

CSE 3140 Lab 3: Cryptography, Malware and Ransomware

Tom McCarthy (tkm20002)

Section Z81

VM IP: 172.16.49.96

1. Name of matching file: flintlock.exe
2. Name of matching file: unbestowed.exe
3. Name of correctly signed file: spreadeagleism.exe

Experiment:

Using the python file Q3Experiment.py (submitted with this lab report), I tested how the length of the key affects time required to sign and verify signatures. The script generates keys of length 1024, 2048, 4096, 8192 and 16384 bits. For each key, it signs a hash and verifies it before outputting the total time taken for each key. The results are below:

```
cse@cse3140-HVM-domU:~/Lab3$ python3 Q3Experiment.py
Key of 1024 bits took 0.08143401145935059 seconds.
Key of 2048 bits took 0.7740302085876465 seconds.
Key of 4096 bits took 6.055960416793823 seconds.
Key of 8192 bits took 112.0252754688263 seconds.
Key of 16384 bits took 445.0524957180023 seconds.
```

Clearly, the time it takes increases substantially for keys of increasing length. The value of hashing is apparent; if long contents were not hashed first, then the length of the content and corresponding key of the same length would cause the system to take a very long time to sign and verify the contents. Hashing shortens the content to sign, making the process more efficient.

4. Decrypted file contents: binaurally87&
5. Decrypted file contents: councilman78@
6. Approval Code: RHHMY6

For my ransomware system, I used a shared key, k , which is 16 random bytes. This is a standard length and it is sufficiently long to assume brute-force methods will not crack the key. I encrypted and decrypted the key using [RSA PKCS#1 v1.5](#) because it is an established and widely used system. I used modulus of length 2048 bits, which is a widely accepted standard for sufficient length.