Exercise 10

1. Shor Code

The Shor (or 9 Qubit) code is a method for quantum error correction based on the classical repetition code. Here we will consider the version where a logical qubit is first stored in three physical gubits using the encoding

$$|+\rangle_3 = |+++\rangle, |-\rangle_3 = |---\rangle.$$

This protects against errors that try to flip $|+\rangle_3$ to $|-\rangle_3$, and vice-versa. But the corresponding errors for the Z basis states

$$|0
angle_3=rac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|+++
angle+|---
angle),\ \ |1
angle_3=rac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|+++
angle-|---
angle)$$

become more likely. To deal with this we take three of these logical qubits and use them (like the original physical qubits) to encode a single logical qubit. This uses the encoding:

$$|0\rangle_9 = |0\rangle_3 \otimes |0\rangle_3 \otimes |0\rangle_3, \ |1\rangle_9 = |1\rangle_3 \otimes |1\rangle_3 \otimes |1\rangle_3.$$

The end result is then a code that stores one logical qubit in 9 physical qubits, with stabilizer states

$$|0
angle_9 = \left\lceil rac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|+++
angle + |---
angle)
ight
ceil^{\otimes 3}, \ \ |1
angle_9 = \left\lceil rac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|+++
angle - |---
angle)
ight
ceil^{\otimes 3}$$

- a) Find operators that act as X and Z on the logical qubit. What are the minimal number of qubits these act on? (Find logical operators. What is d?)
- b) Suppose σ_x errors occur indepen'dently on each qubit with probability p_x . What is the probability P_x that a logical X occurs after syndrome measurement and error correction? For simplicity you can determine this only up to lowest order in p_x .
- c) Similarly, what is the probability P_z of Z errors, given that σ_z errors occur with probability p_z ? For simplicity you can determine this only up to lowest order in p_z .

Hints: 1) Review stabilizer codes below video of extra lecture (github/extra_resources/stabilizer_formation.pdf) Check conditions of stabilizers. Stabilizers are: $s_1 = \sigma_X{}^1\sigma_X{}^2$ and $s_2 = \sigma_X{}^2\sigma_X{}^3$ Logical Z can be defined as $\sigma_z{}^1\sigma_z{}^2\sigma_z{}^3$ and logical X can be defined as $X = \sigma_Z{}^1$ (or any qubit) for $|+\rangle_3 = |+++\rangle$ and $|-\rangle = |---\rangle$ \$. If we change the basis this is also reversed.

For a) b) c): a) use the results from the 3 qubits repetition code to get to the result for the 9-qubit b) Logical error happens when two physical error happen. First calculate Result will be $P_x = \alpha p_x^2 P_z = \beta p_z^2$ Goal is to find alpha and beta

1) a) To implement a X on the first logical qubits, we have to $|0\rangle_3=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|+++\rangle+|---\rangle) \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|+++\rangle-|---\rangle)$ and $|1\rangle_3=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|+++\rangle-|---\rangle) \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|+++\rangle+|---\rangle)$. To do this we can apply a phase of -1 to one of the $|-\rangle$ states. This can be done by a single X on any of the qubits. We need to apply this to every first logical qubit to perform $|1\rangle_9=|1\rangle_3\otimes|1\rangle_3\otimes|1\rangle_3\rightarrow|0\rangle_3\otimes|0\rangle_3\otimes|0\rangle_3=|0\rangle_9$ and

 $|0
angle_9=|0
angle_3\otimes|0
angle_3\otimes|0
angle_3\otimes|0
angle_3\to|1
angle_3\otimes|1
angle_3=|1
angle_9$ Therefore, the logical X on the logical qubit is $X_9=\sigma_x^{n,m}\sigma_x^{k,j}\sigma_x^{l,i}$, where $k,l,i,j,n,m\in\{0,1,2\}$ and $k\neq l\neq n$ and $\sigma_x^{l,i}=\sigma_x^{3l+i}$ is a X on the physical qubit i of the first logical qubit l. This operation has to act on 3 qubits, so d=3

As the logical Z on the first logical qubit can obviously be defined as $Z_3 = \sigma_z \otimes \sigma_z \otimes \sigma_z$, and we want to perform the operation

$$|+\rangle_9=rac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle_9+|1\rangle_9)=rac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle_3\otimes|0\rangle_3\otimes|0\rangle_3+|1\rangle_3\otimes|1\rangle_3\otimes|1\rangle_3)$$
 $\leftrightarrow rac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle_9-|1\rangle_9)=rac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle_3\otimes|0\rangle_3-|1\rangle_3\otimes|1\rangle_3\otimes|1\rangle_3\otimes|1\rangle_3)=|-\rangle_9$, we just have to apply one of these Z_3 s to any one of the first logical qubits to invert the phase of the $|1\rangle_3$ component. Therefore we can define $Z_9=\sigma_z^{l,0}\sigma_z^{l,1}\sigma_z^{l,2}$, where $l\in 0,1,2$ and $\sigma_z^{l,k}$ means to apply Z to the k th physical qubit of the l th first logical qubit. This operation has to act on 3 qubits, so $d=3$

b) Above we saw that to perform a logical X, we need to act on d=3 qubits. In fact, this can be reduced to d=2, because if two of the three first logical qubits get flipped, the decoding and correction algorithm will see this as an error on the third qubit and correct accordingly. This will cause a logical bit flip.

The probability of the first logical qubit enduring a bit flip p'_x is $p'_x = \binom{3}{1}p_x$, as you only need to flip one qubit and you can select one out of three. This has to happen to two of our three first logical qubits to cause a bitflip to the final logical qubit. Therefore the probability P_x of a bitflip happening to the whole system is

$$P_Xpprox inom{3}{2}p_x'^{\,2}=3\left(inom{3}{1}p_x
ight){}^{_2}=27p_x{}^{_2}$$

One could consider the possibility where things happen twice and so on, but that complicates things.

c) We use the same principle as in part b): The probability of having a phase flip on the first logical qubit p_z' is $p_z' = {3 \choose 2} p_z^2$, because it has to happen on two out of the three of the physical qubits. The phase flip on the final logical qubit only occurs if one of the three first logical qubits had a phase flip. Thus the final probability P_Z is

$$P_Zpprox inom{3}{1}p_z'=inom{3}{1}inom{3}{2}p_z^2=9p_z^2$$

Again one could consider other factors like when things happen more than once, but that complicates things,

2. Concatenated Shor Code

In order to increase the performance of a code we can use the concept of concatenation. We will now consider this process for the Shor code.

Let us describe physical qubits as level-0 qubits, and suppose we have n of them. We can use these to encode n/9 logical qubits, which we call level-1 qubits. These will have lower probabilities for noise than the level-0 qubits, but maybe not as low as we require. We can then use the level-1 qubits as if they were physical qubits, using them to encode $n/9^2$ level-2 qubits. This procedure can then be continued as many times as required, with the level-(l-1) qubits always used as the physical qubits of the Shor codes that encode the level-l qubits.

- a) In order to encode a single level-l qubit, for arbitrary l, what is the number n(l) of level-0 qubits required?
- b) The standard Shor code has distance d=3. What is the distance of a level-l concatenated Shor code?
- c) We will use $p_x^{(l)}$ to denote the bit flip error probility at level-l. The level-0 error rate is that of the physical qubit errors: $p_x^{(0)} = p_x$. The level-1 error rate is that of the logical qubits of the 9 qubit code: $p_x^{(1)} = P_x$. Use your result from question 1(b) to show that $p_x^{(l-1)}$ and $p_x^{(l)}$ are related by

$$p_x^{(l)} < 27 \Big(p_x^{(l-1)}\Big)^2.$$

d) Show that $p_x^{(l)}$ decays exponentially with $n(l)^{\beta}$ when $p_x < 1/27$, and find β .

This is a proof of the `threshold theorem' for this code and error model. As long as the physical noise rate p_x is below the threshold value of 1/27 (and p_z is below its threshold of 1/9), concatenation of the Shor code can achieve arbitrarily low error rates.

- B) no rigourous proof needed, just motivate your result C) To get the "<" you need to include also the next-leading order in p_x . D) Decays exponentially with $n(l)^\beta$ means $P_X^l < \dots p_x^{n(l)^\beta}$
- 2) a) To encode a single level of qubits, we need 9^1 qubits. If we were going to use this qubit in another level, we would need 9 of these codes and thus $9 \cdot 9 = 9^2$ qubits. Following this logic, for any level l

$$n(l) = 9^{l}$$

gubits are needed to encode one logical gubit at level l.

b) To act on a Shors code of l=2 one might think of it being a level 1 system, but having the physical qubits represented as an additional Shor's code. Therefore, one has to act on, again, d=3 "encoded physical" qubits, which themselves have d=3. Thus for any level l,

$$d=3^l$$

c) We know from 1b) that $p_x^l \approx 27(p_x^{l-1})^2$, but we can make this a bit preciser by accounting for a different situation and adding the next term: $p_x^l \approx 27(p_x^{l-1})^2 - \frac{2}{8}(p_x^{l-1})^2$. The last term describes the situation when the second bitflip happens on the same logical qubit as the first, effectively undoing itself. Now it's trivial to see that

$$p_x^l pprox 27 (p_x^{l-1})^2 - rac{2}{8} (p_x^{l-1}) < 27 (p_x^{l-1})^2$$

d)

$$P_0=p_x$$
 $P_l=27P_{l-1}{}^2$ $P_l=27^{2^l-1}p_x^{2^l}=rac{1}{27}(27p_x)^{2^l}=rac{1}{27}(27p_x)^{2l}$

if $p_x < rac{1}{27}$, then $27p_x < 0$ and therefore $\lim_{l o \infty} rac{1}{27} (27p_x)^{2^l} = 0$ and therefore this decays. To find eta we have to solve the following equation:

$$n(l)^{\beta} = 9^{\beta l} = 2^{l}$$
$$\beta l \ln(9) = l \ln(2)$$
$$\beta = \frac{\ln(2)}{\ln(9)}$$