

Exercise A

Let $y \sim \text{Binomial}(m, \pi)$. Consider the logit parametrisation $\theta = \text{logit}\left(\frac{\pi}{1-\pi}\right)$. The corresponding Wald test is based on the statistic

$$z = \frac{\hat{\theta} - \theta_0}{\hat{se}(\hat{\theta})}, \text{ where } \hat{\theta} = \text{logit}\left(\frac{\hat{\pi}}{1-\hat{\pi}}\right), \text{ and } \hat{\pi} = \frac{y}{m}.$$

↪ Equivariance property of the MLE↪ MLE for a Binomial proportion.

Specializing well-known results of GLM (or applying the delta method), we get

$$\hat{se}(\hat{\theta}) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{m\hat{\pi}(1-\hat{\pi})}}$$

Such a test rejects for high values of z . However, if $\theta_0 = 0$, note that when

$$m = 25, \quad y = 23$$

$$\hat{\theta} = 2.44; \quad \hat{se}(\hat{\theta}) = 0.7372; \quad z = 3.31$$

Moreover, if $m = 25, \quad y = 24$, then

$$\hat{\theta} = 3.178; \quad \hat{se}(\hat{\theta}) = 1.021; \quad z = 3.11$$

This is not what we would expect. Larger values of $\hat{\theta}$ should provide more evidence against the null hypothesis, not less. The log-likelihood ratio test does not have this problem, in fact the test is

$$z_0 = \text{sign}(\hat{\theta} - \theta_0) \sqrt{2[l(\hat{\theta}) - l(\theta_0)]}$$

and

$$l(\hat{\theta}) - l(0) = \underbrace{y \log\left(\frac{y}{m}\right)}_{\substack{= \\ c}} + (m-y) \log\left(\frac{m-y}{m}\right) \overset{-c}{\text{is a monotone increasing function of } y}.$$

(Test on $z_0 = 4.461$ when $y = 23$, and $z_0 = 5.12$ when $y = 24$).