table 6.1.2: MeasureSession parameters

Parameter	Required	Type	Edit.	Notes
measure_definition_id	yes	reference	no	reference to MeasureDefinition
status	yes	integer	no	
seq	yes	integer	no	
started_at	no	timestamp	no	
finished_at	no	timestamp	no	

Most important part of MeasureSession class is *start* method. This method is executed by superior definition, so it is not necessary to set an asynchronous execution with Delayed::Job because higher object is already running asynchronously.

It is necessary to load migration parameters, so only an information about supervisor IP is loaded as a string and the rest is loaded as objects. It is beneficial to work with objects instead of identifiers because it allows to execute commands directly without any additional parsing.

Net::SSH client library is used for connection to virtual machine under test and supervisor. I have implemented authentication using keys because it is necessary to provide password-less login for the backend service. It is possible to implement password authentication just by editing the client library configuration, but I wanted to avoid storing any passwords in the source code.

Migration process can be divided into 3 stages. First stage is preparation for migration, second stage is migration and third stage is migration verification and reporting. Tasks must be carried out sequentially because next task always depends on previous one.

First task after loading migration information is clearing previous measurement session. Established session between packet generator and packer receiver can become stale if it was not terminated successfully after previous migration. Packet generator and receiver should be terminated after virtual machine migration, but it may stay running in case of unexpected backend error or unclean shutdown. Command in the figure 6.1.3 is executed on **VM** and supervisor just to be sure there are no stale sessions. This command is optimized for agent.rb and need to be adapted to work with another measurement tools.

```
KILLPID=$(ps aux | grep -v grep | grep agent\.rb | grep ruby \  
| xargs | cut -d' ' -f2); if [ -n "$KILLPID" ]; then \  
kill -SIGINT "$KILLPID"; fi
```

Figure 6.1.3: Clear stale sessions command

Next action performed during the first stage is migration to the source host. Source and destination hosts are loaded from the measure definition and the migration must be performed exactly from the source to the destination, so the **VM** need to be running on the source host. Unmeasured migration to source host is requested during this stage if **VM** is not already running on right host.

Last task in preparation stage is to run agents. It is necessary to start agents on **VM** and supervisor. Both agents must also stay running after **SSH** disconnection. It is difficult to run a Ruby script on a remote machine in a subshell because normal behavior is to terminate process after disconnection. I am using **screen** software which is able to run detached processes. However, situation is even more complicated due to different Ruby installation methods on **VM** and supervisor. Virtual machine uses standard Ruby version installed via package manager by command **apt-get install ruby**, so it easier to run agent.rb because it does not require interactive shell. Rbenv¹ is used to install Ruby on supervisor because agent.rb in receiver mode requires newer version than provided by package manager. Rbenv is initialized in file **/.bashrc** so it is necessary to run all Ruby scripts in interactive shell. Parameters for agent.rb can be found below in the table 6.3.5.

Known problems

Sessions are not atomic because asynchronous **API** is used and many sequential actions need to be performed. Orchestrator works in "best effort" manner, so migration request is refused sometimes. It is usually caused by temporary unknown

¹<https://github.com/sstephenson/rbenv>

Figure 6.1.4: Run remote agents command

```
### generator - VM
screen -d -m /bin/bash -c '("~/themis/agent.rb "generator" \
#{supervisor} #{5000 + (id % 1000)} #{measure_definition.bandwidth}M'

### receiver - supervisor
screen -d -m /bin/bash -li -c '("~/themis/agent.rb "receiver" \
#{supervisor} #{5000 + (id % 1000)} \
#{measure_transfers_upload_url(:measure_session => id, :format => 'json')}"'
```

VM state. This behavior can not be solved in application so this kind of session is just marked as failed and next session is started.

Another problem is virtual machine stuck in migration state. It is caused by hypervisor error (usually deadlock). Orchestrator keeps asking about virtual machine state but never gets an answer, so virtual machine is stuck in actual state. It is necessary to fix this error manually in orchestrator because application will get stuck in waiting for migration to finish. It is necessary to fix faulty hypervisor, delete VM and recreate it. Measurement task will continue after VM under test is back in running state.

Most serious problem is caused by zombie VMs. Zombie is virtual machine running on hypervisor, although it should not. Even worse is that OpenNebula orchestrator does not display zombies in list of virtual machines and it is possible to deploy virtual machine with same id on different host. This situation is depicted in figure 6.1.5. It is obviously not possible to migrate *one-247* between hosts because it already exists on both of them. I am working on modification of OpenNebula scheduler to stop deployment of virtual machine in case of zombie with same name already exists.




Figure 6.1.5: Zombie VM

All of these errors are caused by incorrect orchestrator behavior, so it is necessary to solve them in orchestrator. Application will wait for problem to be fixed or labels session as failed.

6.1.3 Transfer

Class MeasureTransfer is used to store information about the transfers and it actually parses output from packet receiver. There are no user editable fields because objects are uploaded via [API](#). Model parameters are described in the table [6.1.3](#).

Themis application uses iperf to measure packet flow, so MeasureTransfer class is tailored for output of the iperf. Adapting to another measurement software is fairly easy because just one database migration script and small model changes will do the job.

Table 6.1.3: MeasureTransfer parameters

Parameter	Required	Type	Edit.	Notes
measure_session_id	yes	reference	no	reference to MeasureSession
timestamp	no	timestamp	no	
time_relative	yes	float	no	
jitter	no	float	no	
datagrams_transferred	no	integer	no	
datagrams_lost	no	integer	no	
bits_transferred	no	integer	no	
bits_lost	no	integer	no	
bandwidth	no	integer	no	bits per second

It is necessary to provide interface for automatic uploading MeasureTransfer objects. [URL](#) for uploads is /measure_transfers/:measure_session(.:format) and it is routed to measure_transfers#upload. This [URL](#) needs to be passed to the packet generator.

First task is to load the superior MeasureSession object and check whether this object actually exists. Transfers without a session is not valid and can no be saved.

Upload action of MeasureTransfers controller parses input objects received in [JSON](#) format and saves them into the database. However, it is necessary to make a few changes to each object. Iperf uses different format of timestamp than database and it does not support time zone, so each timestamp received from the iperf must be parsed, converted to native DateTime object and merged with timezone data.

Parsed DateTime object is used to calculate time_relative. It is time between current time and start of migration session. Relative time is used to align migrations for graphing and exports.

Rails framework implements [CSRF](#) prevention mechanism, so it is necessary to create an exception for an upload action. I have added exception to ApplicationController for all request in the [JSON](#) format.

6.2 Virtual machines

Virtual machine, used for migration testing, needs to be prepared first. It is possible to migrate every virtual machine, but agent.rb wrapper needs. Agent is also used to export results from the supervisor to the backend.

Agent.rb is expected to exist in path `/themis/agent.rb` and it is already saved there in prepared images. It is also necessary to install Ruby interpreter. I have used package available in distribution for VM under test and rbenv for supervisor.

Virtual machines are already contextualized for OpenNebula, and agent.rb with Ruby is installed. These images can be directly imported into OpenNebula image datastore and used in templates.

Table 6.2.4: Virtual machines parameters

Parameter	VM for migration	Supervisor
Operating system	Ubuntu server 14.04.1 LTS	
Kernel version	3.13.0-32	
Image size	5.9G	
Image type	raw	
Device prefix	vd	
Username	root	
Password	none, only SSH keys using contextualization	
Agent.rb	/root/themis/agent.rb	
Ruby version	1.9.3p484	2.1.3p242
Ruby install method	package manager	rbenv

6.3 Agent

It was necessary to develop a script wrapper capable to parse iperf output and upload results to the backend module. This script is called agent.rb, it is attached on the CD and will be published in project repository. It is written in Ruby to be compatible with the rest of the project. Agent script is executed by the backend in migration_session model in start method and SSH is used for remote execution.

Agent script must be available in the virtual machine under test as well as in supervisor. However, distribution is fairly simple because it is just a single file (agent.rb) with a few dependencies. This file can be distributed manually, but it is not very usable for large or frequent deployment. Automatic deployment using OpenNebula's contextualization is much more efficient since orchestrator takes care of saving file into VMs. It is also possible to use configuration management system to upload this file and prepare environment to run migration. I am using Ansible to deploy agent.rb because it was necessary to update Ruby version.

There are two modes of running agent. Modes differ in packet generator parameters and required dependencies. Mode is determined by ARGV[0] parameter, which is the first parameter after filename. It is necessary to select correct mode and properly configure all parameters from the table 6.3.5 because measure session can not be established otherwise.

Generator mode only creates packets and sends them to receiver so it requires nothing more than the Ruby and the iperf available. Receiver mode is more advanced and it uploads results to the backend besides receiving packets. Receiver mode requires these dependencies:

- net/http used to upload results to then backend using HTTP POST method

- **json** necessary to export data into **JSON** before sending
- **date** used to parse iperf timestamp and convert it into backend compatible format

Both modes use IO class to read pipeline output from iperf program. This class is part of a Ruby core so it is not necessary to install it separately. Receiver dependencies can be installed using package manager or with gem utility using command `gem install net/http json date`.

Table 6.3.5: Agent.rb parameters

Generator mode			Receiver mode	
ARGV[i]	Parameter	Example	Parameter	Example
0	Mode	generator	Mode	receiver
1	Destination IP	192.0.2.1	Listen IP	192.0.2.1
2	Destination port	5004	Listen port	5004
3	Bandwidth	1M	Upload URL	<code>http://backend/ measure_transfers/ 23.json</code>

Agent is using legacy iperf version developed by NLANR/DAST, but it introduces several problems which must be resolved in agent.rb and measure session routine. I am going to adapt agent.rb for iperf3². It is a new implementation developed by ESnet/Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory. Iperf3 provides **JSON** output and probably will not suffer from problems presented below.

First problem is automatic session reestablishment. This occurs when running session is interrupted by a client and new session is initialized with the same receiver in short interval (less than few seconds). Iperf server joins new session with previous one which is not desired behavior. This is the reason why there is 5 second interval inserted before generator restart.

Second problem is handling INT signal by legacy iperf. SIGINT is reserved for external interrupt and this signal is, for example, sent to process when Ctrl + C is pressed. Iperf catches this signal preventing user to accidentally stop running measure session. I understand reason why this function was implemented but I think that is total nonsense to require two consecutive INT signals to quit the program. I have solved this by trapping SIGINT and sending KILL signal to iperf before agent.rb exits. This is only one possible way to reliably stop running agent together with the iperf.

6.4 Frontend

I have developed web interface for managing Themis application because it is easier for users to interact with web interface than configure application using console. Although web interface was not main goal of this thesis, I have decided to

²Available on <https://github.com/esnet/iperf>

Figure 6.3.6: Example of agent.rb and iperf commands

```
# agent in generator mode
./agent.rb "generator" 192.0.2.1 5004 10M
# expanded iperf command in generator mode
iperf --udp --interval 1 --time 3600 --client 192.0.2.1 --port 5004 \
--bandwidth 10M --format b

# agent in receiver mode
./agent.rb "receiver" 192.0.2.1 5004 http://backend/measure_transfers/23.json
# expanded iperf command in receiver mode
iperf --server --bind 192.0.2.1 --port 5004 --udp --interval \
--reportstyle c --format
```

implement it to provide better information about running migration and simple interface.

Web frontend is created in respect with model-view-architecture of Ruby on Rails. Twitter Bootstrap³ is used for user interface components.




Figure 6.4.7: Welcome page with tabs

There are three tabs in the main page:

- Themis
- Waiting jobs
- Measure definitions

First tab is just welcome page with elementary information. The most important information on this page is current version. Application is prepared to be deployed using Capistrano⁴ so current running version is loaded from GIT repository.

Waiting jobs tab displays running and pending tasks. All long-running tasks need to be executed asynchronously with Delayed::Job, so task is first saved into the database and then executed by a worker after some time defined in the configuration. Pending and running jobs can be reviewed in this tab. However, it is not allowed to perform any changes on running or pending task because it could break relation between Delayed::Job and running processes.

³<https://github.com/twbs/bootstrap>

⁴<https://github.com/capistrano/capistrano>

6.4.1 Definitions

The most important tab is the Measure definitions because actions can be performed here. List of all definitions is displayed after clicking this tab, screenshot is in the figure 6.4.8. User accessible parameters from table 6.1.1 are displayed there. There are also basic actions as view, edit and destroy. Already started definition can not be edited.

Measure definitions

add definition								
Description	VM	source	destination	supervisor	settings	timing	actions	
test	themis - VM-251	0	2	10.104.33.7	bandwidth: 100Mbps cycles: 100	created: 2014-12-10 17:37:01 +0100 started: 2014-12-10 17:37:06 +0100 finished:	 view	 edit
statistics test	themis - VM-251	0	2	10.104.33.7	bandwidth: 150Mbps cycles: 200	created: 2014-12-09 20:45:19 +0100 started: 2014-12-09 20:45:23 +0100 finished: 2014-12-09 23:50:28 +0100	 view	 edit
another test	themis - VM-247	0	2	10.104.33.7	bandwidth: 100Mbps cycles: 200	created: 2014-12-09 15:58:49 +0100 started: 2014-12-09 15:58:49 +0100 finished: 2014-12-09 20:23:40 +0100	 view	 edit
statistics test	themis - VM-247	0	2	10.104.33.7	bandwidth: 300Mbps cycles: 100	created: 2014-12-09 12:18:08 +0100 started: 2014-12-09 12:24:23 +0100 finished: 2014-12-09 13:32:34 +0100	 view	 edit
test	themis - VM-247	0	2	10.104.33.7	bandwidth: 80Mbps cycles: 100	created: 2014-11-30 17:15:33 +0100 started: 2014-11-30 17:15:38 +0100 finished: 2014-11-30 19:05:08 +0100	 view	 edit

Figure 6.4.8: List of all definitions

I have decided to print the source and the destination host only as an id because each name lookup takes one request send to the orchestrator. I think that listing id is sufficient because user should be already familiar with OpenNebula hosts.

More information about definition can be displayed by following "view" action link on the right side. This page displays all information about the selected definition, it's parameters, sessions and transfers. Screenshot is in the figure 6.4.9.

Progress bar represents ratio between finished, failed and pending sessions. First green part is a ratio of successfully finished sessions to all migrations, red part is a ratio of failed sessions and rest is a percentage of pending.

There is a table of all sessions under the progress bar. Session is single migration from the source host to the destination host together with network measurement. Status, time of start end finish, id and number of rows is displayed for each session.

Information about transfers during the session can be displayed as a table or a graph. Graphing library is Chart.js⁵ so it is necessary to use a browser with JavaScript and HTML5 support. It is much slower to generate graph in the browser than using MATLAB because it is not optimized to work with huge datasets. Graphing in browser is intended to be used for a quick overview and more complex visualization should be generated from CSV file using MATLAB or matplotlib.

The frontend does not implement any kind of authorization and authentication because it is supposed to run on the isolated network or as a part of an existing

⁵<https://github.com/nnnick/Chart.js>

Definition

Description	VM	source	destination	supervisor	settings	timing	
test	themis - VM-251	0	2	10.104.33.7	bandwidth: 100Mbps cycles: 100	created: 2014-12-10 17:37:01 +0100 started: 2014-12-10 17:37:06 +0100 finished:	CSV

89% 5%

Sessions

#	Status	Started at	Finished at	id	rows	
1	✓	2014-12-10 17:37:25 +0100	2014-12-10 17:37:45 +0100	1579	36	view graph
2	✓	2014-12-10 17:38:19 +0100	2014-12-10 17:38:39 +0100	1580	38	view graph
3	✓	2014-12-10 17:39:12 +0100	2014-12-10 17:39:32 +0100	1581	36	view graph
4	✓	2014-12-10 17:40:06 +0100	2014-12-10 17:40:26 +0100	1582	38	view graph
5	✓	2014-12-10 17:41:21 +0100	2014-12-10 17:41:42 +0100	1583	36	view graph

Figure 6.4.9: Definition overview




Figure 6.4.10: Bandwidth visualization

system with authorization. Each client gets unlimited access to all migration data and can perform any action. It is necessary to negotiate rules in case of multiuser usage. It is not difficult to implement a user access control, but it is beyond the scope of this thesis. Authentication library Authlogic⁶ can be used with authorization provided by for example CanCanCan⁷.

⁶<https://github.com/binarylogic/authlogic>

⁷<https://github.com/CanCanCommunity/cancancan>

Conclusion

I have analyzed and compared networking and storage technologies used in distributed (cloud) datacenters. Virtualization is mentioned in the beginning because it is an enabling technology for the cloud computing. Cloud deployment and service models are compared and appropriate use cases are mentioned.

The biggest attention is dedicated to the cloud computing, especially to networking, storage and orchestration technologies. Comparison is made by defining the use cases and commenting advantages and disadvantages. It is not possible to rigorously decide on the best solution because there are many use cases and each of them requires individual approach.

Networking is an essential part of the datacenter design and legacy technologies are not able to fulfill current demand. Overlays techniques are supposed to provide required flexibility and network virtualization. [VXLAN](#), [STT](#) and [NVGRE](#) is discussed. Hop-by-hop network virtualization is mentioned too, but it is tightly tied to the [SDN](#) and [SDN](#) is still kind of sci-fi technology because it is not widely supported. I have found out that load balancing is an important topic connected with overlay networks and [VM](#) migrations, so I have included the load balancing into the networking section too.

The orchestration is described in a theoretical part and special attention is given to OpenNebula because it is used in a practical part. I have explained principles of the orchestration with special focus on virtual networks. Contextualization packages from a practical part are presented.

I think that the virtualization brings so many improvements to the datacenters that a legacy networking technologies are not able to keep pace. Most of the currently used technologies were designed before the virtualization era and it is the reason why they are to rigid to meet nowadays requirements. It is common to live migrate the virtual machine nowadays but it was impossible in a few years before.

We are trying to build a highly agile technology, like virtualized and distributed datacenter, on top of the legacy techniques. This approach is obviously not able to work well and there are two possible solutions.

The first radical solution is to totally redesign current network stack and take current requirements into account. However, it is very hard to design solution for all use cases with respect to a future usage. It also does not make sense from economical point of view because network equipment needs to be upgraded or replaced. [SDN](#) is, in my opinion, a typical example of this technology since it brings amazing new features and it is usually can not be used on the legacy devices.

Second approach is to build a new overlay network on top of an existing network. This overlay network can provide additional functionality, but also brings some limitations caused by an underlaying physical network. It is, for example, not possible to handle priority packets from the overlay networks with special care in the underlay network because the underlay knows nothing about the overlay network. Another problem is the [BUM](#) traffic because it is problematic to handle and

multicast needs to be implemented in the underlay network. The overlay networks can immediately bring some significant improvements to a datacenter networking, but there is a trade-off. I think that overlays are an appropriate temporary solution, but I would recommend to use the hop-by-hop with [SDN](#) when available.

It is possible to migrate a virtual machine with really minimal outage so [VMs](#) can be moved between the hypervisors to optimize performance or minimize an energy consumption. It is necessary to think about a networking aspect of migration because transfer degradation will definitely appear during the migration. I have developed an application called Themis. It is capable to evaluate a virtual machine availability during live migration. It combines network measurements, orchestration and data analysis.

Typical use case is a migration of the virtual machine with [SLA](#). It is necessary to measure service disruption before migration because there are the limits, for example for packet loss, defined in [SLA](#). Themis can be used to migrate testing virtual machine and check whether service degradation during migration is acceptable.

Fork of the application is used in Department of Electromagnetic Field, CTU FEE, for automatic measurement of wireless links and monitoring. The migration routines are not used and the remote management is replaced by an agent responsible for a continuous packet generation.

A migration schema can be defined using a console or a web interface. Virtual machine availability is then evaluated according to the schema. Repetitive migrations are supported as well as configurable bandwidth for packet generator. The application manages virtual machines via [SSH](#), starts measurement session, request migration via orchestrator [API](#) and collects the results. Whole process is fully automated, so no manual configuration is needed.

Results can be viewed in a browser or exported into a [CSV](#) file. Sample measurement outputs are presented in appendix A. I have performed various migration schemas with different parameters.

Noticeable transfer degradation can be seen in bandwidth graphs (e.g. [A.1.1](#)). This degradation is caused by stop-and-copy phase during migration. Figure [A.1.4](#) depicts measurement performed during period with higher load and results are significantly affected by other traffic because switch between hosts is not reserved exclusively for the measurement. Packet jitter is presented in the figure [A.1.6](#). Significant peak during stop-and-copy phase can be seen in graph.

The application will be used for evaluation of migration driven by orchestrator combined with network controller. Acquired data will be used to compare traditional approach with migration supported by [SDN](#).

Most significant advantages of the application compared to a manual measurement are the repetitive migrations with exactly defined parameters and automatic collection of the results. I have prepared virtual machines and developed whole framework for managing migration and collecting the results. The application can be easily modified to execute tasks different from the network measurement, for example start a benchmark and compare performance of the physical hosts.

List of Abbreviations

API	Application Programming Interface.
ARP	Address Resolution Protocol.
Bash	Bourne-again sh.
BUM	Broadcast, Unknown unicast and Multicast.
CAPEX	Capital Expenditures.
CPU	Central Processing Unit.
CRUSH	Controlled, Scalable, Decentralized Placement of Replicated Data.
CSRF	Cross-size Request Forgery.
CSV	Comma-separated values.
DJ	Delayed::Job.
DNS	Domain Name Service.
ECMP	Equal-cost multi-path routing.
FC	Fibre Channel.
FCP	Fibre Channel Protocol.
FDB	Forwarding Database.
GRE	Generic Routing Encapsulation.
HA	High Availability.
HTML	Hypertext Markup Language.
HTTP	HyperText Transfer Protocol.
IaaS	Infrastructure as a Service.
ICMP	Internet Control Message Protocol.
IO	Input/Output.
IOPS	Input/Output Operations Per Second.
IP	Internet Protocol.
IPv4	Internet Protocol version 4.
IPv6	Internet Protocol version 6.
ISATAP	Intra-Site Automatic Tunnel Addressing Protocol.
iSCSI	Internet Small Computer System Interface.
JSON	JavaScript Object Notation.
KVM	Kernel-based Virtual Machine.
LVM	Logical Volume Management.
LXC	LinuX Containers.
MAC	Media Access Control.
MVC	Model-view-controller.
NAS	Network Access Storage.
NFS	Network File System.
NIC	Network Interface Card.
NIST	National Institute of Standards and Technology.

NVE	Network Virtualization Edge.
NVGRE	Network Virtualization using Generic Routing Encapsulation.
OCCI	Open Cloud Computing Interface.
OPEX	Operating Expenditures.
OS	Operating System.
OSD	Object Storage Device.
OSPF	Open Shortest Path First.
OUI	Organization Unique Identifier.
OVS	Open vSwitch.
PaaS	Platform as a Service.
RADOS	Reliable Automatic Distributed Object Store.
RAID	Redundant Array of Independent Disks.
RBD	RADOS Block Device.
RoR	Ruby on Rails.
RPC	Remote Procedure Call.
RTT	Round-trip Time.
SaaS	Software as a Service.
SATA	Serial Advanced Technology Attachment.
SCP	Secure Copy.
SDN	Software Defined Networking.
SLA	Service Layer Agreement.
SOA	Start Of Authority.
SSD	Solid State Drive.
SSH	Secure Shell.
SSL	Secure Sockets Layer.
STP	Spanning Tree Protocol.
STT	Stateless Transport Tunelling.
TCP	Transmission Control Protocol.
ToR	Top of Rack.
TTL	Time To Live.
UDP	User Datagram Protocol.
UPS	Uninterruptible Power Supply.
URL	Uniform Resource Locator.
US	United States.
USB	Universal Serial Bus.
VLAN	Virtual Local Area Network.
VM	Virtual Machine.
VNI	VXLAN Network Identifier.
VPN	Virtual Private Network.
VPS	Virtual Private Server.
VSID	Virtual Subnet Identifier.
VTEP	VXLAN Tunnel Endpoint.
VXLAN	Virtual Extensible Local Area Network.
XML	Extensible Markup Language.

List of Figures

2.2.1	Service model responsibility	11
2.3.2	Model of VXLAN topology	15
2.3.3	Overlay virtual network	17
2.3.4	Hop-by-hop virtual network	18
2.3.5	Example zone file for DNS load balancing	20
2.3.6	Load balancing at application level	20
2.3.7	Anycast load balancing	21
2.5.8	OpenNebula architecture	27
2.5.9	Iptables rules created by fw network driver	29
2.5.10	Ebttables rules uses by ebttables network driver	29
2.5.11	Template for virtual machine	31
2.5.12	Contextualization file	32
5.2.1	Methodology overview	40
6.0.1	Architecture of Themis application	41
6.1.2	Relation between measurement models	42
6.1.3	Clear stale sessions command	45
6.1.4	Run remote agents command	46
6.1.5	Zombie VM	46
6.3.6	Example of agent.rb and iperf commands	50
6.4.7	Welcome page with tabs	50
6.4.8	List of all definitions	51
6.4.9	Definition overview	52
6.4.10	Bandwidth visualization	52

List of Tables

1.1.1	Comparison of virtualization types	5
6.1.1	MeasureDefinition parameters	44
6.1.2	MeasureSession parameters	44
6.1.3	MeasureTransfer parameters	47
6.2.4	Virtual machines parameters	48
6.3.5	Agent.rb parameters	49

Bibliography

- [1] Ondřej Celetka. IPv4 jako služba aneb jak sít zavít dual-stacku. <http://www.root.cz/clanky/ipv4-jako-sluzba-aneb-jak-sit-zbavit-dual-stacku/>. [Online; retrieved 2014-09-30].
- [2] IBM Corporation. Virtualization in education. <http://www-07.ibm.com/solutions/in/education/download/Virtualization%20in%20Education.pdf>, 2007. [Online; retrieved 2014-09-17].
- [3] Davie and Gross. A stateless transport tunneling protocol for network virtualization (STT). <http://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-davie-stt-06>. [Online; retrieved 2014-11-12].
- [4] G. Dommety. Key and sequence number extensions to GRE. <http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc2890>. [Online; retrieved 2014-08-10].
- [5] Clark et al. Live migration of virtual machines. https://www.usenix.org/legacy/events/nsdi05/tech/full_papers/clark/clark.pdf, 2005. [Online; retrieved 2014-08-17].
- [6] Farinacci et al. Generic routing encapsulation (GRE). <http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc2748>. [Online; retrieved 2014-08-10].
- [7] Lasserre et al. Framework for data center (DC) network virtualization. <http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc7365>. [Online; retrieved 2014-11-11].
- [8] Mahalingam et al. Virtual extensible local area network (VXLAN): A framework for overlaying virtualized layer 2 networks over layer 3 networks. <http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc7348>. [Online; retrieved 2014-11-10].
- [9] Sridharan et al. NVGRE: Network virtualization using generic routing encapsulation. <http://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-sridharan-virtualization-nvgre-06>. [Online; retrieved 2014-11-11].
- [10] Weil et al. Ceph: A scalable, high-performance distributed file system. In *7th Conference on Operating System Design and Implementation*, 2006.
- [11] A. Hammadi and L Mhamdi. A survey on architectures and energy efficiency in data center networks. *Computer Communications*, 40, 2014.
- [12] Chris Horne. Understanding full virtualization, paravirtualization, and hardware assist. http://www.vmware.com/files/pdf/VMware_paravirtualization.pdf. [Online; retrieved 2014-08-20].

- [13] Dustin Kirkland. Docker in ubuntu, ubuntu in docker. <http://blog.docker.com/2014/04/docker-in-ubuntu-ubuntu-in-docker/>. [Online; retrieved 2014-09-20].
- [14] S.S. Kolahi, S. Narayan, D.D.T. Nguyen, and Y. Sunarto. Performance monitoring of various network traffic generators. In *Computer Modelling and Simulation (UKSim), 2011 UkSim 13th International Conference on*, pages 501–506, March 2011.
- [15] T. Mell, P. Grance. The NIST definition of cloud computing. <http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/nistpubs/800-145/SP800-145.pdf>. [Online; retrieved 2014-08-17].
- [16] R. Moreno-Vozmediano, R.S. Montero, and I.M. Llorente. IaaS cloud architecture: From virtualized datacenters to federated cloud infrastructures. *Computer*, 45(12):65–72, Dec 2012.
- [17] Ellen Nakashima. Judge orders microsoft to turn over data held overseas. http://www.washingtonpost.com/world/national-security/judge-orders-microsoft-to-turn-over-data-held-overseas/2014/07/31/b07c4952-18d4-11e4-9e3b-7f2f110c6265_story.html. [Online; retrieved 2014-09-12].
- [18] B. Radha and S. Selvakumar. Deepav2: A DNS monitor tool for prevention of public IP DNS rebinding attack. In *Advances in Recent Technologies in Communication and Computing (ARTCom 2011), 3rd International Conference on*, pages 72–77, Nov 2011.
- [19] M. Townsley S. Tsuchiya, Ed. and S. Ohkubo. IPv6 rapid deployment (6rd) in a large data center. <http://tools.ietf.org/html/draft-sakura-6rd-datacenter-04>. [Online; retrieved 2014-05-30].
- [20] S. Sarat, Vasileios Pappas, and A. Terzis. On the use of anycast in DNS. In *Computer Communications and Networks, 2006. ICCCN 2006. Proceedings. 15th International Conference on*, pages 71–78, Oct 2006.
- [21] S. Srivastava, S. Anmulwar, A.M. Sapkal, T. Batra, A.K. Gupta, and V. Kumar. Comparative study of various traffic generator tools. In *Engineering and Computational Sciences (RAECS), 2014 Recent Advances in*, pages 1–6, March 2014.

Appendix

Measurement samples

A.1.1 Measurement #23

100 Mb/s, 100 cycles, 6% failed




Figure A.1.1: #23, bandwidth [b/s]




Figure A.1.2: #23, bandwidth [b/s] (z axis - color), flat view

A.1.2 Measurement #26

140 Mb/s, 300 cycles, 6% failed




Figure A.1.3: #26, bandwidth for all sessions (top), average (bottom) [b/s]




Figure A.1.4: #26, bandwidth [b/s]




Figure A.1.5: #26, bandwidth [b/s] (z axis - color), flat view

A.1.3 Measurement #27

80 Mb/s, 200 cycles, 10% failed




Figure A.1.6: #27, packet jitter for all sessions (top), average (bottom) [ms]




Figure A.1.7: #27, bandwidth for all sessions (top), average (bottom) [b/s]




Figure A.1.8: #27, bandwidth [b/s]




Figure A.1.9: #27, bandwidth [b/s] (z axis - color), flat view